From: Tan, Lee-Whei [mailto:lee-whei.tan@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2014 10:33 AM
To: Parikh, Parina P.; Danforth, Christopher; Kao, Valerie
Cc: Fang, Cynthia; Haddow, Greg; Barnes, E. Gregory; Blattner, William; Simkins, Kristi M; Ghazzagh, Farzad; Overturf, Billie
Subject: RE: VGI Updated Workpapers

Parina,
We will get back to you tomorrow about our availability for the follow up discussion.
For scenario 3, add (c) for a 100% C-CPP adder.

We appreciate your answering these questions. When providing the responses, it will be good to include explanation about the changes made in the update.
Therefore, the reasons for SDG&E for its update can be memorized.

Thank you.

Lee-Whei

From: Parikh, Parina P. [mailto:PParikh@semprautilities.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2014 5:41 PM
To: Tan, Lee-Whei; Danforth, Christopher; Kao, Valerie
Cc: Fang, Cynthia; Haddow, Greg; Barnes, E. Gregory; Blattner, William; Simkins, Kristi M; Ghazzagh, Farzad; Overturf, Billie
Subject: RE: VGI Updated Workpapers

Chris/Lee-Whei/Valerie—

The following is our understanding of deliverables from today's call:
- Revenue validation worksheets
- Requested scenarios:
    - Scenario 1 - Alternative to current variable cost adjustment: removal of forecasted annual CAISO hourly cost based on historic day-ahead prices
    - Scenario 2 – treatment of commodity balances: do not exclude from capacity allocation for C-CPP adder
    - Scenario 3 – Alternatives to 50% of capacity for C-CPP adder:
        - (a) TOU structure for residual capacity recovery
        - (b) 75% of capacity for C-CPP adder

We also understand that you would like to have a follow-up call regarding variable cost determination. My preference would be that we respond to the above (namely Scenario 1) before setting up that call.

If you believe this listing is complete, we will formalize this into a data request and follow the usual regulatory protocol. Therefore, we will provide responses on the above by June 24.

Thanks,
Parina