

Application No.: A.19-11-

Exhibit No.: SDG&E-

Witness: Alex Kim

**PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF  
ALEX KIM  
ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY'S**

**POLICY - ENERGY SAVINGS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, CALIFORNIA  
ALTERNATE RATES FOR ENERGY PROGRAM, AND FAMILY ENERGY RATE  
ASSISTANCE PROGRAM PLANS AND BUDGETS FOR PROGRAM YEARS 2021  
THROUGH 2026**



**BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOVEMBER 4, 2019**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE ESA, CARE, AND FERA PROGRAMS AND BUDGETS APPLICATIONS FOR THE 2021-2026 PROGRAM CYCLE .....	2
	A.    ESA Program .....	2
	B.    CARE Program .....	3
	C.    FERA Program.....	5
III.	SUMMARY OF THE ESA, CARE, AND FERA PROGRAMS AND BUDGETS APPLICATIONS FOR THE 2021 THROUGH 2026 PROGRAM CYCLE .....	6
	A.    ESA Program Summary and Requests .....	6
	B.    CARE Program Summary and Requests .....	7
	C.    FERA Program Summary and Requests .....	8
IV.	PROPOSED POLICY REVISIONS SPECIFIC TO THE ESA PROGRAM .....	8
	A.    Delivery of measures via a more streamlined method, using a tiered approach .....	9
	B.    MFWB Program for deed-restricted properties.....	9
	C.    Inclusion of MF CAM for non-deed restricted properties.....	9
	D.    Approval of new ESA Program measures.....	10
	E.    Removal of existing ESA Program measures .....	10
	F.    Changing ESA Program Appliance Eligibility Criteria .....	10
	G.    Approving modifications to ESA Program P&P Manual.....	11
	H.    Allowing modification and clarification to ESA Program fund shifting rules .....	11
	I.    Clarifying ESA Program uncommitted unspent funds cap for carry-over .....	13
	J.    Continuance of the advice letter process for ESA Program changes .....	13
V.	PROPOSED POLICY REVISIONS SPECIFIC TO CARE.....	14
	A.    Request to Extend the Due Date for Submittal of Annual CARE Eligibility Estimates .....	14
	B.    Request to Revise the Monthly High Usage Requirement to Allow the Threshold to be Reached at Least Three Times Prior to Requiring Verification .....	16
	C.    Request to Transition CHANGES Funding to the GRC and Remove Reporting Requirement from the Low Income Proceeding .....	18
	D.    Request to Revise CARE Expansion Recertification Requirement from Two to Four Years.....	19
VI.	PROPOSED POLICY REVISIONS SPECIFIC TO FERA .....	20
	A.    Request to Transition FERA Program Management and Budgets to the Low-Income Proceeding. ....	20
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	21
VIII.	STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS .....	23

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

**PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF  
ALEX KIM**

**ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY'S**

**POLICY - ENERGY SAVINGS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, CALIFORNIA ALTERNATE  
RATES FOR ENERGY PROGRAM, AND FAMILY ENERGY RATE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM  
PLANS AND BUDGETS FOR PROGRAM YEARS 2021 THROUGH 2026**

10 **I. INTRODUCTION**

11 The purpose of this Prepared Direct Testimony is to present policy in support of San  
12 Diego Gas & Electric Company's (SDG&E) Application for Approval of Low Income  
13 Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program Years (PY) 2021 through 2026. Specifically, this  
14 Prepared Direct Testimony addresses policy issues for the 2021 through 2026 Energy Savings  
15 Assistance (ESA) Program, California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE) Program, and Family  
16 Electric Rate Assistance (FERA) Program (jointly referred to as SDG&E's "Customer  
17 Assistance Programs"). In addition, this Prepared Direct Testimony presents recommendations  
18 to revise certain California Public Utilities Commission (Commission)-adopted policies and rules  
19 for the ESA, CARE, and FERA Programs beginning in 2021. SDG&E witness Sara Nordin  
20 presents written Prepared Direct Testimony regarding SDG&E's ESA, CARE, and FERA  
21 Program operations, plans, and budgets for PYs 2021 through 2026. SDG&E witness Horace  
22 Tantum IV presents written Prepared Direct Testimony regarding SDG&E's ESA, CARE, and  
23 FERA Program marketing, education and outreach (ME&O) plans for PYs 2021 through 2026.

24 During the 2021 through 2026 program cycle, and beyond, SDG&E will continue its  
25 commitment to provide programs and services designed to meet the needs of its low-income and  
26 special needs customers, those that are limited English proficient (LEP), and those living in  
27 underserved or hard-to-reach communities who may benefit from SDG&E's Customer

1 Assistance programs. These actions are aligned with the Commission’s Environmental and  
2 Social Justice Action Plan, adopted in February 2019.

3 **II. OVERVIEW OF THE ESA, CARE, AND FERA PROGRAMS AND BUDGETS**  
4 **APPLICATIONS FOR THE 2021-2026 PROGRAM CYCLE**

5 **A. ESA Program**

6 The existing ESA Program provides no-cost home improvements to willing and eligible  
7 customers who meet the Commission’s income eligibility guidelines. The Program’s income  
8 eligibility guidelines are based on the Federal Poverty Guidelines (FPG);<sup>1</sup> customers with total  
9 household incomes at or below 200% FPG are eligible. In Decision (D.) 07-12-051, the  
10 Commission established a programmatic initiative for the ESA Program to provide all eligible  
11 customers the opportunity to participate in the ESA Program and to offer those who wish to  
12 participate, all cost-effective energy efficiency measures in their residences by 2020. D.07-12-  
13 051 also determined that the ESA Program should emphasize long-term energy savings and  
14 should continue to propose program elements that may not be cost-effective yet may still serve  
15 other important policy objectives.<sup>2</sup>

16 The ESA Program also requires an assessment of the customer dwelling to ensure it  
17 meets other requirements as outlined in the California Statewide Energy Savings Assistance  
18 Program Policy and Procedures Manual (P&P Manual). Since 2002, SDG&E has treated  
19 approximately 295,525 low-income households through the ESA Program.<sup>3</sup> This represents  
20 approximately 88% of the Commission’s programmatic initiative of treating all eligible and

---

1 See Public Utilities (P.U.) Code Section (§) 739.1(a).

2 D.07-12-051 at Ordering Paragraph (OP) 4.

3 Data through August 2019.

1 willing homes in SDG&E’s service territory by 2020.<sup>4</sup> As a result, SDG&E customers have  
2 reduced their gas use by approximately 199,000 therms and electric use by approximately  
3 8,039,000 kWh.<sup>5</sup> During 2020, SDG&E anticipates that it will achieve its remaining homes  
4 treated goal of the Commission’s programmatic initiative.

5 In D.19-06-022, the Commission provided guidance to the investor-owned utilities  
6 (IOUs)<sup>6</sup> for consideration and use in preparing the 2021 through 2026 Low Income Program  
7 Applications and challenges the IOUs to present innovative design approaches for the ESA  
8 Program, while taking into consideration the existing policy landscape.<sup>7</sup> The Commission has a  
9 specific “focus on deeper energy savings from measures that are intended to reduce energy use  
10 (‘resource measures’) and innovative program designs for the multifamily sector.”<sup>8</sup> The  
11 Commission also anticipates that the current ESA Program design will not look the same beyond  
12 2020.<sup>9</sup>

### 13 **B. CARE Program**

14 The CARE Program, formerly known as the Low-Income Ratepayer Assistance (LIRA)  
15 Program, was established through legislative mandate<sup>10</sup> and provides customers who meet the

---

<sup>4</sup> Data through August 2019.

<sup>5</sup> Data through August 2019.

<sup>6</sup> IOUs consist of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), Southern California Edison Company (SCE), Southern California Gas Company (SCG), and SDG&E.

<sup>7</sup> D.19-06-022 at 5.

<sup>8</sup> *Id.* at 9.

<sup>9</sup> *Id.* at 8.

<sup>10</sup> Senate Bill (SB) 987, Ch. 212 (Cal. 1988), directed the Commission to establish a program of assistance to low income customers to mitigate the impact caused by the narrowing of the baseline/nonbaseline differential and that the cost of the assistance program was not to be borne solely by any single class of customer.

1 Commission's eligibility requirements – currently 200% of the FPG<sup>11</sup> – with a monthly discount  
2 on electrical and gas usage. Customers can qualify for CARE by self-certifying their income  
3 eligibility or by self-certifying participation in one of the Commission-approved categorical  
4 programs.

5 The CARE Program is also available to non-profit group living facilities, migrant farm  
6 worker housing, hospices, homeless shelters, and women's shelters that meet CARE Expansion  
7 eligibility criteria.

8 In D.19-06-022, the Commission provides guidance to the IOUs for consideration and  
9 use in preparing the 2021 through 2026 Low Income Program Applications and required the  
10 following :

- 11 • Provide program plans, goals and budgets for 2021 through 2026 with associated  
12 rates and revenue impacts;
- 13 • Address strategies for reaching and maintaining the 90% penetration target;
- 14 • Incorporate and identify best practices and strategies relative to study findings and  
15 Low-Income Oversight Board (LIOB) recommendations;
- 16 • Identify strategies for high poverty areas and disadvantaged communities;
- 17 • Project enrollment and processing requirements;
- 18 • State whether continued CARE funding is proposed for Community Help and  
19 Awareness of Natural Gas and Electricity Services (CHANGES); and
- 20 • Address disposition of the Cool Center Program.

21 The Prepared Direct Testimony of Sara Nordin addresses each of the areas identified  
22 above; and, the information below addresses proposed policy changes in support of program  
23 plans, goals, and budgets.

---

<sup>11</sup> P.U. Code § 739.1.

1           **C.     FERA Program**

2           In 2004, the Commission, in D.04-02-057, adopted the FERA Program providing a tiered benefit  
3 for customers between 175% and 250% of the FPG; income eligibility was adjusted in 2005 in D.05-10-  
4 044. The FERA Program is currently available to households of three or more persons with total annual  
5 gross income levels between 200% (plus \$1) and 250% of FPG.

6           In July 2015, D.15-07-001 modified the FERA benefit to a 12% line-item discount  
7 instead of Tier 3 usage at Tier 2 rates.<sup>12</sup> The FERA 12% line-item discount became effective  
8 under 2015 residential rate reform and SDG&E Advice Letter (AL) 2783-E, approved October  
9 28, 2016 and effective October 1, 2015. In September 2018, SB 1135<sup>13</sup> was enacted, which  
10 increased the effective FERA discount from 12% percent to 18%, as well as required additional  
11 outreach to increase FERA Program participation. The 18% FERA discount was implemented  
12 on January 1, 2019.

13           SB 1135 also required enhanced outreach to increase FERA enrollment. In D.19-06-022,  
14 the Commission directs the IOUs to provide proposals to comply with legislative changes for  
15 FERA enrollment identified in SB 1135, as well as any related mandates for FERA participation  
16 and related budget implications.<sup>14</sup>

17           In Section VI below, SDG&E provides proposed policy changes to transition FERA into  
18 the Low Income Proceeding, and specific programmatic justification and identified budgets are  
19 provided in the Prepared Direct Testimony of Sara Nordin for the FERA Program.

20  

---

<sup>12</sup> D.15-07-001 at 246.

<sup>13</sup> SB 1135, Stats. 2017-2018, Ch. 413 (Cal. 2018).

<sup>14</sup> D.19-06-022 at Attachment A, p. 35.

1 **III. SUMMARY OF THE ESA, CARE, AND FERA PROGRAMS AND BUDGETS**  
2 **APPLICATIONS FOR THE 2021 THROUGH 2026 PROGRAM CYCLE**

3 **A. ESA Program Summary and Requests**

4 In general, SDG&E proposes a new program strategy which will help low-income  
5 customers realize full energy savings potential through enhanced and persistent education and  
6 deeper energy savings through focused measure installations. In addition, SDG&E continues to  
7 recognize the significant need to assist low-income customers with issues related to health,  
8 comfort, and safety. SDG&E's proposed design that will focus on engaging customers with  
9 online home energy audits and education, will provide enrollment transparency, will require  
10 property-owner authorization prior to in-home visits, and will provide energy savings potential  
11 and optimization of targeted homes and multifamily properties. SDG&E plans to target homes  
12 with the greatest potential for energy savings and will continue to invest in opportunities to  
13 deliver the ESA Program to homes previously untouched or customers unwilling to participate  
14 using targeted marketing approaches. SDG&E proposes to deliver the program using new  
15 technologies to streamline customer and contractor participation, but recognizes that many low-  
16 income customers lack ability or access to engage online. For this reason, the program will still  
17 be accessible using contractors and community based organizations (CBOs) as well. But by  
18 offering customers this new choice for engagement, the overall program should be streamlined  
19 and more effective.

20 SDG&E is requesting a change to its mix of measures offered through the ESA Program  
21 to increase cost-effectiveness, optimize deeper energy savings, and provide health, comfort, and  
22 safety measures to eligible low-income customers. SDG&E plans to reach a total of 130,694  
23 homes during the 2021-2026 program cycle, including 583 multifamily whole buildings  
24 consisting of in-unit and common areas. SDG&E projects total electric energy savings of



1 30,022,131 kWh, demand savings of 20,153 kW, gas energy savings of 1,100,460 therms, and  
2 Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions reduction of 22,950 tons during the 2021 through 2026  
3 program cycle. SDG&E's projections for savings and participation can be found in ESA  
4 Program Table A-4 of the accompanying application and in the Prepared Direct Testimony of  
5 Sara Nordin.

6 As directed in Attachment A of the Guidance Document in D.19-06-022, SDG&E  
7 provides a list of the following policy and rules changes for the ESA Program during the 2021  
8 through 2026 Program cycle which are explained further below:

- 9 • Delivery of measures via a more streamlined method, using a tiered approach;
- 10 • Approval of a Multifamily Whole Building (MFWB) Program for deed-restricted  
11 properties;
- 12 • Approval of Inclusion of Multifamily Common Area Measures (MF CAM) for  
13 non-deed restricted properties;
- 14 • Approval of new ESA Program measures;
- 15 • Removal of existing ESA Program measures;
- 16 • Changing ESA Program appliance eligibility criteria;
- 17 • Approving modifications to ESA Program P&P Manual;
- 18 • Allowing modification and clarification to ESA Program fund shifting rules;
- 19 • Clarifying ESA Program uncommitted unspent funds cap for carry-over; and
- 20 • Continuance of the advice letter process for ESA Program changes.

## 21 **B. CARE Program Summary and Requests**

22 SDG&E proposes to retain the existing CARE Program structure. SDG&E's current  
23 penetration rate is above 90%; therefore, SDG&E is proposing to continue to utilize effective  
24 outreach approaches and initiate additional outreach strategies to maintain eligible customers  
25 while endeavoring to enroll the hardest to reach customer population.

26 During 2021 through 2026, SDG&E proposes to maintain a 90% penetration rate. The  
27 correlating budgets relative to CARE's goals are detailed in Table B-1 attachments to the

1 accompanying Application. In summary, the Program proposes a six-year administrative budget  
2 totaling \$42,600,254 and a forecasted subsidy of \$740,600,741.

3 SDG&E proposes the following policy and rules changes for the CARE Program during  
4 the 2021 through 2026 Program cycle which are explained in Section VI below:

- 5 • Extending the annual deadline for filing Annual Eligibility Estimates from  
6 December 31 to February 12 of the following year;
- 7 • Modification of the high usage Post Enrollment Verification (PEV) process  
8 threshold from one to three times in a rolling 12-month period;
- 9 • Transition of CHANGES Program funding and management from CARE to the  
10 General Rate Case (GRC), which is expected to be filed in 2020;<sup>15</sup>
- 11 • Changing CARE Expansion program recertification from two years to four years;  
12 and
- 13 • Continuation of the advice letter process for budget requests, program  
14 modifications, and/or policy modifications.

#### 15 **C. FERA Program Summary and Requests**

16 Beginning with the 2021 through 2026 Program Cycle, SDG&E proposes to transition  
17 management of FERA out of the Baseline Proceeding (Rulemaking (R.) 01-05-047) and into the  
18 Low-Income proceeding. SDG&E is meeting the mandate of P.U. Code § 739.12(c), which was  
19 adopted in 2018 and requires the Commission to “authorize the state’s three largest electrical  
20 corporations to increase or expand marketing and outreach efforts beyond those in effect as of  
21 December 31, 2018.” To accomplish this, SDG&E is proposing a six-year administrative budget  
22 of \$3,802,878, which includes marketing and outreach, and a forecasted subsidy of \$25,047,511.  
23 Additional information relative to SDG&E’s request is discussed below in Section F.

#### 24 **IV. PROPOSED POLICY REVISIONS SPECIFIC TO THE ESA PROGRAM**

25 Section I.D.7. in Attachment A of the Guidance Document directs the utilities to propose  
26 modifications to the existing rules in the ESA Program P&P Manual or prior Commission

---

<sup>15</sup> Adoption of a four-year GRC cycle is currently being considered in R.13-11-006.

1 decisions which will be necessary to implement the IOUs’ new program design and delivery for  
2 the 2021 through 2026 cycle.<sup>16</sup>

3 Herein, SDG&E provides a high level summary of the modifications to the existing  
4 policy rules for the 2021 through 2026 ESA Program cycle.

5 **A. Delivery of measures via a more streamlined method, using a tiered**  
6 **approach**

7 SDG&E proposes a new tiered approach ESA Program design that increases savings  
8 potential and prioritizes cost-effectiveness of measure delivery. Each tier will be structured  
9 around ability to maximize customer visits and minimize overall customer touchpoints.  
10 Additionally, the tiered approach will make it easier for customers to initially participate in the  
11 program and is designed to keep them engaged in saving energy. Proposed tiers range from  
12 “basic” measures that provide an entry level potential of energy efficiency savings all the way to  
13 a customer being “optimized” with the maximum set of measures installed that provide the  
14 deepest possible level of energy savings, reduction in greenhouse gases and overall improvement  
15 to customer health, comfort and safety. Refer to the Prepared Direct Testimony of Sara Nordin  
16 for the ESA Program for details on the tiers and associated delivery of measures.

17 **B. MFWB Program for deed-restricted properties**

18 SDG&E proposes to design and implement by one or more third parties that is exclusive  
19 to deed-restricted multifamily properties. Refer to the Prepared Direct Testimony of Sara Nordin  
20 for the ESA Program for details on the MFWB for deed-restricted properties.

21 **C. Inclusion of MF CAM for non-deed restricted properties**

22 SDG&E proposes MF CAM for its local non-deed restricted multifamily program, which  
23 would include CAM treatment in addition to treatment of individual units. Further details on the MF

---

<sup>16</sup> D.19-06-022 at Attachment A, p. 17.

1 CAM for non-deed restricted properties can be found in the Prepared Direct Testimony of Sara  
2 Nordin for the ESA Program.

3 **D. Approval of new ESA Program measures**

4 SDG&E proposes to modify its existing mix of measures offered through the program by  
5 adding new measures that provide benefits to customers. The following measures are being  
6 proposed to be added:

- 7 • Energy efficient clothes dryer (gas)
- 8 • Whole house fans

9 For additional details on the new measures, see the Prepared Direct Testimony of Sara  
10 Nordin for the ESA Program.

11 **E. Removal of existing ESA Program measures**

12 SDG&E proposes to modify its existing mix of measures offered through the program by  
13 removing measures that no longer provide cost-effective energy savings benefits. Historically,  
14 the program has not install impactful quantities of these measures. The following measures are  
15 being proposed for removal:

- 16 • Water heater blanket
- 17 • Water heater pipe insulation
- 18 • Furnace clean and tune
- 19 • Air conditioner tune up
- 20 • Torchieres

21 For additional details on the measures being removed, see the Prepared Direct Testimony  
22 of Sara Nordin for the ESA Program.

23 **F. Changing ESA Program Appliance Eligibility Criteria**

24 SDG&E proposes to revise the policy rule for the appliance eligibility criteria to be based  
25 on the effective useful life (EUL) on a rolling year for replacement in lieu of replacement being

1 based on the appliance manufactured date. SDG&E proposes to apply the EUL change for the  
2 following appliances: refrigerators, gas clothes dryers, clothes washers. For additional details of  
3 the changes in the appliance eligibility criteria, see the Prepared Direct Testimony of Sara  
4 Nordin for the ESA Program.

5 **G. Approving modifications to ESA Program P&P Manual**

6 SDG&E plans to continue to adhere to the existing ESA Program policies outlined in the  
7 P&P Manual with the exception of policy changes being proposed in the following sections:

- 8 • Section 2 - Customer and Structural Eligibility
- 9 • Section 4 - Procedures for Customer Home Visits
- 10 • Section 7 - Measure Installation Policies and Procedures
- 11 • Section 10 - Natural Gas Appliance Testing

12  
13 A full description of these policy changes in the ESA Program P&P Manual are discussed  
14 in Section I.D.7. presented in the Prepared Direct Testimony of Sara Nordin for the ESA  
15 Program.

16 **H. Allowing modification and clarification to ESA Program fund shifting rules**

17 SDG&E proposes changes to the existing fund shifting rules. The Commission  
18 formalized its CARE and ESA Program rules for shifting program funds between program cost  
19 categories, sub-categories, between electric and gas departments, across program years and  
20 program budget cycles in D.08-11-031, which was modified in D.10-10-008, and reaffirmed in  
21 D.12-08-044. The Commission's adopted fund shifting rules also established requirements for  
22 requesting and reporting any such fund shifting. OP 135(b) of D.12-08-044 reaffirmed and  
23 continued the Commission's adopted fund shifting rules for the 2012 through 2014 program  
24 cycle. The same fund shifting rules were also in effect for the 2015 through 2016 bridge period.

1           The fund shifting rules were revised in D.16-11-022, and modified by D.17-12-009, to  
2 permit the IOUs to use the advice letter process, in lieu of a Motion, to request fund shifting.<sup>17</sup>  
3 D.17-12-009 delegates the Commission’s Energy Division (ED) the discretion to approve fund  
4 shifts between gas and electric departments up to 25% of each budget category.<sup>18</sup>

5           In the accompanying Application, SDG&E seeks clarification and modification of the  
6 Commission’s fund shifting rules to simplify the rules and allow greater flexibility for program  
7 management. In particular, SDG&E requests modification to ESA Program fund shifting rules  
8 to better align with the CARE Program fund shifting rules.

9           In D.06-12-038, the Commission adopted CARE fund shifting rules and has reaffirmed  
10 the rules in each subsequent CARE Program decision through the 2020 program cycle. Under  
11 the CARE Program fund shifting rules, the IOUs are provided the flexibility to shift funds  
12 between categories, which are reported in the Low Income Monthly and Annual reports. As  
13 previously discussed, the ESA Program fund shifting rules are more stringent and only allow  
14 funds to be shifted under certain conditions without prior Commission approval. In addition, the  
15 IOUs must dedicate resources to prepare and submit an advice letter requesting to shift funds and  
16 then wait for approval from ED. To allow for better program management and budget oversight,  
17 SDG&E proposes that the Commission align the ESA Program fund shifting rules with the fund  
18 shifting rules of the CARE Program by allowing similar shifting of funds and to report ESA  
19 Program fund shifts in the Low Income Monthly and Annual reports rather than through an  
20 advice letter. SDG&E will track and maintain a clear and concise record of all fund shifting

---

<sup>17</sup> D.17-12-009 at 363-365.

<sup>18</sup> *Id.* at 364.

1 transactions and submit a well-documented record of such transactions in its Low Income  
2 Monthly and Annual reports relevant to the period in which they took place.

3 **I. Clarifying ESA Program uncommitted unspent funds cap for carry-over**

4 SDG&E seeks Commission clarification on the uncommitted unspent funds cap for the  
5 amount to carry-over to the following program year. In D.17-12-009, the Commission directed  
6 the IOUs to use uncommitted unspent funds that are not carried forward to offset future ESA  
7 program year collections.<sup>19</sup> OP 134 of D.17-12-009 establishes a 25% cap for the amount of  
8 unspent funds that can be carried-over from program year to program year and within a given  
9 cycle. In addition, an IOU must file an advice letter first if it wishes to carry over an amount  
10 exceeding 15%. In the next sentence, the decision states that “[if] the large IOU does not receive  
11 such approval, any unspent funds in excess of the 25% limit may not be carried over for  
12 programmatic use...”<sup>20</sup> SDG&E seeks Commission clarification because it is not clear whether  
13 the Commission intended to establish a 15% or 25% cap. SDG&E requests that the Commission  
14 determine the 25% percent cap was intended for uncommitted carry-over unspent funds and that  
15 this rule be applicable to the 2021 through 2026 program cycle as well. Maintaining the 25%  
16 cap limit for uncommitted carry-over unspent funds minimizes uncertainty with the new program  
17 design and allows greater flexibility to use those funds to provide more measures and services to  
18 customers if needed.

19 **J. Continuance of the advice letter process for ESA Program changes**

20 SDG&E seeks Commission authorization to continue using the advice letter process for  
21 additional budget requests, program modifications, and/or policy modifications as approved in

---

<sup>19</sup> *Id.* at OP 132.

<sup>20</sup> *Id.* at OP 134.

1 D.17-12-009.<sup>21</sup> The current advice letter process only applies to the 2017 through 2020 program  
2 cycle and will sunset on December 31, 2020, and thereafter changes would need to be requested  
3 through a petition for modification.<sup>22</sup> Continuing the advice letter process will allow the  
4 Commission and the ED the flexibility to timely act on necessary program changes for the ESA  
5 Program over the six year program cycle. Rather than file a petition for modification, which  
6 could take up to two years for Commission determination, the advice letter process expedited  
7 budget and program modification requests in the 2017 through 2020 program cycle, which better  
8 served stakeholders and the IOUs. Therefore, SDG&E requests the permanent continuation of  
9 the advice letter process for budget requests, program modifications, and/or policy modifications.

#### 10 **V. PROPOSED POLICY REVISIONS SPECIFIC TO CARE**

11 SDG&E plans to continue to adhere to the existing CARE Program policies and does not  
12 propose to retire any of the existing Commission-adopted CARE Program policies during the  
13 2021 through 2026 program cycle. As detailed below, SDG&E proposes the following changes  
14 to existing CARE policies for modification or expansion.

##### 15 **A. Request to Extend the Due Date for Submittal of Annual CARE Eligibility** 16 **Estimates**

17 SDG&E joins PG&E, SCE, and SCG (together the “Joint Utilities”) in requesting an  
18 extension of the deadline to submit the annual estimate of customers eligible for the CARE  
19 Program from December 31 to February 12 of each year. The extension will enable the IOUs’  
20 consultant, Athens Research, to incorporate current year Department of Health and Human  
21 Services poverty guidelines in the estimates. The extension will also allow the consultant to

---

<sup>21</sup> *Id.* at 69.

<sup>22</sup> *Id.*



1 collect current U.S. Census products and other key data series, which are generally available by  
2 late December of each year.

3 As background, the IOUs requested an adjustment to the deadline for submitting the  
4 annual eligibility estimates from October 15 to December 31 of each year in their compliance  
5 filing regarding the annual estimates of CARE eligible customers in February 2012.<sup>23</sup> The  
6 Commission approved the request and adjusted the annual deadline to December 31 in D.12-08-  
7 044.<sup>24</sup> Subsequent to that Decision, the Commission determined that CARE eligibility should  
8 adhere to the FPG in compliance with P.U. Code § 739.1(a), which states that CARE shall serve  
9 households with incomes that are no greater than 200% of the FPG levels. The Federal  
10 Department of Health and Human Services typically updates these guidelines near the end of  
11 January each year. The requested extension is necessary to allow the IOUs to incorporate these  
12 revised guidelines into the annual estimates each year.

13 U.S. Census products that are key sources for the estimates include the American  
14 Community Survey one-year Public Use Microdata Sample and the American Community  
15 Survey five-year summary file. These and other products generally have release dates in the last  
16 three months of each year, with November and December releases or re-releases common when  
17 there are issues encountered by the Census Bureau.

18 Additional monthly data sources included as part of the CARE-eligibility analysis include  
19 California Employment Development Department, Metropolitan Statistical Area Labor Market  
20 Information Division labor force and employment data, and the U.S. Census and Bureau of

---

<sup>23</sup> A.11-05-017/-018/-019/-020 (cons.), Compliance Filing of Southern California Edison Company on Behalf of Itself, Southern California Gas Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Regarding the Annual Estimates of CARE Eligible Customers and Related Information (February 16, 2012) at 1-2.

<sup>24</sup> D.12-08-044 at 289.

1 Labor Statistics Current Population Survey which serves as a source for modeling the effects of  
2 labor market transitions experienced by individuals. The IOUs' annual CARE-eligibility  
3 estimates will be more accurate if the monthly data for December is incorporated into the  
4 analysis.

5 As in past years, extending this deadline will have no adverse impact on the low-income  
6 programs. The IOUs first would utilize the new CARE estimates for reporting penetration rates  
7 in the March 21 monthly reports detailing February program activity each year.

8 **B. Request to Revise the Monthly High Usage Requirement to Allow the**  
9 **Threshold to be Reached at Least Three Times Prior to Requiring**  
10 **Verification**

11 In August 2014,<sup>25</sup> the Commission revised CARE's verification process to include high  
12 usage verification in compliance with SB 1207,<sup>26</sup> which was enacted on September 27, 2012.  
13 CARE high usage verification requires customers with usage above 400% of baseline in any  
14 monthly billing cycle to: (1) provide income proof of CARE Program eligibility, and (2)  
15 participate in the ESA Program. In addition, CARE customers with electrical usage above 600%  
16 of baseline in any monthly billing cycle shall have 90 days to drop usage below 600% of  
17 baseline or be de-enrolled and prohibited from participating in the CARE Program for 24  
18 months. Moreover, CARE customers with usage between 400% to 600% of baseline that fail to  
19 provide proof of income or have incomes higher than allowed are also prohibited from  
20 participating in the CARE Program for 24 months.

21 In 2018, 59% of CARE customers in SDG&E's service territory reached the high usage  
22 threshold only once during seasonally hot summer weather and were flagged for verification. Of

---

<sup>25</sup> *Id.* at OP 101.

<sup>26</sup> SB 1207, Stats. 2011-2012, Ch. 613 (Cal. 2012).

1 the customers requested to provide income verification, 62% were removed from CARE for  
2 failing to respond and were barred from participating in CARE for 24 months. SDG&E wants to  
3 ensure that income-eligible customers in need of assistance are not being removed from CARE  
4 after hitting the high usage threshold only once during inclement weather. Refer to the Prepared  
5 Direct Testimony of Sara Nordin for further explanation on how eligible customers are being  
6 disqualified from program participation.

7 SB 1207 states “In addition to existing assessments of eligibility, an electrical corporation  
8 may require proof of income eligibility for those CARE program participants whose electricity  
9 usage, in any monthly or other billing period, exceeds 400 percent of baseline usage.”<sup>27</sup> As  
10 stated above, D.12-08-044 established the one-time rule for hitting the high usage threshold.  
11 Therefore, the legislation provides flexibility to allow the Commission to: (1) adjust from a  
12 monthly to annual billing period, and (2) determine the number of times that a customer may hit  
13 the high usage threshold. Thus, SDG&E proposes that the Commission revise the requirement to  
14 verify income eligibility for high usage from once in a monthly billing cycle to three times  
15 during a rolling 12-month period, which would permit customers to hit the threshold three times  
16 prior to being required to verify income eligibility allowing for one seasonally hot day and one  
17 seasonally cold winter day of increased usage. For additional details on impact to program  
18 operations that this change will provide, please refer to the Prepared Direct Testimony of Sara  
19 Nordin.

---

<sup>27</sup> *Id.*, codified in P.U. Code § 739.1(i)(1).

1           **C.           Request to Transition CHANGES Funding to the General Rate Case (GRC)**  
2                           **and Remove Reporting Requirement from the Low Income Proceeding**

3           On November 19, 2010, Commission Resolution CSID-004<sup>28</sup> approved a one-year pilot  
4 program currently known as the CHANGES Program. The pilot launched in February 2011 to  
5 provide energy related education, need and dispute resolution, and outreach to limited English  
6 proficient (LEP) customers of the IOUs.

7           In Commission Resolution CSID-005 dated November 10, 2011, the duration of the  
8 CHANGES pilot program was extended to ensure that there would not be a gap in pilot  
9 authority, funding, or services while the Commission collected and evaluated data to consider  
10 whether the CHANGES pilot should become a permanent program. In this resolution, the  
11 CHANGES budget was also increased to \$60,000 per month.

12           Beginning in 2010 in pilot form, and receiving modifications to the pilot again in 2012<sup>29</sup>  
13 and 2014,<sup>30</sup> the CHANGES program received extensions to allow for further programmatic  
14 review by the Commission. In late 2015,<sup>31</sup> CHANGES was made a permanent program and  
15 CARE funding was extended through the end of 2020 or until the Commission identified an  
16 alternate funding source.

17           In 2018, CHANGES contractors provided 291 services to residential customers in  
18 SDG&E's service territory. Of the 291 services provided, 280 (96%) of the services were for bill  
19 payment assistance account changes, payment plans, reconnections, and payment extensions. As  
20 with the Cool Center/Cool Zone Program that was transitioned to the GRC in 2017, the services

---

<sup>28</sup> California Public Utilities Commission, Resolution Consumer Service and Information Division (CSID)-004 (November 19, 2010), *available at* [http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PUBLISHED/FINAL\\_RESOLUTION/127338.htm](http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PUBLISHED/FINAL_RESOLUTION/127338.htm).

<sup>29</sup> D.12-12-011.

<sup>30</sup> D.14-08-030.

<sup>31</sup> D.15-12-047.

1 provided by CHANGES are for the general customer base and should be transitioned to the  
2 GRC, expected to be filed in 2020. Therefore, SDG&E is proposing to transition the CHANGES  
3 program from the Low Income Proceeding to the GRC. The service that the CHANGES  
4 program provides to the general population is more appropriately funded through the GRC rather  
5 than the Commission's reimbursable budget.

6 In addition to recommending the funding source changes, SDG&E proposes to transition  
7 the CHANGES monthly, annual, and LIOB reporting to the Commission-selected contractor  
8 once SDG&E's proposal, expected to be filed in the 2020 GRC, is approved. This  
9 recommendation is in accordance with Commission direction in D.15-12-047 which states,  
10 "[o]nce an ongoing funding source out of the Commission's reimbursable budget is authorized,  
11 the IOUs' role will change. They will no longer be required to include CHANGES activities in  
12 their CARE monthly reports when the funding no longer comes from the CARE Program."<sup>32</sup>  
13 Therefore, SDG&E proposes removal of the requirement for the IOUs to report on CHANGES  
14 activity; and instead require the Commission's CHANGES contractor to provide monthly and  
15 annual reporting to the Commission, as well as reporting to the LIOB.

16 **D. Request to Revise CARE Expansion Recertification Requirement from Two**  
17 **to Four Years.**

18 CARE Expansion facilities are required to recertify eligibility for CARE every two years.  
19 SDG&E proposes to modify the recertification requirement for CARE Expansion based on  
20 SDG&E data demonstrating high levels of recertification, which indicates that eligibility for a  
21 facility may not be changing frequently. Therefore, to remove the barrier of having CARE  
22 Expansion facilities collect and provide the required documentation for recertification every two

---

<sup>32</sup> D.15-12-047 at 28.

1 years and to establish cost efficiencies, SDG&E proposes to extend the CARE Expansion facility  
2 recertification requirement to four years. For detail on this proposal, refer to the Prepared Direct  
3 Testimony of Sara Nordin on CARE.

#### 4 **VI. PROPOSED POLICY REVISIONS SPECIFIC TO FERA**

5 Beginning with the 2021 through 2026 Program Cycle, SDG&E proposes to transition  
6 management of FERA out of the Baseline Proceeding (R.01-05-047) and into the Low-Income  
7 proceeding. If the Commission approves the transition of the FERA Program to the Low-Income  
8 proceeding, SDG&E proposes to record and recover administrative costs, which are currently in  
9 the FERA sub-account of the Baseline Balancing Account (BBA),<sup>33</sup> to the Family Electric Rate  
10 Assistance Balancing Account (FERABA) and eliminate the FERA subaccount from the BBA.  
11 Herein, SDG&E provides a high level summary of the modifications to the policy rules for the  
12 FERA Program.

##### 13 **A. Request to Transition FERA Program Management and Budgets to the Low-** 14 **Income Proceeding.**

15 In 2015, FERA transitioned from a tiered benefit to an average effective discount amount  
16 in D.15-07-001. The effective discount currently stands at 18% per SB 1135. This fundamental  
17 change in the benefit structure, alongside the P.U. Code change which “authorize[s] the state’s  
18 three largest electrical corporations to increase or expand marketing and outreach efforts beyond  
19 those in effect as of December 31, 2018, to increase eligible customer participation in the FERA  
20 program” resulted in direction to the other electrical IOUs to increase their FERA Program  
21 participation.<sup>34</sup>

---

<sup>33</sup> D.04-02-057 at 3.

<sup>34</sup> P.U. Code § 739.12(c).

1 In August 2018, PG&E was directed to “make significant efforts to increase its FERA  
2 subscription level over the next six years, with the aim of achieving a 50% subscription level.”<sup>35</sup>  
3 Subsequently, in November 2018, SCE was directed to “increase its FERA program enrollment  
4 rate to 50% of eligible customers by 2023.”<sup>36</sup> Although SDG&E has not been directed to  
5 increase its FERA penetration to 50%, SDG&E is proposing to address this target in its 2021  
6 through 2026 Low-Income Application and proposes appropriate administrative budget,  
7 including increased marketing and outreach, in order to achieve this target.

8 There are three reasons that FERA should reside in the Low Income proceeding. First,  
9 the CARE and FERA Programs are mandated by statute<sup>37</sup> to utilize a single application form;  
10 therefore, administrative activities relative to application processing, recertification, verification,  
11 etc. are being managed by SDG&E’s Low Income programs team. Subsequently, any efforts to  
12 increase FERA penetration will occur jointly with CARE. The second reason is that reporting of  
13 FERA activities is currently required in the Low Income Proceeding. The third reason is that  
14 stakeholder engagement relative to FERA is undertaken in the Low Income Proceeding, and the  
15 transition of FERA Program activities and budgets to the Low-Income Proceeding will provide  
16 greater transparency of program activities.

## 17 **VII. CONCLUSION**

18 SDG&E respectfully requests the Commission approve the ESA, CARE, and FERA  
19 Program plans and budgets for the 2021 through 2026 program cycle as described in the  
20 testimonies of my counterpart SDG&E witnesses. SDG&E specifically requests that the  
21 Commission grant:

---

<sup>35</sup> D.18-08-013 at OP 15.

<sup>36</sup> D.18-11-027 at OP 13.

<sup>37</sup> P.U. Code § 739.1(f)(2).

- 1 • Approval of SDG&E’s 2021 through 2026 ESA Program plans and budgets;
- 2 • Approval of SDG&E’s new proposed ESA Program delivery tier approach;
- 3 • Approval of MFWB Program for deed-restricted properties;
- 4 • Approval of inclusion of MF CAM for non-deed restricted properties;
- 5 • Approval of SDG&E’s new ESA Program measures;
- 6 • Approval to remove existing SDG&E ESA Program measures;
- 7 • Approval of SDG&E’s change in appliance eligibility criteria to EUL;
- 8 • Approval of modifications to the ESA Program P&P Manual;
- 9 • Approval of SDG&E’s modifications to ESA Program fund shifting rules;
- 10 • Clarification on ESA Program uncommitted unspent funds cap for carry-over;
- 11 • Approval to continue the advice letter process for ESA, CARE and FERA
- 12 program changes;
- 13 • Request to extend the due date for submittal of annual CARE eligibility estimates;
- 14 • Request to revise the monthly high usage requirement to allow the threshold to be
- 15 reached at least three times prior to requiring verification;
- 16 • Request to transition CHANGES funding to the GRC and remove reporting from
- 17 the Low Income proceeding;
- 18 • Request to revise CARE Expansion recertification requirement from two to four
- 19 years; and
- 20 • Request to transition FERA Program management and budgets to the low-income
- 21 proceeding.

22 This concludes my prepared direct testimony.



1 **VIII. STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS**

2 My name is Alex Kim. My business address is 8335 Century Park Court, San Diego,  
3 California, 92123. I am employed by San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) as the Director of  
4 Customer Programs and Business Services. I have been employed by SDG&E since 2003. Prior  
5 to joining SDG&E, I was employed by Sempra Energy and Southern California Gas Company.  
6 Over the past 30 years, I have held positions of increasing responsibility within the company that  
7 have included various customer service programs, marketing and outreach. I graduated from  
8 California State Polytechnic University - Pomona with a Bachelors of Science degree in  
9 Mechanical Engineering.

10 From August 2013 through May 2014, I served on the Low Income Oversight Board as  
11 the Investor-Owned Utility representative.

12 I have previously testified before the California Public Utilities Commission.