

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC
COMPANY (U 902 E) For Authority To Update
Electric Rate Design Effective on January 1, 2020

Application 19-07-XXX

**PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
BRITTANY APPLESTEIN SYZ
ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

JULY 3, 2019



TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. INTRODUCTION..... 1
- II. BACKGROUND..... 5
 - A. State TE Policy Guidance and SDG&E Programs 5
 - B. Need for New Rate..... 8
- III. OVERVIEW OF EV-HP PROPOSAL 10
 - A. Customer Eligibility..... 10
 - B. Proposed Rate Design..... 10
 - C. Phased-out Discount to EV-HP 13
 - D. Need for Interim Existing Rate Discount..... 14
- IV. COST RECOVERY 15
 - A. Cost Recovery for Both the Subscription Charge Discount and Interim Existing Rate Discount..... 15
 - B. Cost Recovery Associated with Manual Billing Process for Interim Existing Rate Discount..... 15
- V. CONCLUSION 16
- VI. STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS..... 17

- 1 • the proposed EV-HP rate, including time-of-use (“TOU”) periods to encourage off-
2 peak and super off-peak charging and a subscription charge to replace traditional
3 demand charges;
- 4 • a discount on the proposed EV-HP subscription charge that is designed to encourage
5 early EV adoption by offering a discount that phases out from 50% to 0% over ten
6 years, declining by 5% each year;
- 7 • an interim 50% discount on the single highest priced demand charge in each
8 applicable existing general service Utility Distribution Company (“UDC”) rate for
9 MD/HD EV customers and DCFC customers until the EV-HP rate can be fully
10 implemented in SDG&E’s new CIS;
- 11 • the cost of the (1) EV-HP subscription charge discount and (2) interim existing rate
12 discount will be recorded in a two-way balancing account and recovered from all
13 customers through Public Purpose Program (“PPP”) charges, since TE provides
14 environmental and air quality benefits to all;
- 15 • cost recovery of a forecasted \$1.1 million revenue requirement to fund
16 implementation of the interim existing rate discount (i.e., costs associated with
17 manually billing the interim existing rate demand charge discount) during the “freeze
18 period” when SDG&E cannot make changes to its current, legacy CIS; and
- 19 • the costs associated with the manual billing will be recorded in a two-way balancing
20 account and recovered through distribution rates.

21 The proposed EV-HP rate design is intended to provide support for the nascent DCFC
22 and MD/HD EV market by simplifying the rate structure as compared to existing general service
23 rates and creating an electric fueling option that is competitive with fossil fuels. It is expected

1 that the EV-HP rate will offer some DCFC and MD/HD EV customers savings compared to
2 existing general service rates. However, this will depend on customer behavior. The EV-HP
3 rate also aligns with state policies including Assembly Bill (“AB”) 32, Senate Bill (“SB”) 350,
4 SB 32, Executive Orders (“EO”) B-48-18 and B-55-18, and the California Public Utilities
5 Commission’s (“CPUC” or “Commission”) TE policy.⁴ CPUC approval of the proposed EV-HP
6 rate will help to reduce barriers to TE in important commercial markets in SDG&E’s territory.
7 The rate will provide electricity at a competitive cost for commercial EV charging operators
8 when such customers manage their maximum demand and avoid on-peak charging.

9 Utility electric rates can have a major impact on the cost of operating EVs and should be
10 designed to incentivize commercial vehicles to convert to EVs. SDG&E currently offers several
11 dedicated EV rates, including residential EV TOU rates, the innovative day-ahead hourly
12 dynamic Vehicle Grid Integration (“VGI”) rate, and the Public Grid Integration Rate (“Public
13 GIR”). The latter two rates are restricted to customers participating in specific SDG&E EV
14 infrastructure programs. SDG&E does not currently offer a generally-applicable rate dedicated
15 to DCFC and MD/HD EV charging. At present, most DCFC and MD/HD EV sites are served on
16 SDG&E general service rates for commercial and industrial (“C&I”) customers.

17 Stakeholders have identified utility general service rates as a barrier to meeting California
18 TE goals.⁵ Medium and large C&I rate design favors customers with consistent energy usage
19 relative to their maximum demand, a metric referred to as the customer’s load factor. However,
20 DCFC and MD/HD EV customers can have lower load factors than is typical of other C&I

⁴ A commercial EV rate proposal by Southern California Edison Company was approved in D.18-05-040. The Commission is currently considering a commercial EV rate proposal submitted by Pacific Gas and Electric Company in Application (“A.”) 18-11-003.

⁵ See California Public Utilities Commission, *CPUC ZEV Rate Design Forum 2018*, June 7-8, 2018, available at <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/energy/electricrates/>.

1 customers. Utility general service rates typically include billing components based on maximum
2 kilowatt (“kW”) power demand – commonly referred to as demand charges – which can result in
3 high bills for DCFC and MD/HD EV customers with low load factors (e.g., high maximum
4 demand relative to energy use). Demand charges can also be confusing to customers. This is
5 especially true when drivers are considering the switch from conventional fuels to electricity. As
6 a result, some DCFC and MD/HD EV customers who do not or cannot manage their load can
7 pay an average price for electricity above the equivalent per-mile cost of gasoline or diesel fuel,
8 reducing the incentive to adopt EVs or deploy EV charging infrastructure.

9 To address the barriers that demand-metered, general service rates pose to TE, SDG&E
10 proposes to offer a new optional rate applicable to separately-metered DCFC and a wide range of
11 MD/HD EV charging including public transit buses, school buses, and airport shuttles – “beach
12 head” sectors for advancing TE – as well as other on and off-road MD/HD trucks and off-road
13 equipment like forklifts.⁶

14 The Prepared Direct Testimony in support of this Application are organized as follows:

- 15 • My testimony describes the policy justifications for the proposed EV-HP rate and
16 subscription charge incentives and describes SDG&E’s proposal to offer a bill
17 discount for DCFC and MD/HD EV customers on existing general service rates until
18 the EV-HP rate can be implemented by SDG&E’s new CIS.
- 19 • William Saxe describes the proposed EV-HP rate design in detail.
- 20 • Praem Kodiath estimates the monthly bill reduction for illustrative customers who
21 switch to the proposed EV-HP rate.

⁶ Rulemaking 18-12-006, Assigned Commissioner’s Scoping Memo and Ruling (May 2, 2019) at 4.

- 1 • Woo-Jin Shim describes the revenue requirement needed to implement the existing
2 rate discount until the EV-HP rate can be implemented by SDG&E’s new CIS.
- 3 • Jenny Phan presents SDG&E’s proposed recovery mechanisms for the discounts and
4 costs of implementation requested in this Application.

5 **II. BACKGROUND**

6 The EV-HP rate proposal reflects SDG&E’s commitment to accelerating TE and supports
7 state climate policy.

8 **A. State TE Policy Guidance and SDG&E Programs**

9 California is a leader in climate change policy and TE, two areas that are widely
10 supported by legislation and regulatory policy. Both AB 32 and SB 32 require substantial
11 reductions in California GHG emissions. SB 350 sets further GHG reduction targets and
12 requires that the CPUC direct California investor-owned utilities (“IOUs”), including SDG&E,
13 to file applications for TE infrastructure programs. In 2018, the Governor issued EO B-48-18,
14 which set a target of 5 million zero-emission vehicles (“ZEVs”) by 2030, and EO B-55-18,
15 which directs California to achieve statewide carbon neutrality by 2045. These are very
16 ambitious goals, which are unlikely to be achieved without meaningful action taken by all
17 participants including IOUs and regulatory agencies.

18 TE lowers GHG emissions and improves air quality, a key requirement of AB 32, SB 32,
19 SB 350, EO B-48-18, and EO B-55-18. The need for TE to reduce GHG emissions is
20 particularly acute in SDG&E’s service territory. While the transportation sector accounts for

1 41% of GHG emissions statewide,⁷ transportation is responsible for approximately 50% of GHG
2 emissions in the San Diego region.⁸ On-road transportation accounts for 53% of GHG emissions
3 in the City of San Diego itself.⁹

4 The dramatic increase in the number of light-duty EVs on California roads envisioned by
5 EO B-48-18 will require significant investment in DCFC infrastructure. EO B-48-18 targets the
6 installation of 250,000 ZEV chargers, also referred to as EV supply equipment (“EVSE”), in
7 California by 2025, of which 10,000 should be DCFCs. This translates to approximately 1,000
8 DCFCs in SDG&E’s service territory, far above the current total of approximately 212 DCFCs.¹⁰
9 In order to encourage the nascent charging market in the San Diego region and to meet state
10 light-duty EV adoption goals, SDG&E believes new rates such as the one proposed here are
11 necessary to accelerate DCFC station deployment.

12 California state policy supports the electrification of MD/HD vehicles through policies
13 like the California Air Resources Board (“CARB”) Innovative Clean Transit (“ICT”) rule and
14 the Sustainable Freight Transport program. To support state TE policies and pursuant to SB 350,
15 in January 2018 SDG&E filed an application for two TE projects, the Medium-Duty and Heavy-
16 Duty Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure Program (“MD/HD Program”) and a Vehicle to

⁷ California Air Resources Board, *California Greenhouse Gas Inventory – 2018 Edition, 2000-2016 GHG Emissions Trends Report* (July 11, 2018), available at <https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/data.htm>.

⁸ Energy Policy Initiatives Center and University of San Diego School of Law, *2012 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory and Projections for the San Diego Region* (August 2015) at 2, available at http://www.sdforward.com/pdfs/Final_PDFs/AppendixD.pdf. Approximately 92% of SDG&E customers reside in San Diego County.

⁹ The City of San Diego, *The City of San Diego Climate Action Plan 2018 Annual Report Appendix*, at Supplemental Documentation, *Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Methodology and Updates* (October 2018) at 3, available at https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/city_of_san_diego_appendix_for_2018_cap_annual_report.pdf.

¹⁰ See U.S. Department of Energy, Alternative Fuels Data Center, *Electric Vehicle Charging Station Locations*, available at https://afdc.energy.gov/fuels/electricity_locations.html#/find/nearest?fuel=ELEC.

1 Grid Electric School Bus Pilot (“V2G Pilot”).¹¹ If approved, the MD/HD Program will support
2 at least 3,000 on-road and off-road MD/HD EVs at a minimum of 300 sites.¹² Conventional
3 MD/HD vehicles are often diesel-fueled and in addition to GHG pollution they emit nitrogen
4 oxides that produce ozone pollution, and fine particulates that are damaging to human health.¹³
5 This impact is particularly acute in San Diego County, which has particularly high ozone and
6 particle pollution, as described in the American Lung Association’s annual “State of the Air”
7 reports.¹⁴ The approval and implementation of the EV-HP rate, along with the MD/HD Program,
8 will create a compelling package of EV infrastructure and rates to move this market in a much-
9 needed way and improve local air quality.

10 Furthermore, vehicle pollution is often concentrated in communities of concern, which
11 the state refers to as Disadvantaged Communities (“DACs”).¹⁵ In the MD/HD Program, SDG&E
12 proposes to invest a minimum of 30% of the program infrastructure budget in DACs.¹⁶ Offering

¹¹ See A.18-01-012. On November 5, 2018, SDG&E filed a Joint Motion of Settling Parties for Commission Adoption of Settlement Agreement (“Joint Motion”) in support of a modified version of SDG&E’s original MD/HD proposal. The Settlement Agreement was reached after extensive negotiations by parties that were actively engaged in representing a variety of interests and constituents, including ratepayer advocacy groups, environmental groups, the automobile industry, labor, representatives of disadvantaged communities, and EV charging providers.

¹² *Id.* at 5.

¹³ American Lung Association, *Clean Air Future Health and Climate Benefits of Zero Emission Vehicles* (October 2016) at 8.

¹⁴ American Lung Association, *State of the Air 2017*, available at <https://www.lung.org/assets/documents/healthy-air/state-of-the-air/state-of-the-air-2017.pdf>; American Lung Association, *State of the Air 2016*, available at <https://www.lung.org/assets/documents/healthy-air/state-of-the-air/sota-2016-full.pdf>.

¹⁵ Union of Concerned Scientists, *Inequitable Exposure to Air Pollution from Vehicles in California*, <https://www.ucsusa.org/sites/default/files/attach/2019/02/cv-air-pollution-CA-web.pdf>.

¹⁶ Disadvantaged Communities are defined as the top quartile of census tracts as identified by the CalEnviroScreen tool on a utility-wide basis, as directed in D.16-01-045 at 138 and Attachment 2. This service territory methodology allows SDG&E to target investments in the most impacted communities in a broad enough manner to help the top 25% rather than a lower number, as shown in Advice Letter 2876-E, approved April 28, 2016 and effective March 31, 2016 at 1-2 and Attachments A and B.

1 an electricity rate that enables charging at a cost that is competitive with fossil fuels will enable
2 more rapid adoption of MD/HD EVs in DACs.

3 **B. Need for New Rate**

4 1. Statutory and Regulatory Guidance

5 SDG&E’s application for the EV-HP rate supports existing California TE policy. SB 350
6 specifies that utility TE programs should provide customers with “the opportunity to access
7 electricity as a fuel that is cleaner and less costly than gasoline or other fossil fuels,” a
8 requirement incorporated into Public Utilities Code (“P.U. Code”) section (“§”)
9 740.12(a)(1)(H).¹⁷ DCFC and MD/HD EV customers with low load factors may not be able to
10 access electricity at a lower average cost than fossil fuels on utility general service rates that
11 feature demand charges. While SDG&E has already put forth proposals in support of P.U. Code
12 § 740.12 and has proposed several infrastructure programs to enable customers to convert to
13 EVs, SDG&E has not had a rate approved for DCFC and MD/HD customers. SDG&E’s
14 proposed EV-HP rate is expected to reduce the cost of charging for many of these customers
15 compared to the existing general service rate and supports the goals of SB 350 and other state
16 energy, environmental and transportation policies.

17 2. Application Background

18 SDG&E recognizes that a new rate is needed to accelerate MD/HD adoption. Therefore,
19 as part of the Settlement Agreement in SDG&E’s MD/HD Program Application (“Settlement
20 Agreement”), SDG&E agreed that it would file a rate to help ease the concerns of the MD/HD
21 market on overall costs of vehicle electrification.¹⁸ The parties to the Settlement Agreement

¹⁷ SB 350, Stats. 2015-2016, Ch. 547 (Cal. 2015).

¹⁸ See A.18-01-012.

1 highlighted the importance of rate reform to promote MD/HD EV adoption, and SDG&E
2 committed to proposing new EV rates:¹⁹

3 *SDG&E will hold an EV rates workshop by the end of November 2018. The*
4 *workshop will include a discussion on emission reductions, load management as*
5 *it relates to rates, and time varying rates. After the EV rates workshop, SDG&E*
6 *will develop a new rate option or new rate options, which consider the*
7 *importance of time varying rates, that will be submitted to the Commission within*
8 *six months of final approval of the MD/HD EV Charging Infrastructure Program.*
9 *In designing the new rate option or new rate options, SDG&E will examine how*
10 *incremental EV load may impact the electric bills of small businesses who adopt*
11 *EVs and may utilize the new rate option or new options. SDG&E will assess the*
12 *rate impact of the new rate option or options on ratepayers.*

13 SDG&E hosted a rate workshop on November 5, 2018 in San Diego. The workshop was
14 attended by representatives from the CPUC Energy Division, California Public Advocates Office
15 and other ratepayer advocates, major EV service providers and charging networks,
16 environmental and labor advocates, and potential MD/HD customers. SDG&E also solicited
17 input from workshop attendees on rate design and customers' priorities for new SDG&E rate
18 options. SDG&E received feedback from numerous attendees during the workshop open
19 discussion session, in later written comments and in subsequent discussions.

20 Recognizing that DCFC sites face many of the same concerns with demand-metered
21 general service rates as MD/HD EV operators, SDG&E proposes that the EV-HP rate be
22 optionally applicable to DCFC installations as well.

¹⁹ A.18-01-012, Joint Motion of Settling Parties for Commission Adoption of Settlement agreement (November 5, 2018) at 7-8.

1 **III. OVERVIEW OF EV-HP PROPOSAL**

2 **A. Customer Eligibility**

3 If approved, the EV-HP rate will be optionally available to separately-metered EV
4 charging serving DCFC and MD/HD EVs. Only electric loads for EV charging and those
5 directly associated with EV charging such as energy storage behind the same meter would be
6 eligible to take service on the EV-HP rate. Co-mingled building load is not eligible for the EV-
7 HP rate. Individual SDG&E TE infrastructure programs may have program requirements that
8 preclude certain sites from enrolling in the EV-HP rate. SDG&E proposes that the EV-HP rate
9 be available to all eligible customers, including those who receive commodity service through
10 Direct Access providers or Community Choice Aggregators. Bundled EV-HP customers will
11 receive commodity service from SDG&E. A separately-metered Level 2 charging deployment
12 with at least one DCFC would be eligible for the EV-HP rate.

13 **B. Proposed Rate Design**

14 The EV-HP rate is intended to encourage EV adoption by offering customers a simple
15 rate design, predictable bills and a cost-competitive fuel. To support California’s TE goals,
16 SDG&E proposes that the EV-HP rate replace traditional demand charges with a subscription
17 charge and time-of use energy rates with a high price differential between on-peak hours and
18 other hours. The EV-HP rate design summarized below is presented in the prepared direct
19 testimony of William Saxe.

20 1. EV-HP Subscription Charge

21 Instead of a traditional, non-coincident demand charge, the proposed EV-HP rate
22 includes a new charge called a “subscription charge.” Demand charges are assessed based on a
23 customer’s maximum demand which can fluctuate significantly from month to month. EV-HP

1 rate customers will be able to mitigate this since they can pre-select the level of demand, or
2 subscription level, that they subscribe to. The subscription charge is intended to promote
3 monthly bill stability which is important for budgeting purposes by commercial customers. The
4 subscription charge should simplify the EV-HP rate design and make the cost of charging for
5 low load factor customers more competitive, incentivizing EV adoption and supporting state TE
6 policy.

7 The EV-HP subscription charge will be offered in 25 kW increments. Customers will be
8 able to choose their subscription level based on their forecasted maximum monthly demand.
9 SDG&E plans to allow customers to change their subscription level as close to month-to-month
10 as possible, but billing system constraints may delay changes to the subscribed demand level.
11 For example, changes to the subscription level may not be able to be effectuated until the end of
12 the current monthly billing cycle. Accordingly, SDG&E proposes to offer up to a three-month
13 grace period if a customer's maximum demand exceeds their subscription level. At the end of
14 the first month in which a customer exceeds their subscription level, SDG&E will notify the
15 customer that their maximum demand exceeded their subscribed demand level. To avoid
16 exceeding their subscription level again, the customer can either increase their subscription level
17 or limit their maximum demand. If the customer's maximum demand continues to exceed their
18 subscription level after another two months SDG&E will reset their subscription level to align
19 with the customer's actual maximum demand. The customer will then have to remain at the
20 higher subscription level – reflective of their actual maximum demand – for at least three
21 additional months. After three months the customer could lower their subscription level if they
22 feel they can control their maximum demand.

1 To ensure subscription charges are consistent with customer maximum demand, the
2 customer's subscription level will also be increased if their maximum demand exceeds the
3 subscribed demand for 6 or more months (non-consecutive) in a rolling 12-month period. This
4 will discourage gaming of the rate in situations where a customer exceeds their threshold even if
5 it does not occur in consecutive months. If the customer's maximum demand exceeds their
6 subscribed demand for 6 months in the rolling 12-month period, their subscription level will be
7 immediately increased consistent with their maximum demand, with no grace period provided.
8 In this case the customer would have to wait three months before they could lower their
9 subscription level as well.

10 The EV-HP rate enhances customer choice and control over their bill. For example, a
11 customer charging electric trucks with three 19 kW chargers will have a maximum demand of 57
12 kW if all three chargers are used at their full power simultaneously. If the customer does not
13 wish to use any load management techniques, they would subscribe to three subscription
14 tranches of 25 kW each or 75 kW total, covering their maximum demand. However, the
15 customer has the choice to subscribe to only two subscription tranches if they prefer to use load
16 management strategies like staggered charging or demand management software to ensure that
17 the maximum demand never rises above 50 kW.

18 2. EV-HP TOU Energy Charge

19 The TOU energy charges are intended to incentivize off-peak vehicle charging. The EV-
20 HP TOU energy charges have a higher price differential between the periods as compared to
21 SDG&E's standard C&I rates. This modification was made to support TE policy goals in

1 alignment with the direction given to SDG&E in D.18-05-040.²⁰ The proposed rate components
2 are further described in the prepared direct testimony of William Saxe.

3 SDG&E proposes that the EV-HP rate feature the same TOU periods and seasons as
4 other SDG&E C&I rates. The on-peak hours are 4 – 9pm year-round, weekdays and weekends.
5 Super off-peak weekday hours are 12 – 6am year-round, with the addition of 10am – 2pm during
6 March and April. Weekend and holiday super off-peak hours are 12am – 2pm. All other hours
7 are off-peak.

8 **C. Phased-out Discount to EV-HP**

9 To further align with state goals and drive EV adoption in this still-nascent market,
10 SDG&E proposes to include a monthly discount to the subscription charge. The discount will
11 reduce the subscription charge by 50% in the first year of the program and will be phased out
12 over 10 years, decreasing 5% each year. This 10-year phase-down would begin in the year the
13 EV-HP rate is introduced; individual customers will not have individual ten-year phase-down
14 periods. This universal phase-down period is an incentive for potential customers to deploy
15 charging infrastructure and adopt EVs early while the incentive level is higher, supporting state
16 EV adoption goals.

17 Applying a discount to the subscription charge, and not the energy rate, encourages
18 customers to add charging capacity while not diluting the TOU price signal embedded in the EV-
19 HP energy rate. Even when the discount is at its full value, customers will still have a strong
20 reason to avoid charging during on-peak hours. As the discount phases down, some customers
21 may add additional EVs to their fleet or otherwise raise the usage rate of their existing charging
22 equipment, reducing the impact of the rising subscription charge in their overall monthly bill.

²⁰ D.18-05-040 at Ordering Paragraph 18.

1 Other customers may compensate for the higher subscription charge by reducing their contracted
2 demand level through demand management software or installing behind-the-meter storage. The
3 gradual, predictable reduction in the discount is intended to give customers time to consider
4 which strategies for mitigating the subscription charge best fits their needs.

5 **D. Need for Interim Existing Rate Discount**

6 As noted above, SDG&E’s CIS replacement program, which was approved in D.18-08-
7 008, will delay implementation of the EV-HP rate. As part of the CIS replacement program
8 schedule, SDG&E will require a one-year CIS “freeze period” in 2020. During the freeze period,
9 structural changes to the existing CIS will be deferred until the new CIS is implemented and
10 stabilized, which is expected in early 2021. SDG&E will open the new EV-HP rate to customer
11 enrollment when the new CIS program is stabilized. This will reduce the overall risks and
12 customer impact during the transition to the new system.

13 To bridge the gap between when the EV-HP rate is approved and implementation of the
14 EV-HP rate in early 2021, when SDG&E’s new CIS will be complete, SDG&E proposes to offer
15 DCFC and MD/HD EV customers a line-item discount on their existing general service rate until
16 the new CIS is in place and the EV-HP rate can be fully implemented. SDG&E proposes to offer
17 a 50% discount on the single highest priced demand charge in each applicable existing general
18 service Utility Distribution Company (“UDC”) rate.²¹ The discount will not decline. The
19 discount will be completely removed for the customers on the discounted interim rate six months
20 after the EV-HP rate is opened to customer enrollment. No new customers will be allowed to
21 enroll in the discounted existing rate once EV-HP becomes available.

²¹ For example, the highest priced demand charge in Schedule AL-TOU2 is the TOU Demand Charge.

1 **IV. COST RECOVERY**

2 **A. Cost Recovery for Both the Subscription Charge Discount and Interim**
3 **Existing Rate Discount**

4 SDG&E proposes that the cost of both the (1) EV-HP subscription charge discount and
5 (2) interim existing rate discount be recorded in a balancing account and recovered from all
6 ratepayers through PPP charges. EV adoption is a state policy goal and the benefits of TE such
7 as reducing GHG emissions and air pollution benefit all, justifying recovery from all ratepayers.
8 The specifics of this proposed balancing account are described in the prepared direct testimony
9 of Jenny Phan.

10 **B. Cost Recovery Associated with Manual Billing Process for Interim Existing**
11 **Rate Discount**

12 SDG&E is requesting \$1.0 million in direct costs to implement the discount on existing
13 general service rates prior to CIS system replacement (this direct costs figure is distinct from the
14 \$1.1 million SDG&E is seeking in total revenue requirement). As noted above, SDG&E is
15 currently replacing its CIS as authorized in D.18-08-008. This process will require a freeze
16 period until the new CIS system is complete where no changes can be made to the legacy CIS.
17 Thus, to implement the discount on existing rates for DCFC and MD/HD EV customers,
18 SDG&E proposes to manually calculate bills for these customers. The costs to manually bill
19 these customers include but are not limited to the cost of labor to manually administer the bill
20 discount.

21 The \$1.1 million revenue requirement to fund this manual incentive implementation is
22 described in the prepared direct testimony of Woo-Jin Shim. The associated proposed balancing
23 account is described in the prepared direct testimony of Jenny Phan.

1 **V. CONCLUSION**

2 SDG&E requests that the Commission approve the proposed EV-HP rate and interim
3 existing rate discount as soon as possible.

4 This concludes my prepared direct testimony.

1 **VI. STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS**

2 My name is Brittany Applestein Syz and I am the Director of Clean Transportation for
3 SDG&E. I oversee the company’s Clean Transportation business unit. My business address is
4 8306 Century Park Court, San Diego, California, 92123. I have held this position for
5 approximately eleven months. Prior to this role I was Senior Legal Counsel for SDG&E for
6 three and a half years. I received my undergraduate degree in English Literature at Harvard
7 University, a master’s degree from the London School of Economics, and a law degree from the
8 University of California, Hastings School of Law. I am an active member of The State Bar of
9 California. I have not previously testified before the California Public Utilities Commission.