Company: San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 E)

Proceeding: Real Time Pricing Pilot Rate Application: A.21-12-006/A.21-12-008

Exhibit: SDG&E-XX

# PREPARED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF RAY UTAMA (CHAPTER 4) ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

**January 30, 2023** 



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION 1		. 1
II.	ADDITIONAL RATE SCHEDULES WILL INCREASE IMPLEMENTATION COST		. 1
	A.	Cal Advocates' Proposal to Include Net Energy Metering (NEM) in Stage 1 of the RTP Pilot Would Add Significant Costs	. 2
	B.	SBUA's Simultaneous Argument for Both An Expansion of the Export Compensation Pilot and A Reduction of Implementation Costs Is Unreasonable	. 5
III.	MEASURES TO AVOID NON-BENEFICIAL RATE ARBITRAGE		. 7
IV.	SDG&E WILL USE THE CEC'S MIDAS DATABASE TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE		. 8
V.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION		. 9

#### PREPARED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

#### **RAY UTAMA (CHAPTER 4)**

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to address intervenors' prepared direct testimony served on December 30, 2022 in San Diego Gas & Electric Company's (SDG&E) Applications (A.) 21-12-006 and 21-12-008 (consolidated). Specifically, my rebuttal testimony will address the following contentions made in intervenor testimony:

- The Public Advocates Office of the California Public Utilities Commission's (Cal Advocate), Small Business Utility Advocates' (SBUA) and Vehicle Grid Integration Council's (VGIC) proposals to include additional rate schedules in Stage 1 of the Real Time Pricing (RTP) and Export Compensation Pilots (collectively referred as Dynamic Pricing Pilots);
- Cal Advocates' recommendation to require customers participating in the Export Compensation Pilot rate to also be enrolled in the RTP import rate to avoid rate arbitrage; and
- Cal Advocates' proposal to use of Market Informed Demand Automation Server (MIDAS) in the customer enablement pricing tool.

SDG&E's failure to address any individual issue in this rebuttal testimony does not imply agreement by SDG&E with any argument, position, or proposal asserted by parties.

## II. ADDITIONAL RATE SCHEDULES WILL INCREASE IMPLEMENTATION COST

For Stage 1 of the RTP Pilot, SDG&E proposed the pilot rate be available to customers taking Utility Distribution Company (UDC) service under the following seven rate schedules: TOU-DR-1, EV-TOU-5, TOU-A, AL-TOU, A6-TOU, PA-T-1 and TOU-PA.<sup>1</sup> For Stage 1 of the Export Compensation Pilot, SDG&E proposed the pilot rate to be available to commercial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prepared Supplemental Direct Testimony of SDG&E Witness Ray Utama (Chapter 4) (August 15, 2022) at RU-5.

electric vehicle (EV) customers on the Electric Vehicle High Power Rate (EV-HP) schedule.<sup>2</sup>
As addressed in the prepared supplemental direct testimony of SDG&E witness Jeff DeTuri
(Chapter 1), limiting Stage 1 of the Dynamic Pricing Pilots is designed to minimize costs, while making the RTP Pilot Stage 1 available to the majority of eligible Time-of-Use (TOU) customers and offering the Export Compensation Pilot Stage 1 to customers who are well positioned to participate in the rate.<sup>3</sup>

SDG&E is not opposed to opening these rates up to more rate schedules, and indeed proposes to do so in Stage 2 of the Pilots, but urges the Commission to take an incremental approach for these very new and untested rates. Each additional participating rate schedule will require additional costs to establish billing compatibility with potentially little to no customer interest for those rate schedules. To the extent there is significant customer interest or other factors that would weigh in favor of adding additional rate schedules for Stage 2 of either Pilot, SDG&E will consider those factors, but it does not, at this time, believe the cost of increasing eligibility to more rate schedules will be justified for Stage 1 of the Pilots. SDG&E addresses the specific proposals below.

## A. Cal Advocates' Proposal to Include Net Energy Metering (NEM) in Stage 1 of the RTP Pilot Would Add Significant Costs

Cal Advocates proposes to replace Schedule EV-TOU-5 with Schedule DR-SES in the Stage 1 of the RTP Pilot because Schedule EV-TOU-5 has inherent cost shift issues and including a NEM specific rate (i.e., Schedule DR-SES) in Stage 1, would be beneficial for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Prepared Supplemental Direct Testimony of SDG&E Witness Jeff DeTuri (Chapter 1) (August 15, 2022) at JDT-5.

purposes of gathering performance data and optimizing the design of Stage 2 of the RTP Pilot.<sup>4</sup> SDG&E disagrees with this proposal because (1) adding a NEM-specific rate for Stage 1 will add significant billing complexity; and (2) SDG&E believes EV-TOU-5 is likely to have customers interested in this particular rate.

Including DR-SES rate schedule in Stage 1 of the RTP Pilot will add significant billing complexity that is associated with the NEM programs,<sup>5</sup> this would include 1) configuring the billing system for both consumption and generation calculations and 2) configuring the billing system to allocate generation charges to offset consumption charges. Schedule DR-SES consists of only NEM customers as the schedule is only available to residential customers with Solar Energy Systems. SDG&E proposed to include all TOU rate schedules, as well as customers enrolled in NEM programs, in Stage 2 of the RTP Pilot to minimize cost and reduce implementation complexity of Stage 1 of the RTP Pilot.<sup>6</sup> Including NEM programs in Stage 2 of the RTP Pilot will allow SDG&E: 1) to build the foundation with the RTP import rates before adding the NEM layer, and 2) additional time to work through the intricacy and complexity of the NEM rates and billing to minimize cost shift and avoid potential rate arbitrage.

Additionally, SDG&E believes that Schedule EV-TOU-5 is an appropriate rate to include in Stage 1 of the RTP Pilot as it is the rate schedule with the highest number of residential customers with EVs, who, as relatively early adopters of EV technology, may be more technologically inclined and better suited for the RTP Pilot than customers on other rate schedules. Adding Schedule DR-SES with the associated NEM program, to Stage 1 of the RTP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Cal Advocates Prepared Testimony of Thomas Brawley (Chapter 2) at 2-4 to 2-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Prepared Supplemental Direct Testimony of SDG&E Witness Ray Utama (Chapter 4) (August 15, 2022) at RU-5 to RU-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Id*.

will add approximately \$2M and an additional 6 months to implement Stage 1 of the RTP Pilot. This is due to having to configure the components needed to accurately bill NEM, which was originally slated for Stage 2.

Similarly, VGIC recommends including Schedules EV-TOU and EV-TOU-2 in Stage 1 of the RTP Pilot. SDG&E's schedule EV-TOU is available to residential customers with separately meter EV charging facilities, and Schedule EV-TOU-2 is available for residential customers households with EVs, but are not separately metered. VGIC argues that customers utilizing submetering to enroll in these rates, by definition, will own networked chargers, which generally have the capability to be managed by a third-party to respond to dynamic price signals. Again, SDG&E does not disagree that some customers on these rates may benefit from the RTP Pilot, and indeed, SDG&E is proposing to include all other TOU rate schedule (including EV-TOU and EV-TOU-2) in Stage 2 of the RTP Pilot. However, every additional rate schedule added to the Stage 1 Pilot will add significant costs and SDG&E believes it is more prudent to wait until Stage 2 and any lessons learned from Stage 1 can improve implementation of more widespread eligibility. Including Schedules EV-TOU and EV-TOU-2 would increase the implementation cost of RTP Stage 1 by approximately \$0.5M, and is unlikely to add value given SDG&E has already proposed to include the most popular EV rate schedule. Including the additional EV rate schedules would also add an additional 2 months to implement Stage 1 of the RTP Pilot due to having to configure the components needed to accurately bill the incremental EV schedules, which was originally slated for Stage 2.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Opening Testimony of Ed Burgess on Behalf of VGIC at 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Id*.

## B. SBUA's Simultaneous Argument for Both An Expansion of the Export Compensation Pilot and A Reduction of Implementation Costs Is Unreasonable

SBUA proposes to include all Small Commercial customer TOU rates in Stage 1 of the Export Compensation Pilot, arguing that all customers are paying for the pilots; therefore, all customers should equally benefit from the pilot. SBUA further argues that SDG&E's implementation cost estimates of \$12.6M are too high and costs should be capped at \$10M. SBUA's expert has no basis for his position that the costs estimates are too high and his proposed cap of \$10M is baseless and arbitrary. Further, SDG&E has proposed a Stage 2 for the Export Compensation Pilot that will be open to Small Commercial customers and therefore, contrary to assertions of SBUA, SDG&E has responded to requests for expanded eligibility.

Although SDG&E recognizes that its proposal for Stage 1 of the Export Compensation Pilot is relatively limited, SDG&E has proposed and anticipates that Stage 2 of the Export Compensation Pilot to be expanded to all other customer classes pending the results and feedback from Stage 1 of the Pilots. <sup>12</sup> Because this is a new and innovative rate that has some potential downsides, such as rate arbitrage as addressed below, and has potential barriers to participation, such as the need for a Rule 21 interconnection, SDG&E proposed for Stage 1 of the Export Compensation Pilot to be available to only customers on EV-HP to minimize implementation costs and offering it to customers who are well positioned to participate. <sup>13</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Direct Testimony of Michael Brown on Behalf of SBUA at 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> *Id.* at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Id.* at 19 (arguing that SDG&E fails to respond to the Scoping Memo request for expanded eligibility for an export compensation rate).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Prepared Supplemental Direct Testimony of SDG&E Witness Ray Utama (Chapter 4) (August 15, 2022) at RU-3 to RU-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Prepared Supplemental Direct Testimony of SDG&E Witness Jeff DeTuri (Chapter 1) (August 15, 2022) at JDT-5.

SDG&E anticipates that it will be beneficial to all customers to keep initial participation limited to a smaller group to limit costs of implementation and use lessons learned to better implement Stage 2. Including small commercial rate schedule TOU-A, which has the greatest number of small commercial class participants, to Stage 1 of the Export Compensation Pilot would increase the implementation cost by approximately \$0.2M and an additional 1 month to implement Stage 1 of the Export Compensation Pilot. This is due to having to configure the components needed to accurately bill the small commercial rate, which was originally slated for Stage 2.

Additionally, as addressed in the supplemental direct testimony of SDG&E witness Jeff DeTuri (Chapter 1), the Dynamic Pricing Pilots have potential universal benefits for all customers including: reducing grid costs, reducing greenhouse gases, enabling greater integration of renewables, and reducing the likelihood of blackouts.<sup>14</sup>

Finally, SBUA's proposal of \$10M cap to implement the Dynamic Pricing Pilots is arbitrary and baseless. SBUA provides no support for the number it has proposed, stating only that the "EV program will be relatively small and may expand as more customers adopt EV rate." Mr. Brown uses the term "EV program" to refer to both the RTP Pilots and the Export Compensation Rate, but seems to misunderstand that the RTP Pilot has eligibility well beyond EV owners. Further, he leans on his "experience" which "leads [him] to believe that this project could be implemented for \$10 million, which is more reasonable." What Mr. Brown fails to acknowledge is that he has not demonstrated experience in rate implementation. His statement of qualifications includes utility experience in the acquisition of power, project management for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> *Id.* at JDT-24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Direct Testimony of Michael Brown on Behalf of SBUA at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> *Id*.

maintenance, construction and licensing of power plants, patents, and negotiating and participating in utility agreements and energy projects. He does not have experience in rate design or rate implementation. Accordingly, his experience and cost estimates should be given no weight. SDG&E has provided subject matter expert testimony that must hold greater weight than SBUA's arbitrary cost caps and believes a cost cap may pose a risk that could limit the number of rate schedules and customer features offered on the Dynamic Pricing Pilots.

Moreover, and importantly, SDG&E does not object to memorandum account treatment for projected costs, meaning that SDG&E will be required to prove that all costs are reasonable and just for purposes of cost recovery.<sup>18</sup>

#### III. MEASURES TO AVOID NON-BENEFICIAL RATE ARBITRAGE

Cal Advocates recommends that SDG&E require customers enrolled in the Export

Compensation Pilot rate to also be enrolled in the RTP Pilot rate in order to avoid rate

arbitrage. Rate arbitrage can occur during hours when the Export Compensation Pilot rate is

higher than the TOU import rate, incentivizing customers with battery storage to charge at the

lower TOU import rates and export at the higher Export Compensation Pilot rates. This creates a

cost-shifting problem where the customer would get compensation without providing additional

benefits to the grid.

SDG&E is aware of the rate arbitrage possibility and while still exploring options to mitigate non-beneficial rate arbitrage, SDG&E plans to build mitigation measures in its billing system to avoid such non-beneficial rate arbitrage. One of the ways being considered is to combine the interval data so that the import and export intervals are netted before import or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Prepared Rebuttal Testimony of SDG&E Witness Eric Dalton (Chapter 7) (January 30, 2023) at ED-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Cal Advocates Prepared Testimony of Thomas Brawley (Chapter 2) at 2-5 to 2-6.

export rates are applied. Thus, if a customer with a battery storage continuously cycles between charging and discharging, they will only be charged or compensated on the netted value for that interval. Further, if Stage 1 of the Export Compensation Pilot is limited to customers on the EV-HP rate schedule, as SDG&E has proposed, SDG&E will be able to test its mitigation measures on a relatively small population of customers, and any potential cost shift due to mitigation failure would be minimal.<sup>20</sup> SDG&E will incorporate any lessons learned from Stage 1 to more effectively design Stage 2 of the Export Compensation Pilot.

## IV. SDG&E WILL USE THE CEC'S MIDAS DATABASE TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE

For the Dynamic Pricing Pilots, SDG&E plans to develop a page on the SDG&E website, similar to the current site for posting Schedule Electric Vehicle Grid Integration Pilot Program (VGI) prices.<sup>21</sup> Hourly prices for the Dynamic Pricing Pilots will be accessible conveniently from the SDG&E dedicated webpage for use by customers, and other interested parties. In addition to the website, SDG&E proposed to develop an Application Programing Interface (API) to transmit price signals for third parties that have machine-to-machine automation capabilities.<sup>22</sup>

Cal Advocates proposed for SDG&E to utilize MIDAS instead of developing a separate API to minimize redundant efforts and costs.<sup>23</sup> MIDAS is the California Energy Commission (CEC) operated database that serves as a central repository of current, future, and historic timevarying rates, greenhouse gas emissions associated with electrical generation, and California

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> As of August 5, 2022, there are a total of 22 customer accounts (bundled and departed load) on the EV-HP rate schedule, with only 5 being eligible bundled customer accounts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Prepared Supplemental Direct Testimony of SDG&E Witness Ray Utama (Chapter 4) (August 15, 2022) at RU-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Cal Advocates Prepared Testimony of Thomas Brawley (Chapter 2) at 2-9 to 2-10.

Flex Alert Signals. Under the updated load management standards, large California utilities and large Community Choice Aggregators (CCA) will be required to maintain up-to-date rates in the MIDAS database. If the MIDAS database is capable of storing and transmitting hourly prices required by the RTP and Export Compensation pilots, with similar machine-to-machine automation capabilities of an API, then SDG&E agrees that the use of MIDAS could replace the proposed separate API to transmit price signals for the Dynamic Pricing Pilots. As the Load Management Rulemaking continues to advance, and once SDG&E determines that MIDAS could function the same and be as timely as the proposed API, SDG&E would utilize MIDAS and minimize redundant efforts to transmit price signals for the Dynamic Pricing Pilots.

For other customers, without machine-to-machine capability, SDG&E believes that a dedicated webpage showing hourly prices for the Dynamic Pricing Pilots is still appropriate. SDG&E does not anticipate that retrieving data from MIDAS will be user friendly as it is accessible through a public API in two standard machine-readable formats: extensive markup language (XML), and JavaScript Object Notation (JSON).<sup>25</sup> A dedicated SDG&E webpage can be conveniently accessed by any customers without requiring any programming skills.

### V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

This concludes my prepared rebuttal testimony.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> See CEC, CEC Adopts Standards to Help Consumers Save Energy at Peak Times (October 12, 2022) available at https://www.energy.ca.gov/news/2022-10/cec-adopts-standards-help-consumers-save-energy-

peak-times.
 See CEC, MIDAS Documentation Version 1.2: Connecting to and Interacting with the MIDAS Database and Application Programming Interface (2021) available at <a href="https://www.energy.ca.gov/publications/2021/market-informed-demand-automation-server-midas-">https://www.energy.ca.gov/publications/2021/market-informed-demand-automation-server-midas-</a>

documentation-version-12.