2020 SB 1371

COMPLIANCE PLAN

Originally Submitted 03/12/20
Amended on 06/12/20
Amended on 09/04/20

SDGE A Sempra Energy utility®

Summary of Amendments to
SDG&E's 2020 Natural Gas Leak Abatement Compliance Plan (September 2020)

The table below summarizes the changes made to SDG&E's 2020 Leak Abatement Amended Compliance Plan, submitted on June 12, 2020:

Chapter/Attachment	Page Number	Change Made
Intro	4, 7	Updated cost effectiveness values with new cap and trade value provided by RASA Staff, added discussion on Best Practices where no additional measures are proposed
Ch 1	15	Updated cost effectiveness values with new cap and trade value provided by RASA Staff
Ch 2	20	Updated cost effectiveness values with new cap and trade value provided by RASA Staff
Ch 3	24	Updated cost effectiveness values with new cap and trade value provided by RASA Staff

Summary of Amendments to
SDG&E's 2020 Natural Gas Leak Abatement Compliance Plan (June 2020)

The table below summarizes the changes made to SDG&E's 2020 Leak Abatement Compliance Plan, originally submitted on March 16, 2020:

Chapter/Attachment	Page Number	Change Made
Intro	4	Added more details on emissions reduction forecasts
Ch 1	12	Corrected typos
Ch 2	16	Added language to explore additional emission reduction opportunities
Ch 3	21	Updated costs & emissions estimates based on new information available; Corrected typos
Ch 7	37	Corrected typos

Introduction

San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) submits this Amended Biennial Compliance Plan on September 4, 2020. Changes made since the submissions on March 16, 2020 and June 12, 2020, are summarized in a table preceding this introduction. This Compliance Plan proposes activities forecasted to achieve a reduction of 5,215 MCF in natural gas emissions by 2022, with an associated funding request of $13.2 million, as outlined in Advice Letter (AL) 2852-G-A. The total revenue requirement associated with this effort is $15.8 million. Implementation of the activities for each Best Practice (BP) will begin after the Compliance Plan and cost recovery are approved, with an expected implementation in years 2021 and 2022.

Requests for cost recovery associated with measures proposed in this Compliance Plan are for activities that are incremental to safety and specific to the emission reduction goals of Decision (D.) 19-08-020. SDG&E currently has policies and procedures in place to meet environmental regulations implemented by the California Air Resources Board (CARB), Environmental Protection Agency, Local Air Pollution Control Districts, and the California Department of Conservation, Geological Energy Management Division (CalGEM). Some of these environmental policies overlap with Senate Bill (SB) 1371 requirements, and that overlap is addressed in the relevant chapters herein.

SDG&E meets the requirements of Best Practices 8, 10, 14, and 21 as part of normal operations, as discussed in the 2018 Amended Leak Abatement Compliance Plan. Therefore, no additional measures are proposed for these Best Practices.

Emission Reductions

The 2015 emissions inventory baseline for SDG&E's system is 282,047 MCF. Annual estimated emission reductions resulting from activities proposed in this Compliance Plan from 2021 – 2030 are estimated at 5,280 MCF. Expected annual emissions in 2030, based on modeling and assumptions as stated in this Compliance Plan, are 276,767 MCF, an estimated 2% reduction. It should be noted that the 2015 baseline is expected to be adjusted in the future due to reporting adjustments and corrections. As such, the estimated percentage reduction will likely change as a result of the updated 2015 baseline.

The table below, Major Efforts to Reduce Emissions, summarizes SDG&E's proposed major activities and estimated emission reductions proposed in the 2020 SDG&E Leak Abatement Compliance Plan.

Major Efforts to Reduce Emissions

Chapter	2022 Emission Reduction, MCF	2025 Emission Reduction, MCF	2030 Emission Reduction, MCF	Simple Cost Effectiveness ($/MCF)
Chapter 1 - Leak Survey	2,325	2,386	2,389	444
Chapter 2 - Blowdown Reduction Activities	1,700	1,700	1,700	38
Chapter 3 – Damage Prevention Algorithm and Proactive Intervention	1,191	1,191	1,191	102
Summary	**5,215**	**5,277**	**5,280**	
Percentage Reduction	**2%**	**2%**	**2%**	

The current estimated 2% reduction in emissions from the 2015 baseline by 2025 and 2% by 2030 is based on the published 2015 baseline, currently approved reporting metrics, and emission models of cost-effective measures using currently available technologies and information. Emission models used to forecast reductions will have some degree of variation, and the projected reduction may be higher or lower in practice. As proposed research projects and pilots are completed, more accurate modeling may be available for activities such as the installation of methane sensors, transmission pipeline leaks, accelerated repair of minor leaks, and more frequent above-ground leak inspection and repair. In addition, as pilots are concluded, more accurate forecasts may be attainable, and new technologies may become commercially available to further reduce emissions beyond what is currently forecasted.

As stated in D.19-08-020, "SDG&E and Southwest Gas (Class B Utilities) have less capability to influence emission reduction since the percent of their population-based emissions are 90 percent and 97.4 percent, respectively….In addition, these two utilities are responsible for a relatively small percentage of total statewide reported methane emissions (7 percent)."[1] As such, SDG&E is not being held to a hard target for emission reductions.

Because 90% of SDG&E's reported emissions are based on population-, facility-, or component-based emission factors, forecasting more than a 1.4% reduction will not be possible until improved reporting metrics are adopted. SDG&E does not have a non-hazardous leak inventory and has already implemented the 26 Mandatory BPs, including more frequent leak surveys. SDG&E has faster average leak repair when compared with other California natural gas utilities, as shown in the table below.[2] As such, SDG&E has less opportunity to reduce methane emissions. Although changes to reporting metrics and emerging technologies may create opportunities for further emission reductions in the future, SDG&E currently cannot forecast a pathway to achieving a 40% emission reduction.

[1] *Id*. at 53.
[2] CPUC's Safety Enforcement Division (SED) Analysis of the Utilities' June 17, 2019, Natural Gas Leak and Emission Reports, p. 40.

Table 15: Average Days to Repair by Entity, 2018[46]

Entity	Average Repair Days		
	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3
PG&E	5	165	981
SCG	1	187	972
SDG&E	1	4	8
SWG	1	3	41
WCG	-	-	200
Weighted Average	**3.3**	**166**	**968**

Calculating Cost Effectiveness

Cost effectiveness calculations used average annual revenue requirement, which was modeled by SDG&E based on data from December 2019. The average annual revenue requirement is calculated by dividing the cumulative revenue requirement for each measure by the useful life of the measure or asset.

Standard Cost Effectiveness:

$$\frac{10\ years * (Average\ Annual\ Rev\ Req - Cost\ Benefits)}{Emissions\ Reductions, 2021 - 2030}$$

Pursuant to D. 19-080929, SDG&E also calculates cost effectiveness with avoided Cap & Trade costs and social cost of methane as follows:

Cost Effectiveness with avoided Cap & Trade Costs:

$$\frac{10\ years * (Average\ Annual\ Rev\ Req - Cost\ Benefits - Avoided\ Cap\ \&\ Trade\ Costs)}{Emissions\ Reductions, 2021 - 2030}$$

Cost Effectiveness with avoided Social Cost of Methane and Cap & Trade Costs:

$$\frac{10\ years * (Average\ Annual\ Rev\ Req - Cost\ Benefits - Avoided\ Cap\ \&\ Trade\ Costs - Social\ Cost\ of\ Methane)}{Emissions\ Reductions, 2021 - 2030}$$

It should be noted that SDG&E is currently unable to evaluate historical cost effectiveness on some measures due to the timing of emission reductions achieved. The 2018 Compliance Plan was approved in late 2018, and thus most implementations began in 2019. However, SDG&E's evaluation of 2019 emission reductions in its 2020 Annual Emission Report is not yet complete, and it is not possible to accurately evaluate achieved reductions at this time. In addition, revenue requirements for capital projects can only be accurately calculated for historical measures when the measure is completed.

Common Assumptions for Cost Estimates

Below are the common assumptions SDG&E made when building cost estimates for the measures described in this Compliance Plan:

1. Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs) are internal company employees whose costs are known as "Labor." The salary of these FTEs is assumed to be $100,000 in direct annual costs, unless noted otherwise. Contract labor is included in "Non-Labor" Costs.
2. Vehicle costs for employees are included in the loaders for employees and, therefore, are not shown as a specific line item, unless noted otherwise.
3. Cost estimates were created in December 2019 dollars and loaded with December 2019 loading factors. Actual loaders vary month to month and may generate a variability in actual spending.
4. When measures benefit both SoCalGas and SDG&E, unless otherwise noted, the costs are split 91% SoCalGas and 9% SDG&E. This percentage split is based on the ratio of emissions reported by each utility, as reported in the 2017 SoCalGas and SDG&E Annual Emissions Reports.
5. The social cost of methane used was $21/MCF, as noted on page 16 of D.19-08-020 for the year 2020 at a 3% discount rate.
6. The cost-benefit of the reduced cost of gas was evaluated at the forecasted average annual Weighted Average Cost of Gas (WACOG) published in the 2018 California Gas Report, converted to cost per MCF using a BTU conversion factor of 1.0343 MCF/MMBtu, resulting in a cost-benefit of $2.42/MCF.
7. Cap & Trade costs are $20.82/MTCO2e, assuming December 2022 vintage prices, based on a 5-day average of trading days January 6 – 10, 2020. This futures data was acquired from the International Exchange. Using an Emission Conversion Factor of 54.64 MT of CO2 equivalent to 1 million cubic feet of natural gas (combusted), it results in a Cap and Trade benefit is $1.14/MCF.
8. Loaded costs include a 10% contingency, as noted in SDG&E's AL (2852-G-A), and each chapter cost summary section.

SDG&E Table of Concordance

SDG&E Attachment Library

Attachment	Chapter	Attachment Name	Page Number
A	1 - Leak Survey	Redlined Edit Gas Standard G8145	56
B	1 - Leak Survey	Historic Project Schedule for Leak Survey	76
BB	2 - Blowdown Reduction Activities	Updated G7909 - Purging Pipelines and Components	77
C	4 - Recordkeeping IT Project	Historic Project Schedule for Data Lake	106
D	7 - Damage Prevention Public Awareness	Historic Project Schedule for Damage Prevention Public Awareness	107
E	9 - Repeat Offenders IT Systems	Historic Project Schedule for Repeat Offender System	108
F	10 - Gas Speciation	Historic Project Schedule for Gas Speciation	109
G	12 - Methane GHG Policy	Updated SDG&E Environmental Excellence Policy	110
H	13 - Distribution Above Ground Leak Survey	Gas Standard T8172 Inspection Schedule	112
H1	13 - Distribution Above Ground Leak Survey	RMLD Technical Specifications	134
I	14 - Methane Emissions Training	Methane Emissions Training Historic Work	136
J	Research & Development	Research & Development Templates	137

SDG&E Acronym Library

Acronym	Definition
811	National call-before-you-dig phone number
49 CFR 192	PHMSA Regulation - Transportation Of Natural And Other Gas By Pipeline: Minimum Federal Safety Standards
AARR	Average annual revenue requirement
AMD	Advanced Meter Detection
AMI	Advanced Meter Initiative
API	American Petroleum Institute
AL	Advice Letter
BP	Best Practice
BTU	British thermal unit
CalGEM	Department of Conservation Geological Energy Management Division
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CIS	Customer Information System
CF	Cubic feet
CFH	Cubic feet per hour
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
CT	Construction Technician
DIMP	Distribution Integrity Management Program
DP	Differential Pressure
DPIR	Detecto Pak-Infrared
EDAPO	Engineering Data Analytics and Performance Optimization
EF	Emission Factor
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FTE	Full Time Equivalent; Employee
GS	Gas Standard
GIS	Geographic Information System
G.O. 112F	State General Order Governing Design, Construction, Testing, Operation, and Maintenance of Gas Gathering, Transmission, and Distribution Piping Systems
GRC	General Rate Case
HB	High Bleed
LDAR	Leak Detection and Repair
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
LNG	Liquified Natural Gas
M&I	Maintenance and Inspection

M&R	Measurement and Regulation
MCF	Thousand cubic feet
MDMS	Meter Data Management system
MMBtu	Million British thermal units
MSCF	Thousand standard cubic feet
MTCO2e	Metric tonnes of Carbon Dioxide equivalent
MTU	Meter transmission unit
NSOTA	Non-State-of-the-Art
O&M	Operations & Maintenance
PAPA	Pipeline Associations for Public Awareness
PHMSA	Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration
PMC	Planned Meter Change
psig	Pounds per square inch
QA	Quality assurance
R/V	Read/Verify
RD&D	Research, Development, & Demonstration
RMLD	Remote Methane Leak Detector
SAP	System Analysis Program
SCF	Standard cubic feet
SDG&E	San Diego Gas & Electric
SED	Safety and Enforcement Division
SIMP	Storage Integrity Management Program
SOTA	State-of-the-Art
WACOG	Weighted Average Cost of Gas
ZEVAC	Zero Emission Vacuum and Compressor

2020 SB 1371 Compliance Plan
Chapter 1: Increased Leak Survey

Part 1. Evaluate the Current Practice Addressed in this Chapter

This Chapter addresses the following Best Practices:

Best Practice 15: Gas Distribution Leak Surveys
Utilities should conduct leak surveys of the gas distribution system every 3 years, not to exceed 39 months, in areas where G.O. 112-F, or its successors, requires surveying every 5 years. In lieu of a system-wide three-year leak survey cycle, utilities may propose and justify in their Compliance Plan filings, subject to Commission approval, a risk-assessment based, more cost-effective methodology for conducting gas distribution pipeline leak surveys at a less frequent interval. However, utilities shall always meet the minimum requirements of G.O. 112-F, and its successors.
Best Practice 16: Special Leak Surveys
Utilities shall conduct special leak surveys, possibly at a more frequent interval than required by G.O. 112-F (or its successors) or BP 15, for specific areas of their transmission and distribution pipeline systems with known risks for natural gas leakage. Special leak surveys may focus on specific pipeline materials known to be susceptible to leaks or other known pipeline integrity risks, such as geological conditions. Special leak surveys shall be coordinated with transmission and distribution integrity management programs (TIMP/DIMP) and other utility safety programs. Utilities shall file in their Compliance Plan proposed special leak surveys for known risks and proposed methodologies for identifying additional special leak surveys based on risk assessments (including predictive and/or historical trends analysis). As surveys are conducted over time, utilities shall report as part of their Compliance Plans, details about leakage trends. Predictive analysis may be defined differently for differing companies based on company size and trends.

Leak surveys on distribution lines have historically been performed according to the requirements in 49 CFR 192.723. SDG&E pipelines are typically leak surveyed at intervals of one, three, or five years. The frequency of this survey is determined by the pipe material involved (i.e., plastic or steel), the operating pressure, whether the pipe is under cathodic protection, and the proximity of the pipe to various population densities. In 2018, SDG&E increased the survey frequency for all Pre-1986 Aldyl-A pipe from five-year and three-year to annual. This activity was funded by the Distribution Integrity Management Program (DIMP).

In the 2018 Compliance Plan, SDG&E was approved to move Vintage Steel pipe from five-year to annual leak survey cycles, Post-1986 Plastic pipe from five-year to three-year survey cycles, and protected steel (Post-1950) pipe from five-year to three-year leak survey cycles. To support these efforts, SDG&E staffed the following dedicated employees:

- Three (3) Leak Patrollers;
- One (1) Field Operations Supervisor; and
- One (1) Office Employee.

SDG&E purchased vehicles and tools for the incremental employees, and they have completed required training. The leak survey department was also reorganized into "North" and "South" regions to support the larger work scope.

In addition to surveying efforts above, additional labor was required for updating internal reporting and mapping systems (SAP & GIS) to update leak survey maps as a part of the increased survey cycle.

A red-lined version of SDG&E's Gas Standard G8145 is included as Attachment A, reflecting the updated survey cycles.

Emission Reductions Achieved

SDG&E has not had the opportunity to evaluate emission reductions for an annual survey on Vintage Steel or a three-year survey on protected steel and Post-86 plastic pipe due to full implementation beginning in 2020.

The portion of emissions associated with Pre-86 Aldyl A in the 2015 baseline Distribution Pipeline Leak Emissions was 1,062 MCF. The reduction achieved in 2018 after one (1) year of annual survey performed on Pre-86 Aldyl A was 529 MCF.

Cost Effectiveness Evaluation of Historic Work

Cost effectiveness cannot be calculated at this time for Vintage Steel annual survey or three-year survey cycles on protected steel and Post-86 plastic pipe because SDG&E has not had the opportunity to evaluate emission reductions due to full implementation beginning in 2020.

Regarding the annual survey of Pre-86 Aldyl-A, no costs were recorded to this program because this effort was funded through the Distribution Management Integrity Program (DIMP).

Part 2. Proposed New or Continuing Measure

SDG&E proposes to continue performing annual leak survey on Pre-1950 Vintage Steel Pipe and Pre-86 Aldyl-A pipe, as well as three-year leak survey cycles on Post-86 plastic pipe and protected steel pipe. SDG&E is not requesting cost recovery for the Pre-86 Aldyl-A survey in this program.

The activities proposed in this measure can be achieved with the existing leak surveyors, field supervisors, and office employees that were hired to meet the requirements of the 2018 Compliance Plan. No operational changes are necessary beyond continuing implementation of the increased leak survey cycles.

Part 3. Abatement Estimates

SDG&E estimates that the emission reductions achieved by increasing leak survey cycles on Pre-1950 Vintage Steel Pipe and Pre-86 Aldyl-A to annual survey cycles and Post-86 plastic pipe and protected steel to three-year leak survey cycles will result in a total emission reduction of 2,325 MCF from the 2015 baseline to the end of this Compliance period. These emissions will be reduced from the Pipeline Leaks Emission Source Category within the Distribution Mains and Services System Category.

Scenario	Baseline Emissions (MCF)	Estimated Emission Reductions (MCF)							
Year	**2015**	**2018**	**2019**	**2020**	**2021**	**2022**	**2023**	**2025**	**2030**
Non-State of the Art Plastic (Pre-86 Aldyl-A) Pipe from 5 Yr to 1 Yr	1,062	529	636	740	845	845	845	845	845
Pre-1950 Steel Vintage Pipe 5 Yr to 1	1,119	0	0	171	339	437	453	455	458
Post-86 Plastic Pipe from 5 Yr to 3 Yr	418	0	0	47	114	199	207	207	207
Protected Steel 5 Yr to 3 Yr	1,872	0	0	514	682	844	879	879	879
Total Emission Reductions (MCF)	4,471	529	636	1,472	1,980	2,325	2,384	2,386	2,389

The calculation methodology used to calculate the estimated emission reductions is the same methodology used to calculate emissions from the distribution system in the Annual Emissions Report. The calculation methodology is found below:

1. Derive the annual system leak rates by materials and facilities
2. Estimate the number of leaks detected and their associated emissions when shifting the survey cycle from five-year to three-year or annually
3. Project emission reduction in future years during and after implementation

Part 4. Cost Estimates

Cost estimates below include costs associated with annual survey cycles on Pre-1950 Vintage Steel and three-year survey cycles on protected steel and Post-86 plastic pipe. SDG&E is not requesting funding for Pre-86 Aldyl-A survey in this program.

O&M Cost Estimates					
Activity	**2021**		**2022**		**Total Loaded O&M Cost with Contingency**
	Direct	**Loaded**	**Direct**	**Loaded**	
Incremental Leak Survey Field Employees	$251,515	$513, 979	$251,515	$513,979	$1,963,221
Incremental Leak Survey Office Employees	$83,838	$171,326	$83,838	$171,326	
Incremental Leak Survey Supervisors	$101,200	$207,067	$101,200	$207,067	

Total Revenue Requirement over expected life of investment: $2.0 million
Average Annual Revenue Requirement: $1.0 million

Cost Assumptions

- 6,114 feet surveyed per day
- Represented Employee Hourly Rate: $39.73
- Three (3) Incremental Leak Survey field FTE's
- One (1) Incremental Survey Supervisor
- One (1) Incremental Office Employee
- $100K annual salary for Supervisor
- 10% contingency is included in the total loaded O&M cost

Part 5. Cost Effectiveness/Benefits

Standard Cost Effectiveness Calculation
$444/MCF

Cost Effectiveness with Avoided Cap and Trade Cost
$443/MCF

Cost Effectiveness with avoided Social Cost of Methane
$422/MCF

Part 6. Supplemental Information/Documentation

Attachment A: Redlined Gas Standard G8145

Attachment B: Project Schedule for Leak Survey Implementation

2020 SB 1371 Compliance Plan
Chapter 2: Blowdown Reduction Activities

Part 1. Evaluate the Current Practice Addressed in the Chapter

This Chapter addresses the following Best Practices:

Best Practice 23: Minimize Emissions from Operations, Maintenance and Other Activities
Utilities shall minimize emissions from operations, maintenance and other activities, such as new construction or replacement, in the gas distribution and transmission systems and storage facilities. Utilities shall replace high bleed pneumatic devices with technology that does not vent gas (i.e. no-bleed) or vents significantly less natural gas (i.e. low-bleed) devices. Utilities shall also reduce emissions from blowdowns, as much as operationally feasible.
Best Practice 3: Pressure Reduction Policy
Written company policy stating that pressure reduction to the lowest operationally feasible level in order to minimize methane emissions is required before non-emergency venting of high-pressure distribution (above 60 psig), transmission and underground storage infrastructure consistent with safe operations and considering alternative potential sources of supply to reliably serve customers. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of Compliance Plan filing.
Best Practice 4: Project Scheduling Policy
Written company policy stating that any high-pressure distribution (above 60 psig), transmission or underground storage infrastructure project that requires evacuating methane will build time into the project schedule to minimize methane emissions to the atmosphere consistent with safe operations and considering alternative potential sources of supply to reliably serve customers. Projected schedules of high-pressure distribution (above 60 psig), transmission or underground storage infrastructure work, requiring methane evacuation, shall also be submitted to facilitate audits, with line venting schedule updates TBD. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing.
Best Practice 5: Methane Evacuation Procedures
Written company procedures implementing the BPs approved for use to evacuate methane for non-emergency venting of high-pressure distribution (above 60 psig), transmission or underground storage infrastructure and how to use them consistent with safe operations and considering alternative potential sources of supply to reliably serve customers. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing.
Best Practice 6: Methane Evacuation Work Orders Policy
Written company policy that requires that for any high pressure distribution (above 60 psig), transmission or underground storage infrastructure projects requiring evacuating methane, Work Planners shall clearly delineate, in procedural documents, such as work orders used in the field, the steps required to safely and efficiently reduce the pressure in the lines, prior to lines being vented, considering alternative potential sources of supply to reliably serve customers. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing.

Best Practice 7: Bundling Work Policy
Written company policy requiring bundling of work, whenever practicable, to prevent multiple venting of the same piping consistent with safe operations and considering alternative potential sources of supply to reliably serve customers. Company policy shall define situations where work bundling is not practicable. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing.

SDG&E has documented use of cost-effective methods to reduce vented emissions during high pressure construction projects, including performing pressure reduction using mobile compressors, transferring gas to lower pressure systems, and isolating sections of pipe using stopples. Operators of natural gas pipeline systems routinely reduce line pressure and discharge gas from pipeline sections to provide safe working conditions during maintenance and repair activities. Typically, operators block the smallest possible linear section of the pipeline and depressurize it by venting gas. Using pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before performing maintenance and repair activities is an effective way to reduce emissions and yield significant economic savings. Pipeline pump-down techniques involve using in-line compressors either alone or in sequence with portable compressors. Using in-line compressors is generally justifiable because there are no capital costs, and payback is immediate. The cost-effectiveness of also using a portable compressor to increase gas recovery depends greatly on site-specific factors and operating costs. Regardless of the pump-down technique selected, emission reductions are directly proportional to how much pipeline pressure is reduced before venting occurs. Pipeline pump-down techniques are most economical for larger volume, higher pressure gas lines and work most effectively for planned maintenance activities and cases in which sufficient manifolding exists to connect a portable compressor.

In the 2018 Compliance Plan, SDG&E was approved to continue blowdown reduction efforts. SDG&E was also authorized to increase the capabilities of blowdown gas capture. This includes, but is not limited to, purchasing compressors and ZEVAC units to reduce blowdown emissions, increasing field operations staffing to support the incremental time to reduce blowdown, and creating a record keeping and compliance process to document that the requirements of the Best Practices were being met.

No incremental staffing was hired at SDG&E for this implementation. SDG&E is utilizing SoCalGas' centralized blowdown reduction organization.

Two Gas Standards were identified to be updated to require blowdown reduction efforts as outlined in Best Practice's 3-7. The Gas Standard "G7909 - Purging Pipelines and Components" has been updated and is included as an attachment in the Appendix of this Compliance Plan. The Gas Standard "G8148 - Gas Loss Estimation – Pipeline" will be updated in 2020.

Emission Reductions Achieved

The 2015 baseline for blowdown emissions reported for Transmission Pipelines, M&R Stations, and Compressor Stations is 7,413 MCF. In the calendar year 2018, emissions from these categories totaled 1,643 MCF, an estimated reduction of 5,770 MCF.

System Category	2015 Emissions (MCF)	2018 Emissions (MCF)	Emission Change 2015-2018 (MCF)
Transmission Pipelines	3,426	58.9	-3,367
Transmission M&R Stations	31	22	-9
Transmission Compressor Stations	3,956	1,562	-2,394
Total	7,413	1,642.9	-5,770

The reduction forecasted to be achieved from Transmission blowdown reduction by the end of 2019 in the 2018 Compliance Plan was 1,500 MCF. Emission reductions achieved in 2019 are pending submission of the 2020 Annual Emissions Report.

Cost Effectiveness Evaluation of Historic Work

The cost-effectiveness of work completed in this implementation cannot be calculated at this time due to insufficient data.

Part 2. Proposed New or Continuing Measure

SDG&E proposes to continue high pressure pipeline blowdown reduction efforts. SDG&E will continue to bundle work on high pressure lines when and where it is practical to do so. SDG&E also proposes the implementation of a blowdown reduction recordkeeping tool.

Incremental work includes, but is not limited to, expanding the gas capture program to include capture on more projects, increasing the use of cross compression, additional funding for labor due to the increased time required for blowdown reduction, and capital work including installing fittings on valves to expand cross compression capabilities. In addition, there is an increased need to improve data collection and recordkeeping for blowdown reduction to improve capabilities for planning blowdown reduction and monitor progress and cost effectiveness. SDG&E proposes to develop an electronic tool to plan blowdown reduction efforts and improve data aggregation and analysis. SDG&E is also exploring potential emissions reduction through upgrades to the Borrego Springs LNG facility to reduce leak and vented emissions.

Project Milestones

- Complete Blowdown Reduction Recordkeeping Tool: Estimated Q4 2021

Part 3. Abatement Estimates

SDG&E estimates that the emission reductions achieved by increasing blowdown reduction activities will result in a total emission reduction of 3,400 MCF from the 2015 baseline of 7,413 MCF. These emissions will be reduced from the Blowdown Emission Source Category within the Transmission Pipeline, Transmission M&R Stations, and Transmission Compressor Stations Category. The emission reductions are calculated using the emission factors from the Annual Report and applying a shorter time to repair.

Forecast of Emission Reduction from Baseline (MCF)

2021	2022	2025	2030
1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700

Blowdown emissions are a function of activity level. Blowdown volume varies by activity, depending on the type of work performed. The emission reductions shown in the above table are estimated based on a wider adoption of new blowdown reduction technologies, assuming activity level remains constant. SDG&E will continue evaluating opportunities to expand blowdown reduction capabilities, and emerging technologies may allow for further reductions in future compliance periods that cannot be forecasted at this time.

Part 4. Cost Estimates

Capital Cost Estimates					
Activity	**2021**		**2022**		**Total Loaded Capital Cost with Contingency**
	Direct	**Loaded**	**Direct**	**Loaded**	
Minimize Blowdowns in Transmission	$300,000	$301,770	$300,000	$301,770	$663,894

Total Revenue Requirement over expected life of investment: $2.0 million
Average Annual Revenue Requirement: $68,225

Cost Assumptions

- Assumed an increase of 20% per year of projects minimizing blowdowns in High Pressure Pipelines
- 10% Contingency is included in the total loaded O&M and Capital cost

Part 5. Cost Effectiveness/Benefits

Standard Cost Effectiveness
$38/MCF

Standard Cost Effectiveness including Cap and Trade Cost Benefits
$37/MCF

Standard Cost Effectiveness including Social Cost of Methane Benefits
$16/MCF

Part 6. Supplemental Information/Documentation

Attachment BB: Updated G7909 - Purging Pipelines and Components

2020 Compliance Plan
Chapter 3: Damage Prevention Algorithm and Proactive Intervention

Part 1. Evaluate the Current Practices Addressed in this Chapter

This Chapter addresses the following Best Practices:

Best Practice 24: Dig-Ins and Public Education Program
Expand existing public education program to alert the public and third-party excavation contractors to the Call Before You Dig – 811program. In addition, utilities must provide procedures for excavation contractors to follow when excavating to prevent damaging or rupturing a gas line.
Best Practice 25: Dig-Ins and Company Standby Monitors
Utilities must provide company monitors to witness all excavations near gas transmission lines to ensure that contractors are following utility procedures to properly excavate and backfill around transmission lines.
Best Practice 26: Dig-Ins and Repeat Offenders
Utilities shall document procedures to address Repeat Offenders such as providing post-damage safe excavation training and on-site spot visits. Utilities shall keep track and report multiple incidents, within a 5-year period, of dig-ins from the same party in their Annual Emissions Inventory Reports. These incidents and leaks shall be recorded as required in the recordkeeping best practice. In addition, the utility should report egregious offenders to appropriate enforcement agencies including the California Contractor's State License Board. The Board has the authority to investigate and punish dishonest or negligent contractors. Punishment can include suspension of their contractor's license.

The State of California mandates a pre-construction meeting with excavators requesting Locate and Mark support and requires continuous monitoring of excavations within ten feet of high-pressure pipelines per Cal. Gov. Code § 4216.2. Therefore, the requirements of Best Practice 25 are already met. SDG&E's Public Awareness Program is driven by (1) the requirements of 49 C.F.R. § 192.616, the technical document, (2) Public Awareness Programs for Pipeline Operators, API RP 1162, and (3) program expansion recommendations by regulators. SDG&E was approved to begin expanding the standby program to other areas where there could be challenges to controlling a damage, as proposed in the 2018 Compliance Plan. This implementation was pending the completion of a risk algorithm analyzing the location of 811 tickets and prioritizing them to trigger expanded standby. In 2019, this algorithm was completed and piloted. However, field implementation has not yet begun. SDG&E has determined through the algorithm development that rather than expanding standby, it would be more efficient to perform more field interventions for these higher-risk excavations. Rather than having an employee stand by and observe an excavation, which can often take multiple days, it would be more efficient to have that employee visit multiple excavators within the same timeframe to discuss damage prevention at their excavation sites.

Using the prioritized results from the risk analysis algorithm, company personnel can initiate communication with excavators to discuss the project and remind them of the importance of locating and protecting the natural gas pipe within their projects delineated area. The form of communication can be a phone call, text message, email, or job site visit, prior to the date of excavation. Through these proactive interventions, company personnel can effectively address a larger number of excavation projects than just performing standby. This proactive excavation intervention will enable SDG&E to minimize methane emissions from preventable damages.

Part 2. Proposed New or Continuing Measure

SDG&E proposes continuing to develop the damage prevention risk analysis algorithm; this information would be used to trigger a proactive intervention. Proactive interventions include activities that SDG&E can perform to address potential excavation sites that pose a high risk of damage, resulting in methane emissions. Using the prioritized results from the risk analysis algorithm, company personnel can initiate communication with the excavator to discuss the project and remind them of the importance of locating and protecting the natural gas pipe within their projects delineated area. The form of communication can be a phone call, text message, email, or job site visit prior to the date of excavation. Through these proactive interventions, company personnel can effectively address a larger number of excavation projects. This proactive excavation intervention will enable SDG&E to minimize methane emissions from potentially preventable damages.

The existing risk algorithm that was completed in the 2018 Compliance period assigns a score for every new 811 ticket to provide SDG&E with prompt visibility into high-risk dig sites and mark out locations. SDG&E is proposing to make enhancements to the algorithm in the 2020 compliance period to further reduce potentially preventable damages. These planned enhancements to the algorithm include additional data layers that will provide increased benefits, such as identifying:

- Excavator Error: Risk score derived from risk variables like work type, contractor name, topography, and weather conditions.
- No Call-Ins: Identification of possibly high-risk excavations without 811 ticket information, leveraging municipality permit data where data is publicly available and working with cities and counties to access permit data that is not publicly available.

These risk scores will allow SDG&E to prioritize and conduct appropriate and timely interventions before damages occur. The No Call-Ins analysis will provide SDG&E visibility into repeat offenders who continue to conduct excavations without calling 811. Further efforts may be proposed in future Compliance Plans pending reductions achieved in this implementation.

Project Milestones

- Hire and train incremental staff: Expected to be completed by Q1 2021
- Collect data and perform proactive interventions: Continuous

Part 3. Abatement Estimates

Emission reductions are estimated based on the results of a proactive intervention pilot performed at four operational districts at SoCalGas from 2017 - 2019. Because the pilot at SDG&E is not yet complete, SDG&E is assuming that similar results can be achieved. During the pilot, SoCalGas achieved an average annual reduction in damages per 1,000 tickets of approximately 37%. The pilot results are summarized in the table below.

Damages per 1,000 Tickets

District	2017	2018	2019	% Reduction (2017-2019)
District 1	6.97	6.87	3.96	43%
District 2	7.67	7.63	6.13	20%
District 3	4.48	3.57	2.34	48%
District 4	7.18	6.79	4.54	37%
			Average	**37%**

Emission reduction estimates are calculated assuming that SDG&E will achieve similar reductions. Implementing this program systemwide at SDG&E will require three (3) damage prevention analysts. Rather than implementing on a such a large scale, SDG&E is staffing one (1) analyst (funded through another program) to validate the SoCalGas results at SDG&E. If emission reductions achieved in this compliance period align with forecasted reductions, SDG&E may propose expanding further in future compliance periods. However, to minimize risk and cost to ratepayers, a slower ramp-up seems appropriate.

SDG&E reported damage emissions in 2018 from Distribution Main & Services in 2018 at 9,673 MCF. Applying an estimated 37% reduction based on the SoCalGas pilot results, prorated by the staffing level, SDG&E estimates an annual emission reduction of 1,191 MCF per year with systemwide implementation.

Emission Reductions = 9,673 MCF * 37% * (1/3) = 1,191 MCF

Forecast of Emission Reduction from Baseline (MCF)

2021	2022	2025	2030
1,191	1,191	1,191	1,191

Estimated emission reductions are calculated assuming savings will be the same year over year. However, forecasts are based on the limited data available from the pilot, and there are many variables that could influence overall program effectiveness. As more data becomes available after implementation, SDG&E may be able to refine these forecasts or propose expanding this implementation if reductions achieved support a good cost-effectiveness. If more analysts are proposed in the future, savings will likely increase as the analysts will be able to perform more interventions. Emission savings may vary, as emissions resulting from damages are calculated based on damage severity and the damaged asset dimensions and pressure. A decrease in damages will not necessarily achieve a proportional decrease in emissions due to this variability.

Assumptions

- SDG&E's Annual Emissions Report in 2018 were reported at 9,673 MCF
- 37% * 9,673 MCF* 1/3 analysts = 1,191 MCF
- Damages reduced will be proportional to interventions performed
- Emission reductions achieved will be proportional to damage reductions

Part 4. Cost Estimates

O&M Cost Estimates					
Activity	**2021**		**2022**		**Total Loaded O&M Cost with Contingency**
	Direct	**Loaded**	**Direct**	**Loaded**	
Risk Prevention Software Solution	$85,500	$176,010	$85,500	$176,010	$387,222

Total Revenue Requirement over expected life of investment: $412,292
Average Annual Revenue Requirement: $206,146

Cost Assumptions

- 9% of SoCalGas cost per year for incremental labor to support software enhancements

Cost Benefits

- Repair savings of $163,787
- Repair Cost Per Damage: $1,600 per damage * 51 prevented damages * 2 years

Part 5. Cost Effectiveness/Benefits

Standard Cost Effectiveness Calculation
$102/MCF

Standard Cost Effectiveness Calculation including Cap and Trade Cost Benefits
$101/MCF

Standard Cost Effectiveness Calculation including Social Cost of Methane Benefits
$80/MCF

Part 6. Supplemental Information/Documentation

N/A

2020 Compliance Plan
Chapter 4: Recordkeeping IT Project

Part 1. Evaluate the Current Practice Addressed in this Chapter

This Chapter addresses the following Best Practice:

Best Practice 9: Recordkeeping
Written Company Policy directing the gas business unit to maintain records of all SB 1371 Annual Emissions Inventory Report methane emissions and leaks, including the calculations, data and assumptions used to derive the volume of methane released. Records are to be maintained in accordance with G.O. 112 F and succeeding revisions, and 49 CFR 192. Currently, the record retention time in G.O. 112 F is at least 75 years for the transmission system. 49 CFR 192.1011 requires a record retention time of at least 10 years for the distribution system. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing.

In the past, developing the Annual Emissions Report required by SB 1371 involved querying various records which were stored in varying formats, locations, databases, and with various record owners. Different record keeping practices have evolved over time and as new record-keeping requirements emerge, various new systems have been developed. These different record-keeping systems are not compatible, and data is not easily shared, integrated, or queried. This makes report generation a time-consuming manual process. An additional challenge is that these systems were not designed for generating reports for emissions, but rather for billing or operational record keeping. Because of this, the records may use varying types of nomenclature relevant to specific departments. Querying records from numerous departments in the company and combining them to generate a single report is quite challenging. To generate Annual Emissions Reports, data is pulled from thirty-six separate reports, which are generated from fourteen different systems. Generating an Annual Emissions Report requires four full time employees and engaging various departments to compile and analyze the data and properly format it for consistent report generation.

As proposed in the 2018 Compliance Plan, SDG&E is implementing a central data lake that obtains records from the various systems and stores them centrally, enabling automation of reporting as well as satisfying the retention and audit requirements. SDG&E is also developing an initial phase of the Engineering Data Analytics and Performance Optimization (EDAPO) system, to provide capabilities to support advanced analytics for Gas Operations & System Integrity, Distribution and Transmission.

SDG&E has also started enhancing existing systems to include additional data elements required for the methane emission calculations into all Maintenance and Inspection work management systems. The systems enhancement has been enabling the field personnel to record the required information into systems that previously have not been capable of recording specific information, such as detailed components. Such information enables SDG&E to report its operational activities accurately on required reports.

SDG&E has also conducted a field mobility project assessment. This project studied the status of the mobile capabilities of existing systems, digital forms, and paper forms in order to define the future mobility scope.

Finally, written company policies were developed and edited to maintain records for all SB 1371 relevant measured and estimated emissions, including calculations, data, and assumptions to derive the volume of methane released.

There is insufficient data to calculate emission reductions and cost effectiveness.

Part 2. Proposed New or Continuing Measure

This implementation is divided into 5 measures.

Measure 1: Data Lake

As stated in the 2018Compliance Plan, this project will be phased in over two Compliance periods. Therefore, SDG&E will be completing the initial data lake scope and continue to make enhancements to respond to evolving SB 1371 requirements throughout the 2021-2022 Compliance period.

As new requirements are identified, analysis, design, and development activities will include:

- Complete current data lake project scope
- Analyze and update existing data capture forms
- Design and modify existing enterprise systems to accommodate new data requirements
- Integrate system changes with the data lake
- Expand the scope of the data lake
- Back fill historical data for the entire reporting period to meet the new requirements
- Test the modified systems, integration, and reporting from the data lake
- Training and support
- Project and program manager time

Project Milestone

- Complete initial data lake scope: Estimated by Q2 2021
- Maintain and enhance the existing systems and data lake integration to capture new data for new requirements: Continuous

Measure 2: Engineering Data Analytics and Performance Optimization (EDAPO**)**

EDAPO's advanced analytics will provide actionable insights on gas assets' current and future performance. EDAPO will be used to detect and help prioritize leak repairs and identify areas with high leak indicators. The analytics results will become SB 1371 records and be captured and stored in the data lake. EDAPO advanced analytics will implement the tools, infrastructure and resources to drive the improvement of business operations and enable the proactive management of gas assets. EDAPO will provide capabilities that will include:

Enable cost effective avoidance, reduction, and repair of leaks and leaking components
Evaluate the operations, maintenance, and repair practices to increase the effectiveness of practices to reduce methane leaks
Develop and use metrics to evaluate and track leaks geographically and over time

Project Milestones

- Identify sample data sets to be integrated: Estimated by Q1 2021
- Sample data integration for analytics: Estimated by Q4 2021
- Data Model validation/verification: Estimated by Q2 2022
- Implementation of EDAPO advanced analytics: Estimated by Q4 2022

Measure 3: Asset Field Verification

SDG&E will also continue enhancing existing systems efforts that started in the 2018 Compliance Plan. SDG&E will verify its assets data in the Maintenance and Inspection work management systems of various operational divisions such as Transmission. These verification efforts will enable SDG&E to query accurate methane emissions for its Annual Emissions Report.

The Field Verification Project will include:

- Data Governance – identify appropriate Gas Standards and apply to engineering tags capture, in addition to defining lookups for entry fields where possible.
- Review engineering drawings and identify assets that need to be in verified or added
- Field verification of assets, including photos, and collection of data points needed for maintenance and work management systems
- Organize photos and data

Project Milestones

- Field verification of Transmission assets: Estimated by Q4 2021
- Perform field verification and enhancement of Management systems assets and update engineering/mapping information to support improved data management and reporting accuracy expected to be completed by Q4 2022

Measure 4: Real-time data management for Methane Abatement/Monitoring Support for Other Gas Operational Units

Project will continue to:

- Modernize real-time data management software landscape and infrastructure to improve the existing methane emission systems
- Integrate existing infrastructure with enterprise compliance reporting software to support advanced and predictive analytics
- Integrate existing infrastructure into SB 1371 solutions to enhance company's compliance with methane emission requirements
- Enable additional analytics capabilities and provide ability to integrate with other enterprise initiatives.

Project Milestones:

- Design, develop, and implement real-time data management software: Continuous

Measure 5: Develop Mobile Field Forms

As part of the 2018 Compliance Plan, SDG&E completed an assessment to evaluate the mobile capabilities of the existing system, digital forms, and paper forms. SDG&E proposes to create digitized forms based on the assessment results. This strategy will digitize paper forms, update electronic forms, and establish a governance structure to support mobility. This measure is expected to create a simplified and consistent experience for the field employees, while increasing the accuracy of the captured data and providing near real-time integration with the associated IT systems e.g. data lake. This scope of work is expected to continue into the 2022 Compliance Plan.

Project Milestones:

- Validate scope of digitizing paper forms: Estimated by Q2 2021
- Digitizing paper forms and processes: Estimated by Q4 2024
- Modernizing and enhancing existing mobile solutions: Estimated by Q4 2024

Part 3. Abatement Estimates

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from this activity.

Part 4. Cost Estimates

O&M Cost Estimates					
Activity	**2021**		**2022**		**Total Loaded O&M Cost with Contingency**
	Direct	**Loaded**	**Direct**	**Loaded**	
Measure 1	$93,600	$169,946	93,600	$169,946	$488,373
Measure 2	$0	$0	$0	$0	
Measure 3 (Transmission)	$50,560	$104,083	$0	$0	
Measure 4	$0	$0	$0	$0	
Measure 5	$0	$0	$0	$0	

Capital Cost Estimates					
Activity	**2021**		**2022**		**Total Loaded Capital Cost with Contingency**
	Direct	**Loaded**	**Direct**	**Loaded**	
Measure 1	$133,056	$133,841	$133,056	$133,841	$779,818
Measure 2	$24,000	$25,018	$24,000	$25,018	
Measure 3 (Transmission)	$0	$0	$0	$0	
Measure 4	$58,950	$59,807	$58,950	$59,807	
Measure 5	$135,000	$135,797	$135,000	$135,797	

Total Revenue Requirement over expected life of investment: $1.5 million
Average Annual Revenue Requirement: $447,786

Cost assumptions

- SDG&E will allocate an average of 9% of the following:

Measure 1:

- 2 years annual licensing
- Update IT systems to capture emissions data required by SB 1371
- 9 existing employees and 5 contractors needed to maintain and enhance IT systems

Measure 2:

- Development of advanced analytics
- 3 existing employees 2 contractors

Measure 3:

- Transmission:
- Inventory tasks across 34 gas producer sites
- 1 year of labor using 11 existing employees

Measure 4:

- 1 existing internal employee
- 2 contractors

Measure 5:

- 1 contracted project manager
- Labor for internal subject matter expert

Cost Benefits

There is insufficient data to estimate cost effectiveness for this activity.

Part 5. Cost Effectiveness/Benefits

Cost benefits for this activity include an anticipated reduction in labor needs to generate the Annual Emission Report. There is insufficient data to quantify those benefits at this time.

Part 6. Supplemental Information/Documentation

Attachment C: Historic Project Schedule for Data Lake

2020 Compliance Plan
Chapter 5: Geographic Tracking

Part 1. Evaluate the Current Practices addressed in this Chapter

This Chapter addresses the following Best Practices:

Best Practice 9: Recordkeeping
Written Company Policy directing the gas business unit to maintain records of all SB 1371 Annual Emissions Inventory Report methane emissions and leaks, including the calculations, data and assumptions used to derive the volume of methane released. Records are to be maintained in accordance with G.O. 112 F and succeeding revisions, and 49 CFR 192. Currently, the record retention time in G.O. 112 F is at least 75 years for the transmission system. 49 CFR 192.1011 requires a record retention time of at least 10 years for the distribution system. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing.
Best Practice 20b: Geographic Tracking
Utilities shall develop methodologies for improved geographic tracking and evaluation of leaks from the gas systems. Utilities shall work together, with CPUC and ARB staff, to come to agreement on a similar methodology to improve geographic evaluation and tracking of leaks to assist demonstrations of actual emissions reductions. Leak detection technology should be capable of transferring leak data to a central database in order to provide data for leak maps. Geographic leak maps shall be publicly available with leaks displayed by zip code or census tract.

To improve capabilities of leak surveys performed at storage facilities and compressor stations, SDG&E requested in the 2018 Compliance Plan to back model high pressure facilities in AVEVA and enable scanning technology on storage and compressor components. AVEVA is a system that enables engineering to create data centric 3D models of facilities. Having these 3D models will make it easier to estimate emission volumes, tie leaks with our supply management programs to order replacement parts when needed and identify lead times for replacement and identify if leaks are on critical system which will influence plans for repair.

In the 2018 Compliance period, SDG&E will have completed the digitizing and mechanical walkdown of 1,200 Piping & Instrumentation Diagrams (P&IDs) for SDG&E Storage and Compressor stations. These intelligent P&IDs will allow engineering to locate tags for equipment or instrumentation that is currently found in these facilities. Furthermore, two storage facilities will have 3D models. These are digital twins to the facilities that will allow SDG&E to query data based on a tag, type of equipment, service, location, etc. The tags in the 3D model will link to the P&IDs, enabling proper engineering information to be provided. The 3D model will provide material information to help identify connection points and support queries for potential leak points in the existing facilities.

In the 2018 Compliance period, SDG&E hired and trained ten (10) employees to support this effort.

There is insufficient data to measure emission reductions or evaluate cost effectiveness of these activities.

Part 2. Proposed New or Continuing Measure

SDG&E proposes to continue completing updates of P&IDs and back modeling of complex high-pressure facilities. The goal of this project is to create the digital twin for the existing facilities to enable a quick query of its facilities. The intelligence found in the 3D model and the P&IDs will enable engineering and operations to identify, track and keep proper documentation linked within the two applications in AVEVA 3D Modeling and AVEVA P&ID. It will enable the future reporting from these databases that can include mileage of pipeline/service, the type of equipment and location, and the capability to connect the 3D model database systems to other SDG&E database systems. This will enable increased ability to calculate blowdown and bundle projects for blowdown, repair leaks more quickly, and identify materials with repeated leaks, indicating requirements for replacement.

SDG&E plans to complete approximately 800 P&IDs that were not part of the 2018 Compliance Plan. SDG&E also plans to model three small transmission sites and two compressor stations. In 2021-2022, SDG&E also plans to continue the Instrument & Controls (I&C) as-built for 2 storage facilities.

Part 3. Abatement Estimates

There is insufficient data to quantify emission reductions from these activities.

Part 4. Cost Estimates

O&M Cost Estimates					
Activity	**2021**		**2022**		**Total Loaded O&M Cost with Contingency**
	Direct	**Loaded**	**Direct**	**Loaded**	
Gas Engineering Labor	$546,000	$1,123,996	$156,000	$321,142	$4,042,807
Scanning and 3D Modeling	$1,724,380	$1,734,554	$492,680	$495,587	
Labor for Field Verification	$9,000	$18,527	$9,000	$18,527	

Total Revenue Requirement over expected life of investment: $4.3 million
Average Annual Revenue Requirement: $2.1 million

Part 5. Cost Effectiveness/Benefits

There is insufficient work to evaluate the cost effectiveness of these activities.

Part 6. Supplemental Information/Documentation

N/A

2020 Compliance Plan
Chapter 6: Electronic Leak Survey

Part 1. Evaluate the Current Practices Addressed in this Chapter

This Chapter addresses the following Best Practice:

Best Practice 20B: Geographic Tracking
Utilities shall develop methodologies for improved geographic tracking and evaluation of leaks from the gas systems. Utilities shall work together, with CPUC and ARB staff, to come to agreement on a similar methodology to improve geographic evaluation and tracking of leaks to assist demonstrations of actual emissions reductions. Leak detection technology should be capable of transferring leak data to a central database in order to provide data for leak maps. Geographic leak maps shall be publicly available with leaks displayed by zip code or census tract.

SDG&E is developing a mobile application for the Electronic Leak Survey process. Leak surveyors will carry iPads loaded with a mobile application to use GIS-generated leak survey routes instead of paper maps. Leak survey instrumentation will be used to track leaks, and leak data will be electronically uploaded into GIS. Bread crumb (GIS Location) data will be collected for the survey path walked.

Requirements gathering and vendor selection for mobile application were completed in 2018. System design activities were completed in 2019 and development of mobile application and supporting portal applications are expected to be completed in 2020. Required hardware (iPad mini, accessories, storage) and support software has been acquired. Team conducted system integration testing to validate integration paths and end to end functionality. Field demos of mobile application and portal applications were conducted in 2019 to review ease of use and gather feedback. User acceptance testing will be performed in Q1 2021. Application rollout to initial districts will start in Q2 2021 and deployment activities for all distribution districts will start in Q3 2021.

A change management team has started engaging stakeholders to provide information on the mobile application through Digi Boards, district locations, intranet articles and district visits.

Emission Reductions Achieved and Cost Effectiveness Evaluation

There is insufficient data to calculate emissions reductions and cost effectiveness for these activities.

Part 2. Proposed New or Continuing Measure

SDG&E proposes further developing the Electronic Leak Survey mobile application and implementing new and emerging technology. The scope of the current solution is defined based on requirements that were identified in initial requirement gathering sessions with stakeholders. There is an expectation that new enhancement requests will become apparent as the solution is deployed and employees begin utilizing it in the field. Software packages will go through upgrade cycle and the underlying product will be upgraded by a vendor to provide additional functionality and stability. After the deployment cycle is complete, SDG&E plans to consolidate all outstanding items that include issues that arose during deployment/training, additional requirements and enhancement requests.

SoCalGas requests funding for five contractors to assist with the following areas:

- Assessment
- Development
- Deployment and Support
- Change management
- Training activities

The Gas Standards regarding leak survey procedures will need to be updated to reflect the new processes when they are in place.

Project Milestones

Q1 - Q2 2021 – Assessment: Team will consolidate outstanding defects, issues, requirements and determine scope/technology potential solutions. Estimated 4-5 months
Q2 2021 - Q3 2022 – Design and Development: Estimated 12-14 months
Q3 2022 – Pilot/Test release of application to streamline for deployment: Estimated 2-3 months
Q4 2022 – Training and Deployment in Q4 2022: Estimated 6 months

Part 3. Abatement Estimates

There is insufficient data to calculate emission reductions for this activity.

Part 4. Cost Estimates

O&M Cost Estimates					
Activity	**2021**		**2022**		**Total Loaded O&M Costs with Contingency**
	Direct	**Loaded**	**Direct**	**Loaded**	
Further Develop Electronic Leak Survey Application	$20,700	$20,822	$0	$0	$22,904

Capital Cost Estimates					
Activity	**2021**		**2022**		**Total Loaded Capital Costs with Contingency**
	Direct	**Loaded**	**Direct**	**Loaded**	
Further Develop Electronic Leak Survey Application	$404,334	$406,720	$346,194	$348,237	$830,453

Total Revenue Requirement over expected life of investment: $1.1 million
Average Annual Revenue Requirement: $209,669

Cost Assumptions

- $180K Software purchases – Vendor software license and upgrades
- $108K Hardware upgrades
- $432K Labor (contractors + internal resources)
- $45K Training

Part 5. Cost Effectiveness/Benefits

There is insufficient data to calculate the cost effectiveness for these activities.

Part 6. Supplemental Information/Documentation

N/A

2020 Compliance Plan
Chapter 7: Damage Prevention Public Awareness

Part 1. Evaluate the Current Practices addressed in this Chapter

This Chapter addresses the following Best Practices:

Best Practice 24: Dig-Ins and Public Education Program
Expand existing public education program to alert the public and third-party excavation contractors to the Call Before You Dig – 811program. In addition, utilities must provide procedures for excavation contractors to follow when excavating to prevent damaging or rupturing a gas line.
Best Practice 25: Dig-Ins and Company Standby Monitors
Utilities must provide company monitors to witness all excavations near gas transmission lines to ensure that contractors are following utility procedures to properly excavate and backfill around transmission lines.
Best Practice 26: Dig-Ins and Repeat Offenders
Utilities shall document procedures to address Repeat Offenders such as providing post-damage safe excavation training and on-site spot visits. Utilities shall keep track and report multiple incidents, within a 5-year period, of dig-ins from the same party in their Annual Emissions Inventory Reports. These incidents and leaks shall be recorded as required in the recordkeeping best practice. In addition, the utility should report egregious offenders to appropriate enforcement agencies including the California Contractor's State License Board. The Board has the authority to investigate and punish dishonest or negligent contractors. Punishment can include suspension of their contractor's license.

SDG&E has a federally mandated Public Awareness Program, as prescribed in 49 CFR § 192.616, which contributes to enhanced public safety. In addition, The State of California mandates a preconstruction meeting with excavators requesting Locate and Mark support and requires continuous monitoring of all excavations within ten feet of high-pressure pipelines per Cal. Gov. Code § 4216.2. The Public Awareness Program is driven by (1) the requirements of 49 C.F.R. § 192.616, the technical document, (2) public awareness programs for Pipeline Operators, API RP 1162, and (3) program expansion recommendations by regulators.

2020 Compliance Plan
Chapter 7: Damage Prevention Public Awareness

In the 2018 Compliance Plan, SDG&E requested and was approved to expand the Public Awareness Program. SDG&E implemented the following activities to support these efforts:

- *Homeowner Focus groups* – residential focus groups were conducted to identify and explore current understanding of dig-in protocol, motivations and barriers for following dig-in procedures, and message improvements/opportunities. Two focus groups were completed.
- *Paradigm Excavator Outreach Meetings* – participation at contractor liaison meetings where pipeline operator can exchange pipeline safety information with local emergency/public officials and excavators. Participated at eight (8) liaison meetings.
- *National Excavator Initiative* - initiative support of a broad-based damage prevention effort that raises the awareness of underground infrastructure; increase the 811 system; and encourages stakeholders to take additional safety steps after the 811 call is made in order to protect themselves and the infrastructure.
- *Damage Prevention at K-5 Schools* – pilot program of natural gas pipeline public safety awareness outreach program targeting K-6 educators, students, and families in 25 high dig-in zip codes.
- *Next Door App* - 2-month campaign ran in top 60 dig-in zip codes with an estimated impression of 900,079.
- *National Safe Digging Month* - Los Angeles Angels partnership to get pipeline safety messages to the public during the month of April, National Safe Digging Month, which included radio spots on Angels Radio, in-stadium SDG&E dig-safe commercials and booth space.
- *Long Beach Grand Prix* - partnership to get pipeline safety messages to the general public during the Grand Prix, which is in April, National Safe Digging Month.
- *811 Day Campaign* – campaign consisted of bus ads (estimated impressions 107,819,504), digital freeway ads and in-cinema safety video run (estimated impressions of 1,393,119) for 2-4 weeks around the time of 811 Day.
- *Ventura County Fair* – booth space to get pipeline safety messages to the general public.
- *Pipeline Association for Public Awareness (PAPA) supplemental mailers* – provided additional pipeline safety mailers from PAPA's program to excavators, public officials, emergency responders in service territory.
- *Home Depot/Lowes Initiative* – pilot program to get safe digging messaging on tear-off sheets in the gardening, shovel, piping sections of Home Depot, Lowes and at plumbing/contractor supply stores. Approximately 175 stores are participating and there is a potential of adding 150.
- *Continuous analysis of near-miss data, dig-ins, claims repeat offenders* – monitoring of data to track and trend in order to determine changes needed to improve and increase public awareness communications and outreach tactics.

Emission Reduction and Cost Effectiveness Evaluation on Historic Work

There is insufficient data to evaluate emission reductions or cost effectiveness for work funded through this program. However, SDG&E can demonstrate that its Public Awareness Program has increased the frequency of 811 calls and reduced the count of damages resulting in emissions.

SDG&E Damage Prevention Effectiveness					
Metric	**2015**	**2016**	**2017**	**2018**	**2019**
Number of Distribution 811 Tickets	115,491	123,709	135,460	133,304	148,350
Damages Resulting in Emissions	438	450	431	415	398
Damages per 1000 tickets	3.79	3.64	3.18	3.11	2.68

Part 2. Proposed New or Continuing Measure

SDG&E proposes to continue conducting incremental outreach and education to the general public, contractors, and excavators, mailing safe digging procedures to contractors, and maintaining the incremental FTE hired to support the Public Awareness Program. Continued activities to support this measure include but are not limited to:

- Analyze excavation damage data and cause of incidents, utilize this information to develop and implement a target communication plan that will effectively address the damaging parties and reduce incidents.
- Analyze the effectiveness of pipeline safety communications and engagement strategies; use data and analysis to develop strategies to increase effectiveness for continuous improvement plans.
- Conduct focus groups and refine messaging and strategies based on findings
- Work with other departments to analyze repeat offender data and develop strategies to reduce damages.
- Be a point of contact for assisting with education services for pipeline and public awareness programs or concerns.

The relationship between investment in the Public Awareness Program and third-party damages shows that investment in public awareness is negatively correlated with the number of third-party damages to company property, as shown below. Thus, an increase in public awareness campaigns should result in decreased damages and, therefore, lower emissions.



SDG&E proposes to increase funding in these areas to further contribute to lowering the numbers of third-party damages. To continue to maintain the expanded Public Awareness Program, SDG&E will focus on outreach and education to the general public, outreach to contractors and excavators, and mailing safe digging procedures to contractors. The expanded Public Awareness Program allows SDG&E to increase focus on minimizing emissions.

This measure will require partial time of two (2) existing employees. An Advisor will continue to analyze damage data and use the data to assist in the strategizing of effective communications. The Project Manager will continue to manage incremental projects and programs implemented for the measure.

Part 3. Abatement Estimates

Emission reductions cannot be calculated for this measure, as the efforts overlap with Chapter 3. Refer to Chapter 3 for the emission reduction estimates forecasted for damage prevention activities.

Part 4. Cost Estimates

O&M Cost Estimates					
Activity	**2021**		**2022**		**Total Loaded O&M Cost with Contingency**
	Direct	**Loaded**	**Direct**	**Loaded**	
Labor	$50,000	$102,930	$50,000	$102,930	$1,332,936
Public Awareness Marketing Materials	$500,000	$502,950	$500,000	$502,950	

Total Revenue Requirement over expected life of investment: $1.4 million
Average Annual Revenue Requirement: $710,110

Cost Assumptions

- Marketing material includes production and distribution of mailers, pamphlets, brochures, key chains and additional materials for customers to bring awareness. Cost estimates based on historical implementations.

Part 5. Cost Effectiveness/Benefits

There is insufficient data to evaluate the cost effectiveness of these activities.

Part 6. Supplemental Information/Documentation

Attachment D: Historic Project Schedule for Damage Prevention Public Awareness

2020 Compliance Plan

Chapter 8: Pipe Fitting Specifications

Part 1. Evaluate the Current Practices Addressed in this Chapter

This Chapter addresses the following Best Practice:

Best Practice 22: Pipe Fitting Specifications
Companies shall review and revise pipe fitting specifications, as necessary, to ensure tighter tolerance/better quality pipe threads. Utilities are required to review any available data on its threaded fittings, and if necessary, propose a fitting replacement program for threaded connections with significant leaks or comprehensive procedures for leak repairs and meter set assembly installations and repairs as part of their Compliance Plans. A fitting replacement program should consider components such as pressure control fittings, service tees, and valves metrics, among other things

SDG&E has a supply management department that works with vendors to ensure purchased materials meet SDG&E material specifications (MSP) requirements for all components. When materials are received, samples are inspected at a warehouse facility to verify requirements are met. If there are any concerns regarding the quality of materials, including the threaded components and fittings, the Supply Management department is engaged to correct the issue and either engage the current vendor to increase quality assurance standards or to begin contract negotiations with alternative vendors to confirm all concerns are addressed.

In 2019, SDG&E hired a third-party consultant to review company MSPs and to identify consistent requirements across component categories. Results from the investigation will guide future improvement efforts.

Emission Reductions Achieved

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions for these activities.

Part 2. Proposed New or Continuing Measure

SDG&E will continue to improve MSP compliance of threaded fittings. SDG&E will continue to work with component manufacturers to align gauging practices and developing process controls to maintain high material thread quality standards. Upon conclusion of the third-party review of the company MSP and QC process, SDG&E will revise the MSPs, if necessary, to create consistent requirements across component categories. SDG&E will continue to evaluate additional feasible solutions based on results of material QC analysis.

Project Milestones

- Implement Quality Control inspection process: Estimate of 9 months.
- Update material specs, if necessary: Estimate of 18 months.

Part 3. Abatement Estimates

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities.

Part 4. Cost Estimates

O&M Costs Estimates					
Activity	**2021**		**2022**		**Total Loaded O&M Costs with Contingency**
	Direct	**Loaded**	**Direct**	**Loaded**	
Implementation of Recommendations	$200,000	$208,480	$200,000	$208,480	$458,656

Total Revenue Requirement over expected life of investment: $488,351
Average Annual Revenue Requirement: $244,176

Cost Assumptions

- Implement QC Process improvements at $200,000/year

Part 5. Cost Effectiveness/Benefits

There is insufficient data to calculate cost effectiveness from the activities.

Part 6. Supplemental Information/Documentation

N/A

2020 Compliance Plan
Chapter 9: Repeat Offenders IT Systems

Part 1. Evaluate the Current Practice Addressed in this Chapter

This Chapter addresses the following Best Practice:

Best Practice 26: Dig-Ins and Repeat Offenders
Utilities shall document procedures to address Repeat Offenders such as providing post-damage safe excavation training and on-site spot visits. Utilities shall keep track and report multiple incidents, within a 5-year period, of dig-ins from the same party in their Annual Emissions Inventory Reports. These incidents and leaks shall be recorded as required in the recordkeeping best practice. In addition, the utility should report egregious offenders to appropriate enforcement agencies including the California Contractor's State License Board. The Board has the authority to investigate and punish dishonest or negligent contractors. Punishment can include suspension of their contractor's license.

SDG&E has a federally-mandated Public Awareness program, as prescribed in 49 CFR 192.616, and Damage Prevention Program 49 CFR192.614 which contribute to enhanced public safety by providing risk mitigation measures. When excavators generate a ticket through Underground Service Alert, locate and mark employees identify lines in the area and if a high-pressure line within ten feet is identified, an observer is assigned to monitor the excavation. Data shows that the more Underground Service Alert is used, the less damages occur.

Damage information is entered by hand into a form by the employee(s) dispatched to repair the damaged property. The information from this form is then manually transferred into the Company Property Damage Report System and that information is used by Claims to generate a bill for cost recovery if applicable. SDG&E operates three separate data systems that store line damage information. One system is the Incident Management System operated by the Dispatch department, one system is SAP which is for labor and asset management, and the other is the Company Property Damage Report System, which is operated by the Claims department. These systems currently do not have any synergy, which can generate challenges when reporting and requires employees to enter the same information three different times and three different ways.

In the past, SDG&E used a paper form of the Company Property Damage Report System to track repeat offenders, and any offender with more than two damages in the previous quarter will be added to a list that is provided on a quarterly basis to the CPUC. However, this process does not account for the fact that repeat offenders may have a multi-year history of damaging facilities, not only on SDG&E lines but on other utilities' lines.
As a result, SDG&E plans to complete the process of digitizing the Company Property Damage Report towards the end of 2020. Thereafter, transition to mobile platforms to capture damages to better perform analytics, to put in place preventative measures to mitigate damages. SDG&E plans to develop integration between enterprise systems to transmit and store new data to be captured via new mobile forms. This system will enable analyzing damage history holistically and identifying repeat offenders more readily and accurately to enhance reporting capabilities.

There is insufficient data to calculate emission reductions or cost effectiveness.

Part 2. Proposed New or Continuing Measure

SDG&E is proposing to complete, maintain, and enhance the digitized form and mobile platforms. SDG&E will also continue reviewing the business structure to facilitate the proper flow and functionality of the relevant digital forms.

Project Milestones

- Complete implementation of initial project scope: Estimated by Q1 2021
- Maintaining and enhancing the digitized form and mobile platforms: Continuous

Part 3. Abatement Estimates

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from these activities.

Part 4. Cost Estimates

O&M Cost Estimates					
Activity	**2021**		**2022**		**Total Loaded O&M Cost with Contingency**
	Direct	**Loaded**	**Direct**	**Loaded**	
Complete, Maintain, and Enhance IT System	$33,393	$68,743	$33,393	$68,743	$151,235

Total Revenue Requirement over expected life of investment: $161,026
Average Annual Revenue Requirement: $80,513

Cost Assumptions

- SDG&E will allocate an average of 9% of the following:
- 2 Incremental FTEs for operations & maintenance
- 1.6 existing FTEs for operations & maintenance

Part 5. Cost Effectiveness/Benefits

There is insufficient data to calculate emission reductions.

Part 6. Supplemental Information/Documentation

Attachment E: Historic Project Schedule for Repeat Offender System

2020 Compliance Plan
Chapter 10: Enhanced Methane Detection

Part 1. Evaluate the Current Practices addressed in this Chapter

This Chapter addresses the following Best Practice:

Best Practice 17: Enhanced Methane Detection
Utilities shall utilize enhanced methane detection practices (e.g. mobile methane detection and/or aerial leak detection) including gas speciation technologies.

SDG&E currently has a robust laboratory known as the Engineering Analysis Center (EAC). When a methane source is in question, the EAC will dispatch a mobile gas speciation van to identify the chemical content of the gas and identify its source.

SDG&E worked in 2019 to expand the capacity of the EAC to respond to requests from Operations for leak speciation where methane source is in question. The lower detection limits of new advanced leak detection instrumentation, in addition to the increased level of leak survey activities being driven by SB1371, require an expansion of these resources. SDG&E hired an additional employee and purchased additional gas speciation tools in 2019 to support the increase of gas speciation work.

Since the 2018 Compliance Plan was approved in October 2018, all milestones have been met. The van, tools, and equipment were purchased and will be delivered and installed in 2020. The van is expected to be operational in Q3 2020.

Emissions Reduction and Cost Effectiveness Evaluation

There is insufficient data to calculate emissions reductions and cost effectiveness for this activity.

Part 2. Proposed New or Continuing Measure

SDG&E proposes continuing to fund the incremental lab technician, hired as part of the 2018 Compliance Plan, to continue to maintain the expanded capacity of the EAC to respond to requests from Operations for leak speciation where methane source is in question. The lower detection limits of new advanced leak detection instrumentation plus increased level of leak survey activities being driven by SB1371 requires SDG&E to maintain the expansion of these resources.

No new milestones are proposed. This is an ongoing effort.

Part 3. Abatement Estimates

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions for this activity.

Part 4. Cost Estimates

O&M Cost Estimates					
Activity	**2021**		**2022**		**Total Loaded O&M Cost with Contingency**
	Direct	**Loaded**	**Direct**	**Loaded**	
Technician	$100,000	$205,860	$100,000	$205,860	$452,892

Total Revenue Requirement over expected life of investment: $482,214
Average Annual Revenue Requirement: $241,107

Cost Assumptions

- 1 employee at $100,000 a year

Part 5. Cost Effectiveness/Benefits

There is insufficient data to determine cost-effectiveness for this measure.

Part 6. Supplemental Information/Documentation

Attachment F: Gas Speciation Historic Work

2020 Compliance Plan
Chapter 11: Public Leak Maps

Part 1. Evaluate the Current Practices Addressed in this Chapter

Best Practice 20b: Geographic Tracking
Utilities shall develop methodologies for improved geographic tracking and evaluation of leaks from the gas systems. Utilities shall work together, with CPUC and ARB staff, to come to agreement on a similar methodology to improve geographic evaluation and tracking of leaks to assist demonstrations of actual emissions reductions. Leak detection technology should be capable of transferring leak data to a central database in order to provide data for leak maps. Geographic leak maps shall be publicly available with leaks displayed by zip code or census tract.

In 2015, SDG&E developed and published publicly available geographic maps of nonhazardous leaks. SoCalGas updates these maps monthly with the locations where methane has been detected. The maps also provide details regarding repair scheduling and leak status. The website address for the maps is:

https://www.sdge.com/methane-gas/methane-emission-map

SDG&E did not propose any new activities related to leak mapping in the 2018 Compliance Plan.

Part 2. Proposed New or Continuing Measure

Per SED's request at the workshop that was held on October 21, 2019 in San Francisco, SDG&E will create emission maps that will be publicly available and will provide leak summaries by zip code, as required by Best Practice 20b.

Project Milestones

- Leak map creation: Expected to be completed Q2 2021
- Updating and maintaining the customer facing website and leak maps: Continuous

Part 3. Abatement Estimates

There is insufficient data to quantify emissions reductions from this activity.

Part 4. Cost Estimates

O&M Cost Estimates					
Activity	**2021**		**2022**		**Total Loaded O&M Cost with Contingency**
	Direct	**Loaded**	**Direct**	**Loaded**	
Update & Maintain Website & Leak Maps	$2,250	$4,632	$2,250	$4,632	$10,190

Total Revenue Requirement over expected life of investment: $10,850
Average Annual Revenue Requirement: $5,425

Cost Assumptions

- SDG&E will allocate an average of 9% of the following: 1 existing FTE for Operations & Maintenance

Part 5. Cost Effectiveness/Benefits

There is insufficient data to quantify emissions reductions from this activity. Therefore, cost effectiveness cannot be generated.

Part 6. Supplemental Information/Documentation

Not applicable.

2020 Compliance Plan
Chapter 12: Greenhouse Gas Policy Update

Part 1. Evaluate the Current Practices addressed in this Chapter

This Chapter addresses the following Best Practice:

Best Practice 2: Methane GHG Policy
Written company policy stating that methane is a potent Green House Gas (GHG) that must be prevented from escaping to the atmosphere. Include reference to SB 1371 and SB 1383.

SDG&E updated their Environmental Excellence on January 16, 2019, in accordance with the requirements of Best Practice 2. The updated Environmental Excellent Policy is provided as Attachment G.

There were no costs associated with this measure.

Part 2. Proposed New or Continuing Measure

No further work is proposed.

Part 3. Abatement Estimates

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions for this activity.

Part 4. Cost Estimates

SDG&E is not proposing additional activities for this measure.

Part 5: Cost Effectiveness/Benefits

There is insufficient data to estimate cost effectiveness for this activity.

Part 6: Supplement Information/Documentation

Attachment G: Updated SDG&E Environmental Excellence Policy

2020 Compliance Plan
Chapter 13: Distribution Above Ground Leak Surveys

Part 1. Evaluate the Current Practices Addressed in this Chapter

This Chapter addresses the following Best Practice:

Best Practice 19: Aboveground Leak Surveys
Utilities shall conduct frequent leak surveys and data collection at above ground transmission and high-pressure distribution (above 60 psig) facilities including Compressor Stations, Gas Storage Facilities, City Gates, and Metering & Regulating (M&R) Stations (M&R above ground and pressures above 300 psig only). At a minimum, above ground leak surveys and data collection must be conducted on an annual basis for compressor stations and gas storage facilities.

Above ground leak surveys have historically been completed to meet the requirements of 49 CFR 192 and GO 112F, which also satisfies the requirements defined in Best Practice 19. Historically, not all leakage survey inspections performed on Measurement and Regulation (M&R) stations have been performed using instrumentation, resulting in leak indications not being captured. Currently, many of the M&R Station leak inspections are performed using soap tests and by monitoring for indications using sight, sound, and smell.

In the 2018 Compliance Plan, SDG&E requested and was approved for funding to provide (M&R) Technicians with instrumentation to begin performing and recording instrumented leak surveys. SDG&E has purchased the required instruments to perform instrumented survey. SDG&E has also updated Gas Standard T8172, *Inspection Schedule – Regulator Station, Power Generating Plant Regulation Equipment Requirements*, to require M&R Technicians to soap test all connections during inspections and leave facilities free of leaks.

No incremental staffing was required to implement this measure. Training of existing M&R Technicians on the new instruments is planned to be conducted in 2020.

Emissions Reduction and Cost Effectiveness Evaluation

There is insufficient data to determine the emissions reductions and cost effectiveness achieved by this measure at this time.

Part 2. Proposed New or Continuing Measure

SDG&E will continue performing instrumented above ground leak surveys. The required instruments to perform above ground leak surveys have been purchased. SDG&E is not requesting additional funding in this Compliance period.

Part 3. Abatement Estimates

SDG&E cannot calculate or document emissions because the emissions related to this measure are based on a population-based emission factor.

Part 4. Cost Estimates

SDG&E is not requesting funding for this measure during this Compliance period.

Part 5. Cost Effectiveness/Benefits

Not applicable.

Part 6. Supplemental Information/Documentation

Attachment H: Gas Standard T8172 Inspection Schedule – Regulator Station, Power Generating Plant Regulation Equipment Requirements

Attachment H1: RMLD Technical Specifications

2020 Compliance Plan
Chapter 14: Methane Emissions Training

Part 1. Evaluate the Current Practices Addressed in this Chapter

This Chapter addresses the following Best Practice:

Best Practice 11: Methane Emissions Minimization Policies Training
A training program to educate workers as to why it is necessary to minimize methane emissions and abate natural gas leaks. Training programs to be designed by the Company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing. If integration of training and program development is required with the company's GRC and/or CBC processes, then the company shall file a draft training program and plan with a process to update the program once finalized into its Compliance Plan.
Best Practice 12: Knowledge Continuity Training Programs
Knowledge Continuity (transfer) Training Programs provide knowledge continuity for new methane emissions reductions best practices as workers, including contractors, leave and new workers are hired. Knowledge continuity training programs to be designed by the Company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing. If integration of training and program development is required with the company's GRC and/or CBC processes, then the company shall file a draft training program and plan with a process to update the program once finalized into its Compliance Plan.

In 2018–2019, SDG&E worked with an instructional designer to develop a training module to educate company employees as to why it is necessary to minimize methane emissions and abate natural gas leaks. The training script received approval by SED, in consultation with CARB, in 2019. SDG&E will require training completion by all employees in 2020.

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions and cost effectiveness for these activities.

Part 2. Proposed New or Continuing Measure

SDG&E proposes to provide ongoing training to maintain knowledge continuity. Future training will be for all new company employees integrated in continuity modules.

Part 3. Abatement Estimates

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from these activities.

Part 4. Cost Estimates

Costs will be incorporated into base business as they are expected to be minimal. Anticipated incremental time will be needed from employees for the following activities:

- 1 hour of training for an average of 300 new employees per year
- Administration of training completion tracking

Part 5. Cost Effectiveness/Benefits

There is insufficient data to calculate cost effectiveness from the activities.

Part 6. Supplemental Information/Documentation

Attachment I: Methane Emissions Training Historic Work

ATTACHMENTS

Company Operations Standard
Gas Standard
Gas System Integrity Staff & Programs

Leakage Surveys	SDG&E:	G8145

PURPOSE **To describe the methods, required intervals, and record keeping requirements for leakage survey on Company facilities. The objective of a leakage survey is to conduct a thorough search for gas indications in an assigned area and report all detectable indications using an approved survey method.**

1. POLICY AND SCOPE

1.1. Leakage surveys are performed on Transmission and Distribution gas facilities at specified intervals by using approved methods specified in this Gas Standard. This document establishes the frequency of leakage surveys and specifies record keeping procedures to comply with Company and regulatory requirements.

2. RESPONSIBILITIES & QUALIFICATIONS

2.1. **Field Organizations** (**Gas Transmission and Leakage Mitigation)** are responsible for conducting leak surveys per this procedure at the minimum intervals identified in **Section 4**. Surveys may be performed at more frequent intervals.

2.2. **Gas Operations Training** -Skills is responsible for ensuring the equipment and facilities used by an Operator for training and qualification of employees must be identical, or very similar in operation to the equipment and facilities which the employee will use, or on which the employee will perform the covered task per GO112-F 143.4.

2.3. **Field Organizations (Gas Transmission and Leakage Mitigation)** are responsible for selecting the appropriate leak survey method for each portion of their facilities per **Table 3** of this procedure.

2.4. **Leakage Mitigation, Distribution, and Transmission** qualified field employees are required to notify Supervision of all leak indications on a buried pipeline with an MAOP of 20% SMYS or more, (excluding leak indications on buried valves/fittings identified by indications at the casing). See **GS G8137,** *Leak Investigation - Distribution*.

2.5. **Leakage Mitigation, Distribution, and Transmission Supervisors** are required to notify the appropriate Gas Operations Area Manager and Transmission District Operations Manager of all leak indications on a buried pipeline with an MAOP of 20% SMYS or more, (excluding leak indications on buried valves/fittings identified by indications at the casing). See Section **GS G8137,** *Leak Investigation - Distribution*.

2.6. **Field Organizations (Gas Transmission and Leakage Mitigation)** are responsible for notifying the appropriate scheduler of maintenance inspections of any field conditions which may warrant a change in the leak survey schedule.

2.7. The employee conducting the leakage survey must be qualified per **GS G8113,** "*Operator Qualification Program.*"

2.8. If a watercraft is required for conducting a leakage survey, the watercraft used must comply with the governmental regulations and licensing requirements for its type.

2.8.1. The operator of any rented or owned Company watercraft must first complete and successfully pass a Boating Safety Course approved by the California Department of Boating and Waterways (CDBW).

2.8.2. The CDBW offers a boating course at no charge. See the website at http://www.dbw.ca.gov/BoaterInfo/BoatSafeCourse.aspx.

2.8.2.1. Personnel working in watercraft MUST wear a Coast Guard-approved life vest as a personal protective equipment (PPE)
Other recommended PPE:
- Mosquito repellant.
- Sunscreen.

3. DEFINITIONS

3.1. **HCA** – High Consequence Area. Refer to **GS G8170,** *Operations Technology for HCA Segment Identification*.

3.2. **Location Class** – See **GS G8121,** *Location Class – Determination and Changes*

3.3. **Department of Transportation Defined Transmission Line (DOT-T)** – Any pipeline operating over 20% SMYS. See **GAS GS G8116,** *Pipeline and Related Definitions*.

3.4. **Business District –** is an area identified on a leak survey map that depicts where distribution facilities are located within 100 feet of the property line of a land parcel that has been identified as being a potential commercial gathering place, a church, a school, a hospital or is location where people have limited mobility. The extent of the business district boundaries have been determined per the procedure outlined in **GS G8136,** *Maintenance of Leak Survey Maps*.

3.5. **Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure** (MAOP) – **See GS G8116,** *Pipeline and Related Definitions*.

3.6. **Barhole** – Probing or drilling holes in the surface to identify leakage using an approved leak detection instrument.

3.7. **Detecto Pak-Infrared® (DP-IR)** – is a portable optical-based methane gas detector to sample the atmosphere for gas near the ground surface using Infrared Controlled Interference Polarization Spectrometry. For additional instrumentation specifications, see **GS G8182,** *DP-IR Heath Detecto Pak-Infrared*.

3.8. **Remote Methane Leak Detector (RMLD)** – used as a portable "line of sight" laser-based methane gas detector to detect gas leaks from a remote distance (up to 100') by passing a laser through a gas plume. See GS **G8192**, *RMLD-Remote Methane Leak Detector*.

3.9. **Optical Methane Detector (OMD)** – method uses an optical-based methane detector mounted to the front of a vehicle to detect gas that passes between the light transmitter and receiver. The presence of methane is displayed in analog and digital form inside the vehicle. **GS G8138**, *Optical Methane Detector Operation and Maintenance*.

3.10. **GMI Gasurveyor SCG PPM Combustible Gas Indicator–** is a portable combustible gas indicator used to detect natural gas indications. See ***GS* G8220**, *GMI Gasurveyor SCG PPM Combustible Gas Indicator*

3.11. **Non-State-of-the-Art Pipe (NSOTA)** – Steel pipe, bare or coated, without cathodic protection (CP), and all DuPont Aldyl-A (PE) pipe installed before 1986. See **GS D8146**, *Replacement Criteria for Distribution Mains and Services.*

3.12. **State-of-the-Art Plastic Pipe (SOTA**) – Yellow or Orange TR418 resin, and 1986 and later Aldyl-A pipe. See GS D8146, *Replacement Criteria for Distribution Mains and Service.*

4. PROCEDURE

4.1. Table 1 is a summary of the minimum leak survey frequencies for pipe based upon location and operating status. See the referenced section of this procedure listed in Table 1 under 'Additional Requirements' for detailed requirements.

Table 1: Leak Survey Frequencies

Pressure	Operating Location or Operating Status	Frequency	Additional Requirements
Medium Pressure	Located Within a Business District	At least once each calendar year	**see Sect. 4.2.1**
	All Non-State-of-the-Art PE main located outside a Business District and associated services		**See Sect 4.2.2**
	Located Outside of a Business Districts and Cathodically unprotected	At least once each calendar year	**See Sect. 4.2.3**
	All other medium pressure pipe located outside a Business District	At least once every 3 calendar years	**see Sect. 4.2.4**
High Pressure (over 60 psig)	All high pressure **not** including DOT-Pipe	At least once each calendar year	**see Sect. 4.3**
DOT Defined Transmission Pipe (DOT-T)	Located in Non-HCA, Class 3	At least twice each calendar year	**see Sect. 4.4.1**
	Located in Non-HCA, Class 4	At least 4 times each calendar year	**see Sect. 4.4.2.1**
	Cathodically Unprotected Pipe, located in All Classes	At least 4 times each calendar year	**see Sect. 4.4.3**
	All other DOT-T Pipe	At least twice each calendar year	**see Sect. 4.4.1**

4.2. Medium Pressure Pipelines (Operating at 60 psig or Less)

4.2.1. Survey all pipe (including services) in business districts and adjacent schools, hospitals, and churches at intervals not exceeding 15 months, but at least once each calendar year.

4.2.2. Survey Non-State-of-the-Art PE main where the main is not located in a business district once every calendar year, at intervals not exceeding 15 months.

4.2.3. Survey all Cathodically unprotected pipe (including services), where electrical surveys for corrosion are impractical, at least once every calendar year at intervals not exceeding 15 months.

4.2.4. Survey all State-of-the-Art PE and pipe and Cathodically protected main, where the main is not located in a business district (including services) at least once every 3 calendar years at intervals not exceeding 39 months.

4.3. High Pressure Pipelines (Operating over 60 PSIG) not including DOT-Transmission Pipelines

4.3.1. Survey all pipelines and associated services every 15 months; but at least once every calendar year annually for all location classes.

4.4. DOT-T Transmission Pipelines

4.4.1. Non-HCA Transmission Pipeline Segments in Location Class 3* and all DOT-T pipe not covered in **Section 4.4.2.1 and 4.4.3.**

4.4.1.1. Survey every 7½ months; but at least twice each calendar year

4.4.2. Non-HCA Transmission Pipeline Segments in Location Class 4* and Transmission Pipelines in all Location Class without CP.

4.4.2.1. Survey Non-HCA Transmission Pipeline in Location Class 4 every 4½ months; but at least 4 times each calendar year.

4.4.3. If no CP is on a transmission pipeline (in any Location Class) or if electrical surveys are impractical, then survey every 4½ months; but at least 4 times each calendar year.

*__Note:__ The implementation deadline to schedule future surveys for all non-HCA transmission pipelines according to the requirements in 49 CFR 192.935 is December 17, 2007. From this date forward surveys shall be performed in accordance with this survey-interval requirement.

4.5. Special Survey

4.5.1. Perform leak survey when:

4.5.1.1. Upon discovery that the MAOP of a pipeline is exceeded by 10% or more at any time during the life of the pipeline.

Note: When the MAOP of a pipeline is exceeded by 10% or more, contact Engineering for guidance concerning any additional actions to be taken that could facilitate further analysis of the longer-term impact on the integrity of the pipe.

4.5.1.2. After the occurrence of any significant incident (e.g., train derailment, explosion, earthquake, flooding, landslides, etc.) over or adjacent to high pressure pipelines or related facilities. See **GS G8202.** *Field Guidelines – Emergency Incident Distribution/Customer Service* or **GS G8205.** *Emergency Response Procedures for Gas Incidents- Transmission.*

4.5.1.2.1. For Earthquakes, see Operations Emergency Manuel (OEM) 01.040- SD Earthquake –Special Procedures.

4.5.1.3. There is the danger of public exposure to leaking gas; the special survey is conducted using the appropriate leak detection method shown in Table 3. Document the reason, location, limits, and results of all special leak surveys on the appropriate Company inspection record.

4.5.1.4. In the case of blasting, an inspection, including leakage survey, may be required based upon recommendation from the Region Engineer.

4.5.1.5. When increasing the MAOP of a pipeline, per **GS G8115.** *Changing Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure and Maximum Operating Pressure*.

4.5.1.6. When minimum survey requirements are not considered adequate because of pipe condition, limited opportunity for gas to vent safely, or other reasons.

4.5.1.7. There is a need to monitor pipe condition for special situations, such as:

4.5.1.7.1. Material evaluations.

4.5.1.7.2. Proposed street improvement projects.

4.5.1.7.3. As a mitigative measure for the Integrity Management Program.

4.5.1.8. Survey at the frequency listed in Table 2 based upon the location of the known shorted casing, confirmed to be shorted through inspection and testing and have not been repaired/cleared according to **GS G8027.** *Cathodic Protection – Electrical Isolation.*

Table 2: Known Shorted Crossing Survey Frequency

Location Class	Frequency
Highway and Railroad Crossings	7½ months; but at least twice each calendar year
All Other Locations	15 months; but at least once every calendar year

4.5.2. A *special leak survey* may require special accounting; contact Field Operations Supervisor for proper account numbers.

4.5.3. Survey may also be considered in conjunction with major underground construction projects, see **GS G8122**, *Prevention of Damage to Company Facilities.*

4.5.4. After the occurrence of lightning strikes, transformer arcs, stray current or other electrical discharge events involving company facilities.

4.5.4.1. Electrical current induced onto facilities will take all paths to ground.

4.5.4.1.1. Lightning strikes and high voltage electrical discharge events can result in multiple damages and leaks.

4.5.4.1.2. Induced voltage on foreign facilities or substructures due to lightning strikes or electrical discharge events can also arc onto company facilities.

4.5.4.1.3. Locating wire used for identifying PE pipe installations is electrically conductive and can damage pipe if induced.

4.5.4.2. Survey all company facilities in the immediate vicinity of the area where the lightning strike or electrical discharge event occurred.

4.5.4.3. Contact System Protection/Region Engineering to identify the segment of pipe and determine the area to be surveyed.

4.6. Application of Leak Survey Methods

4.6.1. Field Organizations must follow Table 3 when selecting an approved method for conducting leakage surveys of Transmission and Distribution Facilities.

Table 3: Approved Leak Survey Method by Facility

Facility	*DP-IR*	*OMD*	*RMLD*	*Barhole* *GMI Gasurveyor*
Med Press. Pipe (Annual, 3yr ,5yr)	X	*X	*X	X
High Press. Pipe Over 60 psig (Annual)	X	*X	*X	X
DOT-T Transmission (Class 1,2)	X	X	X	X
DOT-T Transmission (Class 3, 4)	X	X	X	X
Shorted Casing	X		X	X
Pipe over Waterways	X		X	

*see sub-section for limitations

4.7. Instrumented Survey Routine Survey Method

4.7.1. The method consists of using an approved leak survey instrument listed in Table 3 to sample the atmosphere near the surface of the ground in the vicinity of buried company facilities, and in street openings and other accessible crevices and locations where gas is likely to vent.

4.7.2. Survey shall include visual examinations of all above ground Company facilities. Search along the route of the pipe at all locations where gas is most likely to vent. Determine pipe location as accurately as possible using map, existing paint marks, old patches, etc.

4.7.3. Choose locations such as loose earth, paving cracks, old bar holes, repair patches and around the base of poles, trees, fence posts, etc., if they are near the pipe.

4.7.4. Watch for, and check areas where vegetation appears to be affected by gas leakage.

Note:	Grass and vegetation areas can be affected in several ways: There may be patches of brown, dry, even dead grass. In some instances, affected vegetation and grass may appear very green compared to surrounding areas.

4.7.5. Search along the route of all services at locations where gas is most likely to vent.

4.7.5.1. Determine the service location as accurately as possible using the map, curb markings, meter location, etc. If any doubt exists as to route of the service such as at corner lots, check both possible routes.

4.7.5.2. Search as close to the service location as practical, over earth, at building foundations or at cracks and/or paving edge if service is under paving.

4.7.5.3. Search along all services from the curb or pavement edge to the riser. Check at service-to-main connections if traffic permits.

4.7.5.4. Check all manholes and other street openings such as valve casings, curb meter vaults, drains, water valves, meter boxes, street lighting, power, telephone, etc.

4.7.5.5. For long-side services it is necessary to visibly look for indications of possible leakage under the street such as: evidence of recent construction, foreign trench marks, pavement cuts, bar holes, etc. along the service route. Where visible indications are present, use approved ground leak detection equipment such as DP-IR or RMLD.

Note:	When casing vents are presents they must be inspected to ensure they are in satisfactory condition and designed to prevent entry of water, insects, and other foreign matter. Vents should extend at least four feet above finished grade and at least four feet below overhead electric wires. Vents shall be located in an area away from traffic and other hazardous locations.

4.7.5.6. Survey all risers and other above ground Company Infrastructure including meters set assemblies. If a riser and connected facility is not readily accessible by customer contact or other means during the regular survey, and the survey cannot be completed using the RMLD (**see 5.2.5.7** below), the "cannot get in" (CGI) must be documented for a follow-up to complete the survey. Check the riser and any portion of the service that was not surveyed. The follow-up shall be completed within the established compliance window for the inspection.

4.7.5.7. Districts have the option of utilizing a Remote Methane Leak Detector (RMLD) to check services up to the riser when access is restricted. See **GS G8192.** *RMLD-Remote Methane Leak Detector*.

Note:	Districts are responsible for tracking and completing services that are not accessible at the time of survey (commonly referred to as 'Can't Get Ins" (CGI's). Records should be kept per the retention scheduled identified **Section 7**.

4.7.5.8. Check the casing end inside the building when a service enters a building. Reseal the casing end.

4.8. OMD Mobile Survey Method

4.8.1. This method consists of driving a vehicle along the route of the underground gas piping and sampling the atmosphere near the earth or paving over the pipe or paving edge with sensitive continuous sampling leak detection equipment especially designed and engineered for mounting on a vehicle. See **GS G8138.** *Optical Methane Detector Operation and Maintenance.*

4.8.2. The OMD is to be used to perform leakage survey on high pressure and medium pressure pipelines.

4.8.2.1. In paved locations survey is performed by driving along or as near as possible, the curb to the side of the street where the pipeline is located. In the instance of dual pipelines, particularly wide streets, pipelines in traffic islands or divided traffic lanes, a particular street may be traversed in both directions.

4.8.2.2. In unpaved locations survey is performed by driving directly over or within 5 feet of the pipeline.

Note:	The Gas Patroller must know the location of the pipeline and other subsurface substructures that are part of the survey work order. Pipeline location is determined using the map, curb markings, pipeline markers, etc.

4.8.3. Associated services, crossovers and other buried infrastructure that cannot be driven over shall be surveyed using appropriate instrumentation (See section 4.7). Any services, taps, or other pressure carrying facilities that are part of the survey work order and are not suitable for survey by OMD must be surveyed with an appropriate device (see **Section 4.7**).

Note:	Check all manholes and other street openings such as valve casings, curb meter vaults, drains, water valves, meter boxes, street lighting, power, telephone, etc.

4.9. Barhole

4.9.1. Prior to drilling bar holes, notify Underground Service Alert (USA). See **GS G8123.** *Underground Service Alert and Temporary Marking.*

4.9.2. Drill a hole over the suspected leak area and surrounding facilities for the specific purpose of testing for subsurface gas indications per **GS G8220.** *GMI Gasurveyor PPM Combustible Gas Indicator Operating Procedures.*

4.9.3. Use an instrument probe, such as the combustible gas indicator, e. g., GMI Gasurveyor SCG PPM Combustible Gas Indicator or DP-IR. Read gas indications.

4.10. Water Crossing

4.10.1. SAFETY

4.10.1.1. Serious bodily injury could occur when entering waterways without proper training and personal protective equipment (PPE). See sections 2.5 for required and recommended PPE.

4.10.1.2. The following are examples of hazards impacting this work:

4.10.1.2.1. Weather and waterway conditions.

4.10.1.2.2. Fast currents.

4.10.1.2.3. Tripping and slipping hazards.

4.10.1.2.4. Sunburn from water reflection.

4.10.1.2.5. Drowning.

4.10.1.2.6. Hypothermia.

4.10.1.2.7. Other watercraft.

4.10.1.2.8. Wildlife.

4.10.1.2.9. Environmental surroundings.

4.10.2. SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

4.10.2.1. Use only approved leak survey instruments listed in Table 3.

4.10.2.2. If using RMLD, see the additional requirements listed in the attached document.

RMLD%20Requirem
ents%20for%20Wat

4.10.3. For Distribution Piping Crossing the Bay

4.10.3.1. Use the following:

GTS-FUS-003%20Ba
yside%20Crossing.d

4.11. BUSINESS DISTRICT

4.11.1. A business district is an area that is 100 feet from the property line of a parcel of property that has been identified as significant commercial gathering point, a school, a hospital, a church or is a place where inhabitants have limited mobility.

4.11.2. Leak survey any distribution mains and associated services that have been identified as being within a business districts at the frequency established per Table 1.

4.11.3. The procedure for determining the business district is detailed in GS G8136, *Maintenance of Leak Survey Maps.*

4.11.4. If during the survey, the leak surveyor identifies land uses that could potentially trigger a business district determination that is not currently depicted upon the leak survey map; they should identify this location for additional evaluation. The surveyor should document as follows:

4.11.4.1. The surveyor should circle the land parcel that potentially has triggered the business district and denote the following on the map cover sheet.

4.11.4.2. Select the checkbox identifying a potential business district was found on the leak survey map and the appropriate box in the Click Mobile Form.

4.11.4.3. In the Comment Section of the Map Coversheet, describe the land use of the parcel that should be evaluated for meeting the business district designation (i.e. business, hospital, school, church, a significant commercial gathering point).

4.11.4.4. Return the completed survey map and comments to **Leakage Mitigation** for processing.

4.12. Abnormal Operating Conditions (AOC)

4.12.1. Issue Follow up orders to investigate and correct any AOC's encountered, These AOC's include: but are not limited to the following:

4.12.1.1. Meters in prohibited or hazardous meter locations, damaged, or corroded meter sets and meters buried in earth or paving.

4.12.1.2. Regulators in confined areas not vented to a safe location.

4.12.1.3. Broken or missing curb meter vault or curb valve lids.

4.12.1.4. Service valves not readily accessible or otherwise inoperable.

4.12.1.5. Pipelines (including services) having buildings constructed over them.

4.12.1.6. Pipelines (including services) that are endangered by foreign construction.

4.12.1.7. Curb valves not readily accessible on services to schools, hospitals or churches.

4.12.1.8. Exposed piping showing evidence of atmospheric corrosion, chemical corrosion and other conditions that warrant concern.

4.12.1.9. Stress on exposed piping facilities as a result of earth movement or other causes.

4.12.1.10. When MSA protection (barricades or barriers) are required per GS D7115, *Barricades for Gas Meter Sets.*

4.12.1.11. Missing, broken and damaged casing vents.

4.13. Evaluation of Leakage

4.13.1. The **Gas Patroller** evaluates all gas indications found and assigns an appropriate leakage priority classification based on potential hazard. See **G8135**, *Leakage Classification and Mitigation Schedules*.

4.13.1.1. Employees shall notify Supervision of all Leak indications detected over buried pipelines with an MAOP of 20% SMYS or more, (excluding leak indications on buried valves/fittings identified by indications at the casing), See **GS G8137**, *Leak Investigation - - Distribution*.

4.13.1.2. When a Code 1 Leak is identified by a Patroller (Gas) the Patroller will maintain surveillance (remain on-site) until one of the following occurs:

4.13.1.2.1. A Gas Repair Crew arrives on scene and releases the Patroller.

4.13.1.2.2. The originating Patroller is relieved by a relief Patroller.

4.13.1.2.3. The Patroller is released by either the M&R/System Protection Manager or the Leakage Mitigation Supervisor once they have responded to the location and determined a release is appropriate.

4.13.1.3. When an AG Hazardous Leak is identified by a Patroller (Gas) the Patroller will remain on-site until one of the following occurs:

4.13.1.3.1. If the Hazardous Leak is on the RISER, until a Gas Repair Crew arrives on scene and releases the Patroller.

4.13.1.3.2. If the Hazardous Leak is on a Customer Service Field (CSF) MSA, until a CSF representative arrives and releases the Patroller.

4.13.1.3.3. If the Hazardous Leak is on an M&R (Pipeline Operations) MSA, until a Pipeline Ops repair crew arrives.

4.13.1.3.4. The originating Patroller is relieved by a relief Patroller.

4.13.1.3.5. The Patroller is released by either the M&R/System Protection Manager or the Leakage Mitigation Supervisor once they have responded to the location and determined a release is appropriate.

4.13.2. Any gas indication that is investigated and presumed to be an outside company or agency should be promptly reported to the company or agency.

4.13.3. When a **Gas Transmission District** detects gas indications on a **Distribution Region** facility, promptly contact **Gas Technical Services**.

4.13.4. When a **Distribution Region** detects leakage on a **Transmission Operated** facility, promptly contact the **Transmission District.**

4.13.5. The survey person will confirm any gas indication with a combustible gas indicator; **see** **GS G8220,** GMI Gasurveyor SCG PPM *Combustible Gas Indicator*.

4.13.6. If the gas indication is located under street or paving, a hole must be drilled to take the read.

4.13.7. When gas indications are suspected to be from field or swamp gas:

4.13.7.1.1. The gas indication will be evaluated with an electronic ethane detector first. If ethane is not detected the **crew** contacts **Environmental Analysis Services (EAS)** and arranges for the testing of a gas sample to determine if the indications are the Company's responsibility.

4.13.7.1.2. When a suspected safety-related condition is found, report it to the **immediate supervisor** the same day the condition is discovered. See **GS G8229**, *Region Reports of Safety-Related Pipeline Conditions*.

4.14. Reporting

4.14.1. When a suspected safety-related condition is found, report it to the immediate supervisor the same day the condition is discovered.

4.14.2. Report all leaks and corrosion on **DOT-T** T**ransmission lines** as outlined in **GS G8229,** *Region Reports of Safety-Related Pipeline Conditions."*

4.14.3. To ensure a safe response, communicate emergency incident as outlined in **GS G8202,** *Field Guidelines – Emergency Incident Distribution/Customer Service* or **GS G8205,** *Emergency Response Procedures for Gas Incidents-Transmission.*

4.15. Documentation on the Leak Survey Map

4.15.1. The Gas Patroller performing the leak survey is provided with maps of the areas to be surveyed. The maps used for survey will depict pipeline location to be surveyed and the surrounding streets.

4.15.1.1. The Gas Patroller is required to balloon around, initial, and date all completed areas and/or segments they surveyed for that day on the Leakage Survey map using colored pens.

4.15.1.2. All below ground leak indications are noted in red, marked with an "X", and tallied on the Leak Survey Map Cover Sheet.

- New below ground leaks are identified using the location (sequence) number.
- Above ground leaks are identified using the location (sequence) number.

4.15.1.2.1. If leakage spread is twenty (20) feet or more use dotted red line to indicate spread on map.

4.15.2. Document potential business district changes per Section 6.4 (Distribution Only).

5. EXCEPTION PROCEDURE

(See **GS** G7007, *Exception Procedure for Company Operations Standards*.

5.1. An exception to this standard shall be considered only after practical solutions have been exhausted. Safety issues shall be given primary consideration, while adhering to governing codes before an approval of an exception is granted.

5.2. An exception from a standard shall not be allowed unless **GS G7007,** *Exception Procedure for Company Operations Standards* is followed, and approval is given by those as required by G7007.

6. OPERATOR QUALIFICATION COVERED TASKS

(See **GS G8113,** *Operator Qualification Program*, Appendix A, *Covered Task List*)

- **Task 09.01.** – 49 CFR 192.706 – Performing leakage surveys: transmission lines

- **Task 09.02** – 49 CFR 192.723 – Performing leakage surveys: distribution systems

7. RECORDS

7.1. Electronic Data Collection

7.1.1. Gas Transmission

7.1.1.1. Schedule, track, and document all routine leakage surveys on an approved computerized maintenance management system (i.e., MAXIMO).

7.1.1.2. Document all leak indications and leak repairs on **Form 677-1SD,** Pipeline Condition and Maintenance Report (Transmission).

7.1.2. Distribution

7.1.3. Click Mobile forms should be used to:

- Document *Leak Investigation* on form 4030 in click Mobile
- Document *Leak Indication* on form 4040 in click mobile
- Document *Distribution leak repair* on form 4050 in click mobile
- Use Excavation form in click mobile to document pipe conditions

7.1.4. If Click Mobile forms are unavailable, record leak repairs on medium pressure SDG&E Distribution lines on Form **108-00200,** *Gas Leak Repair/Pipe Inspection Report.* For leak repairs on high pressure SDG&E Distribution pipelines, prepare both Form **677-1SD** and Form **108-00200** and forward to **Gas Engineering - Pipeline Integrity**. Also forward a copy of the completed Form **108-00200** to **GTS Miramar – Leakage Mitigation Clerk.**

7.2. Records Retention

7.2.1. Records covering leakage surveys, leaks discovered, and repairs made are filed by the appropriate **Transmission District** or by **Gas Technical Services** (**Distribution**) and maintained for the life of the pipeline plus six years.

7.2.2. Records covering leakage surveys, leaks discovered, and repairs made on transmission pipelines are documented using an approved computerized maintenance management system (e. g., MAXIMO or SAP) and filed by the appropriate **Gas Transmission District**, **Storage Field**, or **Distribution**

Region, and must be retained per Records Management Retention Schedule. See Records Retention Standards on Sempra Net, http://home.sempranet.com/rm/.

7.3. In addition to the other recordkeeping requirements of these rules, each Operator shall maintain the following records for transmission lines for the periods specified:

A. The date, location, and description of each repair made to pipe (including pipe-to-pipe connections) must be retained for as long as the pipeline remains in service or there is no longer pipe within the system of the same manufacturer, size and / or vintage as the pipeline on which repairs are made, whichever, is longer.

B. The date, location, and description of each repair made to parts of the pipeline system other than pipe must be retained for at least 75 years. Repairs or findings of easement encroachments, generated by patrols, surveys, inspections, or tests required by subparts L and M of 49 CFR Part 192 must be retained in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section.

C. A record of each patrol, survey, inspection, and test required by subparts L and M of this part must be retained for at least 75 years.

8. APPENDICES

8.1. Not Applicable.

SDGE
A Sempra Energy utility

Company Operations Standard
Gas Standard
Gas System Integrity Staff & Programs

Leakage Surveys	SDG&E:	G8145

NOTE: Do not alter or add any content from this page down; the following content is automatically generated.

Brief: Conducted a functional review to re-establish 5-year review cycle. Reformatted to comply with document outline requirements. Updated Hyperlinks. Minor word changes throughout document for additional clarity. Policy was revised to better explain the requirements when leak indications are detected on a buried pipeline with an MAOP of 20% SMYS or more. Revisions made to provide guidance for performing leakage surveys due to lightning strikes. Information Bulletin 1719 and 1721 information was added to policy. Added section 4.15 to provided clarity on the documentation process for completed leak survey maps. Removed Operator Qualification Task 02.13 – 49 CFR 192.481 – Monitoring for atmospheric corrosion from GS. Added a new section 5 Exception Procedures, a new section 6, Operator Qualification Task and a new section 8, Appendices.

Document Profile Summary	
Responsible Person:	[redacted]
Published On:	01/01/2019
Last Full Review Completed On:	10/31/2018
Writer:	[redacted]
Document Status:	Active
Document Type:	GAS
If Merged, Merged To Document Number:	
Utility:	SDG&E
Department:	Gas System Integrity Staff & Programs
Number of Common Document:	223.0100
Confidential Sections:	
Part of SoCalGas O&M Plan:	No
Part of SDG&E O&M Plan:	Yes
Contains OPQUAL Covered Task:	Yes
OpQual Tasks	09.02 09.01
Last O&M Review date:	2019-10-09
O&M 49 CFR Codes & Impacted Sections of Document:	192.723: Entire Doc 192.721(a): Entire Doc 192.709(c): Entire Doc 192.709(b): Entire Doc 192.709(a): Entire Doc 192.706: Entire Doc 192.613(a): Entire Doc 192.481(a): Entire Doc
Part of Non-O&M Parts 191-193 Plan	No
Non-O&M 49 CFR Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	
Part of Distribution IMP (DIMP)	Yes
Part of Transmission IMP (TIMP)	Yes
Part of Storage IMP (SIMP)	No
Impacts GO112F	Yes
GO112F Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	143.1: Entire Doc 145.1: (a)10, 11, (b)10, 11, (c)8, 9, 10, 11
Impacts Underground Gas Storage Projects (DOGGR)	No
14 CCR Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	
Impacts GO58A	No
GO58A Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	
Impacts GO58B	No
GO58B Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	
Indices/Binders in Which Document is Filed:	DIMP2, GSSD, TIMP2
NOP Learning Module (LM) Training Code:	NOP01158

Company Operations Standard
Gas Standard
Gas System Integrity Staff & Programs

Leakage Surveys	SDG&E:	G8145

Chapter 1- Leak Survey



SDGE
A Sempra Energy utility

Company Operations Standard
Gas Standard
Gas Engineering

Purging Pipelines and Components	SDG&E:	G7909

PURPOSE **This gas standard provides the policy and procedures for safely purging natural gas pipelines above 60 psig. All company and contract employees shall follow these guidelines when purging pipeline systems.**

1. POLICY AND SCOPE

1.1. Pipelines are purged to prevent the presence of a combustible mixture of gas and air. Failure to abide by the guidelines and procedures of this Gas Standard may result in serious or catastrophic consequences.

1.2. This procedure **does not include** purging operations that utilize air movers. For these purges, see **STANDARD G7910**, *Purging Pipelines Using Air Movers For Cold Tie Operations*. For more specific purging information regarding purging into service medium pressure pipelines, see **STANDARD D7911**, *Purging of Distribution Gas Lines of 60 PSIG.*

1.3. Written procedures shall be understood and approved by the Purging Operation Lead so as to assure the safe and successful completion of the job. See **Section 5.5** for further details about the written plan.

1.4. The Purging Operation Lead shall conduct a meeting, prior to a purging activity, to ensure all personnel engaged in purging operations understand the procedures involved. The Purging Operation Lead shall ensure that all employees and contractors involved in purging understand the potential hazards of improper operation. If changes in operations occur, all personnel will be informed of the changes before proceeding.

1.5. The Purging Operation Lead shall make the final determination on the adequacy of the purge before proceeding with any hot-work.

1.6. Limit access to the work area of the purging operation to only those persons who are necessary to perform the activity, keeping all-non-essential personnel and the public clear of harm's way.

1.7. Employees are responsible for adhering to company procedures and shall wear appropriate personal safety equipment during any and all duties performed as outlined in Rule 4100 of **Manual ESHSD-4100**, *Gas Distribution and Transmission*.

1.8. Gas shall be vented to atmosphere without hazard to workers, public, and property. See **Section 5.3**.

1.9. Considerations must be given to the public with regard to objectionable noise and odor as well as any noise or pollution abatement requirements. Such considerations may include the use of noise suppression equipment, notification of law enforcement, Fire Department and Air Pollution Control District.

1.10. All parts and equipment involved in the purging operation shall be in proper working condition and are visually inspected before use.

1.11. Adequate visual and/or radio communications shall be established between all work locations including the injection and venting points.

1.12. When purging out-of-service follow procedures stated in **STANDARD G8146,** *Blowdown Time, Sizing, and Volume Calculations,* and **FORM 3466SD,** *Reporting of Gas Blown to Atmosphere,* to account for the gas lost to atmosphere.

1.13. When purging into service a new steel pipeline, the pipeline must be odor conditioned (also known as seasoned or pickled) to minimize a reduction in the odor content of natural gas due to interaction of gas odorant with new steel. See **STANDARD G8132,** *Odor Conditioning of New Steel Lines*.

1.14. Any deviation from this gas standard shall be reviewed and approved by **Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering**.

2. RESPONSIBILITIES AND QUALIFICATIONS

2.1. Only Company personnel qualified through Gas Operations Training may perform these operations. See **STANDARD G8113,** *Operator Qualification Program*.

2.2. **Region Engineering Miramar** or **Transmission Operations Manager** shall prepare the written purging procedures. See **Section 5.5** for further requirements.

2.3. **Purging Operation Lead** shall be responsible for supervising purging operations. This lead shall have thorough technical knowledge and previous purging experience. This lead is also responsible for ensuring that all aspects of this standard are being followed.

2.4. **Distribution Region, Transmission District, and GTS Miramar** personnel performing purging activities shall be Operator Qualified. See **STANDARD G8113,** *Operator Qualification Program* for requirements.

2.5. **Gas Operations Training - Skills** is responsible for training, qualification and all related certification and documentation for company and contract personnel.

2.6. **Field Employees** are responsible for ensuring that an approved fire extinguisher (minimum 40 BC) is readily accessible and its location known to all employees at the work site.

2.7. **Qualified Operators** are responsible to visually inspect all pressure control equipment prior to performing any pressure control operation. Do not use any damaged or defective equipment. Notify supervision if any defects are found.

3. DEFINITIONS

3.1. **Blow-down** - To reduce pipeline pressure to atmospheric pressure by venting gas to atmosphere.

3.2. **CGI** – Combustible Gas Indicator

3.3. **Cursory Odor Sniff Test** - A quick release of natural gas into the atmosphere that is sniffed to determine if odorant is detectible by smell.

3.4. **Direct Purge** – The act of either directly purging gas with air or air with gas at high velocities without a nitrogen slug.

3.5. **Indirect Purge** – The act of either purging from gas to air or from air to gas with a nitrogen slug between the air and gas to prevent the formation of a combustible mixture.

3.6. **Orifice** – A reduced opening which reduces flow rate.

3.7. **Purge** - The act of removing all the air from a pipeline and replacing it with natural gas or removing all the natural gas from a pipeline and replacing it with air.

3.8. **Purging out of service** – *(Gas to Air/Nitrogen)* The process of replacing natural gas content in a pipeline with air/nitrogen by injecting air or nitrogen at sufficiently high flow rates.

3.9. **Purging into Service** – *(Air/Nitrogen to Gas)* The process of replacing air or nitrogen content in a pipeline with natural gas by injecting natural gas at sufficiently high flow rates.

3.10. **Purging Operation Supervisor** – The designated trained and knowledgeable supervisor responsible for gas handling operations, including purging.

3.11. **Slug** – As it relates to this standard, is a quantity of nitrogen gas injected between the gas and air during an indirect purge. The slug moves through the pipe as a distinct mass to prevent mixing of the gas and air.

3.12. **Total Displacement Purge** – The act of purging from gas to air or air to gas by injecting an amount of nitrogen slightly greater than the entire internal volume of the pipeline segment or facility to be purged.

3.13. **BC** – Fire extinguisher rating effective for flammable liquid fires and "live" electrical equipment.

4. REQUIREMENTS PRIOR TO PURGING

4.1. **ISOLATION** - Completely isolate the piping segment to be purged from the system.

4.1.1. Isolation may be accomplished by one or more methods including the use of blind flanges, closing valves, placing blanking discs between flanges, pressure control fittings or physically disconnecting laterals or other sources of gas.

4.1.2. Squeezing of PE pipe may be an acceptable means of isolation for purging. Only Company approved squeeze tools shall be used. *See* **STANDARD D7279,** *Squeezing Polyethylene (PE) Pipe – ½" Through 8".*

4.1.3. If valves are used to isolate the section to be purged from the pressurized system, they should be verified to stroke properly and not to leak.

4.1.4. A thorough physical check shall be made to ensure that isolation is prepared as planned and free of leakage prior to the start of the purging operation.

4.2. **NITROGEN** - When using nitrogen as a separating medium (slug) or for Total Displacement Method, practicality, availability and economics determine whether to use cylinders (bottles) or a tank truck. A tank truck is normally the less costly option when a large volume of nitrogen is required.

4.2.1. Standard cylinders typically have 250 standard cubic feet (scf) of nitrogen at 2265 psig.

4.2.2. If an Indirect Purge is required, use **Table A3** in Appendix A to determine the minimum number of cylinders required. If the use of a nitrogen truck is desired, such as when large volumes are required, see **Table A5** in **Appendix A** to obtain required nitrogen volumes.

4.2.3. If a Total Displacement Purge is required or desired, use **Table A4** in **Appendix A** to determine the minimum number of cylinders required for a Total Displacement Purge.

4.2.4. Nitrogen Gas Safety - Be aware that the accumulation of large quantities of nitrogen gas can present an asphyxiation hazard to personnel. In trenches or confined spaces where nitrogen is being purged and can accumulate, keep ventilated and check for oxygen level before personnel enters the space.

5. PROCEDURE

5.1. **Selection of Purging Method**

5.1.1. **Purging Operation Supervisor** must understand and approve the written procedures to provide a safe and successful completion of the purging operation. See **Section 5.5** for further details about the written plan. **Using Table 1 below, select the proper purging method based on the combination of pipe diameter and length of the segment to be purged.**

5.1.2. The indirect method can be substituted for the direct method.

Diameter (in)	Length (ft)	Purging Method
D ≤ 4	Any	Direct (Section 5)
D ≥ 6	L < 500	Direct (Section 5)
D ≥ 6	L ≥ 500	Indirect (Section 7)

Table 1

The Total Displacement Method (**Section 3.12**) shall be used when:

- A potential hazard exists due to the presence of liquids or solids
- A potential hazard exists due to a complex piping situation, such as with stubs, or in compressor and regulator stations
- Permanently abandoning a pipeline or main that is not free of liquids or solids, or if required by the permitting agency. (See **STANDARD D7381,** *Abandonment or Inactivation of Gas Distribution Pipelines,* or **STANDARD T7381,** *Abandonment, Conversion and Reinstatement of Transmission Pipelines*).

5.2. **Sources of Ignition**

5.2.1. Eliminate all sources of ignition. Extinguish any open flames (smoking is prohibited). Do not carry any items designed to produce sparks such as but not limited to: matches, cigarette lighters, welding torch igniters, cell phones or any other electrical devices in the immediate vicinity any time while working in a gaseous atmosphere. See **Manual ESHSD-4100,** *Gas Distribution and Transmission,* and **STANDARD G8169**, *Prevention of Accidental Ignition of Natural Gas.*

5.2.2. When purging, especially with old piping, it shall be kept in mind that purging removes only gaseous or volatile materials. Undetected liquid or solid combustibles can be ignited by sparks carried back into a purged pipeline when it is cut. Take necessary precautions to ensure removal of difficult to detect combustibles. Consider purging using the Total Displacement Method with nitrogen if the presence of liquids or solids exists. See **Section 3.12** for definition of Total Displacement Purge.

5.2.3. Consider purging with the Total Displacement Method with nitrogen if the presence of liquids or solids exists. See **Section 3.12** for definition of Total Displacement Purge.

5.2.4. Care shall be taken to avoid static electrical discharge before, during and after purge by grounding all machinery and equipment where static electricity might accumulate. Pipelines are bonded or grounded before purging, cutting, or disconnecting in accordance with **STANDARD G8169,** *Prevention of Accidental Ignition of Natural Gas.* Before severing or disconnecting a steel pipe, a bond wire must be attached to the metallic pipe at two points to provide a connection across the proposed severance or disconnection which connects both sides of the remaining pipe. For purging Polyethylene (PE) pipe, see **STANDARD G8169,** *Prevention of Accidental Ignition of Natural Gas.*



Figure 0. – Bonding wire placed across proposed severance or disconnection

5.2.5. Cathodic protection rectifiers shall be turned off.

5.3. **Venting**

5.3.1. See **Table A1** for vent stack sizing.

5.3.2. The steel vent stack should consist of a full opening tap in the pipeline to be purged.

5.3.3. When a vent valve is used, it shall be full opening.

5.3.4. When selecting venting locations, care is taken to prevent accidental ignition during purging operations. Avoid venting under or in close proximity to overhead power lines, per **STANDARD G8183** – *Purging Operations – Minimum Distance Between Purging Stack and Ignition Sources*.

5.3.5. Never discharge purging medium through a plastic vent pipe.

5.3.6. Any project that requires gas blown to atmosphere will build time into the project schedule to reduce methane consistent with safe operations and consider alternative potential sources of supply to reliably serve customers and maintain feasibility. Operating pressure should be reduced to the lowest operationally feasible level in order to minimize methane emissions before non-emergency venting of high-pressure distribution (above 60 psig), transmission and underground storage infrastructure consistent with safe operations. and whenever practicable, work should be bundled to prevent multiple venting of the same piping.

5.3.7. If a new Transmission pipeline assembly is enclosed with wet canvases, the assembly may be directly purged into service using one canvas end as a vent provided that:

• When purging through a wet canvas, the canvas opening should be approximately ⅓ of the cross-section of the pipe. The opening is at the bottom when purging into service. See **STANDARD D7114,** *Pipe End Closures*.

5.3.8. If a steel vent stack is to be assembled on an existing blow-off that does not meet size and full opening description, **Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering**, will determine the adequacy of the blow-off.

5.4. **Planning a Purge**

5.4.1. Use **Table A1** in **Appendix A** to obtain the standard purging parameters for specific pipe diameters:

- These parameters include the standard injection fittings, injection pressures, vent sizes and flow rates.
- If orifices are to be utilized, use the required minimum flow rates from **Table A1**. Select the appropriate orifice size and inlet pressure based on required flow rates.
- Place the orifice immediately upstream of the injection fitting to eliminate any unplanned pressure drop.
- Orifices are normally placed in screwed orifice unions, but a tapped abandonment fitting can also be used. Injection and bypass fittings selected shall not have an internal diameter smaller than the hose or orifice to be used. See **Figure 6** for typical orifice set-up.

5.4.2. When using an orifice, the pressure gauge to measure the minimum required pressure should be installed just upstream of the orifice. The tapped diameter when using an abandonment fitting needs to be equal to or greater than the orifice size.

5.4.3. When using a 50 foot hose to measure and maintain minimum flow rates as required in **Table A1**, the pressure gauge must be installed at the upstream end of the 50 foot hose connected to the injection point.

5.4.4. Use **Table A2** in **Appendix A** to obtain an approximate arrival time at particular lengths of pipe when using a standard set up. When purging by the indirect method, this approximate time indicates the arrival of the nitrogen slug.

5.4.5. When using an Indirect Purge (with a slug of nitrogen) it is important to maintain the minimum slug speed (minimum injection flow rate) as indicated by the use of **Table A1** to minimize the mixing of the gas interface to maintain the slug.

5.4.6. When purging out of service using an air compressor, make certain that the selected compressor is rated with at least 15% more flow rate capacity than the minimum flow rate listed in **Table A1**.

5.4.7. When possible, purge from air/nitrogen to gas downhill, and purge from gas to air/nitrogen uphill.

5.4.8. A piping system containing loops or branches requires a detailed evaluation to ensure each pipe section is properly isolated and purged which typically requires isolating and purging in stages.

5.5. **Written Plan**

5.5.1. An approved written plan should be available for all purging procedures.

5.5.2. Service lines and small diameter pipelines can be purged using the general procedures of this gas standard as the written plan. More complex purging operations require a specific detailed written plan.

5.5.3. The written plan should include, but is not limited to, the required purging method, location of isolation points, injection set up, injection pressures and flow rates, venting location and stack size, operational sequences, an equipment list (Combustible gas indicator, air compressor, etc.) and provisions for a communication system.

5.6. **Non-Typical Purging Operations**

5.6.1. When purging a service that has an Excess Flow Valve installed; see **STANDARD G7643** *Excess Flow Valve (EFV) - Installation and Operation.*

5.6.2. For Abandonment of Distribution Mains and Services see **STANDARD D7110,** *Abandonment of Gas Services and Gas Light Tap Assemblies* and **STANDARD D7381,** *Abandonment or Inactivation of Gas Distribution* Pipelines for diameters and lengths of piping that do not require purging prior to abandonment.

5.6.3. Air Movers may be used for purging large diameter (≥ 8") pipelines out of service; see **STANDARD G7910,** *Purging Pipelines Using Air Movers For Cold Tie Operations.*

5.6.4. If a standard indirect purge is not practical or possible, in cases such as long pipeline lengths yielding unreasonable operation times or if the use of larger injection fittings and/or vents is desired, contact **Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering** for analysis.

5.6.5. All non-standard purges require a written plan approved by **Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering**.

6. PURGING OUT OF SERVICE USING THE DIRECT PURGE METHOD (GAS TO AIR)



Figure 1. - Arrangement for Directly Purging Gas from Pipelines.

6.1. The Purging Operation Lead reviews the approved Written Plan and takes necessary actions to ensure all company policies are adhered to. See **Section 5.5**.

6.2. Remove all ignition sources in accordance with **Section 5.2**.

6.3. Isolate section of line to be purged. See **Section 4.1**.

6.4. If a properly sized vent is not available, install one as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from venting end of the pipeline. Stack must extend to a safe location, which is a minimum of 7 ft. See **Figure 1**.

6.5. Install injection fitting as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from the injection end of pipeline. Connect air hose and valve to pressure gauge. See **Figure 1**.

6.6. Connect gauge and valve end of air hose to air compressor and attach other end of hose to injection fitting. See **Figure 1.**

6.7. Open valve on vent stack and blow down line.

6.8. With the air compressor valve open, gradually open the valve on injection fitting and inject air. Inject at or above the minimum injection pressure, see **Table A1**. Injection of air shall be continued without interruption until the pipeline is purged of all gas. Control pressure with valve attached to compressor end of air hose. See **Figure 1**.

6.9. Stop injection of air when pipeline is purged of all gas. Use approved CGI device to determine if pipeline is 100% purged of all gas. See **STANDARD G8220**, *GMI Gasurveyor SCG PPM Combustible Gas Indicator Operating Procedures*.

7. PURGING INTO SERVICE USING THE DIRECT PURGE METHOD (AIR/NITROGEN TO GAS)



Figure 2 - Arrangement for Directly Purging Pipelines into Service.

7.1. The Purging Operation Lead reviews the approved Written Plan and takes necessary actions to ensure all company policies are adhered to. See **Section 5.5.**

7.2. Remove all ignition sources in accordance with **Section 5.2**.

7.3. Isolate section of line to be purged. See **Section 4.1**.

7.4. If a properly sized vent is not available, install one as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from venting end of the pipeline. Stack must extend to a safe location, which is a minimum of 7 ft. See **Figure 2**.

7.5. Install injection fitting as close as practical to, but not more than 5 feet away from the injection end of pipeline. See **Figure 2**. If available, gas may be injected by opening a line valve instead of using a bypass, however, contact **Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering** to obtain the downstream pressure needed to control the purge.

7.6. If needed, install bypass fitting on live pipeline for gas source. See **Figure 2**.

7.7. Connect gauge and valve to bypass fitting. Connect an air hose or pressure hose from pressure gauge end to injection fitting. See **Figure 2**.

7.8. Open valve on vent stack.

7.9. Gradually open valve on injection fitting and inject gas. Inject at or above the minimum injection pressure. Injection of gas shall be continued without interruption until the pipeline is purged of all air. Control pressure with valve attached to bypass fitting. See **Figure 2**.

7.10. Stop injection of gas when pipeline is purged of air. Use approved CGI device to determine if pipeline is 100% gas. Use approved CGI device to determine if pipeline is 100% purged of all gas. See **STANDARD G8220**, *GMI Gasurveyor SCG PPM Combustible Gas Indicator Operating Procedures*.

7.11. A ***cursory odor sniff test*** (a quick release of natural gas into the atmosphere that is sniffed to determine if odorant is detectible by smell) shall be performed immediately after the purging process and verifying 100% gas is obtained.

7.12. Direct purging of gas services less than 2'' steel can be accomplished using a service tee or pin-off tee as the purge source.

8. PURGING OUT OF SERVICE USING THE INDIRECT PURGE METHOD (GAS TO AIR)



Figure 3. Arrangement for Purging Out of Service using Indirect Method

8.1. The Purging Operation Lead reviews the approved Written Plan and takes necessary actions to ensure all company policies are adhered to. See **Section 5.5**.

8.2. Remove all ignition sources in accordance with **Section 5.2**.

8.3. Isolate section of line to be purged. See **Section 4.1**.

8.4. If a properly sized vent is not available, install one as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from venting end of the pipeline. Stack must extend to a safe location, which is a minimum of 7 ft. See **Figure 3**.

8.5. Install injection fitting as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from the injection end of pipeline. See **Figure 3**.

8.6. Connect gauge and valve to air compressor and attach hose from the other end of the injection fitting. See **Figure 3**.

8.7. If nitrogen cylinders are to be used, connect the nitrogen cylinders indicated in **Table A3** to the manifold. Close valve on manifold and open valves on nitrogen cylinders. See **Figure 3**.

8.8. Connect manifold hose or pressure hose to injection fitting. See **Figure 3**.

8.9. Open valve on vent stack and blow-down the pipeline.

8.10. Once the pipe segment has been blown down, gradually open valve on injection fitting.

Note: Verify this valve is open to prevent damage to the gauge on the manifold.

8.11. Inject nitrogen by gradually opening manifold valve. Inject at or above the minimum injection pressure as indicated in **Table A1** to maintain minimum flow rate controlling pressure with the manifold valve. See **Figure 3**.

8.12. Begin injecting air as soon as the minimum gauge pressure of nitrogen, cannot be maintained. Close valve on nitrogen manifold immediately after air injection has started. Air must be injected at or above the minimum gauge pressure as indicated in **Table A1** to maintain minimum flow rate. Control pressure with valve attached to compressor end of air hose. See **Figure 3**.

8.13. Stop injection of air when pipeline is 100% purged of all gas. Use approved CGI device to determine if pipeline is 100% purged of all gas. See **STANDARD G8220**, *GMI Gasurveyor SCG PPM Combustible Gas Indicator Operating Procedures*.

9. PURGING INTO SERVICE USING THE INDIRECT PURGE METHOD (AIR TO GAS)



Figure 4. Arrangement for Purges into Service using Indirect Method

9.1. The Purging Operation Lead reviews the approved Written Plan and takes necessary actions to ensure all company policies are adhered to. See **Section 5.5**.

9.2. Remove all ignition sources in accordance with **Section 5.2**.

9.3. Isolate section of line to be purged. See **Section 4.1**.

9.4. If a properly sized vent is not available, install one as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from venting end of the pipeline. Stack must extend to a safe location, which is a minimum of 7 ft. See **Figure 4.**

9.5. Install injection fitting as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from the injection end of pipeline. See **Figure 4**. If available, gas may be injected by opening a line valve instead of using a bypass, however, contact **Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering** to obtain the downstream pressure needed to control the purge.

9.6. If needed, install bypass fitting on pipeline as a gas source. See **Figure 4**.

9.7. Connect gauge and valve to bypass fitting. Connect an air hose or pressure hose from pressure gauge end to injection fitting. See **Figure 4.**

9.8. Connect nitrogen cylinders as indicated in **Table A3** to the manifold. Close valve on manifold and open valves on nitrogen cylinders.

9.9. Connect manifold hose or high pressure hose to injection fitting. See **Figure 4**.

9.10. Open valve on vent stack.

9.11. Inject nitrogen by gradually opening manifold valve. Inject at or above the minimum injection pressure as indicated in **Table A1** to maintain minimum flow rate controlling pressure with the manifold valve. See **Figure 4**.

9.12. Begin injecting gas as soon as the minimum gauge pressure of nitrogen, cannot be maintained. Close valve on nitrogen manifold immediately after gas injection has started. Gas must be injected at or above the minimum gauge pressure as indicated in **Table A1** to maintain the minimum flow rate. Control pressure with valve attached to bypass fitting. See **Figure 4**.

9.13. Stop injection of gas when pipeline is purged of air. Use approved CGI device to determine if pipeline is 100% purged of all gas. See **STANDARD G8220**, *GMI Gasurveyor SCG PPM Combustible Gas Indicator Operating Procedures*.

9.14. A ***cursory odor sniff test*** (a quick release of natural gas into the atmosphere that is sniffed to determine if odorant is detectible by smell) shall be performed immediately after the purging process and verifying 100% gas is obtained.

10. PURGING OUT OF SERVICE USING THE TOTAL DISPLACEMENT PURGE METHOD (GAS TO NITROGEN)



Figure 5. Arrangement for Purging Out of Service using Total Displacement Method

10.1. The Purging Operation Lead reviews the approved Written Plan and takes necessary actions to ensure all company policies are adhered to. See **Section 5.5**.

10.2. Remove all ignition sources in accordance with **Section 5.2**.

10.3. Isolate section of line to be purged. See **Section 4.1**.

10.4. If a properly sized vent is not available, install one as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from venting end of the pipeline. Stack must extend to a safe location, which is a minimum of 7 ft.

10.5. Install injection fitting as close as practical from the injection end of pipeline, but not more than 5 feet from the injection end of pipeline. See **Figure 5.**

10.6. If nitrogen cylinders are to be used, connect the nitrogen cylinders to the manifold. Close valve on manifold and open valves on nitrogen cylinders. See **Figure 5**.

10.7. Connect manifold hose or pressure hose to injection fitting. See **Figure 5**.

10.8. Open valve on vent stack and blow-down the pipeline.

10.9. Open valve on injection fitting. Be sure this valve is open to prevent damage to the gauge on the manifold. See **Figure 5**.

10.10. Inject nitrogen by gradually opening manifold valve. Inject at or above the minimum injection pressure as indicated in **Table A1** to maintain minimum flow rate controlling pressure with the manifold valve. See **Figure 5**.

NOTE: When abandoning a pipeline using the Total Displacement Method stop injection once pipeline is completely purged of gas then proceed in capping the pipe.

10.11. Stop injection of nitrogen when pipeline is 100% purged of all gas. Use approved CGI device to determine if pipeline is 100% purged of all gas. See **STANDARD G8220**, *GMI Gasurveyor SCG PPM Combustible Gas Indicator Operating Procedures.*

10.12. Sections with pipe left with 100% nitrogen must be stenciled “Nitrogen”. Also adjourning valves must be stenciled “Nitrogen”.

11. TYPICAL ORIFICE SET UP (DIRECT PURGE)



Figure 6. Direct Method with Orifice and pressure gauge relocated closer to orifice. (Direct Purging)

12. OPERATOR QUALIFICATION COVERED TASKS
(See **STANDARD G8113**, *Operator Qualification Program*, Appendix A, *Covered Task List*)

- **Task 07.01-1651** - Purge Direct: Flammable or Inert Gas
- **Task 07.02-1651** - Purge Indirect: Flammable or Inert Gas

13. EXCEPTION PROCEDURE

(See **STANDARD G7007**, *Exception Procedure for Company Operations Standards*)

13.1. An exception to this standard shall be considered only after practical solutions have been exhausted. Safety issues shall be given primary consideration, while adhering to governing codes before an approval of an exception is granted.

13.2 An exception from a standard shall not be allowed unless **GS G7007**, *Exception Procedure for Company Operations Standards*, is followed and approval is given by those as required by G7007.

14. RECORDS

Not Applicable.

15. APPENDICES

15.1. Appendix A

APPENDIX A

Table A1
Minimum Equipment Requirements for Purging Pipeline

Nominal Pipe Diameter (inches)	Hose Diameter ID** (inches)	Minimum Nominal Vent Stack Size*** (inches)	Minimum Gauge Pressure * Gas (psig)	Minimum Gauge Pressure * Nitrogen/Air (psig)	Minimum Injection Flow Rate (SCFM)
4 and less	¾	3/4	3	3	11
6	¾	1 -1/2	6	8	29
8	¾	1 -1/2	15	18	56
10	¾	2	28	35	96
12	¾	3	47	59	149
16	1 1/4	3	19	26	273
18	1 1/4	4	28	40	367
20	1 1/4	4	41	55	489
22	1 1/4	4	55	75	615
26	2	6	21	30	930
30	2	6	32	45	1331
34	2	6	45	65	1821
36	2	6	54	77	2117

* Pressures listed are based on placing a pressure gauge on 50 feet of hose at the upstream end of the injection point. Shorter distances yield greater injection rates and shorten purge durations. Contact **Gas Engineering – Pipeline Engineering** if hose distances are greater than 50 feet.

** If it's necessary to use a larger diameter hose larger specified, contact **Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering** for the lower required minimum gauge pressure.

*** For vents in excess of 10 ft. long, go to next larger pipe size. Multiple vents stacks are allowed if a single vent stack does not meet the minimum diameter requirements. The total internal flow area of the multiple vents needs to be greater than the internal flow area of the required vent size. Contact **Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering** for guidance on correct combinations of vent stacks.

Note: The diameter of manifolds should be at least equal to the size of the hose diameter required for purging.

SDGE
A Sempra Energy utility

Company Operations Standard
Gas Standard
Gas Engineering

Purging Pipelines and Components	SDG&E:	G7909

Table A1*

Measuring Rates through Orifices
Use these figures for measuring the injection rates while purging.
(Note: All Hose and Orifice Sizes are Internal Diameters)

Pressure Upstream of Orifice (psig) (Note: All Hose and Orifice Sizes are Internal Diameters)										
	Orifice Size (inches)									
Inject Rate (cfm)	3/8		1/2		5/8		3/4		7/8	
	Gas	N_2 Air	Gas	N_2 Air	Gas	N_2 Air	Gas	N_2 Air	Gas	N_2 Air
10										
20										
40	7	12								
60	19	26	5	8						
80	30	39	11	16	2	5				
100	41	53	18	24	6	10				
120	52	67	25	31	10	14				
140	63	80	31	39	14	19				
160	75	94	38	47	19	24				
180	86	107	44	54	23	29				
200	97	121	51	62	27	34				
220	106	136	53	70	29	39	17	22	9	13
240	117	150	59	78	33	44	20	26	11	15
260	128	163	66	85	37	49	22	29	13	18
280	139	177	72	93	41	54	25	33	15	20
300	150	191	78	101	45	59	28	36	17	23
320	161	205	84	109	49	64	31	39	19	25
340	172	218	90	116	53	69	34	43	21	28
360	183	232	97	124	57	74	37	46	24	30
380	194	246	103	132	61	78	40	50	26	33
400	205	259	109	139	65	83	43	53	28	35
420	216	273	115	147	69	88	45	56	30	38
440	227	287	121	155	73	93	48	60	32	40
460	238	301	128	163	77	98	51	63	34	43
480	249	314	134	170	81	103	54	67	36	45
500	260	328	140	178	85	108	57	70	39	48
550	286	362	156	197	95	120	61	79	41	53
600	314	397	171	217	105	133	68	87	46	59
650	341	431	187	236	115	145	75	96	51	65
700	369	465	202	255	125	157	82	104	56	71
750	396	500	218	275	135	170	89	113	62	77
800	423	534	233	294	145	182	95	121	67	83
850	451	568	249	313	155	194	102	130	72	90
900	478		264	332	165	206	109	138	77	96
950	506		280	352	175	219	116	147	82	102
1000	533		295	371	185	231	123	155	87	108
1050	560		311	390	195	243	130	164	92	114
1100	588		326	410	205	256	137	172	97	120
1150			342	429	215	268	144	181	102	126
1200			357	448	225	280	151	189	107	133
1250			373	468	235	293	158	198	113	139
1300			388	487	245	305	164	206	118	145
1350			404	506	255	317	171	215	123	151
1400			419	525	265	329	178	223	128	157
1450			435	545	275	349	185	232	133	163
1500			450	564	285	354	192	240	138	170
1550			466	583	295	366	199	249	143	176
1600			481		305	379	206	257	148	182
1650			497		315	391	213	266	153	188
1700			512		325	403	220	274	158	194
1750			528		335	416	227	283	164	200
1800			543		345	428	233	291	169	206
1850			559		355	440	240	300	174	213
1900			574		365	452	247	308	179	219
1950			590		375	465	254	317	184	225
2000					385	477	261	325	189	231
2050					395	489	268	334	194	237
2100					405	502	275	342	199	243

SDGE
A Sempra Energy utility

Company Operations Standard
Gas Standard
Gas Engineering

Purging Pipelines and Components	SDG&E:	G7909

Table A1 (continued)*

Measuring Rates through Orifices
Use these figures for measuring the injection rates while purging.
(Note: All Hose and Orifice Sizes are Internal Diameters)

Pressure Upstream of Orifice (psig) (Note: All Hose and Orifice Sizes are Internal Diameters)								
	Orifice Size (inches)							
Inject Rate (cfm)	1-1/8		1-3/8		1-1/2		1-3/4	
	Gas	N_2 Air	Gas	N_2 Air	Gas	N_2 Air	Gas	N_2 Air
10								
20								
40								
60								
80								
100								
120								
140								
160								
180								
200								
220		2						
240		3						
260	2	5						
280	3	6						
300	4	8						
320	5	9						
340	7	11						
360	8	12						
380	9	14						
400	11	15						
420	12	17						
440	13	18						
460	14	20						
480	16	21						
500	17	23						
550	20	26	9	13				
600	23	30	11	15				
650	26	34	13	18				
700	29	38	15	20				
750	32	41	17	23				
800	35	45	19	25				
850	39	49	22	28				
900	42	53	24	30				
950	45	56	26	33				
1000	48	60	28	35	18	26	9	15
1050	51	64	30	38	20	28	10	17
1100	54	68	32	40	21	30	11	18
1150	57	71	34	43	23	32	13	20
1200	61	75	37	45	25	34	14	21
1250	64	79	39	48	26	36	15	23
1300	67	83	41	50	28	38	16	24
1350	70	86	43	53	30	40	17	26
1400	73	90	45	55	31	42	19	27
1450	76	94	47	58	33	44	20	29
1500	80	98	50	60	35	47	21	30
1550	83	101	52	63	36	49	22	32
1600	86	105	54	65	38	51	23	33
1650	89	109	56	68	39	53	25	35
1700	92	113	58	70	41	55	26	36
1750	95	116	60	73	43	57	27	38
1800	98	120	62	75	44	59	28	39
1850	102	124	65	78	46	61	29	41
1900	105	128	67	80	48	63	31	42
1950	108	131	69	83	49	65	32	44
2000	111	135	71	85	51	67	33	45
2050	114	139	73	88	53	69	34	47
2100	117	143	75	90	54	71	35	48

SDGE
A Sempra Energy utility

Company Operations Standard
Gas Standard
Gas Engineering

Purging Pipelines and Components	SDG&E:	G7909

Table A2**

Pipe Size (in.)	Estimated Duration of Purge (min) (at the minimum injection rates shown in Table A1) Length of Pipe (feet)									
	1000	**2000**	**3000**	**4000**	**5000**	**6000**	**8000**	**10000**	**20000**	**50000**
4 and less	8	16	24	32	40	48	64	80	160	400
6	8	16	23	31	39	46	62	77	154	385
8	7	14	20	27	34	40	54	67	134	334
10	6	12	18	25	31	37	49	61	122	303
12	6	11	17	22	28	34	45	56	111	278
16	5	10	15	19	24	29	38	48	96	238
18	5	9	14	18	23	28	37	46	91	228
20	5	9	13	17	22	26	34	43	85	213
22	4	8	13	16	21	25	33	41	82	205
26	4	8	12	15	19	23	30	38	76	189
30	4	7	11	14	18	21	28	35	70	176
34	4	7	10	13	17	20	27	33	66	164
36	3	7	10	13	16	19	26	32	64	159

The time for lengths not shown may be interpolated. For assistance with interpolation, contact **Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering.

Table A3

Number of Nitrogen Cylinders (250 Cubic Feet Each)
Required To Form the Minimum Slug Size in a Pipeline
Indirect Method

Pipe Size (inches)	Pipe Length (ft)									
	500**	1000	2000	3000	4000	5000	7500	10,000	20,000	50,000
4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2
10	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3
12	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	4
16	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	5	7
18	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	5	6	9
20	2	3	3	4	4	4	5	6	7	11
22	3	3	4	4	5	5	6	7	9	13
26	4	4	5	6	6	7	8	9	12	18
30	5	5	7	8	8	9	11	12	16	24
34	6	7	9	11	12	13	15	17	23	35
36	7	8	10	12	13	15	17	19	26	39

Pipelines less than 500 ft. may be displaced directly with air or gas. Refer to **Table 1 "Purging Method" for additional guidance or when indirect purge is to be used.

Table A4
Number of Nitrogen Cylinders (250 Cubic Feet Each) Required To Fill Pipeline
Total Displacement Method

Pipe Size (inches)	Pipe Length (ft) 500	1000	2000	3000	4000	5000	6000	8000	10,000	20,000	50,000
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	5
3	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	5	11
4	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	5	9	19
6	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	8	10	19	*42
8	1	2	4	5	7	9	10	13	17	*33	*74
10	2	3	6	8	11	13	16	21	26	*52	*116
12	2	4	8	11	15	19	22	29	*37	*73	*164
16	3	6	12	17	23	28	*34	*45	*56	*112	*254
18	4	8	15	22	29	*36	*43	*58	*72	*143	*325
20	5	9	18	27	*36	*45	*54	*72	*89	*178	*405
22	6	11	22	*33	*44	*55	*66	*87	*109	*217	*494
26	8	16	31	*46	*62	*77	*92	*123	*154	*307	*696
30	11	21	*42	*62	*83	*103	*124	*165	*206	*411	*934
34	14	27	*54	*80	*107	*133	*160	*213	*266	*531	*1206
36	15	30	*60	*90	*120	*150	*179	*239	*299	*597	*1356

* Consider using a nitrogen truck for purges. See Table A6 for volume in SCF.

Table A5
Volume (SCF) of Nitrogen Required To Form
the Minimum Required Slug Size in Pipeline
Indirect Method

Pipe Size (inches)	*Pipe Length in Feet 2000	3500	5000	7500	10,000	20,000	50,000
12	263	321	368	433	486	653	985
16	430	527	605	712	802	1080	1632
18	553	677	777	915	1030	1387	2097
20	689	844	968	1139	1283	1728	2611
22	831	1017	1168	1375	1548	2085	3151
26	1162	1424	1633	1923	2165	2916	4406
30	1546	1895	2173	2561	2880	3880	5863
34	2204	2722	3137	3711	4189	5677	8630
36	2480	3067	3531	4179	4716	6391	9714

*Consider using bottles for smaller diameters and shorter lengths.

Table A6
Volume (SCF) of Nitrogen Required to Fill Pipeline
Total Displacement Method

Pipe Size (inches)	Pipe Length in Feet										
	500	1000	2000	3000	4000	5000	6000	8000	10,000	20,000	50,000
6	*116	*231	*461	*691	*921	*1,151	*1,381	*1,840	*2,300	4,600	11,498
8	*202	*403	*805	*1,206	*1,608	*2,010	*2,412	*3,215	4,019	8,037	20,091
10	*320	*639	*1,277	*1,915	*2,552	*3,190	*3,828	5,104	6,379	12,758	31,893
12	*451	*902	*1,802	*2,703	*3,604	4,504	5,405	7,206	9,007	18,014	45,032
16	*699	*1,397	*2,792	4,188	5,584	6,979	8,375	11,166	13,957	27,914	69,782
18	*894	*1,786	*3,571	5,357	7,142	8,927	10,712	14,283	17,853	35,705	89,261
20	*1,112	*2,224	4,447	6,670	8,893	11,116	13,339	17,784	22,230	44,459	111,147
22	*1,357	*2,713	5,425	8,136	10,848	13,560	16,272	21,695	27,119	54,237	135,591
26	*1,915	*3,828	7,655	11,482	15,309	19,136	22,964	30,618	38,272	76,543	191,355
30	*2,568	5,134	10,268	15,401	20,534	25,668	30,801	41,068	51,334	102,668	256,668
34	*3,316	6,632	13,262	19,893	26,523	33,154	39,784	53,045	66,307	132,612	331,529
36	*3,729	7,457	14,912	22,368	29,823	37,279	44,734	59,645	74,557	149,112	372,779

* Consider using bottles for purges.

SDGE
A Sempra Energy utility

Company Operations Standard
Gas Standard
Gas Engineering

Purging Pipelines and Components	SDG&E:	G7909

NOTE: Do not alter or add any content from this page down; the following content is automatically generated.
Brief: Fully reviewed. Re-structured and re-formatted the standard for clarity, added/revised Sections 12, 13, and 14. Provided additional clarity on CGI requirements for purging operations, added/removed updated Operator Qualification covered tasks, and various editorial changes.

Document Profile Summary	
Responsible Person:	[redacted]
Published On:	09/01/2019
Last Full Review Completed On:	07/30/2019
Writer:	[redacted]
Document Status:	Active
Document Type:	GAS
If Merged, Merged To Document Number:	
Utility:	SDG&E
Department:	Gas Engineering
Number of Common Document:	182.0160
Confidential Sections:	
Part of SoCalGas O&M Plan:	No
Part of SDG&E O&M Plan:	Yes
Contains OPQUAL Covered Task:	Yes
OpQual Tasks	07.01 07.02
Last O&M Review date:	2019-09-24
O&M 49 CFR Codes & Impacted Sections of Document:	192.727(e): Entire Doc 192.727(c): Entire Doc 192.727(b): Entire Doc 192.629(b): Entire Doc 192.629(a): Entire Doc 192.605(b)(6): Entire Doc 192.605(b)(5): Entire Doc
Part of Non-O&M Parts 191-193 Plan	No
Non-O&M 49 CFR Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	
Part of Distribution IMP (DIMP)	No
Part of Transmission IMP (TIMP)	No
Part of Storage IMP (SIMP)	No
Impacts GO112F	No
GO112F Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	
Impacts Underground Gas Storage Projects (DOGGR)	No
14 CCR Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	
Impacts GO58A	No
GO58A Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	
Impacts GO58B	No
GO58B Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	
Indices/Binders in Which Document is Filed:	CFSD, CSFSD, GSSD, MSSD
NOP Learning Module (LM) Training Code:	NOP01101


Chapter 4 - Recordkeeping & Field Verification
Oct-18
Dec-18
Feb-19
Mar-19
May-19
Jul-19
Aug-19
Oct-19
Dec-19
Jan-20
COLLECT DATA/ GATHER HIGH-LEVEL REQUIREMENTS
COMPLETE GAP ANALYSIS
SUBMIT WOA AND OBTAIN APPROVALS- BP 9
HIRE PROJECT MANAGER FOR THE PROJECT
PROJECT PLANNING
ANALYZE DAMAGES REQUIREMENTS AND POPULATE DATA LAKE WITH DAMAGES
DEVELOP AND TEST SCG DAMAGES REPORTING
ANALYZE, DEVELOP AND TEST SDGE DAMAGES REPORTING
DEVELOP AND TEST SCG AND SDGE LEAK REPORTING
DEVELOP ANALYTICS DEMONSTRATION
REVIEW AND REVISE DATA LAKE ARCHITECTURE, IF NEEDED
FURTHER POPULATE DATA LAKE
DEVELOP AND TEST REMAINING SB1371 REPORTS
REVIEW AND REVISE DATA LAKE ARCHITECTURE IF NEEDED
ANALYTICS DEVELOPMENT -SCOPE TBD
SUBMIT WOA & OBTAIN APPROVALS- TRANSMISSION
PLANNING
FIELD VERIFICATION TEST RUN
TEMPLATE DESIGN
DETERMINE INPUT METHOD
CREATE PROCEDURE FOR TASK
CREATE FIELD VERIFICATION TEMPLATE AND ASSET REPORT
COMPILE ASSET LISTS FOR WEST, EAST AND COMPRESSOR STATIONS
HIRE CONTRACTORS FOR COMPRESSOR STATIONS
HIRE NEW TECH SPECIALIST FOR FIELD VERIFICATION
FIELD VERIFICATION (PHASE 1 TO 4) _ EAST
FIELD VERIFICATION (PHASE 1 TO 4) _ WEST
FINAL PHASE FIELD VERIFICATION
PHASE 1- COMPRESSOR STATION (VENTURA 269)
PHASE 2- COMPRESSOR STATION (MORENO 795)
PHASE 3- COMPRESSOR STATION (N. NEEDLES 207)
PHASE 4- COMPRESSOR STATIONS (S NEEDLE 299)
PHASE 5- COMPRESSOR STATIONS (NEWBERRY 346)
PRESSURE LIMITING SITES (TAFT)
PRESSURE LIMITING SITES (VALENCIA)
PRESSURE LIMITING SITES (VENTURA)
PRESSURE LIMITING SITES (MIRAMAR)
PRESSURE LIMITING SITES (BEAUMONT)
PRESSURE LIMITING SITES (BREA)
PRESSURE LIMITING SITES (OLYMPIC)


Chapter 15 - Expanded Public Awareness Program
Dec-18
Jan-19
Mar-19
May-19
Jun-19
Aug-19
Oct-19
Nov-19
Jan-20
Mar-20
OBTAIN FINAL APPROVALS ON JOB REQUISITIONS
SEND PROPOSALS TO EXCAVATOR FOCUS GROUPS
RECRUIT FOCUS GROUPS
HOLD 3 EXCAVATORS FOCUS GROUP MEETINGS
OBTAIN FINAL REPORT FROM FOCUS GROUP MEETINGS
HIRE MARKET ADVISOR
GATHER DATA ON FREQUENT DAMAGES TO TARGET CAMPAIGNS
WORK WITH CLAIMS TO OBTAIN A LIST OF REPEAT OFFENDERS
HOLD FOCUS GROUPS WITH HOME OWNERS
OBTAIN FINAL REPORT FROM FOCUS GROUP MEETINGS
DEVELOP COMMUNICATION TOOLS
HOLD FOCUS GROUPS WITH HOMEOWNERS IN SD
UPDATE SDG&E EXCAVATOR BROCHURES
PILOT PROGRAM FOR SCHOOLS
CONDUCT CONTRACTOR SAFETY MEETINGS


Ch 9 - Dig-Ins and Repeat Offenders
Apr-19
May-19
Jul-19
Aug-19
Oct-19
Dec-19
Jan-20
PLAN
SCOPE DEFINITION
PROJECT SCHEDULE
DATA GATHERING
SCOPE COMPLETE
ANALYZE
USE CASE WORKSHOPS
DEVELOP PRELIMINARY FUNCTIONAL & NON-FUNTIONAL REQUIREMENTS
REVIEW USE CASES & REQUIREMENTS
REVIEW REQ V2
UPDATE SCOPE (CONCEPT, TIMELINE, BUDGET)
OBTAIN APPROVALS TO PROCEED
DESIGN
BUILD


Chapter 10- Gas Speciation
Apr-19
May-19
Jul-19
Aug-19
Oct-19
Dec-19
Jan-20
POPULATE WOA & OBTAIN IO FOR SDGE
TRAIN THE TRAINER
ORDER ADDITIONAL GAS SPECIATION VAN
ORDER TOOLS & EQUIPMENT FOR THE VAN
ORDER & RECEIVE TEST EQUIPMENT
TRAIN ALL LAB TECHS ON NEW EQUIPMENT
HIRE TECHNICIANS

Environmental Excellence Policy

Responsible Dept.: Environmental Services
Responsible Officer: VP of Operations Support and Chief Environmental Officer
Applicability: **All Employees of SDG&E**

EFFECTIVE DATE: 05/14/2012
REVISION DATE: 12/18/2018
REVIEW DATE: 12/18/2018
INFORMATION TYPE: **Public**
Questions?
Contact: Environmental Services

1. POLICY:

Environmental excellence means being a responsible steward of the earth's cultural and natural resources and conserving plant and animal species along with their habitats San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E) is a responsible steward and conducts its activities in a way that protects the current and long-term wellbeing of our employees, the public, and the environment to meet the needs of the present without impacting the ability of future generations to meet their needs. SDG&E is committed to the following program activities to support Environmental Excellence.

1.1. Energy Efficiency & Air Quality /Climate Change

- Energy efficiency is a fundamental element in the progress toward a sustainable energy future. SDG&E is determined to assist our customers in consuming less energy.
- SDG&E recognizes that meeting customer energy needs requires diversification of energy sources along with efficiency both in production and use of all energy resources. SDG&E is determined to produce cleaner energy and will continue to focus on delivering a reliable energy supply and services that are competitively priced and support a low-carbon model that includes natural gas, energy efficiency, renewable power, clean transportation, distributed generation, and innovative technologies while reducing the emission of criteria pollutants greenhouse gases that contribute to climate change.
- SDG&E recognizes that methane is a potent Green House Gas that must be prevented from escaping to the atmosphere and supports the activities prescribed in Senate Bills 1371 and 1383 to reduce methane emissions.

1.2. Natural and Cultural Diversity

- San Diego County is rich in natural and cultural resources. It also has more biodiversity than any other county in North America, and along with the rest of California is among the top ten biodiversity regions on earth.
- SDG&E recognizes the overall challenge of environmental sustainability is the protection of biodiversity and natural and cultural resources.
- SDG&E is committed to conducting its operations in a way that promotes the maintenance of our regional biodiversity and the habitat upon which it depends through a coordinated and comprehensive program of avoidance, minimization and /or mitigation of impacts
- SDG&E is further committed to reducing freshwater consumption and preserving water quality through the design and operation of our facilities.

1.3. Lifecycle of Operations and Other Business Activities

- SDG&E is committed to preventing pollution throughout the life cycle of our operations and business activities by improving our environmental management systems. This includes minimizing energy and fuel usage, "greening" procurement practices, maintaining control over the chemical substances and materials used, reducing, substituting, and eliminating substances that have potentially significant impacts, and maximizing the recycling of wastes and byproducts.

2. BACKGROUND.

California is among the top ten biodiversity regions in the United States and as a result is rich in natural and cultural resources. **Biodiversity** is defined as the existence of a wide variety of plant and animal species in their natural environments. We are committed to protecting, preserving and enhancement of biodiversity in areas where we operate.

SDG&E uses water in a responsible and sustainable manner, and abides by applicable water related laws, regulations and permit requirements.

Environmental procedures are developed to manage environmental impacts including water reuse, recycling and waste minimization, greenhouse gas and other air emissions reduction programs and air quality improvements.

3. RELATED DOCUMENTS

Environmental Standards and Fact Sheets related to this policy can be found on the Sempra Utilities Operations Document System.

4. INFORMATION RETENTION GUIDANCE

For guidance as to the appropriate retention period for information related to this policy, please refer to the Information Management Policy.

Company Operations Standard
Gas Standard
Gas Engineering

Inspection Schedule - Regulator Station, Power Generating Plant Regulation Equipment Requirements	**SDG&E:**	**T8172**

PURPOSE **Measurement, Regulation & Control (MRC) with Region consultation determines the frequency of inspections of measurement and regulation equipment based on regulatory requirements, equipment performance and problems reported. Published inspections are minimum requirements. Regions have the option of performing more frequent inspections where conditions indicate the need.**

1. POLICY AND SCOPE

1.1. To maintain the integrity of all measurement, regulation equipment, records and schedules for associated equipment with regulator stations and power generating plants.

2. RESPONSIBILITIES & QUALIFICATIONS

2.1. **Regulator Technicians** perform inspections and tests on regulator station and power generating plant equipment to ensure that the station/plant is in good mechanical condition, set to function at the correct pressure, and is properly installed and protected from dirt, liquids, erosion, or other adverse conditions affecting operation.

2.2. **Instrument Technicians** perform inspections on flow computers, transmitters, correctors, electronic pressure recorders, SCADA and various types of communication equipment to ensure equipment is in good working condition and accurate when compared to reference standards.

2.3. **Regions** are responsible for conducting on-the-job training and self-audit programs to ensure compliance with this Standard.

3. DEFINITIONS

3.1. SAP – System, Applications & Products in data processing

3.2. SAP-PM – Plant Maintenance (SAP-PM is the Plant Maintenance module for SAP application)

3.3. Click Schedule – application used by the Area Resource & Scheduling Organization to plan, schedule and assign work to field crews.

3.4. Click Mobile – (Field mobile application software) – this is the approved Company software that is loaded onto each M&R field technician's Mobile Data Terminal

3.5. MAXIMO – The computerized maintenance management system used by SoCalGas and SDG&E to assist with planning, scheduling, and documentation of maintenance work on transmission and underground storage piping and equipment.

SDGE
A Sempra Energy utility

Company Operations Standard
Gas Standard
Gas Engineering

Inspection Schedule - Regulator Station, Power Generating Plant Regulation Equipment Requirements	SDG&E:	T8172

4. PROCEDURE

4.1. **Regulator Technicians** report dirt, liquids, erosion and other adverse conditions to supervision within one workday. Supervision to initiate installation of a screen or filter**.**

4.2. Records documenting new facility installation, field inspections and maintenance for each regulator and power generating plant facility, are maintained by the responsible **Distribution/Transmission Regions**.

4.3. **Regions** schedule more frequent inspections as conditions warrant.

4.3.1. **Regulator Technicians** complete inspection steps for the following:

4.3.2. Regulator station requirements listed in new **Appendix A** of this Standard.

4.3.3. Piston operated valve regulator requirements listed in new **Appendix B** of this Standard.

4.3.4. Power generating plant requirements listed in new **Appendix C** of this Standard.

4.4. Each pressure limiting station, relief device (except rupture discs), signaling device and pressure regulating station and its equipment must be subjected at intervals not exceeding 15 months, but at least once each calendar year, to inspections and tests to determine that it is:

4.4.1. In good mechanical condition;

4.4.2. Adequate from the standpoint of capacity and reliability of operation for the service in which it is employed;

4.4.3. Except as provided in paragraph (4.7), set to control or relieve at the correct pressure consistent with the pressure limits of §192.201(a); and

4.4.4. Properly installed and protected from dirt, liquids, or other conditions that might prevent proper operation.

4.4.5. For steel pipelines whose MAOP is determined under §192.619(c), if the MAOP is 60 psi (414 kPa) gage or more, the control or relief pressure limit is as follows:

If the MAOP produces a hoop stress that is:	Then the pressure limit is:
Greater than 72 percent of SMYS	MAOP plus 4 percent.
Unknown as a percentage of SMYS	A pressure that will prevent unsafe operation of the pipeline considering its operating and maintenance history and MAOP.

4.5. Pressure relief devices at pressure limiting stations and pressure regulating stations must have sufficient capacity to protect the facilities to which they are connected. Except as provided in §192.739(b), the capacity must be consistent with the pressure limits of §192.201(a). This capacity must be determined at intervals not exceeding 15 months, but at least once each calendar year, by testing the devices in place or by review and calculations.

4.6. If review and calculations are used to determine if a device has sufficient capacity, the calculated capacity must be compared with the rated or experimentally determined relieving capacity of the device for the conditions under which it operates. After the initial calculations, subsequent calculations need not be made if the annual review documents that parameters have not changed to cause the rated or experimentally determined relieving capacity to be insufficient.

4.7. If a relief device is of insufficient capacity, a new or additional device must be installed to provide the capacity required by paragraph (4.5) of this section.

5. INSPECTIONS

Inspection Scheduling

5.1. The SAP-PM (Plant Maintenance) application will create preventive inspection orders for regulators, valves, vaults and mainline filter equipment in regulator stations and power plants. The supervisor of region measurement functions is responsible for assuring all equipment is accounted for and inspected on time.

5.2. Regulator stations and power generating plants must be inspected at least once each calendar year. Inspections, including remedial work, are completed during the base inspection (anniversary) month, or within the “grace” period (defined as **one month** following the base inspection month for **customers** and **3 months** following the base inspection month for **District Regulator Stations**. Exceptions are:

5.2.1. **Customer** inspections with a base month for January must be completed in January or February.

5.2.2. **District Regulator Station** inspections with a base month for January must be completed in January, February, March or April.

5.2.3. December base month inspections must be completed in December.

5.2.4. Bi-annual inspections must be completed within their base month, and again during their 6-month anniversary (no "grace period").

5.2.5. Quarterly inspections must be completed within their base month, and again during each 3-month anniversary (no "grace period").

Note: Supervisor approval required if a Base Month is to be changed from original. Contact MRC staff for assistance.

5.3. Self-Audit

5.3.1. Supervisors and Leads will be able to verify all outstanding and completed orders through SAP-PM. SAP-PM will create and Click Schedule will issue work orders.

5.3.1.1. NOTE: With the roll out of OpEx, clerks, leads and supervisors will run daily reports against open notifications and orders in SAP for suspect non-compliance work. These reports are available via standard SAP or through SAP-BW. When Click Release 8 is rolled out, an exception report for preventive orders due and any orders near due date that will go into "*Jeopardy"* will be developed to allow the appropriate Supervisor to take immediate action. Reports should be run daily to ensure strict adherence to inspection intervals for compliance to CPUC and DOT rules and regulations.

5.4. Expansible Element and Diaphragm

5.4.1. MAXIMO or SAP-PM determines the interval, creates the preventive work order and, where applicable, **Click Schedule issues the order to perform** internal-parts-replacement (IPR) inspections for diaphragm or expansible elements at varying internals (depending on type and manufacturer) not to exceed fifteen (15) years.

5.4.2. Replace pilot diaphragms and valve seats etc., with associated mainline regulator IPR inspections.

NOTE: District Regulator Stations - Internally inspect expansible element regulators every 2 years if used as a monitor with pilot that bleeds either to atmosphere or into another system. The SAP-PM maintenance plan must be updated manually for each regulator meeting the above criteria.

Customer MSA's - Externally inspect expansible element regulators every 12 months if used as a monitor with pilot that bleeds either to atmosphere or into another system. The SAP-PM maintenance plan must be updated manually for each regulator meeting the above criteria.

Contact MRC – Measurement Technologies to request updates to each regulator maintenance plan meeting above criteria.

6. DISTRICT REGULATOR STATION (DRS) SPECIAL INSPECTIONS

Special inspections require:

- Inspection of all regulators.
- Operation test of piston operated valves.
- Inspection of all mainline filters, pilot filters and screens/strainers.

NOTE: DRS equipped with a mainline filter require only an external regulator inspection if filter inspection is found free of debris and liquids and the elements are intact.

6.1. Perform a special internal inspection on each regulator at regulator stations whenever there is a reason to suspect foreign materials/substance (wet or dry) in the gas stream.

- Enter appropriate condition code(s) on field orders.

7. CAPACITY CHECKS

7.1. Region Planning is responsible to determine:

7.2. The adequate capacities of district regulator stations.

7.3. The adequate capacities of pressure relieving devices. Special capacity checks are required prior to:

- Increasing inlet pressure to supply regulators.

- Reducing the Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP) of area served.
- Increasing capacity of pipelines leading to the regulator.
- Increasing size of regulator or regulator orifice.
- Small relief valves (less than one inch) used as signaling devices recommended by **MRC** for pilot regulator overpressure protection are exempt from this review.

8. INSPECTION OF SURROUNDING AREA

8.1. The **supervisor**, his designate, **Instrument Tech** is responsible for field functions checks the area surrounding the station every unscheduled or scheduled inspection when a relief valve provides main regulator overpressure protection. The check is made to determine if blowing gas is safe or appropriate with consideration given to foot and vehicular traffic, buildings, power lines, etc.

- Use MAXIMO to record the field check results. If conditions indicate that relief protection is no longer desirable, contact Technical Services.

9. OPERATOR QUALIFICATION COVERED TASKS
(See GS G8113, *Operator Qualification Program*, Appendix A, *Covered Task List*)

- **Task 2.2 –** 49 CFR 192.461 – Properly applying external protective coatings for corrosion control
- **Task 2.13 –** 49 CFR 192.481 – Monitoring for atmospheric corrosion
- **Task 2.15 –** 49 CFR 192.487 – Recognizing general and localized corrosion, taking action: Distribution
- **Task 3.1 –** 49 CFR 192.503(d) – Leak Testing non-welded joints
- **Task 7.**1 **–** 49 CFR 192.629 – Purging Pipeline
- **Task 13.1 –** 49 CFR 192.739 – Inspection/testing of pressure limiting and regulating stations and devices
- **Task 15.1 –** 49 CFR 192.743 – Inspection/testing of relief devices
- **Task 16.3 –** 49 CFR 192.747 – Inspection operating, and maintaining distribution system valves
- **Task 17.1 –** 49 CFR 192.749 – Inspecting/maintaining vaults

10. EXCEPTION PROCEDURE
(See GS G7007, *Exception Procedure for Company Operations Standards*)

10.1. An exception to this standard shall be considered only after practical solutions have been exhausted. Safety issues shall be given primary consideration, while adhering to governing codes before an approval of an exception is granted.

10.2. An exception from a standard shall not be allowed unless GS G7007, *Exception Procedure for Company Operations Standards*, is followed and approval is given by those as required by G7007.

11. RECORDS

Forms/Reporting and Retention

11.1. Completed field order results including "preventive" (scheduled) and "corrective" (unscheduled) inspections are entered into MAXIMO or Click Mobile. For new facility installation data, enter information onto manual forms. Master facility (functional location) and equipment information is updated in SAP-PM.

11.1.1. **Regulator or Instrument Technician** — Reviews, signs and forwards all field orders to the M&R Section Clerk within one **(1)** day of the field order completion date when Click Mobile is not available.

11.1.2. **Data Entry Clerk** — Enters any orders not entered into Click Mobile into MAXIMO or SAP within three **(3)** working days of receipt, not to exceed five **(5)** work days of the field order completion date.

11.1.3. Forms Retention: See Records Retention Standards on Sempra Net, http://home.sempranet.com/rm/ reference OPS-20-04 and OPS-20-06.

12. APPENDICES

12.1. **APPENDIX A:** Regulator Station Inspection Requirement

12.2. **APPENDIX B**: Piston-Operated Valve Regulator Inspection Requirements

12.3. **APPENDIX C:** Power Generating Plant Inspection Requirements

Inspection Schedule - Regulator Station, Power Generating Plant Regulation Equipment Requirements	SDG&E:	T8172

APPENDIX A

REGULATOR STATION INSPECTION REQUIREMENT

Provide uniform guidelines when performing periodic and special inspections.

1. External Inspection (EXT)

   Complete the following requirements, if applicable, during each scheduled external inspection.

2. VAULT INSPECTION

   For detailed vault inspection requirements, STANDARD T8167, *Valve Inspection and Maintenance - Transmission.*

   Test vault with combustible gas indicator before entering. See STANDARD G8315, *Confined Space Operations and* STANDARD G8200, *GMI First Responder – Oxygas 500 SDGE Model Multigas Detector.*

   2.1. Each vault housing pressure regulating and pressure limiting equipment, and having a volumetric internal content of 200 cubic feet (5.66 cubic meters) or more, must be inspected at intervals not exceeding 15 months, but at least once each calendar year, and during all unscheduled inspections to ensure the vault is in good physical condition and adequately ventilated.

   2.2. Each vault cover must be inspected to assure that it does not present a hazard to public safety.

   2.3. All other vaults having a volumetric internal content less than 200 cubic feet are inspected each time the MSA is inspected to assure public and employee safety.

3. CHECK STATION PIPING FOR ELECTRICITY

   3.1. Check station for electricity using a company approved AC. (alternating current) voltage detector, when required to make physical contact with the station. See STANDARD D7131, Testing for Electricity at the Gas Meter Set Assembly.

4. CHECK STATION CONDITION

   4.1. Remove debris and weeds from area. Clean thoroughly around vault lid to avoid springing lid when opening.

   4.2. If gas is found in the vault, the equipment in the vault must be inspected for leaks, and any leaks found must be repaired.

4.3. Check for and remove water in vault.

4.4. The ventilating equipment must also be inspected to determine that it is functioning properly.

4.5. Check station for proper installation of piping and control lines. Repair as needed.

4.6. Inspect condition of the following as appropriate and repair where necessary, or issue follow-up order to have work done.

- Walls
- Fencing
- Buildings
- Barricades
- Vault Floor
- Vent stacks
- Vault covers
- Gauge houses
- Piping supports
- Overall Vault Condition
- Strain on piping due to ground settlement.
- Signage consistent with the requirements of §192.707(c, d)

4.7. Check station for existence of Intersection Drawing (I.D.) and verify accuracy of regulators, valves and related components. **Valves which are normally closed must be labeled 'CLOSED" on the station ID drawing.** If the station is incorrect, submit correction to Supervisor within one week of findings.

4.8. Check and record inlet and outlet pressures and ensure they are within MAOP/Authorized tolerances.

4.9. Monitor district pressure downstream from all valves throughout inspection and maintenance activities, and re-check pressure prior to departure.

4.10. Inspect Stop Valves.

4.10.1. Lubricate valves requiring lubrication, and when found hard to operate. Valves requiring lubrication do not necessarily need to be lubricated during

each inspection. This includes all plug valves and the Rockwell Hypersphere TM (trunnion mounted) Valve and Grove Ball Valve (Model B-5) do not require lubrication for normal operation. See STANDARD T8167, *Valve Inspection and Maintenance - Transmission.*

4.10.2. Use two strokes of handgun or four pulses of pneumatic gun per inch of valve size.

4.10.3. Use one stick of sealant when installed with a lubricant screw. Verify that use of the screw has not changed the valve position.

4.10.4. Install a proper size button head lubricant fitting if valve is not so equipped. Install an extension high head with lubricant tube if the valve's depth will not permit the lubricating hose coupler to be attached to the button head. High head devices can be installed without excavating. Each cased plug valve should be left (install adapter if required) so as to permit the use of the standard 2-5/32" socket on the valve wrench.

4.10.5. If the valve is in a casing, test valve casing for leaking gas. If gas is detected and leak cannot be repaired by tightening the packing gland or lubricating the valve, complete the inspection and notify supervisor immediately to repair the leak. For Click Mobile users' note that additional follow-up work is needed in the remarks section on form 5110 District Regulator Station – Inspection.

4.10.6. Verify that valve tag is in place and identification number corresponds with the number on valve inspection order. If there is a discrepancy, immediately resolve the problem with the responsible **Supervisor**.

4.10.7. Hard to operate or inoperable valves must be repaired or replaced within the inspection and grace period for the **district regulator station**.
See STANDARD T8167, *Valve Inspection and Maintenance - Transmission.*

4.11. Check Regulator Operating Pressure

4.11.1. Operate and check all regulator settings using approved pressure standards that are in good working condition and possess a current calibration date.

4.11.2. Use manual bypass if needed. Compare actual settings with those listed on order, update as needed, and verify information on regulator identification tag is correct.

4.12. Check Regulator Lockup

4.12.1. Check all regulators, relief valves, and signaling devices for lockup and record lock-up difference.

- Enter lock-up difference for each spring-loaded regulator.
- If regulator is pilot loaded, post lock-up difference to associated pilot.
- For relief valves, shut-offs, and signaling devices enter difference between release point (setting) and closure/seal point.

4.12.2. Compare pressures with previous lock-up difference.

4.12.3. Metal-seated regulators do not require tight lockup, record-closing pressure in **MAXIMO** or **"Remarks"** section of **Click Mobile Form 5110 "DRS General Inspection".** Verify mainline regulator code as having "metal" seats.
See STANDARD T8174, *Regulator Lockup Tests*.

4.12.4. Regulator setting plus lockup difference must not exceed MAOP limits. See Section 4.4.5.

4.13. Check for Diaphragm Leakage

4.13.1. Place soap bubble over regulator vent.

4.13.2. Test for leakage at diaphragm chamber lip.

4.14. Check Control Piping

4.14.1. Drain all traps in control piping.

4.14.2. Clear any foreign objects from station piping or equipment.

4.14.3. Verify control piping is secure, protected and not installed in lower half of horizontal piping.

4.15. Check Pilot and Instrument Filter

4.15.1. Operate filter inlet sump blow off valve or make a visual internal inspection of pilot and instrument filters for cleanliness.

4.16. Check Relief Valves / Signaling Device

4.16.1. Check caps on all relief valves to make sure they are loose enough to open readily if relief valve operates.

4.16.2. Operate all relief valves and backpressure regulators used as relief valves and shutoff valves (except rupture disc type) to determine proper operation.

4.16.3. Test relief valve for leakage after operating test. Place soap bubble over outlet of relief valve, or test atmosphere in stack with gas indicator.

4.16.4. Verify information on identification tag is correct.

4.16.5. Check Signaling Device for operation.

4.17. Inspect Mainline Filter and Strainer/Screen

Filters**:**

4.17.1. If a mainline filter does not have a filter-monitoring device, then perform internal inspection

4.17.2. If the mainline dry gas filter has a filter-monitoring device and monitor indicator **exceeds** the pre-established **two-pound** differential limit, then an internal inspection is required. Some special filters may require differential pressures that exceed 2 psig. Establish unique requirements for those locations.

4.17.3. If a special inspection was performed on the filter prior to the scheduled inspection and dust/debris was found, then an internal filter inspection is required.

4.18. Screens and Strainers

4.18.1. Mainline strainers/screens require, at a minimum, blowing the purge valve to determine if any dust, dirt, or debris is present.

4.18.2. If a substantial amount of dust, dirt, or debris is found, (one 8 ounce cup or more), during and after blowing down the purge valve, an internal inspection is required to, (1) remove any additional material, (2) to verify that the strainer/screen remains structurally sound and (3) determine if a full sized filter is warranted.

4.18.3. On a newly installed mainline strainer/screen an internal inspection is required during its first scheduled inspection.

4.19. Check Deodorizer and Charcoal Filter

4.19.1. Make a “sniff" sampling of deodorizer or filter. Replace deodorizing material if odor of gas is evident at bleed outlet.

4.20. Check Electronic Pressure Recorder

4.21. Check Valve Position

4.21.1. Check stop valve under each relief valve to verify it is open and locked.

4.21.2. Check control line valves for proper position. For installations not in a vault or fenced enclosure, remove the valve handles or padlock valve.

4.21.3. Verify correct position of all valves inside station and shutoff valves outside station.

4.21.4. Lubricate valves requiring lubrication for normal operation. See *T8167 - Valve Inspection and Maintenance — Transmission*

4.22. Check for Leaks

Soap test all connections loosened during inspection and leave station free of leaks.

4.23. Corrosion

Inspect for coating deterioration on all new and existing metallic gas piping, except stainless, installed above ground or piping exposed to atmosphere in a vault or curb meter box, clean and recoat as necessary with an approved coating to prevent corrosion and deterioration. See STANDARD **G8003,** *Design and Application of Cathodic Protection.*

4.24. Paint Station

Paint all new and existing metallic gas piping, except stainless, installed above ground or piping exposed to atmosphere in a vault or curb meter box with an approved paint as needed to prevent corrosion and deterioration. See STANDARD **G8003,** *Design and Application of Cathodic Protection.*

5. INTERNAL INSPECTIONS (INT)

Internal inspections are performed when station maintenance history, operating conditions, or the external inspection results indicate worn parts, damage or debris in the regulator. Any disassembly with or without parts replacement, short of a

complete Internal with Parts Replacement Inspection is considered an Internal inspection.

6. INTERNAL WITH PARTS REPLACEMENT INSPECTIONS (IPR)

Internal with parts replacement inspections include all external requirements plus the following:

6.1. Replace soft parts (O-rings, disc, etc,) on inner valves. Replace expansible elements.

6.2. Replace diaphragms (leather or synthetic) in mainline regulators.

6.3. Replace or rebuild pilot regulators using new soft parts.

6.4. Replace filter elements in filters supplying pilot regulators and instruments.

6.5. Internal inspection and soft parts replacement is not required for the valve portion of a motor valve operated ball valve. Inspect and replace parts if inspection indicates a need.

7. SPECIAL INSPECTION

7.1. See **Section 6** District Regulator Stations (DRS), Special Inspections of this Standard.

7.2. See **Appendix A**, Section 4.17. Inspection Mainline Filter and Strainer/Screen applicable

NOTE: If there is no mainline filter, disassemble and inspect the valve portion of all mainline regulators. (INT)

8. MALFUNCTION OF REGULATORS AND RELATED EQUIPMENT

8.1. Check regulators and related equipment to determine and record cause of malfunction, such as downstream pressure outside of normal tolerance, erratic operation or failure to control. Use MAXIMO or appropriate system condition and activity codes from the Click Mobile pick list Form 5460 “Regulation Inspection” and explain additional comments in Remarks section on Click Mobile **Form 5010** (MSA) or Click Mobile **Form 5110** (DRS) order.

8.2. Take corrective action to minimize possibility of a recurrence. Record appropriate activity codes and additional actions taken in Remarks section.

Inspection Schedule - Regulator Station, Power Generating Plant Regulation Equipment Requirements	**SDG&E:**	**T8172**

APPENDIX B

PISTON-OPERATED VALVE REGULATOR INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS

Provide guidelines while performing the following requirements for periodic inspections at district regulator stations and customer large meter sets.

1. External Inspection

1.1. Put installation on bypass if necessary. Stand clear of actuator movement at all times.

1.2. Shut off supply through piston-operated valve by closing its upstream or downstream mainline valve.

1.3. Check operation of controllers, air control or relay valves, positioners, other instruments and valve actuators. If controller has reset action, check to see that it is working properly.

1.4. Check and verify settings, and check and record lock-up differences of all control line devices (as applicable) and regulators associated with the piston-operated valve regulator. Verify equipment is tagged correctly.

> **NOTE:** Lock-up difference for the piston-operated valve regulator is recorded as difference between controller (pilot) setting, and minimum induced closing pressure, required to fully close the main valve.

1.5. Three-Point Check on BPE regulators, perform the following:

1.5.1. Ball Valve Check

- Check mainline regulator for lock-up. In addition, check ball valve condition by blowing down body cavity while in the closed position. This check determines condition of both inner and outer seat rings.

1.5.2. If valve fails to shut down completely, lubricate valve per STANDARD T8167, *Valve Inspection and Maintenance - Transmission.*

> **NOTE:** All Grove ball valves are designed not to require sealant. However, our Company's experience has identified the need to lubricate these valves under certain conditions. When lubrication is necessary use #47 Mobil lubricant or other lubricant recommended by manufacturer.

- If complete blow-down is not achieved by lubrication, determine which seat ring is bad by distinguishing whether the blow-by is created from the inlet or outlet pressure. Leakage due to erosion is a greater possibility when regulator is under throttling conditions rather than when as an on-off device.

NOTE: Notify **Technical Services** to develop a plan for valve repairs.

1.5.3. Pneumatic Cylinder Leak Test

Operate valve actuator several times to flex the piston seals. Check for piston seal blow-by. This is done by venting piston side without the pressure and placing a soap bubble on vented fitting. Check both sides of piston using this method. If the leak test indicates evidence of leakage, complete inspection and notify your Measurement Supervisor.

1.5.4. Cylinder Rod Linkage Check ("Lost Motion")

Operate valve actuator to inspect regulator for lost motion. When this is done, measure the travel indicator motion between cylinder movement and the start of ball valve rotation. This travel should be approximately 1/8-inch. Travel exceeding 1/4-inch is excessive and could affect control accuracy. Contact your Measurement Supervisor when this condition is discovered.

NOTE: A 10-to-20-psig signal should be used to operate actuator without moving ball when checking for lost motion

1.6. Operate all other valve actuators several times to flex the piston seals. It is the number of reversals of travel that is important.

1.7. Lubricate valve. If Grove ball valve, refer to item I.5.1 (a) Ball Valve Check.

1.8. Lubricate Ledeen valve actuators with automotive chassis grease.

1.9. Lubricate positioner linkage on valve regulators. Include pulleys on Foxboro's and ball joints on Baileys. Use Lubriplate or similar lubricant.

1.10. Lubricate Ledeen Spanseal positioner by turning lubrication fitting one full turn. Use Dow Corning #4 compound - special purchase item from Ledeen.

1.11. Check and report all other associated regulators and pneumatic equipment for lock-up and correct operation, i.e., positioners, controllers, no bleed pilots and pneumatic control valves.

1.12. Return equipment to normal operation. Verify that all valves, regulators, controllers set points, Valvair or Numatic valves, reset knobs, etc., are in correct position.

2. INTERNAL (VISUAL) INSPECTIONS

Internal inspections include all external inspection requirements plus the following:

2.1. Check oil level in body and oil dampening loop where applicable on plug and ball valve actuators. Oil level in body should be down a little and dampening loop should be full. Use SAE 50 or non-detergent motor oil 40 in body and rotary meter oil (Code 45-7800) in dampening loop.

2.2. Clean and lubricate the piston rod and rollers on operators that do not have an oil bath. Use Lubriplate or similar lubricant.

2.3. Check valves in controllers, positioners and other pneumatic control valves. Do not lubricate.

2.4. Check that valve positioner intake and exhaust screens are not plugged with foreign material.

2.5. Inspect all filters including built-in filters in Fisher 67F pilots and Bailey or Foxboro positioners. Clean or replace as necessary.

2.6. Blow all control and instrument supply lines.

2.7. Operate all valves equipped with valve actuators and record or verify the operating pressure. Reduce supply pressure to 24 psi and increase in 5-psi increments until valve operates. Compare with previous readings. If significant increase has occurred, lubricate or take other corrective action.

2.8. BPE regulators do not require an internal inspection if the three-point checks are performed on schedule. See **Section 1.5** of Appendix B.

2.9. Fisher Hi-Ball and V-Ball regulators do not require an internal inspection of the ball valve, except as indicated by operation tests.

3. INTERNAL WITH PARTS REPLACEMENT (IPR)

NOTE: When indicated by operation tests, an Internal with Parts Replacement **(IPR)** is required.

IPR inspections include all internal inspection requirements plus the following:

3.1. Internally inspect valve actuators, replacing soft parts as needed.

3.2. Replace controllers only when needed. Before inspecting or replacing the pressure controllers, **note proportional band, reset and set point. (“As Found”)** Condition.

3.3. Visually inspect and clean all Fisher 4100 controllers.

3.4. Replace malfunctioning internals of Bristol A/D's.

3.5. Bristol A/D units are cleaned and calibrated on test bench.

3.6. Replace and return controllers to Meter and Instrument Services for rebuilding.

NOTE: Adjust controllers in accordance with settings noted above in 3.2, **“as found”** condition.

3.7. Internally inspect and clean all positioners and pneumatically operated control valves. Leave all settings the same as before disassembly.

3.8. Rebuild or replace all pilot instrument supply and power gas regulators as needed. All setting should be left the same as before disassembly.

3.9. Replace all filter elements.

3.10. Lubricate all Bailey positioner supply and bypass valves with Bailey petcock lubricant - special purchase item from Bailey

3.11. Change grease in Bailey positioner gearboxes. Fill gearbox half full with Lubriplate or similar lubricant. Rotate gears to work grease into teeth.

3.12. BPE regulators **do not require IPR,** if the three-point checks are performed on schedule. See **Section 1.5** in Appendix B, *Three-point check for BPE Regulators*.

3.13. Fisher Hi-Ball and V-Ball regulators do not require an IPR on the ball valve portion of the regulator, except as indicated by operations tests.

APPENDIX C

POWER GENERATING PLANT INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS

1. General Requirements

    1.1. Notify Plant Control Room before attempting any work on meter runs.

    1.2. Provide means of maintaining service if meter run is taken out of service.

        1.2.1. Take no more than one run out of service at the same time.

        1.2.2. Operate manual bypass to carry a large portion of the load and let the standby run do the trimming if the facility has both manual bypass and automatic standby run. An operator must stay by the manual bypass valve and observe gauge during entire bypass operation.

2. OPERATING CHECK

    Operating Check includes the steps listed in **Appendix A** of this Standard for an external regulator inspection plus the following:

    2.1. Verify signal lights are functional, if installation is so equipped, while checking regulator operations.

    2.2. Check operation of differential limit controllers to see that control valves operated at high and low set points. Introduce false differential to check. If control valve is open, block open before testing.

    2.3. See **Section 1.** *External Inspection*, in **Appendix A** of this Standard for remainder of inspection requirements.

3. INTERNAL INSPECTION

    Internal inspections include the above operating check requirements, plus the following:

    3.1. Internally inspect all mainstream regulators.

    3.2. See **Section 4.** *Check Station Condition,* in **Appendix A** of this Standard for remainder of inspection requirements.

Inspection Schedule - Regulator Station, Power Generating Plant Regulation Equipment Requirements	SDG&E:	T8172

4. IPR (INTERNAL W/PARTS REPLACEMENT)

A rebuild includes the internal inspection requirements plus rebuild all regulators. This includes replacing all soft parts including diaphragms and expansible elements. There is no requirement to internally inspect or replace parts in the valve portion of a ball valve regulator. For ball valve regulators, replace parts only if inspection indicates a need.

5. SPECIAL INSPECTIONS

Perform a special inspection when unusual amounts of dust, dirt or debris are found, or when deemed necessary by the region. Inspect equipment as follows:

5.1. Disassemble and visually inspect all in-service regulators, mainline screens, filters, pilot filters and instrument filters. (INT)

5.2. Check regulators and piston-actuated valves for proper operation and satisfactory lockup.

5.3. Inspect valve actuators, valve positioners, flow controllers, pressure controllers and two-position (differential limit) controllers for proper operation – replace defective equipment.

Company Operations Standard
Gas Standard
Gas Engineering

Inspection Schedule - Regulator Station, Power Generating Plant Regulation Equipment Requirements	SDG&E:	T8172

NOTE: Do not alter or add any content from this page down; the following content is automatically generated.

Brief: Appendix A - Sections 4.2 and 4.4 were added. Other minor changes made for clarity. All new changes do not effect company operations, procedures or training. Example: newly added items 4.2 and 4.4 have been performed in the field, but now the GS includes written instructions.

Document Profile Summary	
Responsible Person:	[redacted]
Published On:	01/09/2019
Last Full Review Completed On:	07/15/2015
Writer:	[redacted]
Document Status:	Active
Document Type:	GAS
Category (Prior FCD system only):	
If Merged, Merged To Document Number:	
Utility:	SDG&E
Department:	Measurement, Regulation & Control
Number of Common Document:	184.0275
Confidential Sections:	
Part of SoCalGas O&M Plan:	No
Part of SDG&E O&M Plan:	Yes
Contains OPQUAL Covered Task:	Yes
OpQual Tasks	02.02 02.13 02.15 03.01 07.01 13.01 15.01 16.03 17.01
Last O&M Review date:	8/21/2019
O&M 49 CFR Codes & Impacted Sections of Document:	192.739(a): 4.4 192.739(b): 4.4.5 192.743(a): 4.5 192.743(b): 4.6 192.743(c): 4.7 192.749(a): 2.1 of Appendix A 192.749(b): 4.2 of Appendix A 192.749(c): 4.4 of Appendix A 192.749(d): 2.2 of Appendix A
Part of Non-O&M Parts 191-193 Plan	No
Non-O&M 49 CFR Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	
Part of Distribution IMP (DIMP)	Yes
Part of Transmission IMP (TIMP)	Yes
Part of Storage IMP (SIMP)	No
Impacts GO112F	No
GO112F Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	
Impacts Underground Gas Storage Projects (DOGGR)	No
14 CCR Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	
Impacts GO58A	No
GO58A Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	
Impacts GO58B	No
GO58B Codes & Impacted Sections of Document	
Indices/Binders in Which Document is Filed:	DIMP2, GSSD, TIMP2

Company Operations Standard
Gas Standard
Gas Engineering

Inspection Schedule - Regulator Station, Power Generating Plant Regulation Equipment Requirements	**SDG&E:**	**T8172**

NOP Learning Module (LM) Training Code:	NOP01563

RMLD-CS™

Remote Methane Leak Detector Complete Solution

Recognize the potential for increased safety, significant productivity gains and time-savings with the new RMLD-CS. Remote detection allows utility services personnel and first responders to quickly scan an area for suspected gas leaks at a safe distance.

The HEATH Remote Methane Leak Detector - Complete Solution (RMLD-CS) is a highly advanced technology, capable of detecting methane leaks from a remote distance utilizing the same TDLAS (tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy) technology as the current RMLD. This instrument eliminates the separate receiver and transceiver, combining them into one hand-held instrument that is lightweight, portable and field rugged. The RMLD-CS makes it possible to detect leaks without having to walk the full length of the pipe line, thus creating safer surveys in areas that may be difficult to reach such as busy roadways, yards with dogs, fenced off areas and other hard to access places. It operates under a variety of field conditions including a wide temperature range, light rain and fog. Its rugged design will stand up to normal field use and operating conditions and its sensitivity or range is not affected by reasonable amounts of dust on the instrument's window.

The RMLD-CS includes many new features including:

- Rechargeable and replaceable battery
- Dual battery charger
- Mobile App support
- Ergonomic housing
- Lightweight
- Graphical user interface
- Internal data logging
- WiFi
- GPS
- Bluetooth BLE
- Color camera
- Color display

HEATH CONSULTANTS

Your Safety...Our Commitment

9030 Monroe Road, Houston, TX 77061
www.heathus.com PH:713.844.1300

03/19

SPECIFICATIONS

Detection Method	Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Spectroscopy (TDLAS)
Measurement Range	0 to 99,999 ppm-m
Sensitivity	5 ppm-m at distances from 0 to 50 ft (15m)
Detection Distance	100ft (30m) nominal. Actual distance may vary due to background type and conditions.
Beam Size	Conical in shape with a 22" diameter at 100 ft (55 cm at 30 m)
Detection Alarms Modes	**Digital Methane Detection(DMD):** Audible tone relative to concentration when detection threshold exceeded Adjustable Detection Alarm Level 1 to 999 **Real Time(RT):** Continuous audio chirp relative to concentration.
System Fault Warning	Unique audible pitch and indication on the display.
Self Test & Calibration	Built-in Self Test and Calibration function verifies operation and adjusts laser wavelength for maximum sensitivity. Calibration results are stored on the device and can be downloaded by the user. Test gas cell integrated within carrying case.
Compliance	EMC (EN61000-6-2, EN6100-6-4)
Intrinsic Safety	Pending
Laser Eye Safety	IR Laser: Class I, Spotter : Class IIIa Do not stare into beam or view directly with optical instrument.
Communications	Bluetooth 4.2 BLE, WiFi, USB Dual Mode
Display	3.5" LCD
Operating Temperature	0° to +122° F (-17° to 50° C)
Humidity	5 to 95% RH, non-condensing
Enclosure (Inst.)	IP54 (Water Splash and Dust Resistant)
Instrument Weight	≈ 3 lbs.
Battery	Removable, rechargeable, Li ion battery pack, 12-15 VDC
Battery Run Time	8 hours at 32° F
Battery Charging	External, in-line, 110-240 Vac, 50/60 hertz, international
Charge Time, Maximum	2 - 3 hours
Charging Indicator	Integrated into dual battery charger
Survey Vest	Designed for Class 2, with multiple pockets, adjust-ability for both sides.

ORDERING DETAILS

RMLD-CS - HPN 105301
Includes carry strap, case, battery charger, power supply, USB cable, one battery pack, gas calibration test cell.

Battery Pack - HPN 105384
Li-Ion replacement battery.

Battery Charger - HPN 105358
Charges two batteries at a time.

Survey Vest - HPN 105357 (M/L)
Survey Vest - HPN 105406 (L/XXL)
Class 2, multiple pockets for equipment, maps or water pack.

Heath Consultants Incorporated operates under a continual product improvement program and reserves the right to make improvements and/or changes without prior notification.

HEATH CONSULTANTS
Your Safety...Our Commitment
9030 Monroe Road, Houston, TX 77061
www.heathus.com PH:713.844.1300

Chapter 14 - Methane Emissions Training



Best Practice Addressed	R&D Project	Subject
16	16	Special Leak Surveys & Predictive Methodologies
17	17-1	Aerial Methane Detection
17	17-2	Sub-Surface Modeling
17	17-3	Evaluation of New Instruments for Leak Detection, Localization, and Speciation
18	18	Evaluation of Stationary Methane Detectors
20	20a-1	Develop Distribution Mass-Balance Leak Detection and Quantification Methodology
20	20a-2	Develop Improved Measurement Methods for Buried Leaks
20	20a-3	Develop Company Specific Emission Factors
20	20a-4	Model Leak Growth Rates from Polyethlene Plastic Piping Slow-Crack Growth Failures
20	20a-5	Quantification of Through-Valve Leakage on Large Compressor Valves
20	20b	Geographic Emissions Tracking & Evaluation
22	22	Investigate Specifications, Tolerances and Sealing Compounds for Threaded Fittings
23	23-1	Evaluation of Technologies to Mitigate Vented Emissions and Gas Blowdowns
23	23-2	Evaluate Component Emission Reductions Opportunities
23	23-3	Alternative Fuel Substitution Strategy

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Summary #16
Special Leak Surveys & Predictive Methodologies

1) **BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED**

- Best Practice 16: R&D for Special Leak Surveys & Predictive Methodologies. Utilities shall utilize enhanced technologies, such as artificial intelligence, to predict and provide spatial analysis of leak threats near pipelines.

2) **NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT**

- Evaluation of Special Leak Surveys & Predictive Methodologies.
  - Improve understanding of current factors that contribute to system leakage (such as pipeline materials and operating environment variables) that can be used to predict system leakage.
  - Emission reductions through predictive models and early leak detection.

3) **R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?**

- The research objective is to achieve emission reductions by evaluating different strategies for predictive spatial analysis of leakage threats. Predict and prevent system leakage by leveraging machine learning/artificial intelligence.
- Areas targeted

Transmission			**Distribution**			**Storage**	
Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
			F				

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented

Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v - Vented

- The R&D approach to meet the objective will involve a series of planned evaluations, that can include one or more of the following:
  - Gather input from subject matter experts
  - Develop models or algorithms
  - Conduct special field survey pilots to validate models

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- Determine effective strategies to predict leakage threats.

Emission reductions through predictive models and early leak detection

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Summary #16
Special Leak Surveys & Predictive Methodologies

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- SoCalGas anticipates emission reductions through predictive models and early leak detection; however, it is difficult to anticipate or estimate potential emissions reductions.

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

- **CEC- NATURAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY SAFETY AND INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT (GFO-15-506) AND CEC-STORAGE RESEARCH PROJECT (GFO-16-508)**
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2020

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- Develop leak prediction models leveraging prior and on-going project related to evaluation and development of leakage risk models and predictive methodologies, such as projects in correlation to leak rates as associated with steel and PE piping leakage:
    - Tapping Tee Cap
    - Tree Root Damage
    - Rocky Soil Threats
    - Leak migration models
        - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
        - Anticipated End Date: Q1 2023

7) **DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

- Projects related to this Best Practice that are currently in progress are scheduled to be completed by the end of 2020.

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- Predictive Methodologies Projects
    - Gather input from subject matter experts
        - Data gathered from subject matter expert is used to characterize or identify areas of interest.
    - Develop models or algorithms

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Summary #16
Special Leak Surveys & Predictive Methodologies

- Data gathered during inspection of leak damage reports and special leak surveys will be used in model development and evaluation of machine learning/artificial intelligence.
- Data output from model or algorithm will be utilized to schedule/identify the special field survey pilots.

- Conduct special field survey pilots to validate models.
  - Data output from special field surveys are used by machine learning to update models.

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$288,181	$340,800

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$25,936	$30,672

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$774,129

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
	$69,672

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Summary #16
Special Leak Surveys & Predictive Methodologies

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**

No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template.

11) **REFERENCES**

Tools for Predicting Gas Migration and Mitigating its Occurrence/Consequence. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/matrix/PrjHome.rdm?prj=748

https://ww2.energy.ca.gov/2017publications/CEC-500-2017-036/CEC-500-2017-036.pdf

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Summary #17-1
Aerial Methane Detection

1) **BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED**

- Best Practice 17: Enhanced Methane Detection
  Utilities shall utilize enhanced methane detection practices (e.g. mobile methane detection and/or aerial leak detection) including gas speciation technologies.

2) **NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT**

- Aerial Leak Detection and Quantification Technologies.
- Reduce emissions and improve efficiencies by detecting, differentiating, and rapidly responding to large leaks.
- Pilot studies to validate actual costs and leak detection, pin-pointing, and system capabilities.

3) **R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?**

- The research objective is to continue advancing aerial emissions detection technologies and to better understand actual capabilities of new technologies and methods available for detecting and locating methane emissions by aerial means (Satellite, Manned and Unmanned Aircraft) and the relative benefits, shortcomings, costs and short-notice availability of each application.
- Areas targeted

Transmission			**Distribution**			**Storage**	
Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
F,v	F,v	F,v	F,v	F,v	F,v	F,v	F,v

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented
Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v – Vented

- The R&D approach to meet the objective will involve a series of planned evaluations, that can include one or more of the following:
  a) Manufacturer Demonstration
     - Facilitate demonstrations of unmanned vehicles, methane sensors, and/or payload components (cameras, instrumentation, black box) for the purpose of determining capability and applicability to the gas infrastructure in both SCG and SDGE.
  b) Laboratory Evaluation
     - Establish baseline performance for sensors and other quantification instruments.
  c) Comparative evaluation to manufacturer specifications.
     - Evaluate the sensors and other quantification instruments to Company requirements for intended applications.

d) Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)
   - Evaluate each prototype system, sUAS with payload, in a simulated field environment utilizing controlled natural gas releases. Compare against Company's specifications for the intended application, and test for repeatability.

e) Field Demonstrations
   - Demonstrate aerial systems in actual field environments. May include controlled natural gas releases and evaluation for false positives and false negatives.

f) Pilot Study
   - Conduct pilot studies of viable aerial technologies for specific intended applications. Evaluate implementation costs and calculate potential emissions reduction.

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- Using acquired understanding, determine the usefulness of each application to both small scale and large-scale needs in the practical applications of gas utility routine or emergency operations.
- Using acquired understanding, determine the feasibility of applying these technologies to both routine operations in difficult-to-access locations or for emergency response.
- Develop capability for quick response to assess emissions from the natural gas system during routine operational requirements or emergency response.

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- It is difficult to estimate the reduction in emissions that could result from applying aerial methodologies. Aerial technologies facilitate more rapid deployment possibilities and access to locations restricted from the ground and will likely result in better leak detection and reduced duration between detection and repair.

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS (2018 Compliance Plan)

1. NYSEARCH- sUAS Technology (M2014-001)
   - Project Close Out: Q1 2020
2. Aerial (sUAS) Leak Detection Research (SCG-2016-001)
   Prior and current research and demonstrations will be leveraged to support aerial leak detection. This includes ongoing development of payload systems such as sensor platforms and software, Gas Mapping LiDAR™ systems and image recognition technologies, and sUAS technology
   - Anticipated Project Close Out: Q4 2020
3. Aerial (sUAS) Leak Detection Research Projects (BP17 Z-3)
   This SoCalGas project has been executed in parallel with, and been used in support of, the progressive development of drone and sensor instrument by the respective manufacturers. Specific to this project are the Pergam sensor and the Microdrones MD4-1000 sUAS, which were selected as the best

candidates at the time out of several sensor and sUAS combinations. (See video in References). The methane concentration data collected by the Pergam sensor (~100 ft height limit) coupled with GPS flight data has been demonstrated to provide locations of elevated methane levels that can be utilized for leak detection and leak localization. The system can closely inspect pipelines, bridges, and other facilities that may be difficult to access. Develop sensor platform for UAS deployment and associated software for data postprocessing to perform emission quantification (BP17 Z-3)

- Anticipated End Date: Q4 2020

4. Aerial (Manned) Leak Detection, Pin-Pointing of Emission Source, and Quantification using Bridger Photonics Gas Mapping LiDAR™ system.
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2020

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

1. Evaluate Optical Gas Imaging (OGI) on UAV using Southwest Research Institute image recognition software.
    - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2021
2. Satellite methane detection technologies for super emitters (appx. 100+ cfh)
    - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2022
3. Evaluate various manned aircraft systems to detect large leaks (appx. 10+ cfh) system-wide
    - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2022

7) **DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT**

CURRENT and PROPOSED PROJECTS:

- Manufacturer Demonstration
- Data gathered during manufacturer demonstration is used to identify potential capabilities that can be leveraged for Company specific applications.
- Laboratory Evaluation
- If possible, data gathered during laboratory evaluation is used to demonstrate capability of sensors and instruments for intended applications. (Go/No-Go Decision).
- Use results of laboratory data to guide simulated field-testing plan.

- Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)
- Data gathered during simulated field evaluation is used to demonstrate capability for intended applications, to develop Standard Operating Procedures, and provide feedback to manufacturers for required enhancements to performance.
- Data gathered during simulated field evaluation will be used to demonstrate that the sUAS system can meet Company specifications and FAA regulations. (Go/No-Go Decision)
- Use results of simulated field evaluation data to guide pilot study plan.
- Evaluate integration of instrument data into Enterprise Data Management Systems and business process workflows.
- Evaluate Cost of Implementation
- Estimate emission reduction, cost reduction, and cost avoidance benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).
- Pilot Study
- Data gathered during pilot studies will be used to demonstrate the capability of the sUAS system for intended applications, and that the system can meet Company specifications and FAA regulations. (Go/No-Go Decision)
- Re-Evaluate/update the estimated implementation costs and benefits (Go/No-Go Decision

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$550,346	$551,294

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$49,531	$49,616

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$1,356,046

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
	$122,044

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Summary #17-1
Aerial Methane Detection

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**

No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template.

11) **REFERENCES**

a. **NYSEARCH 2014-001 Project Report**
b. **Microdrone Video:** https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fSveg51lcDo
c. **UgCS Data Logger:** https://www.ugcs.com/news-entry/detecting-a-methane-leak-faster-and-more-safely
d. **UgCS Case Study:** https://industrial.ugcs.com/methane-detector#case-studies
e. **Percepto:** https://percepto.co/oil-gas-drones/
f. **Seek-Ops:** https://www.seekops.com/
g. **Satelytics: www.satelytics.com**
h. **Kairos:** http://kairosaerospace.com/methane-detection/
i. **Ball Aerospace:** https://www.ball.com/aerospace/Aerospace/media/Aerospace/Downloads/D3242-Methane-Monitor_0518.pdf?ext=.pdf
j. **LASEN:** http://www.lasen.com/technology.aspx
k. **JPL:** https://www.jpl.nasa.gov/news/news.php?feature=6192
l. **PRCI Multi-sensor platform: Report Title:**
PR-271-173903-R01 Evaluation of Current ROW Threat Monitoring, Application & Analysis Technology – website:
https://www.prci.org/Research/SurveillanceOperationsMonitoring/SOMProjects/ROW-6-2/56648/171730.aspx
Title:
PR-680-183907-R01 Use of Aerial LiDAR for Geohazard Assessment
Website:
https://www.prci.org/Research/SurveillanceOperationsMonitoring/SOMProjects/GHZ-1-01/101481/169042.aspx

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Objective Summary #17-2
Sub-Surface Methane Modeling

1) BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED

- Best Practice 17: Enhanced Methane Detection
  Utilities shall utilize enhanced methane detection practices (e.g. mobile methane detection and/or aerial leak detection) including gas speciation technologies.

2) NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT

- Sub-Surface Methane Modeling
  - Improve understanding natural gas migration in system territory operating environments including soil types to gain an understanding of leakage migration threats to pipelines and possibly anticipate hazardous operating conditions to better predict hazardous leaks.
  - Understanding of sub-surface methane behavior may result in better understanding of leak behavior and validation of current practices for below-ground methane threshold(s), resulting in increased leak detection efficiency.

3) R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?

- The research objective is to study the sub-surface methane environment and determine factors that contribute to leak migration. Understanding of these factors will be used to develop numerical models to predict gas migration behavior below ground.
- The research objective is also to determine the appropriate below-ground methane concentration threshold(s) that should trigger creation of leak record and investigation.
- Areas targeted

Transmission			**Distribution**			**Storage**	
Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
F			F			f	

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented

Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v - Vented

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Objective Summary #17-2
Sub-Surface Methane Modeling

- The R&D approach to meet the objective will involve a series of planned evaluations, that include one or more of the following:
    a) Collect Leak Response Survey Data
        a. Leak data and borehole samples
    b) Analytic Method Development
        a. Simultaneous and iterative analysis of:
            i. Statistical Analysis of Leak Response Survey Data
            ii. Controlled Field Experiments
            iii. Numerical Modeling
            iv. Develop Analytic Tool
    c) Field Validation of Analytic Method (PHMSA)
    d) Field Validation of Analytic Method (Company)
    e) Evaluate the methodologies in a Company specific field environment.

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- Using acquired understanding, determine appropriate below-ground methane concentration threshold(s) that should trigger creation of leak record and investigation.
- Using acquired understanding, enable pipeline operators to determine if below-ground methane emissions are due to a leak from the natural gas piping system.

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- Knowledge of the below ground methane threshold may reduce both false positives (recording a leak when there is no leak) and false negatives (not recording a leak when one exists), which increases operational efficiency and resulting in overall shorter leak duration and emissions reduction.

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

- Tools for Predicting Gas Migration and Mitigating its Occurrence/Consequence (PHMSA - #748).
  This project is managed by PHMSA with Academia as the performer and includes involvement and participation of selected Utilities. The project includes data collection and analysis plans for each stage of the R&D approach.
    - Actual Start Date: Q4 2018

- Anticipated End Date: Q2 2021

- Below Ground Methane "Background" Concentration Study Research Projects (SoCal Gas).
  - Actual Start Date: Q4 2019
  - Anticipated End Date: Q2 2021

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- Field Validations of Analytical Model – Company Specific
  - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
  - Anticipated End Date: Q3 2022

7) **DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT**

- Field Validations of Analytical Model – Company Specific
  - Leak Survey
    - Data gathered during leak survey is used to roughly confirm output of analytical tool.
  - Map Surface Concentrations and Flux
    - A grid of surface concentration measurements is used to demonstrate capability of analytical tool and provide feedback to developers for required enhancements to performance.
    - Surface flux measurements (using Hi Flow Sampler ™ or equivalent) will be used to demonstrate capability of analytical tool and provide feedback to developers for required enhancements to performance.
  - Soil Measurements
    - Measurements of the gas concentration in the soil (barhole) will be used to demonstrate capability of analytical tool and provide feedback to developers for required enhancements to performance.
  - Excavation and Direct Measurement
    - Direct measurement of the emission rate, after excavation, (using Hi Flow Sampler ™ or equivalent) will be used to demonstrate capability of analytical tool and provide feedback to developers for required enhancements to performance
    - Estimate emission reduction, cost reduction, and cost avoidance benefits (Go/No-Go Decision for further Field Validations).
  - Evaluate Cost of Field Validation
    - Estimate emission reduction, cost reduction, and cost avoidance benefits (Go/No-Go Decision for further Field Validations

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Objective Summary #17-2
Sub-Surface Methane Modeling

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$250,157	$125,294

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$22,514	$11,276

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$462,408

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
	$41,617

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**

No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template.

11) **REFERENCES**

- Tools for Predicting Gas Migration and Mitigating its Occurrence/Consequence: https://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/matrix/PrjHome.rdm?prj=748

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Objective Summary #17-3
Evaluation of New Instruments for Leak Detection, Localization, and Speciation

1) BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED

- Best Practice 17: Enhanced Leak Detection and Speciation.
  Utilities shall utilize enhanced methane detection practices (e.g. mobile methane detection and/or aerial leak detection) including gas speciation technologies.

2) NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT

- Evaluation of New Instruments for Leak Detection, Localization, and Speciation.
- Improve efficiency and reduce cost of operation.
- Reduce emissions by improving detection efficiency.
- Conduct Pilot studies to be initiated based on results of instrument evaluations. Pilot studies will provide basis for implementation cost and emissions reductions estimates.

3) R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?

- This research objective is to identify instruments and/or methods to improve the efficiency and output of the leak detection processes.
- Evaluate the performance and features of new instruments and/or methods and perform comparative analysis to existing methods for leak detection, source localization, and speciation of natural gas.
- Areas targeted

Transmission			Distribution			Storage	
Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented
Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v - Vented

- The R&D approach to meet the objective will involve a series of planned evaluations, that can include one or more of the following:
  1) Manufacturer Demonstration
- Facilitate demonstrations by manufacturers of new technologies, methods, and/or practices for leak detection, localization, and speciation.
  2) Laboratory Evaluation
- Establish baseline performance for instruments that are evaluated.
- Comparative evaluation to manufacturer specifications and currently approved devices.
- Evaluate the instruments to Company requirements for intended applications.
  3) Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)
- Evaluate instruments and technologies in a simulated field environment utilizing controlled natural gas releases.
- Compare to currently approved devices, practices, and/or procedures.

4) Pilot Study

- Obtain and evaluate multiple devices against Company's specifications for the intended application, and test for repeatability.
- Evaluate instruments and technologies in an actual field environment, including controlled natural gas releases.
- Compare to currently approved devices, practices, and/or procedures.

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- Identify more accurate, precise, or reliable instruments and methods for leak detection, localization, and speciation processes.

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- Reduce emissions by improving detection, leak localization and quantification efficiency. Leaks detected and repaired earlier in the lifecycle will result in a reduction of emissions, leak detection and localization efficiency will reduce operational costs.

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS (2018 Compliance Plan)

- Back Pack & Handheld Methane Detection Tools (Sensor) & Systems Research Projects (a.k.a. Next Generation Walking Leak Survey) (BP 17 AC-2)

  Exploratory work has been completed by the Company to evaluate the addition of open-path laser analyzers to enhance the Company approved walking leak survey technology, DPIR. Exploratory work in the laboratory, simulated field conditions, and actual field scenarios has been executed. Ongoing work includes similar exploratory investigations of a variety of PPB-sensitive laser analyzers used to monitor atmospheric methane concentrations in addition to traditional methods for inspecting ground level and below-ground methane levels based on the Company approved survey methodologies.
  - Actual Start Date: Q1 2019
  - Anticipated End Date: Q1 2021

- NYSEARCH T-784 First Pass Leak Detection Optimization:
  Optimize Walking Leak Survey for buried Distribution pipelines (performed on a single pass) using instrumentation and data acquisition techniques to maximize the rate of leak detection for traditional leak survey methods. A second goal of this project is to determine what improvements can be achieved using an integrated technology approach between traditional instruments performing drawn samples from the ground surface with part-per-million sensitivity combined with atmospheric monitoring instruments with parts-per-billion sensitivity.

  - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2020

- Integrate Mobile Methane Mapping w/Mobile Leak Survey Research Project: Evaluate possibility of integrating GIS and wind (speed & direction) data into traditional mobile leak survey applications where mobile leak survey is conducted directly over the pipeline right-of-way. Increase the leak detection capabilities of mobile methane mapping by integrating multiple methane detection systems to increase lower detection limit and minimize false-positive indications.

    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2020

- Evaluate Aeris MIRA PICO Responder™ advanced mobile leak detection system:

    The MIRA PICO analyzer with 1 PPB sensitivity for Methane and 0.5 PPB sensitivity for Ethane. System includes software application and analytics for visual integration of emissions detection with wind and GPS data, plus potential ability for emission source speciation to distinguish petrogenic sources from common biogenic and vehicle emission sources.

    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2020

- Optical Gas Imaging (OGI) Cameras and associated leak quantification algorithms:

    The development or demonstration of leak quantification using OGI or estimation of leak size based on IR camera imaging and algorithms could provide rapid estimates of the size of leaks and result in better prioritization of leak repairs (i.e., repair largest leaks first and reduce emissions). In 2019 SoCalGas investigated two currently available and viable IR camera algorithms to categorize leak rates and determined that neither technology is suitable for categorization of underground pipeline leaks at that time.

    - Actual Start Date: Q4 2018
    - Anticipated End Date: Q1 2020

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- Evaluate new leak detection, localization, and speciation technologies.
    - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2022

7) DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

- Back Pack & Handheld Methane Detection Tools (Sensor) & Systems Research Projects (a.k.a. Next Generation Walking Leak Survey) (BP 17 AC-2).
  1. Manufacturer Demonstration
     - Data gathered during manufacturer demonstration is used to identify potential capabilities that can be leveraged for Company leak detection, speciation, and localization.
  2. Laboratory Evaluation
     - Data gathered during laboratory evaluation is used to demonstrate capability for intended applications, and that the technology, practices and/or procedures can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
     - Use results of laboratory data to guide simulated field-testing plan.
  3. Evaluate Cost of Implementation
     - Estimate cost to conduct simulated field evaluation.
     - Estimate emission reduction, cost reduction, and cost avoidance benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).
  4. Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)
     - Data gathered during simulated field evaluation is used to demonstrate capability for intended applications, and that the technology, practices and/or procedures can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
     - Use results of simulated field evaluation data to guide pilot study plan.
     - Evaluate integration of instrument data into Enterprise Data Management Systems and business process workflows.
     - Re-Evaluate/update the estimated implementation costs and benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).
  5. Pilot Study
     - Verify capability for intended applications, and that the technology, practices and/or procedures can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
     - Re-Evaluate/update the estimated implementation costs and benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).

- NYSEARCH T-784 First Pass Leak Detection Optimization

  1. Solicit information from funding members as to existing practices for leak survey and for combining techniques

- Select Instrumentation and Technique Combinations

2. Model Leak Detection Comparative Techniques. Use statistical Design of Experiments (DOE) to define data collection parameters and evaluate test results.
    - Perform Field Testing
    - Conduct Statistical Analysis.

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

1. Manufacturer Demonstration
    - Data gathered during manufacturer demonstration is used to identify potential capabilities that can be leveraged for Company leak detection, speciation, and localization.

2. Laboratory Evaluation
    - Data gathered during laboratory evaluation is used to demonstrate capability for intended applications, and that the technology, practices and/or procedures can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
    - Use results of laboratory data to guide simulated field-testing plan.
3. Evaluate Cost of Implementation
    - Estimate cost to conduct simulated field evaluation.
    - Estimate emission reduction, cost reduction, and cost avoidance benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).

4. Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)
    - Data gathered during simulated field evaluation is used to demonstrate capability for intended applications, and that the technology, practices and/or procedures can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
    - Use results of simulated field evaluation data to guide pilot study plan.
    - Evaluate integration of instrument data into Enterprise Data Management Systems and business process workflows.
    - Re-Evaluate/update the estimated implementation costs and benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).
5. Pilot Study
    - Verify capability for intended applications, and that the technology, practices and/or procedures can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
    - Re-Evaluate/update the estimated implementation costs and benefits (Go/No-Go Decision

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$960,604	$851,999

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$86,454	$76,680

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$2,231,417

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
Methane Detection Sensor & Systems Research Project (handheld and mobile devices)	$200,828

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**

No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template.

11) **REFERENCES**

- Southern California Gas Company – Pico Rivera. "Southern California Gas Company's Verification Study of the Methane Mapping of Four California Cities by the Environmental Defense Fund and Colorado State University" Southern California Gas Company. Southern California Gas Company, August 2016. https://www.socalgas.com/regulatory/documents/r-15-01-008/EDF_4-Cities_Methane_Mapping_Report_Final_081916.pdf
- https://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/rd/mtgs/091118/Ed%20Newton.pdf
- "Mobile Guard Advance Mobile Leak Detection." Https://Heathus.com/Wp-Content/Uploads/MobileGuard.pdf
- Leifer, I., and I. MacDonald. 2003. Dynamics of the gas flux from shallow gas hydrate deposits: Interaction between oily hydrate bubbles and the oceanic environment. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 210(3/4):411-424.
- Leifer, I. and J. Clark. 2002. Modeling trace gases in hydrocarbon seep bubbles. Application to marine hydrocarbon seeps in the Santa Barbara Channel. Russian Geology and Geophysics 43(7):613-621.
- University of California - Santa Barbara. "Methane emissions higher than thought across much of U.S.." ScienceDaily. ScienceDaily, 15 May 2013. <www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2013/05/130515165021.htm>.

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Objective Summary #17-3
Evaluation of New Instruments for Leak Detection, Localization, and Speciation

- "Improving Methane Emissions Estimates for Natural Gas Distribution Companies, Phase II - PE Pipes." *OTD*, 23 Nov. 2013, https://www.otd-co.org/reports/Documents/710c_OTD-14-0001-Improving-Methane-Emission-Estimates-NG-Distribution-Companies-PE-Pipes-FinalReport.pdf.RKI HH Tech/Data sheet – Website.
- Aeris MIRA PICO Hand-Held and Mobile Leak Detection Systems (LDS) Responder™ Advanced Mobile LDS System
- http://aerissensors.com/pico-series/
- http://aerissensors.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/MIRA-Responder-LDS_191208_FINAL_quartz.pdf
- Pergam Technologies: http://pergamusa.com/lmm/

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Objective Summary #18
Evaluation of Stationary Methane Detectors

1) BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED

- Best Practice 18: Stationary Methane Detectors for Early Detection of Leaks

Utilities shall utilize Stationary Methane Detectors for early detection of leaks. Locations include: Compressor Stations, Terminals, Gas Storage Facilities, City Gates, and Metering & Regulating (M&R) Stations (M&R above ground and pressures above 300 psig only). Methane detector technology should be capable of transferring leak data to a central database, if appropriate for location.

2) NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT

- Evaluation of Stationary Methane Detectors
- Reduce emissions by quicker leak detection and repair.
- Pilot studies to be initiated based on results of instrument evaluations. Pilot studies will validate actual costs and emissions reductions.

3) R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?

- The research objective is to develop and/or evaluate stationary methane sensors for early detection of leaks.

- Areas targeted

Transmission			Distribution			Storage	
Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
F,V	F,V	F,V	F,V	F,V	F,V	F,V	F,V

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented

Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v – Vented

- The R&D approach to meet the objective will involve a series of planned evaluations, that can include one or more of the following:

  a) Manufacturer or Prototype Demonstration
     - Facilitate demonstrations of research prototypes or by manufacturers of methane sensors
  b) Laboratory Evaluation
     - Establish baseline performance for sensors that are evaluated.

- Comparative evaluation to manufacturer/prototype specifications and currently approved sensors.
- Evaluate the sensors to Company requirements for intended applications.

c) Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)
- Evaluate sensors in a simulated field environment utilizing controlled natural gas releases.
- Compare to currently approved sensors.

d) Pilot Study
- Obtain and evaluate multiple sensors of a single type against Company's specifications for the intended application, and test for repeatability.
- Evaluate sensors in an actual field environment, including controlled natural gas releases.
- Compare to currently approved sensors.

- Blind studies and validation of actual costs and emissions reductions

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- Accurate assessment of the performance of stationary sensors enables field deployment leading to quicker leak detection and repair and emissions reductions.

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- The reduction and quick repair of leaks as detected by stationary sensors represents various size leaks at n as yet unknown quantity for various applications. Therefore, the emissions reduction cannot be estimated at this time.

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS (2018 Compliance Plan)

- Stationary Methane Sensor Evaluation BP 18 AE-3.3 - complete

  The Company executed an evaluation of stationary methane sensors under laboratory conditions and simulated field conditions. Sensors included three open path lasers and one closed path laser, capable of detection to 2ppm-m, and two-point sensors capable of detection to 1% and 2% LEL. Key findings included better understanding of specific use applications for the various sensors and sufficient information to select best performing sensors and/or eliminate certain candidates.

  - Anticipated End Date: Q1 2020

- Methane Sensors State-of-the-Art Investigation (OTD 7.16.f) BP 18 AE-2

  This study provided a high-level review of the current state of the art in "point" methane sensors and how they are used in the utility industry. However, the project did not provide comprehensive quantitative data on sensor performance (accuracy, sensitivity/detection limit, methane or methane + ethane, repeatability/precision, range, survey speed, response time, passive or active sampling, etc.) in comparable engineering units.

  - Project close out: Q4 2019

- Residential Methane Detector (BP 18 AE-3.1 NYSEARCH M2010-002)
  The objective of this project is to develop a 10% LEL methane sensor. Prototype detectors are currently undergoing a one-year pilot field study to assess performance and reliability.
  - Project close out: Q4 2020
- Stanford MEMS sensor development project (BP 18 AE-1)(NGI-2018-001)
  A multi-layer silicon-based sensor approximately 1 cm x 1.5 cm in size was developed and results published (P.A. Gross et al. Analytical Chemistry, 2018). Improvements to the sensor to fulfill field deployment requirements include adjustments in hydration, method of manufacture, temperature stability, and sensitivity. The Company is currently expecting the receipt of a 1st Prototype to commence controlled laboratory evaluation
  - Project close Out – Q1 2021
- PHMSA #851 / OTD (7.20.a) Develop Remote Sensing and Leak Detection Platform with Multiple Sensors
  The main objective is to demonstrate a sensing platform permanently deployed at discrete locations in the ROW. These locations are wirelessly connected to a software back-end that performs sensor data fusion to identify integrity threats in the ROW. These leading indicators can be used to prevent damage or leakage. A single prototype of this system has been deployed on a live utility site. This work would address needed improvements and deploy the system to additional utility sites.
  - Start Date: Q4 2019
  - Anticipated End Date: Q3 2021

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- Evaluate New and/or prototype methane sensor technologies.
  - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
  - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2022

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Objective Summary #18
Evaluation of Stationary Methane Detectors

7) DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT

A) **CURRENT PROJECTS** (2018 Compliance Plan)

- Stanford MEMS sensor development project (BP 18 AE-1)
  1. Stanford Demonstration
     - Data gathered during Stanford demonstration is used to identify potential capabilities that can be leveraged for Company leak detection, speciation, and localization.
  2. Laboratory Evaluation
     - Data gathered during laboratory evaluation is used to demonstrate capability for intended applications, and that the technology, practices and/or procedures can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
     - Use results of laboratory data to provide feedback to Stanford Researchers to improve Prototype.
     - Repeat Lab Evaluation with new Prototype.
  3. Evaluate Cost of Implementation
     - Estimate cost to conduct simulated field evaluation.
     - Estimate emission reduction, cost reduction, and cost avoidance benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).
  4. Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)
     - Data gathered during simulated field evaluation is used to demonstrate capability for intended applications, and that the technology, practices and/or procedures can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
     - Use results of simulated field evaluation data to guide pilot study plan.
     - Evaluate integration of instrument data into Enterprise Data Management Systems and business process workflows.
     - Re-Evaluate/update the estimated implementation costs and benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).
  5. Pilot Study
     - Verify capability for intended applications, and that the technology, practices and/or procedures can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
     - Re-Evaluate/update the estimated implementation costs and benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Objective Summary #18
Evaluation of Stationary Methane Detectors

B) **PROPOSED PROJECTS**

- Evaluate available CH4 sensors that could be used for stationary CH4 detection use-cases at company facilities. The project will involve one or more of the following steps:
    - Manufacturer or Prototype Demonstration
        - Data gathered during manufacturer or research demonstration is used to identify potential capabilities that can be leveraged for Company leak detection, speciation, and localization.
    - Laboratory Evaluation
        - Data gathered during laboratory evaluation is used to demonstrate capability for intended applications, and that the technology, practices and/or procedures can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
        - Use results of laboratory data to guide simulated field-testing plan.
    - Evaluate Cost of Implementation
        - Estimate cost to conduct simulated field evaluation.
        - Estimate emission reduction, cost reduction, and cost avoidance benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).
    - Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)
        - Data gathered during simulated field evaluation is used to demonstrate capability for intended applications, and that the technology, practices and/or procedures can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
        - Use results of simulated field evaluation data to guide pilot study plan.
        - valuate integration of instrument data into Enterprise Data Management Systems and business process workflows.
        - Re-Evaluate/update the estimated implementation costs and benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).
    - Pilot Study
        - Verify capability for intended applications, and that the technology, practices and/or procedures can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
        - Re-Evaluate/update the estimated implementation costs and benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Objective Summary #18
Evaluation of Stationary Methane Detectors

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$239,150	$479,124

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$21,524	$43,121

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$883,666

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
	$79,530

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**

No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template.

11) **REFERENCES**

PA Gross, T Jaramillo and B Pruitt, Cyclic-Voltammetry-Based Solid-State Gas Sensor for Methane and Other VOC Anal. Chem. 2018, 90, 10, 6102-6108

www.fullmoonsensors.com

https://www.newcosmos-global.com/news/2701/

https://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/matrix/PrjHome.rdm?prj=851

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Objective Summary #20a-1

Develop Distribution Mass-Balance Leak Detection and Quantification Methodology

1) **BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED**

- Best Practice 20a: Quantification

Utilities shall develop methodologies for improved quantification and geographic evaluation and tracking of leaks from the gas systems. Utilities shall file in their Compliance Plan how they propose to address quantification. Utilities shall work together, with CPUC and ARB staff, to come to agreement on a similar methodology to improve emissions quantification of leaks to assist demonstration of actual emissions reductions.

2) **NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT**

- Develop Distribution mass-balance leak detection and quantification methodology
- This project is a continuation of the R&D project from the prior compliance plan.
- Objective - early detection of system leaks, reduced system emissions, reduced cost of leak management, better measurement of leak duration.
- Pilot studies will be executed to evaluate implementation costs and actual efficiencies of the mass-balance methodology(s).

3) **R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?**

- The R&D objective is to develop and evaluate methodologies to detect and quantify gas leaks in a defined Distribution area using flow measurement data and mass-balance algorithms. Using available gas metering data, unbalanced Distribution segments are identified, which may provide an indication when system leaks initiate and provide a direct measurement of leakage flow rate.
- Areas targeted

Transmission			**Distribution**			**Storage**	
Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
			F		F		

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented
Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v – Vented

- The R&D approach to meet the objective will involve a series of planned evaluations, that can include one or more of the following:
  a) Mass Balance Model Development
     a. The mass balance approach compares the gas supplied to the gas consumed for a defined service area. The deviation from a net-zero mass balance is an indicator of possible system leakage.
     b. Mass-balance model development includes identifying and characterizing all gas supply and gas consumption (i.e., customer) meters in the study service area and considering the impacts of pack and draft and other variables.
  b) Pilot Study
     a. Identify candidate gas service areas with newer generation plastic pipe and a sufficient number of customer meters and appropriate gas supply and customer meters (e.g., meters with high accuracy with advanced analytics)
     b. Identify and repair or quantify the flow rate of leaks in the service area
     c. Use measurement data from installed gas supply meter(s) and customer meters to establish baseline mass balance model
     d. Measure flow rates of any actual system leaks and test sensitivity to leak flow rates after leak repair. Simulate system leakage by performing controlled experiments with monitoring activity on the system (added/subtracted load, changes in customer count through close orders, leak repairs, etc.)

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- The expected R&D benefit is early detection of system leaks resulting in more rapid leak detection and mitigation resulting in reduced emissions.
- Detecting leaks using a mass-balance algorithm approach, combined with active monitoring for leaks, could potentially reduce “unknown” leaks and theoretically reduce the need for leak surveys. This could reduce detection times to potentially years sooner (in 5-yr survey areas) and provide a means for calculating overall emissions from leaks.

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- Earlier detection of system leaks are expected to result in a reduction in leak emissions; however, the magnitude of this emissions reduction cannot yet be determined.

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

A. CURRENT PROJECTS

- System Emissions Using Mass Balance with Advanced Meter Technology Research Project (BP 20a AF-1) – Phase 1
  - Actual Start Date: Q3 2019

B. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- System Emissions Using Mass Balance with Advanced Meter Technology Research Project (BP 20a AF-1) – Phase 1 (continued)
  - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2022

7) **DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT**

a) **CURRENT PROJECTS:**

- System Emissions Using Mass Balance with Advanced Meter Technology Research Project (BP 20a AF-1) – Phase 1
  - Mass Balance Model Development
  - Data collection includes accuracy specifications for the gas supply and customer meters in the study service area
  - Data collection includes historical gas consumption for the study service area
  - Data analysis includes development of the mass-balance model including the estimated uncertainty in the mass balance calculation
- Pilot Study
  - Data collection includes the quantification of the flow rate of unrepaired leaks in the service area
  - Data collection includes gas flowrates/volumes measured by the gas supply and customer meters during baseline tests
  - Data collection includes gas flowrates/volumes measured by the gas supply and customer meters during controlled experiments with simulated leakage. Simulated leak rates are directly measured.
  - For the baseline tests, data analysis includes calculation of the system mass balance and estimation of the uncertainty in the mass balance calculations.
  - For the controlled experiments with simulated leakage, data analysis includes calculation of the system mass balance and the leak rate. The minimal detectable leak rate is determined and the uncertainties in the mass balance and simulated leak rate calculations are estimated.

b) **PROPOSED PROJECTS:**

- System Emissions Using Mass Balance with Advanced Meter Technology Research Project (BP 20a AF-1) – Continuing project

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Objective Summary #20a-1

Develop Distribution Mass-Balance Leak Detection and Quantification Methodology

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$266,167	$267,623

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$23,955	$24,086

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$658,718

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
	$59,285

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**

No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template.

11) **REFERENCES**

Attachment G – Advanced Meter Analytics Algorithm: Business Case Estimation
Attachment H – Advanced Meter Analytics Algorithm: Advanced Meter Presentation

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Summary #20a-2
Develop Improved Measurement Methods for Buried Leaks

1) **BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED**

- Best Practice 20a: Quantification
  Utilities shall develop methodologies for improved quantification and geographic evaluation and tracking of leaks from the gas systems. Utilities shall file in their Compliance Plan how they propose to address quantification. Utilities shall work together, with CPUC and ARB staff, to come to agreement on a similar methodology to improve emissions quantification of leaks to assist demonstration of actual emissions reductions.

2) **NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT**

Evaluate new or revised tools, technologies and methods to develop improved leak flow measurement methods for system leaks.

3) **R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?**

- The R&D objective is to develop and evaluate technologies and methods to quickly and accurately quantify emissions from underground leaks that spread over large areas.
  - Reduce leak emissions by improving prioritization of leaks for repair
  - Improve leak measurement efficiency and reduce cost of operation
  - Pilot studies to be initiated based on results of method evaluations. Pilot studies will evaluate actual costs and efficiency improvements.
- Areas targeted

	Transmission			**Distribution**			**Storage**	
	Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
Belowground	F			F			f	
Aboveground	f	f	f	f	f	f	f	f

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented

Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v - Vented

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Summary #20a-2
Develop Improved Measurement Methods for Buried Leaks

- The R&D approach to meet the objective will involve a series of planned evaluations, of the technologies and methods of interest that can include one or more of the following:

    a) Laboratory Evaluation

        - Evaluate technologies and methods in a laboratory environment utilizing controlled natural gas releases to assess their capabilities
        - Compare to existing buried leak measurement methods
        - Determine operating range
        - Determine leak rate measurement accuracy and precision over operating range
        - Determine ancillary equipment requirements

    b) Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)

        - Evaluate technologies and methods in a simulated field environment utilizing controlled natural gas releases
        - Compare to existing buried leak measurement methods
        - Determine leak rate measurement accuracy and precision over operating range
        - Determine ancillary equipment requirements
        - Identify practical implementation issues and refine technologies and methodologies

    c) Pilot Study

        - Evaluate technologies and methods in an actual field environment.
        - Compare to existing buried leak measurement methods
        - Identify practical implementation issues and refine technologies and methodologies

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Summary #20a-2
Develop Improved Measurement Methods for Buried Leaks

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- The expected R&D benefit is to develop more accurate and efficient methods to quantify emissions from underground leaks that spread over large areas. More accurate measurements would produce a more accurate emission inventory and better prioritization of system leaks for repair (i.e., repair largest leaks first and reduce emissions). More efficient methods would reduce cost of operation and allow measurement of isolated leaks.

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- More timely and/or accurate quantification of buried leak emissions may result in reducing the time to repair leaks, and improve the operational efficiency of the process thereby reducing implementation costs.

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

- Standardization of Surface Expression Equipment and Protocol (NYSEARCH M2019-002) Phase 1 and 2
    - Actual Start Date: Q2 2019
    - Anticipated End Date: Q3 2021
- SoCalGas/IES Surface Expression Measurement System

  SoCalGas is currently working with IES to design a next-generation Surface Expression measurement system that can measure a larger range of leak flowrates with improved accuracy over currently employed leak rate measurement instruments. The accuracy of this next-generation HFS instrument will be +/- 10% or less, compared to the currently employed instrument accuracy of +/- 20%. Through a test matrix in a controlled laboratory environment-controlled gas rates will be introduced directly into the HFS sample line to isolate the HFS performance, and into different regions of the gas leak enclosure for further characterization. Simulated field environment testing will evaluate the practical considerations.
    - Actual Start Date: Q2 2019
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2021
- Laser-scan method to measure/classify underground pipeline gas leak rates

  SoCalGas has devised a laser-scan method that would allow leak measurements/classifications of underground pipeline gas leaks to be conducted more rapidly and accurately than current methods. The proof-of-concept will determine the method accuracy and whether the method provides an accurate “measurement” of the

true leak rate (i.e., low measurement uncertainty) or whether the method results have a high uncertainty and should more appropriately be used to "classify" or "bucket" the leaks (e.g., as small, medium, or large).

  - Anticipated Start Date: Q2 2020
  - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2021

- Optical Gas Imaging (OGI) Cameras and associated leak quantification algorithms

  The development or demonstration of leak quantification using OGI or estimation of leak size based on IR camera imaging and algorithms could provide rapid estimates of the size of leaks, and result in better prioritization of leak repairs (i.e., repair largest leaks first and reduce emissions). In 2019 SoCalGas investigated two currently available and viable IR camera algorithms to categorize leak rates and determined that neither technology is suitable for categorization of underground pipeline leaks at that time.

  - Anticipated Start Date: Q3 2020
  - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2021

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- Currently, there are no new proposed projects for this Best Practice.

7) **DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT**

- Standardization of Surface Expression Equipment and Protocol.
  - Data collection and analysis conducted by NYSEARCH
- SoCalGas hi-flow sampler; Laser-scan method to measure/classify underground pipeline gas leak rates; and OGI Cameras and associated leak quantification algorithms

  a) Laboratory Evaluation

  - Data collection includes replicate measurements over a wide range of controlled leak rates to determine range of operation
  - Data analysis to determine accuracy (bias) and precision (repeatability) over the range of operation
  - Data analysis to compare performance to existing buried leak measurement methods

- Document equipment functionality and determine ancillary equipment requirements/areas for improvement

b) Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)

- Data collection includes replicate measurements over the range of operation determined during the Laboratory Evaluation
- Data collection includes replicate measurements by different test teams to estimate reproducibility
- Data analysis to determine accuracy (bias) and precision (repeatability and reproducibility) over the range of operation
- Data analysis to compare performance to existing buried leak measurement methods
- Document equipment functionality and determine ancillary equipment requirements/areas for improvement (e.g., leak enclosure construction and implementation)
- Document time required to conduct measurements
- Data analysis to estimate cost to conduct measurements

c) Pilot Study

- Data collection includes measurements of real-world leaks in typical settings
- Data collection includes replicate measurements by different test teams to estimate reproducibility
- Data analysis to determine precision (reproducibility)
- Data analysis to compare performance to existing buried leak measurement methods
- Document equipment functionality and determine ancillary equipment requirements/areas for improvement (e.g., leak enclosure construction and implementation)
- Document time required to conduct measurements
- Data analysis to estimate cost to conduct measurements

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Summary #20a-2
Develop Improved Measurement Methods for Buried Leaks

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$330,208	$264,621

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$29,719	$23,816

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$732,328

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
	$65,910

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**

No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template.

11) **REFERENCES**

https://www.mybacharach.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/0055-9017-Rev-7.pdf

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Objective Summary #20a-3
Develop Company Specific Emission Factors

1) **BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED**

- Best Practice 20a: Quantification
  Utilities shall develop methodologies for improved quantification, geographic evaluation, and tracking of leaks from the gas systems. Utilities shall file in their Compliance Plan how they propose to address quantification. Utilities shall also work together, with CPUC and ARB staff, to develop a similar methodology to improve the emissions quantification of leaks in order to demonstrate actual emissions reductions.

2) **NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT**

- Develop Company Specific Emission Factors (EFs).
- Company specific EFs will result in more accurate quantification of emissions than current methods.
  - In support of Company specific EFs, develop "Above Ground Leak Quantification Method Using Soap Test"
  - Facilitates reduction of emissions through defining leak-based emission factors and reduction in time to repair and increased frequency of leak survey.
  - Pilot studies to evaluate and advance above ground methane quantification technologies.

3) **R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?**

- The research objective is to develop Company-Specific emission factors based upon SCG and SDGE data. These emission factors will replace current "Facility" or "Population" based Emission Factors.
  Current Facility-based emission factors for Meter Set Assemblies, Distribution Regulating Stations, and potentially Transmission M&R stations will be replaced with a set of leak-based emission factors. Methane emissions from above ground leaks on facilities operating at 60 psi or less are categorized using a soap test and correlated with estimated leak rates. Transmission pipeline leaks may also be evaluated for use of a Company-specific emission factor or engineering estimate methodology.

- Areas targeted

Transmission			**Distribution**			**Storage**	
Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
F	F, V		F	F, V	F, V		

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented
Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v - Vented

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Objective Summary #20a-3
Develop Company Specific Emission Factors

- The R&D approach to meet the Company-specific emission factors will involve a series of planned evaluations, that can include one or more of the following:
    a) Gather Equipment and Operating Data
        - Transmission M&R Facilities
        - Distribution M&R Stations
        - Customer Meters
    b) Categorize Equipment (Emissions Sources)
        - M&R Stations
        - Customer Meters
    c) Determine statistically significant number of samples needed based on population of facilities and annual number of leaks as well as conduct leak measurements on a statistically random basis
    d) Statistically Analyze Leak Data
    e) Develop Company-specific Emission Factors

- The R&D approach to meet the soap-test based emission factors objective will involve a series of planned evaluations, that can include one or more of the following:
    a) Laboratory Evaluation
        - Establish baseline performance testing for threaded above ground asset leaks.
        - Evaluate the test matrices to Company requirements for intended applications
    b) Simulated Field Evaluation (Emissions Sources)
        - Evaluate each test matrix, in a simulated field environment utilizing controlled natural gas releases
        - Compare to currently approved Gas Standards
    c) Pilot Study
        - Evaluate leak quantification method in an actual field environment, which may include controlled natural gas releases
    d) Develop Emission Factors
        - Using leak rates with bubble characteristics develop leaker-based emission factors.

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- Emission factors based upon present day conditions and local leak measurements will improve emission estimates and support better strategic decisions.
- A defined relationship between soap bubble formation and leakage rates will be determined based on the results of a field leak measurement study of above ground leaks. The results from this study will be used to develop Leak-Based emission factors.

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- Leaker based emission factors will enable more accurate emissions reporting. Accurate emissions inventory also facilitates proper planning and resource allocation to the emissions sources that provide for greater emissions reductions.

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Objective Summary #20a-3
Develop Company Specific Emission Factors

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

- Develop Company-Specific Leak-Based Emission Factors for Distribution Main & Services (SCG & SDG&E) (BP 20a AI-4.5)
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2020

- Develop Company-Specific Leak-Based Emission Factors for Customer Meters (SCG & SDG&E) (BP 20a AI-4.6 & 4.7)
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2020

- Develop a detailed inventory of the different categories of M&R stations operated by SoCalGas & SDG&E (BP 20a AI-4.5)
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2020

- Quantification of Leaks and Define Practical Lower Emission Threshold Research Project (OTD 7.17.d) (BP 20a AH-1)
  Initial testing on above ground assets at 60 psig or less demonstrated that good correlation exists between soap bubble size and leak flow rate; and that practical bubble size categories could be used to develop leaker-based emission factors.
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2020

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- Distribution Main & Services additional analysis to refine DT model and investigate additional parameters (SCG & SDG&E)
    - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2022

- Customer Meters additional sampling (SCG & SDG&E)
    - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2022

- Develop Company-Specific Leak-Based Emission Factors for Transmission M&R Station Facilities
    - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2022

- Develop Company-Specific Leak-Based Emission Factors for Above Ground Leaks Using Soap Test Method
    - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2022

7) **DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT**

- Company-specific emission factors
    - Gather Equipment and Operating Data
      Gather necessary operating data (e.g., pressure) and equipment characteristics (e.g., number of components by type and size) that can impact emissions.
        - Transmission M&R Facilities
        - Distribution M&R Stations
        - Customer Meters
    - Categorize Equipment (Emissions Sources)
      Use data from task No. 1 to develop equipment categories.
        - M&R Stations
        - Customer Meters
    - Develop Equipment Sampling Plan
      Leak measurement samples must be representative of the facility population to be statistically valid for the entire population of leaks in the service area. Samples must be collected randomly in order to meet this requirement.
    - Conduct Leak Measurements
      Conduct leak measurements on a statistically random basis. Measure the emission rate of detected leaks in the field and document each leak source (component type and size). Measure emission rate from pneumatic devices and document each device.
    - Statistically Analyze Leak and Emissions Data
    - Develop Emission Factors
        - "Leaker" and/or "Component Population" emission factors based upon data analysis and "Fugitive" or "Vented" type of emissions
- Quantification of Small Leaks and Define Practical Lower Emission Threshold Research Project (OTD 7.17.d) (BP 20a AH-1).
    - Final results will be analyzed for capability to meet company specifications.
- Develop Company-Specific Emission factors for Above Ground Leaks Using Soap Test Method**.**
    - Laboratory Evaluation
        - Data gathered during laboratory evaluation is used to demonstrate capability of soap test method for intended applications. (Go/No-Go Decision).
        - Use results of laboratory data to guide simulated field-testing plan.
        - Evaluate Cost of Implementation
        - Estimate cost to conduct simulated field evaluation.
            - Estimate emission reduction, cost reduction, and cost avoidance benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).

- Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)
  - Data gathered during simulated field evaluation is used to demonstrate capability for intended applications. (Go/No-Go Decision). Use results of simulated field evaluation data to guide pilot study plan.
- Pilot Study
  - Verify soap test method capability for intended applications, and that the method can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
  - Re-Evaluate/update the estimated implementation costs and benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).
- Develop Emission Factors
  - Data gathered during pilot studies will be used to calculate emission factors.

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$793,499	$806,693

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$71,415	$72,602

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$1,600,192

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
	$177,783

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**
No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template

11) **REFERENCES**

- GHG Emission Factor Development for Natural Gas Compressors, PRCI Catalog No. PR-312-16202-R02, April 18, 2018.
- Methane Emission Factors for Compressors in Natural Gas Transmission and Underground Storage based on Subpart W Measurement Data, PRCI Catalog No. PR-312-18209-E01, October 17, 2019.

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Objective Summary #20a-4
Model Leak Growth Rates from Polyethylene Plastic Piping Slow-crack Growth Failures

1) BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED

- Best Practice 20a: Quantification
  Utilities shall develop methodologies for improved quantification and geographic evaluation and tracking of leaks from the gas systems. Utilities shall file in their Compliance Plan how they propose to address quantification. Utilities shall work together, with CPUC and ARB staff, to come to agreement on a similar methodology to improve emissions quantification of leaks to assist demonstration of actual emissions reductions.

2) NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT

- Model Leak Growth Rates from Polyethylene Plastic Piping Slow-crack Growth Failures.
  - This is a continuing Research & Development project to advance the understanding of how leaks evolve over time on various pipeline materials.

3) R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?

- The research objective is to advance industry understanding of how leak rates tend to grow over time on Polyethylene (PE) pipe once the leak has initiated. Prior to this project industry research in this area was focused on the process of crack initiation up until a leak occurred. This knowledge will assist in improving system leakage estimate and emission factors and help to optimize leak survey intervals based on projected emissions growth rates.
- Areas targeted

Transmission			Distribution			Storage	
Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
			F				

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented

Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v - Vented

- The R&D approach to meet the objective will involve a series of planned evaluations, that can include one or more of the following:
  a) Laboratory Testing

- Multiple pipe samples are placed on test in multiple soil types in known conditions for an extended time period.

b) Modeling
- Using data and conditions from laboratory tests, develop a model to estimate emissions growth rate from cracks in PE pipe.

c) Model Verification
- Verify the model with field leak measurements between time detected and at point of repair.

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- Increased understanding of the impact on methane emissions from the leak growth rate due to cracks in the Polyethylene (PE) pipeline.

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- The knowledge gained from this study will assist in management and estimation of methane emissions from PE pipelines. Leak rates can be projected from the time of discovery and repairs can be prioritized using this knowledge to prevent leaks from developing into large emitters.
- This knowledge can also be applied to future methane emissions studies in the development of improved Emissions Factors and methane emissions inventory reporting.

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

- PE Leak Growth Rate from Slow Crack Growth Research Project (OTD 7.15.c, BP 20a AK-1)
  - Actual Start Date: Q1 2016

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- PE Leak Growth Rate from Slow Crack Growth (continuing) (OTD 7.15)
  - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2022

7) **DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT**

- PE Leak Growth Rate from Slow Crack Growth Research Project (OTD 7.15.c, BP 20a AK-1)
    - Laboratory Testing
        - Data gathered during laboratory testing is used as inputs to develop the model. Measurement data includes pressure, leak rate, temperature, soil type, etc. Analysis will be performed to determine relationships among the variables and the leak rates.

    - Modeling
        - During the development of the model there is no new data collection.
        - Model development will incorporate and analyze data collected from laboratory testing.

    - Model Verification
        - Demonstrate model capability for intended applications, which meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
        - Gather field leak measurement and leak duration data
        - Correlate with leak repair data and types of plastic leaks
        - Test statistical validity of the model
        - Re-Evaluate/update the model and repeat verification if needed
        - Go/No-Go Decision

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

- Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2017 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$66,042	$67,158

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$5,944	$6,044

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$163,957

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
	$14,756

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**

No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template.

11) **REFERENCES**

OTD Project No. 7.15.c Summary Report

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Summary 20a-5
Quantification of Through-Valve Leakage on Large Compressor Valves

1) **BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED**

- Best Practice 20a: Quantification
  Utilities shall develop methodologies for improved quantification, geographic evaluation, and tracking of leaks from the gas systems. Utilities shall file in their Compliance Plan how they propose to address quantification. Utilities shall also work together, with CPUC and ARB staff, to develop a similar methodology to improve the emissions quantification of leaks in order to demonstrate actual emissions reductions.

2) **NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT**

- Quantification of Through-Valve Leakage on Large Compressor Valves.
  - Improve quantification of through-valve leaks on large natural gas compressor valves prone to leakage (i.e., blowdown valves and isolation valves) by identifying and/or developing appropriate measurement methods (i.e., instruments and measurement procedures).
  - Reduce natural gas emissions by identifying and repairing large through-valve leaks on large compressor valves.
- The evaluation of promising measurement methods for through-valve leakage emissions will be conducted on full-scale compressor valves under controlled conditions. Pilot studies will follow as deemed necessary to further evaluate emissions reductions and/or cost efficiency.

3) **R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?**

- The research objective is to evaluate current and new through-valve leakage emissions measurement methods and determine the best method(s) for accurate quantification.
- Areas targeted:

Transmission			**Distribution**			**Storage**	
Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
		F, V					F, V

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented
Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v - Vented

- The R&D approach to meet the objective will involve a series planned evaluations, that can include one or more of the following:
  a) Screening evaluation of measurement methods for through-valve leakage emissions.
  b) Identify most promising measurement methods from the screening study and evaluate these methods under controlled conditions over a range of valve types and sizes, operating pressures, leak configurations, leak sizes, etc.
  c) Identify the best practice measurement method(s) and/or need for further evaluation.

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Summary 20a-5
Quantification of Through-Valve Leakage on Large Compressor Valves

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- Accurate through-valve leakage measurements will lead to the ability to prioritize repair of large through-valve leaks on large compressor valves.

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- The current method to measure through-valve leakage emissions from compressor blowdown valves and isolation valves is an acoustic technology, which historically measures with a low bias (often measures a false zero)[A]. Evaluation of the SoCalGas 2015 baseline emissions data indicates a low bias in the blowdown and isolation valve measurements, and an adjustment of the 2015 emissions using best available data is appropriate. The identification and implementation of best method(s) for accurate measurements will allow quicker mitigation of previously undetected or under-quantified large leaks.

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

- Evaluate current measurement methods for through-valve leakage emissions to determine bias and precision
  A 2019 PRCI Project, funded in part by SoCalGas, "Scoping Study on Unit Isolation Valve Gas Leakage at Natural Gas Compressor Stations" compiled valve population, leakage, and O&M information for more than 1,000 isolation valves. In addition, in 2019 a Companywide survey of every compression facility and corresponding compressor isolation valves was completed. Subject matter experts at each facility were interviewed and the results are summarized in an internal report. The lessons learned from these two projects are used to guide this evaluation of measurement methods for through-valve leakage emissions.
  - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2020

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- Identify best practice methods and procedures to identify effective emission measurement methods
  - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
  - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2022

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Summary 20a-5
Quantification of Through-Valve Leakage on Large Compressor Valves

7) **DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

- Evaluate current measurement methods for through-valve leakage emissions to determine bias and precision.
  - Screening Evaluation/Manufacturer Demonstrations
    - Data will be gathered during manufacturer/user demonstrations of IR cameras, acoustic methods, ultrasonic methods, insertion flowmeters, and new methods.
    - Data analysis will include identifying measurement methods/instruments with a propensity for measuring false negatives (i.e., measurement of zero emissions when emissions are known to exist).
    - Isolation valves are installed on various pipe sizes from 1" to 24" in diameter at varying pressures up to 3,000 psi. Blowdown valves are installed on various pipe sizes from about 1" to 4" in diameter at varying pressures up to 3,000 psi. These parameters and the results of the screening evaluation will be considered to select measurement methods for further evaluation in the Controlled Study of Full-Scale Valves.
    - Go/No-Go Decision. A Go/No-Go Decision will be based on the estimated cost to conduct the Controlled Study of Full-Scale Valves as well as estimates of emission reductions and the cost impacts of implementing the measurement methods.
  - Controlled Full-Scale Valve study (Controlled through-valve leakage tests)
    - This study will assess selected measurement methods over a matrix of key parameters (e.g., operating pressure, valve type and size, leak configuration, and/or leak rate) typical of actual field conditions.
    - Data analysis will include estimation of the bias/accuracy and precision (i.e., repeatability and reproducibility) of the different measurement methods. Test results will be used to evaluate whether the measurement methods demonstrate capability for intended applications and can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- Identify best practice methods and procedures on preferred measurement methods
  - Data gathered during the evaluations of measurement methods for through-valve leakage emissions is used to develop best practices and procedures as applicable to specific pipe size/pressure/valve type combinations. The need to develop and/or evaluate additional methods will be determined.

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Summary 20a-5
Quantification of Through-Valve Leakage on Large Compressor Valves

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$132,083	$134,315

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$11,887	$12,088

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$327,915

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
	$29,512

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**

No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template.

11) **REFERENCES**

A. GHG Emission Factor Development for Natural Gas Compressors, PRCI Catalog No. PR-312-16202-R02, April 18, 2018.

B. Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 8: Equipment Leaks, GRI-94/0257.25, EPA-600/R-96-080h, June 1996.

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Objective Summary #20b
Geographic Emissions Tracking & Evaluation

1) **BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED**

- Best Practice 20b: Geographic Tracking
  Utilities shall develop methodologies for improved geographic tracking and evaluation of leaks from the gas systems. Utilities shall work together with CPUC and ARB staff, to come to agreement on a similar methodology to improve geographic evaluation and tracking of leaks to assist demonstrations of actual emissions reductions. Leak detection technology should be capable of transferring leak data to a central database in order to provide data for leak maps. Geographic leak maps shall be publicly available with leaks displayed by zip code or census track.

2) **NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT**

- Geographic Tracking and Evaluation of Leak Data
- Increase efficiencies through error reduction and work bundling.

3) **R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?**

- The research objective is to integrate emissions related data from different operating organizations; develop strategies to gather and store field data electronically minimizing data error; and spatially identify facilities that fall into different categories to support data analytics.
  Areas targeted

Transmission			**Distribution**			**Storage**	
Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
F			F		F		

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented

Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v – Vented

- The R&D approach to meet the objective will involve a series of planned evaluations that can include one or more of the following:

  a) Gather input from subject matter experts
  b) Develop Strategies for field collection and storage
  c) Develop methods to spatially identify facilities

d) Incorporate into data analytics

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- Reduction of electronic data error from manual data entries of field data.
- Capturing of additional data points, currently unrecorded, from field leak measurements.

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- The direct impact on emissions is difficult to quantify as the major benefit is the improves efficiencies from both work bundling and data entry error reduction.

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

- Prior research may be leveraged to support this objective, such as GIS Platform & Data Model for Mobile Data Collection (OTD 8.17 e)
    - Anticipated End Date: Q2 2020

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- Gather and Store Field Data
    - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2021
- Spatially Identify Facilities
    - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
    - Anticipated End Date: Q4 2021

7) **DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

- There are no projects in conjunction with the Best Practice.

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- Gather input from subject matter experts

  - Data gathered from subject matter experts is used to guide strategies to gather and store field data.
  - Data gathered from subject matter experts is used to categorize facilities
- Develop strategies for field data collection and storage
  - Data gathered during strategic planning will be used and analyzed to determine efficient methods of field data collection and acceptable methods of data storage that meet Company specifications. (Go/No-Go Decision)
  - Estimate cost to implement field data collection and storage
- Develop methods to spatially identify facilities and system components
  - Data gathered during the spatial identification and categorization of facilities will be evaluated for usefulness towards data analytics and work bundling.
  - Estimate cost/efficiency of facility categorization.

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$46,029	$94,048

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$4,143	$8,464

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$173,017

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
	$15,572

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Objective Summary #20b
Geographic Emissions Tracking & Evaluation

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**

No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template.

11) **REFERENCES**

OTD Project No. 8.17.e Summary Report

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Summary #22
Investigate Specifications, Tolerances and Sealing Compounds for Threaded Fittings

1) **BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED**

- Best Practice 22: Pipe Fitting Specification & Tolerances
  Utilities shall eliminate or greatly reduce emissions from metal pipe and fitting threaded connections most commonly used on aboveground facilities, such as on customer meter set assemblies and meter and regulation stations. This is accomplished with improved quality control inspection of supplier's threaded products and the application of high-performance thread sealant compounds during construction.

2) **NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT**

- Investigate Specifications, Tolerances and Sealing Compounds for Threaded Metal Pipe and Fittings
- Reduce emissions by reducing fugitive gas loss at threaded connections.
- Pilot studies to be initiated based on results of sealant evaluations. Pilot studies will validate actual costs and emissions reductions.

3) **R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?**

- Evaluate the sealing performance of pipe thread sealants (spray-on, brush-on, putty, or epoxy leak sealant products) that can be applied externally to threaded metal connections to lock and prevent gas leakage under varying environmental conditions, internal pressures and external loading.
- Identify the high-performance thread sealant products that can seal low pressure (7 IWC or 2 PSIG) thread leaks on existing MSAs and conduct a thorough evaluation of these products.
- Areas targeted

Transmission			**Distribution**			**Storage**	
Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
f	f	f	F	f	F	f	f

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented
Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v - Vented

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Summary #22

Investigate Specifications, Tolerances and Sealing Compounds for Threaded Fittings

- The R&D approach to meet the objective will involve a series of planned evaluations, that can include one or more of the following:
  a) Laboratory Evaluation
     a. Establish baseline performance for sealants that are evaluated.
     b. Comparative evaluation to manufacturer specifications and currently approved sealants.
     c. Evaluate the sealants to Company requirements for intended applications.
  b) Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)
     a. Evaluate sealants in a simulated field environment utilizing controlled natural gas releases.
     b. Compare to currently approved sealants, practices, and/or procedures.
  c) Pilot Study
     a. Obtain and evaluate multiple sealants against Company's specifications for the intended application, and test for reliability.
     b. Evaluate sealants in an actual field environment, including controlled natural gas releases.
     c. Compare to currently approved sealants, practices, and/or procedures.

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- Company use of high-performance thread sealants may help eliminate fugitive methane emissions.
- Revising Company pipe thread specifications to ensure tighter tolerance and better-quality threads will help reduce fugitive methane emissions.
- Implement a threaded fitting replacement program for threaded components identified to have significant thread leaks.
- The project will identify the most economical thread sealants that resist leakage when exposed to varying combinations of pipe size, pressure, and temperature changes; movement; and general environmental conditions, and that provide an emissions cost-benefit when considering implementation costs of any required changes to operational practices. For example, Spray-on and brush-on type sealants will blow off by the force of the low-pressure leaks. The putty type sealants will take more time to apply but will stop low-pressure leaks. Ease of application, amount of time to apply, minimum surface preparation, and no service disruption are advantages over standard MSA dismantle and reassembly.
- Leak testing of NPT and ANPT quality pipe and fitting threads will provide performance data that will determine if company pipe fitting specifications need to be revised.

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- Reduce or eliminate fugitive methane emissions from aboveground threaded connections on Customer MSAs and Meter and Regulation Stations.

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Summary #22

Investigate Specifications, Tolerances and Sealing Compounds for Threaded Fittings

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

- Study Quality of Existing Pipe Fitting Inventory Research Project (NYSEARCH M2018-001)

  Final Report Review and Phase 2 (Go/No-Go Decision) Q1 2020:

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- NYSEARCH: Phase 2 - Evaluate thread sealants to reduce emissions from pipe fittings.

  Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
  Anticipated End Date: Q3 2022

- Low pressure sealants – Identify possible spray-on, brush-on, putty, or epoxy leak sealants to seal low pressure (7IWC or 2PSIG) thread leaks on existing MSAs

  Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
  Anticipated End Date: Q3 2022

7) **DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

- Study Quality of Existing Pipe Fitting Inventory Research Project (NYSEARCH M2018-001). Data gathered during the environmentally controlled testing is used to compare the effects of thread form, lubricant and torque as a function of temperature and pressure on leak rate. A baseline for NPT and ANPT is established.
- SPEC project and report

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- Laboratory Evaluation
  - Data gathered during laboratory evaluation will be utilized to establish performance baselines and to determine which sealants proceed to the field evaluation.
- Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)
  - Data gathered during field evaluation will be used to compare to Company specifications and guide the Pilot Study.
- Evaluation Cost of Implementation
  - Estimate cost to conduct pilot studies
  - Estimate emissions reduction cost reduction, and cost avoidance benefits (Go/No-Go Decision)
- Pilot Study
  - Data gathered during pilot study will be utilized to determine candidates for implementation.

2020 Leak Abatement Plan RD&D Summary #22

Investigate Specifications, Tolerances and Sealing Compounds for Threaded Fittings

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$141,089	$142,334

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$12,698	$12,810

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$348,873

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
	$31,399

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**

No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template.

11) **REFERENCES**

NYSEARCH Project M2018-001 Project Report

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Summary #23-1
Evaluation of Technologies to Mitigate Vented Emissions and Gas Blowdowns

1) BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED

- Best Practice 23: Emissions from Operations, Maintenance and other Activities Utilities shall minimize emissions from operations, maintenance and other activities, such as new construction or replacement, in the gas distribution and transmission systems and storage facilities. Utilities shall replace high-bleed pneumatic devices with technology that does not vent gas (i.e. no-bleed) or vents significantly less natural gas (i.e. low-bleed) devices. Utilities shall also reduce emissions from blowdowns, as much as operationally feasible.

2) NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT

- Evaluation of Technologies to Mitigate Vented Emissions & Gas Blowdowns
- This is an emissions reduction effort through mitigation of natural gas release which is currently part of the operation. This will also result in operational efficiencies.
- Perform pilot projects to demonstrate effectiveness and establish basis for cost estimates of technology implementation.

3) R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?

- The research objective is to:
  - Evaluate the effectiveness of various technologies (new or as discovered during records search) to mitigate vented emissions and gas blowdowns.
  - Review relevant operating procedures where gas is currently released as part of the operation to identify opportunities to reduce methane emissions by changing current practices and utilizing new technology, tools and equipment, and/or practices.
  - Perform pilot projects to demonstrate effectiveness and establish basis for cost estimates of technology implementation.
- Areas targeted

Transmission			**Distribution**			**Storage**	
Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
F	F			F		F	F

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented

Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v - Vented

- The R&D approach to meet the objective for technology, tool or equipment will involve a series of planned evaluations, that cam include one or more of the following:
    a) Manufacturer/In-house Demonstration
        a. Facilitate demonstrations by manufacturers or set-up in-house prototypes of new technologies, tools or equipment.
    b) Laboratory Evaluation
        a. Establish baseline performance for technologies, tools or equipment that are evaluated.
        b. Comparative evaluation to manufacturer specifications and currently approved methods.
        c. Evaluate the technologies, tools or equipment to Company requirements for intended applications.
        d. Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)
        e. Evaluate technologies, tools or equipment in a simulated field environment
        f. Compare to currently approved technologies, tools or equipment
    c) Pilot Study
        a. Evaluate technologies, tools or equipment in an actual field environment, including controlled natural gas releases.
        b. Compare to currently approved technologies, tools or equipment.
- The R&D approach to meet the objective for procedural evaluations includes:
    a) Identify relevant operating procedures where gas is currently released as part of the operation.
    b) Review Procedures
        a. Identify opportunities to reduce methane emissions
    c) Evaluate cost of implementation and prioritize opportunities
    d) Execute demonstrations/evaluations on prioritized opportunities

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- The evaluation of various technologies to mitigate gas blowdowns and vented emissions will result in recommendations to reduce blowdown events and a reduction in vented emissions.
- Opportunities that are identified in the operating procedure review may result in an evaluation and subsequent recommendation to change existing practices or to utilize new practices, tools and equipment or technology.

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- Reduce planned facility blowdown or venting of natural gas to the atmosphere and/or other operational venting by employing one or more viable options.

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

GFO-19-502 Group 2: Smart Shutoff Technology for Residential and Commercial Buildings

A meter valve has been identified that is a normally closed mechanical gas valve that is installed on the service and upstream of the meter-set assembly. It provides automatic and remote shut-off in the event of fire (and optionally flood, over-pressure, seismic activity) or utility initiated disconnect.

- Anticipated Start Date – Q1 2020

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- **Field demonstrations and evaluation of mitigation technologies**
  - Anticipated Start Date Q1 2021
  - Anticipated End Date Q4 2022
- **Evaluate impact of utilizing new technology, tools and equipment on practices and procedures**
  - Anticipated Start Date Q1 2021
  - Anticipated End Date Q4 2022

7) **DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT**

- Data collection and analysis for technology, tool or equipment evaluations includes:
  1. Manufacturer/In-house Demonstration
     - Data gathered during demonstrations is used to identify potential capabilities that can be leveraged for Company reduction of planned gas release.
  2. Laboratory Evaluation
     - Data gathered during laboratory evaluation is used to demonstrate capability for intended applications, and that the technology, tool or equipment can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
     - Use results of laboratory evaluation to guide simulated field-testing.

3. Evaluate Cost of Implementation
    - Estimate cost to conduct simulated field evaluation.
    - Estimate emission reduction, cost reduction, and cost avoidance benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).
4. Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)
    - Data gathered during simulated field evaluation is used to demonstrate capability for intended applications, and that the technology, tool or equipment can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
    - Use results of simulated field evaluation data to guide pilot study plan.
    - Evaluate integration of instrument data into Enterprise Data Management Systems and business process workflows.
    - Re-Evaluate/update the estimated implementation costs and benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).
5. Pilot Study
    - Verify capability for intended applications, and that the technology, practices and/or procedures can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision)
    - Re-Evaluate/update the estimated implementation costs and benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$146,092	$147,346

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$13,148	$13,261

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$361,200

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
	$32,508

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**

No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template.

11) **REFERENCES**

https://www.energy.ca.gov/solicitations/2019-10/gfo-19-502-storage-monitoring-smart-shutoff-and-3d-mapping-technologies-safer

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Summary #23-2
Evaluate Component Emission Reductions Opportunities

1) **BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED**

- Best Practice 23: Minimize Emissions from Operations, Maintenance, and Other Activities
  Utilities shall minimize emissions from operations, maintenance and other activities, such as new construction or replacement, in the gas distribution and transmission systems and storage facilities. Utilities shall replace high-bleed pneumatic devices with technology that does not vent gas (i.e. no-bleed) or vents significantly less natural gas (i.e. low bleed) devices. Utilities shall also reduce emissions from blowdowns, as much as operationally feasible.

2) **NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT**

- Evaluate Component Emission Reductions Opportunities
- Reduced emissions from component leaks and potential operational efficiency improvement through improved monitoring systems, improved performance, and changes in practices, designs, materials or novel solutions.
- Pilot studies to be executed on successful areas of improvement to validate actual costs and emissions reductions

3) **R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?**

- The research objective is two-fold:
  - Evaluate the maintenance history of Compressor and M&R Station components to identify components prone to leakage (valve stems, through-valve in closed positions, lube port, etc.). Identify opportunities to improve leak detection through monitoring systems and/or improve system performance through changes in maintenance practices, component designs, new materials, or novel solutions.
  - Evaluate emissions from system components designed to have vented emissions. Identify opportunities to reduce vented emissions through monitoring systems or improved maintenance practices, component designs, new materials, or novel solutions.
- Areas targeted

Transmission			**Distribution**			**Storage**	
Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
V	F,v	F,v	V	F,v	f,v	F,V	F,V

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented
Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v - Vented

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Summary #23-2
Evaluate Component Emission Reductions Opportunities

- The R&D approach to meet the objective will involve a series of planned evaluations, that can include one or more of the following:
    a) Evaluate maintenance histories to identify components prone to leakage
    b) Implement lessons learned regarding valve maintenance and improved leak detection.
    c) Evaluate emissions from system components with vented emissions
    d) Identify opportunities to reduce vented emissions
    e) Select opportunities based on emissions reductions and cost efficiency and evaluate on site.
    f) Create Standard Operating Procedures, training programs, tracking plans
    g) Develop materials, novel solutions as identified.

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- Reduce methane emissions by improved valve maintenance practices and/or replacing existing equipment/materials/components with new designs that reduce emissions.

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- This research objective is estimated to result in emissions reduction; however, the magnitude of this emissions reduction cannot yet be determined.

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

- Methane Oxidation Catalyst Research Project (NYSEARCH M2017-004) (BP 23 AP-1)
    - Actual Start Date: Q4 2017
    - Anticipated End Date: Q1 2021
- Compressor Isolation Valves Maintenance Best Practices (SoCalGas R&D)
    - Actual Start Date: Q2 2019
    - Anticipated End Date: Q1 2021

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- Study alternatives to reduce component leakage (Bellows valves, secondary containment, etc.)
    - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
    - Anticipated End Date: Q3 2022
- Evaluate and revise current practices and utilize new technology, tools, equipment, and practices and procedures
    - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
    - Anticipated End Date: Q3 2022
- Evaluation of electrohydraulic devices to replace pneumatic to replace intermittent bleed devices
    - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
    - Anticipated End Date: Q3 2022

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Summary #23-2
Evaluate Component Emission Reductions Opportunities

7) **DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT**

a. Current Projects

- Methane Oxidation Catalyst Research Project (NYSEARCH M2017-004) (BP 23 AP-1).
    - Proof of Concept
    - Prototype Design
    - Prototype Development
    - Lab-Scale Prototype Demonstration
- Compressor Isolation Valves Maintenance Best Practices (SoCalGas R&D) - Implement lessons learned regarding valve maintenance and improved methods to detect through-valve leakage
    - Compile existing data (e.g., make, model, size, age) regarding the population of SoCalGas compressor blowdown valves and isolation valves
    - Utilize information gathered ("lessons learned" and maintenance practices for valve systems) during interviews of SoCalGas subject matter expects to develop a draft SOP.
    - Determine Baseline Leak Conditions
        - Identify and measure through-valve leakage on a sub-population of SoCalGas compressor blowdown valves and isolation valves
    - Utilize data gathered during determination of baseline to develop better methods to detect through-valve leakage (BP 20a.5)
    - Tracking
        - After the SOP has been implemented, periodically measure through-valve leakage on the population SoCalGas compressor blowdown valves and isolation valves to determine the impact (i.e., expected emissions reduction) of the SOP implementation
- Evaluation of electrohydraulic devices to replace pneumatic intermittent bleed devices.
    - Manufacturer Demonstration
        - Data gathered during manufacturer demonstration is used to identify potential capabilities that can be leveraged for Company requirements for intermittent bleed devices.
    - Laboratory Evaluation
        - Data gathered during laboratory evaluation is used to demonstrate capability for intended applications, and that the intermittent bleed devices can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
        - Use results of laboratory data to guide simulated field-testing plan.
    - Evaluate Cost of Implementation
        - Estimate cost to conduct simulated field evaluation.
        - Estimate emission reduction, cost reduction, and cost avoidance benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).

- Simulated Field Evaluation (Controlled Environment)
  - Data gathered during simulated field evaluation is used to demonstrate capability for intended applications, and that the intermittent bleed devices can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
  - Use results of simulated field evaluation data to guide pilot study plan.
  - Evaluate integration of instrument data into Enterprise Data Management Systems and business process workflows.
  - Re-Evaluate/update the estimated implementation costs and benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).
- Pilot Study
  - Verify capability for intended applications, and that the intermittent bleed devices can meet Company specifications (Go/No-Go Decision).
  - Re-Evaluate/update the estimated implementation costs and benefits (Go/No-Go Decision).

b. Proposed Projects

- Study alternatives to reduce component leakage (Bellows valves, secondary containment, etc.) for T&S.
  - Compile existing data (e.g., make, model, size, age) regarding the population of SoCalGas components
  - Interview SoCalGas subject matter expects to document "lessons learned" regarding maintenance practices for components, develop draft SOP
  - Determine Baseline Leak Conditions
    - Identify and measure component leaks on a sub-population of SoCalGas components
  - Implement Lessons Learned/SOP regarding valve maintenance
  - Tracking
    - After the SOP has been implemented, periodically monitor components for leakage to determine the impact (i.e., expected emissions reduction) of the SOP implementation
- Evaluate current practices to utilize new technology, tools, equipment, and practices and procedures
  - Compile existing data regarding current practices and the associated population of SoCalGas components
    - Interview SoCalGas subject matter expects to document "lessons learned" regarding the current practices, develop draft SOP
    - Determine Baseline Leak Conditions - Identify and measure emissions from a sub-population of the associated SoCalGas emission sources
    - Implement Lessons Learned/SOP
    - Tracking - After the SOP has been implemented, periodically measure from a sub-population of associated SoCalGas emission sources to determine the impact (i.e., expected emissions reduction) of the SOP implementation

- Compile existing data regarding new technology, tools, equipment, and practices and procedures and the associated population of SoCalGas components
  - Interview SoCalGas subject matter expects to document "lessons learned" regarding the current practices, develop draft SOP
  - Determine Baseline Leak Conditions - Identify and measure emissions from a sub-population of the associated SoCalGas emission sources
  - Implement Lessons Learned/SOP
  - Tracking - After the SOP has been implemented, periodically measure from a sub-population of associated SoCalGas emission sources to determine the impact (i.e., expected emissions reduction) of the SOP implementation

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$340,214	$342,804

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$30,619	$30,852

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$840,746

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
	$75,667

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**

No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template.

11) **REFERENCES**

A. GHG Emission Factor Development for Natural Gas Compressors, PRCI Catalog No. PR-312-16202-R02, April 18, 2018.
B. Methane Emission Factors for Compressors in Natural Gas Transmission and Underground Storage based on Subpart W Measurement Data, PRCI Catalog No. PR-312-18209-E01, October 17, 2019.

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Objective Summary #23-3
Alternative Fuel Substitution Strategy

1) **BEST PRACTICE ADDRESSED**

- Best Practice 23: Minimize Emissions from Operations, Maintenance, and Other Activities
  Utilities shall minimize emissions from operations, maintenance and other activities, such as new construction or replacement, in the gas distribution and transmission systems and storage facilities. Utilities shall replace high-bleed pneumatic devices with technology that does not vent gas (i.e. no-bleed) or vents significantly less natural gas (i.e. low bleed) devices. Utilities shall also reduce emissions from blowdowns, as much as operationally feasible.

2) **NAME AND TYPE OF RD&D OBJECTIVE OR PROGRAM PILOT**

- Alternative fuels substitution strategy to reduce methane emissions by changing the Gas composition resulting in a reduced petrogenic methane concentration. Reduce emissions of petrogenic methane from gas leaks (i.e., fugitive emissions) and gas venting by blending alternative fuels.

3) **R&D OBJECTIVE. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO LEARN?**

- The research objective is to revise the current gas composition specification to achieve a reduction in petrogenic methane emissions.
- Areas targeted

Transmission			**Distribution**			**Storage**	
Pipeline	M&R	Compressor	Pipeline	M&R	MSA	Well/Lat	Compressor
F, V	F, V	F, V	F, V	F, V	F, V	F, V	F, V

Primary Area of Focus: F – Fugitive; V – Vented
Secondary Area of Focus: f – Fugitive; v - Vented

- The R&D approach to meet the objective will involve a series of planned evaluations, that can include one or more of the following:
  a) Feasibility Study
- Identify the “best” fuel substitution strategies; that is, the strategies that have the potential to reduce petrogenic methane emissions and be cost-effective.
  b) Small Scale Demonstration and Evaluation of Reliability and Safety
- Execute testing and research to address data and technology gaps identified in the Feasibility Study and verify that any potential identified fuel substitution strategies will not impact system reliability and safety.

4) **ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED RESULTS**

- The potential benefit is reduced emissions of all releases of petrogenic methane sources within the supply chain.

2020 Leak Abatement Plan R&D Objective Summary #23-3
Alternative Fuel Substitution Strategy

5) **EMISSIONS IMPACT**

- Petrogenic methane emissions reductions are expected to differ for the different fuel substitution strategies, and reduction estimates will be an output of the Feasibility Study.

6) **MILESTONE (EXPECTED START DATE, FINISH DATE, OTHER KEY DATES PLANNED)**

a. CURRENT PROJECTS

Prior research where investigations into gas composition has been performed may be leveraged to support this project, such as GTI Low-Carbon Renewable Natural Gas (RNG) From Wood Wastes (see Section 11 REFERENCES).

b. PROPOSED PROJECTS

- Phase 1 Feasibility Study
  - Evaluate the system gas petrogenic methane reductions associated with different fuel substitution strategies, which could include hydrogen, propane, ethane, and methane from existing biogenic methane sources
  - Evaluate other possible impacts including, but not limited to: possible impact on combustion VOC and/or NOx emissions and other criteria pollutant emissions; impact on system gas Wobbe index and existing specifications/tariffs for SoCalGas system gas; GHG emissions from fuel substitution system implementation and substitute fuel transport; and costs of fuel substitution system implementation (i.e., equipment and operating costs) and substitute fuel transport. (Go/No-Go Decision)
  - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
  - Anticipated End Date: Q3 2021
- Phase 2: Small Scale Demonstration and Evaluation of Reliability and Safety
  - Perform small scale laboratory demonstration(s) to evaluate the impact on combustion equipment and emissions. (Go/No-Go Decision)
  - Anticipated Start Date: Q1 2021
  - Anticipated End Date: Q3 2023

7) **DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS PLAN-APPROPRIATE TO THE TYPE OF PROJECT**

- Phase 1 Feasibility Study – gather data and make calculations to support the feasibility analysis of each alternative fuel substitution strategy identified through input of industry and subject-matter experts.
- Determine if the potential alternative fuel substitution strategy complies with existing specifications/tariffs for SoCalGas system gas or could comply with potential revised specifications/tariffs for system gas.
- Collect data to estimate GHG emissions (e.g., as petrogenic methane equivalents) from the fuel substitution system strategy implementation and substitute fuel transport.
- Collect data to estimate emissions of criteria pollutants and hazardous air pollutants, and other possible ancillary impacts, from the fuel substitution system strategy implementation and substitute fuel transport

- Collect data to estimate the cost of the fuel substitution system strategy implementation (i.e., capital and operating costs) and substitute fuel transport.
- Calculate estimates of net petrogenic methane emissions reductions (e.g., as petrogenic methane equivalents).
- Calculate estimates of cost-effectiveness (e.g., $/yr for implementation / change in emissions of petrogenic methane equivalents (Δ ton/yr)).
- Alternative fuel substitution strategies that 1.) are estimated to result in net reductions of petrogenic methane equivalents emissions; 2.) have favorable (i.e., low $/Δ ton) estimates of cost-effectiveness; and 3.) do not have significant adverse ancillary impacts (e.g., criteria pollutant emissions) would be considered for Phase 2.
- Phase 2: Small Scale Demonstration and Evaluation of System Reliability and Safety - – gather data and conduct analyses to further evaluate whether alternative fuel substitution strategies should be implemented. Specific data collection, testing and analyses will be determined after the completion of Phase 2 and could include:
- Data needed to refine Phase 1 feasibility analysis
- Combustion stability testing
- Review and evaluation of existing safety systems and practices
- Analysis of the impact on system reliability

8) **EXPECTED UTILITY TOTAL COST (IF CO-FUNDED, WHAT IS TOTAL COST?).**

Incremental Cost Estimates (Provided in 2019 Dollars and Direct Costs (No Loaders))

SoCalGas	2021	2022
	$100,063	$100,235

SDG&E	2021	2022
	$9,006	$9,021

9) **RATE-RECOVERABLE LOADED COSTS SUBMITTED IN THE ADVICE LETTER, 1-WAY ACCOUNT.**

SoCalGas	Total Loaded Costs
	$246,554

SDG&E	Total Loaded Costs
	$22,190

10) **OTHER RELATED ADVICE LETTER COSTS FOR THIS PROGRAM IF ANY.**

No other Advice Letter costs directly related to this template.

11) **REFERENCES**

GTI Low-Carbon Renewable Natural Gas (RNG) From Wood Wastes
https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/uploadedfiles/CPUS_Website/Content/Utilities_and_Industries/Energy_Programs/Gas/Natural_Gas_Market/GTI.pptx