

**ORA DATA REQUEST**  
**ORA-SDGE-085-FH2**  
**SDG&E 2019 GRC – A.17-10-007**  
**SDG&E RESPONSE**  
**DATE RECEIVED: JANUARY 12, 2018**  
**DATE RESPONDED: JANUARY 22, 2018**

**Exhibit Reference:** SDG&E-12, page KKH-7

**SDG&E Witness:** Kendall K. Helm

**Subject:** Long-Term Procurement

**Please provide the following:**

1. Referring to SDG&E’s testimony, KKH-7, lines 1-4:
  - a. Have vacancies in 2016 been filled? If yes, indicate when they were filled. If not, please provide reasons for the still vacant positions.
  - b. Provide workpapers and workload analysis conducted to support the 14.7 FTEs requested.

**SDG&E Response 01:**

- a. As of December 31, 2016, there were approximately 2 vacancies in Long-Term Procurement. One vacancy was filled in 2017, when the vacant Energy Contracts Administrator position was repurposed into a Principal Commercial Energy Advisor position. This repurposing was done to ensure the Long-Term procurement team had the required legal and regulatory expertise to respond to the changing regulatory landscape. The second vacant position is a Senior Energy Policy Advisor that has not yet been filled due to competing staffing priorities relating to other staff transitions. This vacancy remains in our labor forecast due to growing policy complexity in the Long-Term procurement area. As noted in testimony (Ex. SDG&E-12) on page KKH-7, lines 6-11, policy complexity is growing as California moves to a 50 percent RPS, as the CPUC moves toward an integrated planning process, and as in-depth data and inputs are sought on a changing procurement model for California with consideration of retail choice and departing load charge reform.
- b. Please see the work papers (Ex. SDG&E-12-WP) pages 6-10 “1EP001.00-Long Term Procurement”. A detailed workload analysis was not conducted, but labor priorities were set by the cost drivers described in testimony on page KKH-7, lines 5-24.