

Application No.: A.19-04-
Exhibit No.: _____
Witness: Jeff Deturi

PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JEFF DETURI
ON BEHALF OF
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

*****Public Version*****

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

April 15, 2019



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----------|
| I. | INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| II. | SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY | 1 |
| III. | 2020 FORECAST OF ENERGY REQUIREMENTS AND SUPPLY RESOURCES..... | 3 |
| A. | ENERGY REQUIREMENTS FORECAST..... | 3 |
| B. | SUPPLY RESOURCE FORECAST | 3 |
| | 1. SDG&E-Contracted Generation | 4 |
| | 2. SDG&E-Owned Dispatchable Generation | 5 |
| | 3. Renewable Energy Contracts..... | 6 |
| | 4. Qualifying Facilities Contracts | 8 |
| | 5. Market Purchases and Surplus Sales..... | 9 |
| IV. | 2020 FORECAST OF ERRA EXPENSES | 9 |
| A. | ISO LOAD CHARGES | 10 |
| B. | ISO SUPPLY REVENUES | 10 |
| C. | CONTRACTED ENERGY PURCHASES..... | 10 |
| | 1. Purchased Power Contracts..... | 10 |
| | 2. Renewable Energy Contracts..... | 11 |
| | 3. Qualifying Facilities Contracts | 12 |
| D. | GENERATION FUEL..... | 12 |
| | 1. Palomar, Desert Star, Miramar and Cuyamaca (Fuel Expenses that are Recovered through ERRA)..... | 12 |
| E. | LOCAL GENERATION | 13 |
| F. | CAISO RELATED COSTS | 13 |
| G. | HEDGING COSTS & FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS | 14 |
| H. | CONVERGENCE BIDS..... | 14 |
| I. | CONGESTION REVENUE RIGHTS (“CRRs”) | 15 |

| | | |
|--------------|--|----|
| J. | INTER-SCHEDULING COORDINATOR TRADES (“IST”) | 16 |
| V. | SONGS UNIT 1 OFFSITE SPENT FUEL STORAGE COSTS | 16 |
| A. | Background | 16 |
| B. | 2020 Forecast | 17 |
| VI. | 2020 FORECAST OF GHG COSTS | 17 |
| A. | Direct GHG Emissions | 18 |
| B. | Indirect GHG Emissions | 20 |
| C. | 2020 GHG Costs | 22 |
| D. | 2020 Allowance Auction Revenues | 23 |
| VII. | 2020 FORECAST OF TMNBCBA COSTS | 24 |
| VIII. | QUALIFICATIONS | 25 |
| | ATTACHMENT A (CONFIDENTIAL) – SDG&E 2020 ERRAs and LG Expenses | |
| | ATTACHMENT B (CONFIDENTIAL) – SDG&E 2020 Generation Portfolio Delivery Volumes | |
| | ATTACHMENT C – SDG&E 2020 Renewable Resource Detail | |
| | ATTACHMENT D (CONFIDENTIAL) – SDG&E 2020 CTC Qualifying Facility Detail | |
| | ATTACHMENT E (CONFIDENTIAL) – SDG&E Greenhouse Gas Detail | |
| | GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS | |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

**PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JEFF DETURI
ON BEHALF OF
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

I. INTRODUCTION

My testimony describes the resources San Diego Gas & Electric Company (“SDG&E”) expects to use in calendar year 2020 to provide electric commodity service to its bundled service customers; provides a forecast of the procurement costs that SDG&E expects to record in 2020 to the Energy Resource Recovery Account (“ERRA”), Transition Cost Balancing Account (“TCBA”), Portfolio Allocation Balancing Account (“PABA”), and Local Generation Balancing Account (“LGBA”); provides a 2020 forecast of SDG&E’s San Onofre Generating Station (“SONGS”) Unit 1 Offsite Spent Fuel Storage Costs; provides a forecast of 2020 total greenhouse gas (“GHG”) costs; and provides a 2020 forecast of Tree Mortality Non-Bypassable Charge Balancing Account (“TMNBCBA”) costs. SDG&E witness Ms. Ngo uses my forecast of ERRA, Competition Transition Charge (“CTC”) and Local Generation (“LG”) in developing 2020 revenue requirements for each element. In addition, my testimony provides information that supports SDG&E witness Ms. Montanez’s development of the GHG allowance revenue return allocation and the volumetric revenue return for small business and residential customers, as well as rates for the Green Tariff Shared Renewables (“GTSR”) program and the Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (“PCIA”).

II. SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY

In Section II of my testimony, I provide a forecast of the energy requirements that will be required to serve SDG&E’s bundled customer load for 2020, as well as forecasts of the supply resources that SDG&E expects to utilize to meet that load in calendar year 2020. The supply

1 resources for which I provide forecasts include (1) generation resources that are under contract
2 for 2020; (2) generation resources owned by SDG&E; (3) renewable generation resources that
3 are under contract for 2020; (4) Qualifying Facilities (“QFs”) under the Public Utility Regulatory
4 Policies Act (“PURPA”) that are under contract for 2020; and (5) generation obtained through
5 market purchases.

6 In Section IV of my testimony, I quantify the costs associated with the resources
7 described in Section III, along with other electric procurement costs that are recorded in ERRA,
8 such as market purchases, California Independent System Operator (“CAISO”) charges and
9 portfolio hedging costs. These costs are summarized in Attachment A.

10 In Section V of my testimony, I provide a forecast of the 2020 SONGS Unit 1 Offsite
11 Spent Fuel Storage Costs associated with SDG&E’s 20% minority ownership interest in
12 SONGS.

13 In Section VI of my testimony, I provide a forecast of the 2020 GHG emissions and
14 associated costs, both direct and indirect, incurred in connection with SDG&E’s compliance with
15 California’s cap-and-trade program. I also provide a forecast of GHG allowance auction
16 revenues.

17 In Section VII of my testimony, I provide a forecast of the 2020 TMNBCBA costs.

18 Lastly, in Section VIII, I provide a statement of qualifications.

19 Finally, my testimony refers to the following attachments:

20 Attachment A: SDG&E 2020 ERRA and LG Expenses (CONFIDENTIAL)

21 Attachment B: SDG&E 2020 Generation Portfolio Delivery Volumes
22 (CONFIDENTIAL)

23 Attachment C: SDG&E 2020 Renewable Resource Detail

1 Attachment D: SDG&E 2020 CTC & QF Detail (CONFIDENTIAL)

2 Attachment E: SDG&E GHG Detail. (CONFIDENTIAL)

3 **III. 2020 FORECAST OF ENERGY REQUIREMENTS AND SUPPLY RESOURCES**

4 **A. ENERGY REQUIREMENTS FORECAST**

5 As a starting point for my analysis, I developed a forecast of SDG&E's 2020 bundled
6 load requirement, which is based on the California Energy Commission's ("CEC") 2017 IEPR
7 Demand Forecast for SDG&E, adopted in February 2018. Using this forecast and adjusting for
8 direct access load, I project that the energy requirements for SDG&E's bundled load for 2020
9 will be [REDACTED]. The 2020 forecast is [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] less than
10 SDG&E's forecasted bundled energy forecast for 2019 [REDACTED].

11 **B. SUPPLY RESOURCE FORECAST**

12 After determining the amount of energy that SDG&E's bundled load customers will
13 require in 2020, I then proceeded to develop a forecast of the supply resources that will be
14 needed to meet that demand. To quantify the generation associated with the supply resources, I
15 used the same production cost model SDG&E has used in past ERRRA forecasts. Inputs to this
16 model include the characteristics of the various generation resources, including heat rate,
17 variable Operating and Maintenance ("O&M") costs, other factors that impact the plant's
18 dispatch, and natural gas and electric market prices. The natural gas and electric market price
19 forecasts were derived using a recent (March 1, 2019) assessment of 2020 market prices, based
20 on the average of forward prices over the previous 22 market trading days. I then run the model
21 which simulates a least-cost dispatch of the portfolio of SDG&E's resources for every hour of
22 2020. The supply resources fall into the following five categories.

1 **1. SDG&E-Contracted Generation**

2 SDG&E has a number of generation resources under contract in its 2020 resource
3 portfolio. These resources are available under a variety of contractual arrangements, including
4 tolling contracts, fixed energy contracts, and contracts for Resource Adequacy only. The largest
5 of the tolling and fixed energy contracts are:

- 6 • the Carlsbad Energy Center Power Purchase Agreement (“PPA”) for the output of
7 a 528 MW simple cycle combustion turbine unit;
- 8 • the Pio Pico Energy Center PPA for the output of a 336 MW simple cycle
9 combustion turbine unit;
- 10 • the Orange Grove PPA for the output of two 48 MW simple cycle combustion
11 turbine units;
- 12 • the El Cajon Energy Center PPA for the output of a 48 MW simple cycle
13 combustion turbine unit;
- 14 • the Escondido Energy Center PPA for the output of a 48 MW simple cycle
15 combustion turbine unit; and
- 16 • the Morgan Stanley PPA, which provides firm energy deliveries at the Northern
17 Oregon Border (“NOB”).

18 The forecasted generation for these contracts is detailed in Attachment B and is
19 summarized in Table 1 below:

| | | Table 1: Generation (GWh) | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | | 2020 | 2019 | Difference |
| Carlsbad Energy Center | | | | |
| Pio Pico Energy Center | | | | |
| Orange Grove | | | | |
| El Cajon Energy Center | | | | |
| Escondido Energy Center | | | | |
| Morgan Stanley NOB | | | | |
| | Total | | | |

SDG&E also enters into contracts each year to meet its California Public Utilities Commission (“CPUC”) Resource Adequacy requirements.¹ Under its Resource Adequacy contracts, SDG&E is entitled to show this capacity as meeting its Resource Adequacy obligation, but SDG&E does not have rights to the energy or ancillary services from these units. For 2020, SDG&E forecasts that it will enter into contracts for up to [REDACTED] of Resource Adequacy capacity.

2. SDG&E-Owned Dispatchable Generation

SDG&E owns several generation facilities, which it uses to meet its bundled customer load, including the following:

- the Otay Mesa Energy Center (“OMEC”), a 595 megawatt (“MW”) combined-cycle power plant;
- the Palomar Energy Center (“Palomar”), a 575 MW combined cycle power plant;
- the Desert Star Energy Center (“Desert Star”), a 495 MW combined cycle power plant;

¹ California Public Utilities Code Section 380 established the Resource Adequacy program to provide sufficient resources to the CAISO to ensure the safe and reliable operation of the grid in real time and to provide appropriate incentives for the siting and construction of new resources needed for reliability in the future.

- the Miramar Energy Facility (“Miramar I and II”), consisting of two 48 MW simple cycle combustion turbine units;
- the Battery Storage facilities, consisting of Escondido at 30 MW, El Cajon at 7.5 MW, and Miramar at 30 MW; and
- the Cuyamaca Peak Energy Plant, consisting of a 45 MW simple cycle combustion turbine.

These units are dispatched by the CAISO for generation and ancillary services (“A/S”) awards based on economic merit.² The forecasted generation for these plants is detailed in Attachment B and is summarized in Table 2 below:

| | | Table 2: Generation (GWh) | | |
|------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | | 2020 | 2019 | Difference |
| OMECE | | | | |
| Palomar | | | | |
| Desert Star | | | | |
| Miramar | | | | |
| Battery Storage | | | | |
| Cuyamaca | | | | |
| | Total | | | |

3. Renewable Energy Contracts

The 2020 forecast of renewable energy supply from CPUC-approved contracts is 6,859 GWh, which includes 1,236 GWh of Renewable Energy Credit (“REC”) quantities³ that are

² SDG&E’s dispatch model considered only generation dispatched for energy and not for A/S because the CAISO co-optimizes market awards between energy and A/S based on the opportunity cost of capacity. Thus, the economic benefit (and ERRRA contribution) of using energy for generation is equivalent to using capacity for A/S.

³ Renewable Energy Credits represent the green attribute of renewable generation and, while they can be purchased independent of physical delivery of generation from the source, they must accompany a delivery of “tagged” physical power to be imported into California.

1 delivered to SDG&E in conjunction with existing non-renewable imports. This forecast
2 represents a decrease of 82 GWh from the 2019 forecast (6,941 GWh) and represents [REDACTED] of
3 forecasted bundled sales. The forecasted generation associated with SDG&E's monthly
4 renewable contracts is set forth in Attachment C.

5 For 2020, SDG&E forecasts it will receive 5,623 GWh of bundled renewable energy
6 under 48 contracts with facilities that generate electricity using wind, solar, biogas, and non-
7 pumped hydro technologies. The forecasted generation for projects that are currently on-line and
8 operating is derived from generation profiles based on historical data. The forecasted generation
9 for those projects that have recently come online and that are expected to continue operations in
10 2020⁴ is based on historical data of resources that utilize similar renewable technologies.

11 In addition, SDG&E expects to receive 1,236 GWh of firmed-and-shaped power from
12 three out-of-state wind projects, Rim Rock and Naturener Glacier 1 and 2 (Montana).⁵ The
13 RECs are delivered to California independently of the physical delivery of generation by the
14 source wind projects. This is done by tagging equivalent quantities of the physical deliveries of
15 other energy imports that SDG&E has already accounted for in its 2020 forecast. The forecasted
16 energy mix from these renewable resources is shown in Table 3 below:

⁴ SDG&E did not include renewable energy quantities or costs associated with the Sustainable Communities Photovoltaic program because costs for this program are not charged to ERRRA.

⁵ The firmed-and-shaped wind power from these contracts is delivered to California through the Morgan Stanley power contract described above.

| Table 3: Generation (GWh) | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | 2020 | 2019 | Difference |
| Solar | 3,589 | 3,573 | 15 |
| Wind | 1,785 | 1,960 | (175) |
| Wind RECs | 1,236 | 1,236 | - |
| Biogas | 246 | 172 | 74 |
| Other | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| RPS Sales | - | - | - |
| Total | 6,859 | 6,941 | (82) |

4. Qualifying Facilities Contracts

In 2020, SDG&E will have approximately 110 MW of capacity under contract with three QFs.⁶ The two largest QF contracts account for 106.5 MW or 98% of total QF capacity. All of these QFs are located in SDG&E's service area except for the Yuma Cogeneration Associates ("YCA") plant, a 56.5 MW natural gas-fired plant located in Arizona, the output of which is imported into the CAISO.

SDG&E's QF contracts include a combination of must-take and dispatchable resources. For must-take resources, SDG&E is obligated to pay the contract price for all delivered QF generation and schedule it into the CAISO market; SDG&E has no such obligation with dispatchable resources. SDG&E has amendments with Goal Line and YCA, which provide SDG&E with more economic dispatch rights. SDG&E forecasted the plants' dispatch in accordance with these terms. The forecast of QF energy supply in 2020 is [REDACTED]. The forecasted generation for these plants is detailed in Attachment D.

⁶ The actual number of active QF contracts is over 50, but many of these QF resources only serve on-site load and do not deliver net energy to SDG&E. As a result, these are not included in the production cost model analysis. The three QFs referenced above deliver net energy to SDG&E and are thus included in SDG&E's model.

1 **5. Market Purchases and Surplus Sales**

2 Under the Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade (“MRTU”),⁷ there is no
3 requirement that SDG&E balance its bundled load and its controlled generation quantities that
4 clear the market. If, in any hour, the quantity of SDG&E’s bundled load requirements purchased
5 from the CAISO is greater than SDG&E-controlled generation dispatched by the CAISO, the
6 difference may be viewed as equivalent to a market purchase.⁸ Similarly, if more SDG&E
7 generation is dispatched than SDG&E load requirements it is assumed to offset market purchases
8 in other time periods. SDG&E forecasts that the quantity of equivalent market purchases will be
9 ██████████ in 2020, a decrease of ██████████ from the 2019 forecast ██████████.

10 **IV. 2020 FORECAST OF ERRA EXPENSES**

11 To quantify the costs associated with the supply resources described in Section II, the
12 production cost model also tracks the costs of the economic dispatch. Electric procurement
13 expenses incurred by SDG&E to serve its bundled load are also recorded to the ERRA. These
14 expenses include, among other items, costs and revenues for energy and capacity cleared through
15 the CAISO market, power purchase contract costs, generation fuel costs, market energy purchase
16 costs, CAISO charges, brokerage fees, and hedging costs.

17 I expect that SDG&E will incur \$1.19 billion of ERRA costs in 2020,⁹ as reflected in
18 Attachment A. This forecast is \$25 million less than the \$1.216 billion forecasted for 2019.

⁷ In 2009, the CAISO implemented the Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade which primarily transformed the CAISO market from a zonal to a nodal priced market.

⁸ In some hours the quantity of SDG&E’s bundled load requirements purchased from the CAISO is less than SDG&E-controlled generation sold to the CAISO. The difference may be viewed as equivalent to a market sale and the costs and revenues for such transactions are accounted for in the forecast by the total fuel expenses and total ISO Supply revenues.

⁹ This amount does not include Franchise Fees and Uncollectibles (“FF&U”), nor do any of the other figures in my testimony.

1 The above-market costs of all generation resources that are eligible for cost recovery
2 through PCIA rates will be recorded in PABA going forward. SDG&E's 2020 PABA cost
3 forecast is \$508.7 million¹⁰.

4 In the remainder of this Section, I will discuss in greater detail the cost forecasts for
5 specific ERRA items.

6 **A. ISO LOAD CHARGES**

7 The CAISO supplies and sells to SDG&E the energy and A/S necessary to meet
8 SDG&E's bundled load requirement. Based on forecasted prices for energy and A/S, SDG&E's
9 production cost model forecasts [REDACTED] of ISO load charges for 2020. This cost includes
10 the indirect GHG costs embedded in the market price of energy. I present GHG quantities and
11 costs in Section V.

12 **B. ISO SUPPLY REVENUES**

13 In the CAISO market, all generation from SDG&E's resource portfolio is sold to the
14 CAISO. Based on forecasted prices for energy, SDG&E's production cost model forecasts
15 revenues totaling [REDACTED] for generation sold in 2020.

16 **C. CONTRACTED ENERGY PURCHASES**

17 **1. Purchased Power Contracts**

18 SDG&E's forecast of total costs for non-renewable power purchase contracts in 2020 is
19 [REDACTED]. These costs cover capacity payments and variable generation costs for Orange
20

¹⁰ In D.07-01-025, the Commission adopted the PCIA methodology for CCA customers. SDG&E is currently waiting for the approval of its Tier 2 Advice Letter 3318-E (dated December 10, 2018) seeking to establish the PABA preliminary statement and the necessary proposed modifications to the ERRA. SDG&E's PABA account is expected to take effect January 1, 2019, subject to advice letter approval. Above-market costs will continue to be recorded in ERRA until AL 3318-E is approved and PABA is established.

1 Grove, Wellhead El Cajon and other facilities with which SDG&E has smaller contracts. The
2 largest components in this category are Resource Adequacy capacity costs, expected to be [REDACTED]
3 [REDACTED] and the Morgan Stanley contract is expected to cost [REDACTED].

4 **2. Renewable Energy Contracts**

5 SDG&E's renewable energy contracts usually contain only an energy payment and no
6 capacity payment. In 2020, SDG&E's renewable energy portfolio will include a cost for all the
7 renewable power delivered based on contract prices and the renewable energy credits described
8 in Section II under "Renewable Energy Contracts." All costs associated with these contracts are
9 booked as ERRA expenses and are forecasted to be \$675 million for 2020. Attachment C details
10 the renewable projects by fuel type, their costs and forecasted energy deliveries.

11 Customers who opt into the Green Tariff Shared Renewables ("GTSR") program, which
12 consists of both a Green Tariff ("GT") component and an Enhanced Community Renewables
13 ("ECR") component, pay a subset of the renewable costs.¹¹ The estimated GT customer usage in
14 2020 is 138.76 GWh.¹² The estimated GT charges include the cost of local solar¹³ of
15 \$65.81/megawatt hour ("MWh"), Grid Management Charges ("GMC") of \$0.00073/kWh and
16 Western Renewable Energy Generation Information System ("WREGIS") costs of
17 \$0.00001/kWh. The estimated total cost of GT in 2020 is \$9 million. The estimated ECR

¹¹ Decision 15-01-051 authorizing the GTSR program was approved on January 29, 2015. The GT and ECR components are two separate rate offerings under the GTSR Program accessing different pools of solar resources and with different terms.

¹² GT and ECR usage forecasts were developed using average consumption estimates for each customer class in conjunction with program enrollment targets.

¹³ To meet immediate GT customer demand, SDG&E will draw on existing Renewables Portfolio Standard ("RPS") resources that are eligible to serve the GT component of the GTSR Program. The Interim GT Pool is a short-term approach and cost is based on the weighted average cost of contracts for included resources. Simultaneously, SDG&E will engage in procurement for projects built specifically to serve the GT component (GT Dedicated Procurement Projects). When GT Dedicated Procurement Projects are brought online, the Interim GT Pool will be phased out as allowed by program participation.

1 customer usage in 2020 is 5.2 GWh. The estimated total cost of ECR in 2020 is \$0.

2 Additionally, the solar value adjustment was calculated as \$0.00416/kWh.

3 **3. Qualifying Facilities Contracts**

4 SDG&E's QF contracts consist of dispatchable capacity or firm capacity PURPA
5 contracts. These contracts include provisions for both energy and capacity payments. The
6 energy payments for QFs that are under firm capacity PURPA contracts are forecasted using
7 SDG&E's Short-Run Avoided Cost ("SRAC") formula.¹⁴ For the dispatchable contracts,
8 SDG&E pays fuel, variable O&M and capacity payments. Most of these contracts, whether
9 PURPA or dispatchable, are considered CTC QF contracts,¹⁵ and the ERRA expenses are based
10 on delivered energy multiplied by the market price benchmark ("MPB"). Any costs, including
11 capacity payments, greater than the market price benchmark are booked to the TCBA. For the
12 purposes of ERRA accounting, ERRA expenses for CTC QF contracts are recorded on Line 5 of
13 Attachment A, "Contract Costs (CTC up to market)," and are forecasted to be [REDACTED] in
14 2020. Attachment D details the breakdown of all the units discussed in this section and shows
15 the associated costs, both ERRA and TCBA, and the forecasted energy deliveries. These costs
16 include the indirect GHG cost embedded in the market price that flows through the SDG&E
17 SRAC formula. I present GHG quantities and costs in Section IV of my testimony.

18 **D. GENERATION FUEL**

19 **1. Palomar, Desert Star, Miramar and Cuyamaca (Fuel Expenses that** 20 **are Recovered through ERRA)**

21 In 2020, the ERRA expense for generation fuel purchased by SDG&E for Palomar,

¹⁴ The derivation of the SRAC price for QF contracts is posted monthly on an SDG&E website:
<http://www2.sdge.com/SRAC/>.

¹⁵ The CP Kelco contract, however, is not considered a CTC contract. Thus, unlike other QF contracts, 100% of CP Kelco contract costs are included in ERRA.

1 Miramar I & II, Desert Star, Otay Mesa and Cuyamaca is forecasted to be [REDACTED].¹⁶ These
2 forecasted expenses include in lieu gas fees for Palomar, which are also recovered in ERRA.
3 These costs are calculated based on SDG&E's forecasted fuel usage for this plant and the
4 applicable tariffs, Schedule GP-SUR¹⁷ and Schedule EG.¹⁸

5 **E. LOCAL GENERATION¹⁹**

6 As previously noted, SDG&E has entered into contracts for generation resources which
7 specifically provide local Resource Adequacy for the SDG&E system. Because these contract
8 costs are allocated to both bundled and direct access customers, the costs are accounted for in a
9 separate Local Generating Balancing Account. The Escondido Energy Center, Kelco,
10 Grossmont, Pio Pico, Carlsbad Energy Center, El Cajon Energy Storage, Hybrid Holdings
11 Energy Storage, Miramar Energy Storage and Escondido Energy Storage contracts are included
12 in this balancing account and are expected to cost [REDACTED], including direct and indirect
13 GHG costs and net of supply ISO revenue. Attachment A, attached hereto, details the
14 breakdown of local generation expenses.

15 **F. CAISO RELATED COSTS**

16 SDG&E forecasts the miscellaneous CAISO costs to be [REDACTED] in 2020. SDG&E
17 also forecasts the cost of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") Fees and
18 Western Renewable Energy Generation Information System to be [REDACTED] in 2020.
19

¹⁶ Capital and non-fuel operating costs for these plants are recovered in the Non-Fuel Generation Balancing Account ("NGBA") as required by D.05-08-005, Resolution E-3896 and D.07-11-046.

¹⁷ Customer-procured Gas Franchise Fee Surcharge.

¹⁸ Natural Gas Intrastate Transportation Service for Electric Generation Customers.

¹⁹ Pursuant to D.17-07-005, SDG&E updated its authorized rate of return on ratebase in Advice Letter ("AL") 3120-E with impacts to revenue requirements reflected in the January 1, 2018 consolidated filing, which impacted the LG revenue requirement that was approved in D.17-12-014.

1 **G. HEDGING COSTS & FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS**

2 SDG&E’s resource portfolio has substantial exposure to gas price volatility as a result of
3 fuel requirements for its gas-fired resources, as well as the gas price-based pricing formula for its
4 QF contracts. To manage this exposure, SDG&E engages in hedging activity, consistent with its
5 CPUC-approved procurement plan,²⁰ and it will book the resulting hedging costs and any
6 realized gains and losses from hedge transactions to ERRA consistent with its CPUC-approved
7 hedge plan. The estimate of hedging revenues for 2020 is [REDACTED], calculated as the
8 marked-to-market profit/loss of hedges already in place, plus expected broker fees. The
9 profit/loss of these and future hedges placed will rise and fall with market prices. Therefore, the
10 final cost or savings will not be known until the settlement process has been completed for the
11 hedge transactions.

12 SDG&E may also trade short-term financial power products to hedge its long or short
13 position against potentially volatile CAISO market clearing prices. SDG&E does not include a
14 forecast of net cost or benefit from these power hedges due to the unpredictability of market
15 prices relative to the price of the hedges.

16 **H. CONVERGENCE BIDS**

17 SDG&E uses convergence bids²¹ to hedge certain operational risks in the day-to-day
18 management of its portfolio. It is not possible to forecast the gains or losses associated with

²⁰ SDG&E’s 2014 Long Term Procurement Plan, Appendix B: Electric and Gas Hedging Strategy.

²¹ A convergence bid (also known as a virtual bid) is not backed by any physical generation or load and is thus completely financial. Convergence bidding allows market participants to arbitrage expected price differences between the Day-Ahead and Real-Time markets. Using convergence bids, market participants can sell (buy) energy in the Day-Ahead market, with the explicit requirement to buy (sell) that energy back in the Real-Time market, without intending to physically consume or produce energy in Real-Time. Convergence bids that clear the Day-Ahead market will either earn (or lose) the difference between the Day-Ahead and Real-Time market prices at a specified node multiplied by the megawatt volume of their bids.

1 potential convergence bidding activity because of the unpredictable relationship between day-
2 ahead and real-time prices. Therefore, SDG&E did not forecast an ERRA revenue/charge for
3 convergence bids.

4 **I. CONGESTION REVENUE RIGHTS (“CRRs”)**

5 Market participants, including SDG&E, were allocated CRRs by the CAISO for which
6 they can nominate source and sink P-nodes²² to match those in their portfolio. If congestion
7 arises between the source and sink P-nodes, the CAISO will pay the market participant holding
8 the CRR the congestion charges to offset the congestion costs incurred. SDG&E expects its
9 CRRs to generate revenues from the CAISO to offset congestion costs incurred within its
10 portfolio. However, expected revenues were not forecast for the 2020 ERRA forecast because
11 SDG&E assumed congestion-free clearing prices to develop forecasts for load requirement costs
12 and generation revenues. A forecast of CRR revenues would have required SDG&E to forecast
13 offsetting market-congestion prices at various P-nodes over the 2020 period. Since there are no
14 forward market prices for congestion, we do not have a strong basis to perform this forecast
15 without introducing complexity and additional uncertainty into the forecast.

16 Market participants, including SDG&E, are offered the ability to purchase CRRs through
17 an auction process. SDG&E may elect to participate in the annual and monthly auction
18 processes to procure the incremental CRRs. Since the incremental CRRs volumes cannot be
19 forecasted, the incremental CRR costs and revenues also cannot be forecasted.

20
21

²² The source and the sink are the two ends of a path for which congestion may occur. The CRR represents the difference in the Marginal Cost of Congestion component of the Locational Marginal Prices for the Nodal Prices of the source and sink.

1 **J. INTER-SCHEDULING COORDINATOR TRADES (“IST”)**

2 In the CAISO market, SDG&E may transact ISTs²³ bilaterally with counterparties to
3 hedge long or short positions. Under an IST purchase, SDG&E pays the counterparty the
4 contracted energy price and in return receives payment from the CAISO based on the market
5 clearing price. Under an IST sale, SDG&E receives payment from the counterparty based on the
6 contracted energy price and in return pays the market clearing price to the CAISO. For IST
7 purchases and sales, the payment to, or revenue from, the counterparty is largely offset by the
8 respective credit from, or payment to, the CAISO. Because ISTs are used as a hedge against
9 unknown market prices, SDG&E does not include a forecast of the net cost or benefit from these
10 transactions.

11 **V. SONGS UNIT 1 OFFSITE SPENT FUEL STORAGE COSTS**

12 **A. Background**

13 SONGS Unit 1 ceased operation on November 30, 1992. Defueling was completed on
14 March 6, 1993. On July 18, 2005, SDG&E submitted AL 1709-E, which removed SONGS Unit
15 1 shutdown O&M expense from the revenue requirement pursuant to D.04-07-022. Southern
16 California Edison Company (“SCE”), the majority owner of SONGS, has decommissioned the
17 Unit 1 facility, and as of 2010, most of the Unit 1 structures and equipment have been removed
18 and disposed of, except for areas shared by Units 2 and 3 for which physical decommissioning
19 and dismantlement has only recently begun.

20 Spent fuel assemblies from SONGS Unit 1 have been stored since 1972 at the General
21 Electric-Hitachi spent fuel storage facility located in Morris, Illinois. There are 270 spent fuel
22

²³ ISTs are financial bilateral transactions which allow SDG&E to hedge long or short price positions in the market.

1 assemblies from SONGS Unit 1 currently in storage at that facility. Because there are no other
2 facilities currently available in the U.S. for the commercial storage of spent nuclear fuel, those
3 270 assemblies are expected to remain at the Morris facility until they are accepted for ultimate
4 disposal by the U.S. Department of Energy. Pursuant to the terms of the storage contract with
5 General Electric-Hitachi, payments are made monthly by SCE, which in turn bills SDG&E for its
6 20% ownership share.

7 **B. 2020 Forecast**

8 SDG&E estimates its 2020 SONGS Unit 1 offsite spent fuel storage expense to be \$1.097
9 million, including adjustments for escalation, in accordance with the GE-Hitachi spent fuel
10 storage contract.²⁴ The storage contract utilizes the Bureau of Labor Standards' labor non-
11 financial corporations and industrial commodities indices to forecast escalation rates, which are
12 included in SCE's billing statement to SDG&E. This estimate is based on a spent fuel storage
13 cost forecast prepared by SCE's Nuclear Fuel Manager utilizing the contract escalation terms.

14 **VI. 2020 FORECAST OF GHG COSTS**

15 In this section, I describe the cost forecast for GHG compliance obligations under the
16 California Air Resources Board ("ARB") cap-and-trade program. The cap-and-trade program
17 provides that compliance obligations in the electricity sector are applicable to "first deliverers of
18 electricity."²⁵ Generally, first deliverers of electricity in 2020 are electricity generators inside
19 California that emit more than 25,000 metric tons ("MT") of GHG, and importers of electricity
20 from outside of California. SDG&E is the first deliverer for its utility-owned generation, for
21

²⁴ SDG&E may recover these costs through ERRAs per D.15-12-032.

²⁵ ARB, *Article 5: California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Market-based Compliance Mechanisms*, at 60, Section 95811(b), available at <https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/c-t-reg-reader-2013.pdf>.

1 generation it purchases under third-party tolling agreements in California, and for its imports of
2 electricity into California. The cost of allowances and offsets is a direct GHG cost. In Section
3 V.A below, I address direct GHG compliance costs associated with SDG&E utility-owned
4 generation plants, procurement of electricity from third parties under tolling agreements, and
5 electricity imports attributed to SDG&E.

6 SDG&E customers also face a second type of GHG compliance cost – indirect costs.
7 Indirect costs are costs embedded in market electricity prices, or costs that SDG&E incurs from
8 third parties under contracts. The party selling the power is responsible for the GHG allowance
9 acquisition, but it implicitly charges SDG&E for the cost of acquiring allowances. In Section
10 V.B below, I address indirect GHG costs. In Section V.C, I describe the calculation of both
11 direct and indirect 2020 GHG costs. Finally, in Section V.D, I discuss the 2020 allowance
12 auction revenues and the allocations of those revenues.

13 **A. Direct GHG Emissions**

14 Each first deliverer of electricity within California must surrender to ARB one allowance
15 or offset for each MT of carbon dioxide emissions or its equivalent (CO₂e). Under ARB's first
16 deliverer approach, SDG&E will have a direct compliance obligation for GHG emissions from
17 burning natural gas at facilities in its portfolio, including carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous
18 oxide. I forecasted SDG&E's expected direct GHG compliance costs using the same production
19 simulation model results that produced the ERRRA expenses discussed above. The amount of fuel
20 needed for each natural gas fired plant is provided as an output based on the expected operation
21 of the plant, including fuel associated with starts. The fuel volume is then multiplied by an
22 emissions factor of 0.05307 MT of CO₂e per MMBtu to calculate direct emissions obligations

1 for each plant.²⁶ The forecast of GHG emissions from SDG&E facilities in 2020 is included in
2 Table 4 below.

3 Similarly, the estimated emissions for tolling agreements (*e.g.*, Otay Mesa) are estimated
4 by multiplying the forecast of MMBtu of natural gas burned from the production simulation by
5 the emission factor of 0.05307 MT of CO₂e per MMBtu. Table 4 below provides the forecast of
6 GHG emissions from generators that are under tolling agreements with SDG&E in 2020.

7 In addition, SDG&E imports out-of-state electricity to a delivery point inside California,
8 and it is thus responsible for the GHG emissions attributed to generation of that electricity.

9 There are three categories of GHG emissions associated with imports.

10 First, there are imports from “specified sources” (*i.e.*, imports where the source of the
11 power is known), which consist of either a specific plant or an asset-controlling supplier.²⁷

12 Accordingly, power from SDG&E’s Desert Star combined-cycle generation plant in Nevada, for
13 example, is included on the same basis as SDG&E’s other utility-owned facilities—multiplying
14 the forecast of MMBtu of natural gas burned from the production simulation by the emission
15 factor of 0.05307 MT of CO₂e per MMBtu.

16 Second, imported power from “unspecified sources” is multiplied by an estimated
17 transmission loss factor of 1.02²⁸ to estimate the MWh related to unspecified electricity imports.

18 The quantity is multiplied by the ARB default emission rate, which is 0.428 metric tons of CO₂e

²⁶ ARB’s Mandatory Reporting Regulations requires use of emission factors from federal regulations - 40 Code of Federal Regulations (“C.F.R.”) Section 98. For pipeline natural gas, there are three components – CO₂, CH₄, and NO₂. Using Tables C-1 and C-2 from 40 C.F.R. Subpart C Section 98 we calculate an overall emissions rate of 0.05307 MT/MMBtu. SDG&E’s portfolio of GHG emitting resources uses only natural gas, not other fuels.

²⁷ SDG&E currently does not have any contracts with asset-controlling suppliers such as the Bonneville Power Administration or Powerex. ARB assigns an emissions factor based on the entire portfolio for these suppliers.

²⁸ Transmission losses on SDG&E’s system are measured at approximately 2% of load requirement.

1 per MWh.

2 Third, electricity from out-of-state renewable resources that are not imported can be used
3 to offset the emissions of imports under the ARB Renewable Portfolio Standard (“RPS”)
4 adjustment. Specifically, the RPS adjustment is equal to the default emission rate multiplied by
5 the MWh from the eligible renewable resources, as measured at the point of generation.²⁹ Of the
6 total generation potentially eligible for RPS Adjustment, approximately 50% has been imported
7 into California. As such, SDG&E is only able to utilize the remaining non-imported generation
8 to calculate its RPS Adjustment. Both the emissions of imported power and the offsetting RPS
9 adjustment are shown in Table 4 below. Monthly emissions for all categories are summarized in
10 Attachment E.

11 **B. Indirect GHG Emissions**

12 In addition to the direct GHG costs described above, the cap-and-trade program results in
13 GHG compliance costs being embedded in the market price of electricity procured in the
14 wholesale market and from third parties. The cost to purchase electricity from the wholesale
15 market, as well as from suppliers under contracts that include market-based prices, will have
16 these embedded costs of compliance with the cap-and-trade program built into the electricity
17 price. The compliance instrument will be procured by the first deliverer, rather than by SDG&E,
18 as purchaser. SDG&E’s expected indirect GHG compliance costs are based on an assumption
19 that all power sold by SDG&E-controlled assets are used by SDG&E customers, up to the level
20

²⁹ ARB, *Article 5: California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Market-based Compliance Mechanisms*, at 103, Section 95852(b)(4)(C), available at <https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/c-t-reg-reader-2013.pdf>.

1 of the forecasted SDG&E load.³⁰ If the total CAISO market purchases exceed the MWh from
2 SDG&E-controlled generation, then the assumption is that SDG&E entered into market
3 purchases to cover this difference. To estimate the GHG emissions embedded in these net
4 CAISO market purchases, SDG&E used the ARB’s default emissions rate, which is 0.428 MT
5 per MWh.

6 In addition to market purchases, contracts with some Combined Heat and Power (“CHP”)
7 facilities are included as indirect costs. Specific CHP contracts require payments based on a
8 market electricity price (with embedded GHG costs), or a fixed heat rate with the GHG cost
9 based on the contract heat rate; or in other cases, a reimbursement of GHG expenditures incurred
10 by the CHP facility associated with sales to SDG&E. These contracts represent a second source
11 of indirect GHG costs in that the CHP owner acquires GHG compliance instruments.

12 Contractual GHG costs do not provide a good estimate of actual GHG costs.
13 Accordingly, determining actual GHG costs is difficult because it requires knowledge of
14 confidential counterparty data and the choice of method used to split the GHG emissions
15 between electricity production and useful thermal energy. For simplicity, SDG&E estimates
16 GHG costs associated with CHP on the assumption that the CHP units, on average, are as
17 efficient as unspecified power, assigning a 0.428 MT per MWh emissions rate to all purchases of
18 power from CHP facilities. The GHG emissions from indirect sources are summarized on an
19 annual basis in Table 4 below and on a monthly basis in Attachment E.

³⁰ In fact, however, the generation is bid into the CAISO market and dispatched by CAISO to meet statewide needs. The simplifying assumption is used to calculate net CAISO market purchases – all CAISO purchases less all resources that are forecasted to successfully bid into the CAISO market by SDG&E, including imports. However, SDG&E does make an adjustment for expected sales of renewable energy beyond regulatory requirements.

| Table 4: 2020 GHG Total Emissions Forecast | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Resource | Fuel (000 MMBtu) | GHG (000 Metric Tons) |
| Palomar- UOG | | |
| Otay Mesa- UOG | | |
| Desert Star- Out of State | | |
| Goal Line- PPA | | |
| Orange Grove-PPA | | |
| Escondido Energy Center-PPA | | |
| Pio Pico- PPA | | |
| Carlsbad Energy Center- PPA | | |
| Miramar- UOG | | |
| Yuma- PPA Out of State | | |
| Fuel-Based | | |
| | Generation (GWh) | |
| Imports | | |
| RPS Adjustment | | |
| Total Direct Emissions | | |
| | | |
| Resource | Generation (GWh) | |
| Net Market Purchases | | |
| CHP | | |
| Total Indirect Emissions | | |
| Total Forecasted Emissions | | 3,957 |
| | | |
| Conversions | | |
| Natural Gas | 0.0531 MTons/MMBtu | |
| Market Purchases | 0.428 MTons/MWh | |
| Imports | 0.428 MTons/MWh | |

C. 2020 GHG Costs

I calculated a proxy for the 2020 GHG emissions price as \$17.19/MT. This figure was derived using a recent (March 1, 2019) assessment of 2020 GHG market prices based on the average of forward prices on the Intercontinental Exchange (“ICE”) over the previous 22-day period, consistent with the period used for forecasting natural gas and electricity prices associated with the forecast of emissions in Table 4 above. The GHG cost forecast multiplies the

1 expected emissions, both direct and indirect, by the forecasted proxy GHG price resulting in
2 forecasted GHG costs for 2020 of \$57.1 million for ERRA and \$10.9 million for Local
3 Generation.

4 **D. 2020 Allowance Auction Revenues**

5 The ARB allocates cap-and-trade allowances to SDG&E for 2020. SDG&E is required
6 to place all of these allowances for sale in ARB's 2020 quarterly auctions. I developed the
7 forecast of allowance revenues by multiplying the total number of allowances allocated to
8 SDG&E for consignment by a forecast price for the allowances.³¹

9 Under ARB's regulations, the allowances available for allocation to electrical distribution
10 utilities each budget year is currently 97.7 million MT multiplied by the cap adjustment factor
11 (0.851 (for 2020)), and SDG&E's share of electric sector allowances (7.3896% (for 2020)).³²
12 The total allowances that will be allocated to SDG&E for 2020 is expected to be 6,143,946 MT.
13 The allowance price is the same proxy price as used in the calculation of GHG costs, which is
14 \$17.19/MT. The allowance auction revenue forecast is the allowances allocated times the
15 allowance price or \$105.6 million.

16 The available funds reserved for the clean energy and energy efficiency programs are
17 equal to 15 percent of the forecasted 2020 allowance auction revenue amount or \$15.8 million.

18 A portion of the allowance auction revenue is reserved for clean energy and energy
19 efficiency projects initiated by the Solar on Multifamily Affordable Housing ("SOMAH")

³¹ I assumed all allowances are sold in the auction process, which is consistent with the assumption that the market-clearing price is above the price floor.

³² ARB, *Article 5: California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Market-based Compliance Mechanisms*, at 169, Section 95891, Table 9-2 and at 173-177, Section 95892, Table 9-3, available at <https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/c-t-reg-reader-2013.pdf>.

1 Program.³³ This program provides financial incentives for installation of solar energy systems
2 on multifamily affordable housing properties, as specified in the statute. For 2020, the funding
3 amount is \$10.6 million, which is 10% of the forecasted 2020 allowance auction revenue
4 amount.

5 D.18-06-027 (issued on June 22, 2018), adopted three new programs to promote the
6 installation of renewable generation among residential customers in disadvantaged communities
7 (“DACs”): the DAC - Single-family Solar Homes (“DAC-SASH”), the DAC – Green Tariff
8 (“DAC-GT”) and the Community Solar Green Tariff (“CSGT”).³⁴ SDG&E shall fund these
9 programs first through available GHG allowance revenues proceeds and if such funds are
10 exhausted, the programs will be funded through public purpose program (“PPP”) funds. The
11 DAC-SASH program funding is estimated to be \$1.03 million. The estimated budget for DAC-
12 GT is \$1.12 million and CSGT is \$0.16 million.

13 **VII. 2020 FORECAST OF TMNBCBA COSTS**

14 In this section, I describe the cost forecast for tree mortality related procurement costs.³⁵
15 The TMNBCBA costs will be recovered through the PPP charge. The 2020 forecasted costs are
16 \$■ million.

³³ D.17-12-022 Ordering Paragraph (“OP”) 4, at 69, states that the IOUs “each shall reserve 10% of the proceeds from the sale of greenhouse gas allowances defined in Public Utilities Code Section 748.5 through its annual Energy Resource Recover Account (ERRA) proceedings for use in the Solar on Multifamily Affordable Housing Program, starting with its ongoing 2018 ERRA forecast proceeding.”

³⁴ D.18-06-027 at OPs 1, 11 and 12.

³⁵ Per D.18-12-003, SDG&E filed Advice Letter 3343-E18 requesting approval to establish TMNBCBA as directed by Resolution E-4770 and Resolution E-4805. At the time of this filing, SDG&E’s Advice Letter has not been approved.

1 **VIII. QUALIFICATIONS**

2 My name is Jeff Deturi. My business address is 8315 Century Park Court, San Diego,
3 CA 92123. I am employed by SDG&E and my current title is Policy and Strategy Manager in
4 the Electric & Fuel Procurement Department. My responsibilities include leading a team that
5 develops energy procurement strategy and serves as a key liaison to regulatory agencies and
6 legislators to solve procurement-related issues and design and implement procurement-related
7 strategies involving the purchase or sale of commodities.

8 I joined SDG&E in August 2003 and have held various positions with increasing levels
9 of responsibility within SDG&E. Prior to joining SDG&E, I worked as an accounting
10 professional for various companies throughout San Diego County. I received a Bachelor of
11 Accountancy degree and a Master of Business Administration from the University of San Diego.

Attachment A

Attachment B

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO P.U.C. CODE 583, 454.5(g), GO 66-C and D.06-06-066 as needed

ATTACHMENT B - SDG&E 2020 GENERATION PORTFOLIO DELIVERY VOLUMES (GWh)

| | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | 2020 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| CTC QF | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-CTC QF | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL QF | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Renewable - Bio Gas | 20.8 | 19.5 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 246.0 |
| Renewable - Other | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 |
| Renewable - Solar | 212.2 | 252.2 | 305.0 | 348.3 | 381.0 | 373.0 | 349.9 | 347.0 | 304.0 | 287.9 | 225.2 | 203.0 | 3,588.8 |
| Renewable - Wind | 118.7 | 117.0 | 172.6 | 207.7 | 226.0 | 194.3 | 147.9 | 134.0 | 124.2 | 125.7 | 106.2 | 110.5 | 1,784.6 |
| Renewable - Wind REC | 110.3 | 155.1 | 134.5 | 93.6 | 78.4 | 91.9 | 73.7 | 63.6 | 100.9 | 84.5 | 119.4 | 130.0 | 1,236.0 |
| Renewable - RPS Sales | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - |
| TOTAL NON-QF RENEWABLE | 462.3 | 544.2 | 633.3 | 670.0 | 706.6 | 679.7 | 592.7 | 565.8 | 549.6 | 519.2 | 471.3 | 464.7 | 6,859.3 |
| Miramar | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miramar 2 | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cuyamaca | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palomar | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Otay Mesa Energy Center | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Desert Star | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kelco | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake Hodges | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Morgan Stanley | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| El Cajon Energy Center | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange Grove | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Escondido Energy Center | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pio Pico | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carlsbad Energy Center | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AMS Energy Storage | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| El Cajon Energy Storage | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EPC Energy Storage | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Escondido Energy Storage | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RPS Sales Residual Generation | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - |
| TOTAL GENERATION | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Market Purchases | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL PORTFOLIO DELIVERIES | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surplus Energy Sold | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Storage Charging Load | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-ERRA Resource Generation | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOAD REQUIREMENT (GWh) | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Note 1: Total Portfolio Deliveries do not include Wind REC

Note 2: Load Requirement is SDG&E bundled load including transmission losses

Attachment C

ATTACHMENT C - SDG&E 2020 RENEWABLE RESOURCE DETAIL

| Power Purchase Deliveries (GWh) | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | 2020 |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| BIO GAS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lakeside BioGas LLC | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 26.4 |
| MM Prima Deshecha Energy LLC | 9.1 | 8.5 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 107.6 |
| MM San Diego LLC- Miramar Landfill | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 26.4 |
| BIOGAS FIT | 7.3 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 85.6 |
| Subtotal | 20.8 | 19.5 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 246.0 |
| OTHER | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SMALL HYDRO_RAM | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 |
| Subtotal | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 |
| SOLAR | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NRG Borrego Solar | 3.7 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 71.6 |
| Sol Orchard | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 31.7 |
| Solar Energy Project | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 19.9 |
| SOLAR_PV_FIT | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 14.0 |
| Arlington Valley Solar | 20.6 | 24.5 | 32.8 | 36.1 | 41.2 | 40.6 | 38.1 | 36.5 | 31.4 | 28.5 | 22.0 | 19.2 | 371.5 |
| Callipatria | 2.1 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 48.9 |
| Campo Verde | 24.7 | 27.5 | 32.2 | 36.2 | 36.5 | 33.5 | 30.8 | 32.8 | 30.3 | 31.2 | 25.4 | 24.5 | 365.7 |
| Catalina_Solar | 15.6 | 19.9 | 22.9 | 23.6 | 26.6 | 26.9 | 26.3 | 25.7 | 24.4 | 21.7 | 19.3 | 16.9 | 269.8 |
| Centinela Solar1 | 21.8 | 25.7 | 30.5 | 36.3 | 40.8 | 40.9 | 38.2 | 37.6 | 31.7 | 29.4 | 22.2 | 20.2 | 375.3 |
| Centinela Solar2 | 7.8 | 9.3 | 11.0 | 13.1 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 13.7 | 13.5 | 11.4 | 10.6 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 135.1 |
| Desert Green | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 13.8 |
| Imperial Valley Solar I | 29.5 | 36.7 | 46.1 | 54.8 | 62.4 | 61.8 | 57.5 | 55.4 | 45.7 | 42.5 | 31.2 | 25.7 | 549.3 |
| Maricopa West Solar | 1.8 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 50.2 |
| TallBear Seville | 3.5 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 60.1 |
| SolarGen 2 | 26.1 | 30.8 | 36.6 | 43.6 | 49.0 | 49.1 | 45.8 | 45.2 | 38.1 | 35.3 | 26.6 | 24.3 | 450.4 |
| Cascade SunEdison | 3.0 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 55.7 |
| Osolar IV South | 21.2 | 23.3 | 26.6 | 29.3 | 30.4 | 28.9 | 27.5 | 28.5 | 26.5 | 26.6 | 22.0 | 20.4 | 311.2 |
| Osolar IV West | 26.6 | 29.7 | 34.8 | 39.1 | 39.3 | 36.2 | 33.2 | 35.4 | 32.7 | 33.6 | 27.5 | 26.5 | 394.6 |
| Subtotal | 212.2 | 252.2 | 305.0 | 348.3 | 381.0 | 373.0 | 349.9 | 347.0 | 304.0 | 287.9 | 225.2 | 203.0 | 3,588.8 |
| WIND | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Glacier Wind (TREC) | 49.4 | 80.9 | 63.3 | 43.0 | 37.5 | 44.7 | 36.2 | 31.0 | 48.3 | 35.4 | 48.1 | 61.2 | 578.8 |
| Rim Rock (TREC) | 60.8 | 74.2 | 71.3 | 50.6 | 40.9 | 47.2 | 37.5 | 32.6 | 52.6 | 49.1 | 71.4 | 68.8 | 657.2 |
| Kumeyaay | 13.9 | 13.2 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 12.7 | 10.7 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 9.1 | 11.2 | 13.2 | 15.6 | 139.8 |
| Coram Energy | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 26.9 |
| Energia Sierra Juarez | 40.0 | 35.4 | 45.2 | 49.8 | 47.6 | 39.4 | 23.3 | 22.5 | 30.2 | 32.2 | 35.1 | 35.0 | 435.7 |
| Manzana Wind | 15.0 | 16.5 | 23.3 | 30.0 | 33.3 | 35.9 | 30.2 | 25.8 | 15.9 | 17.3 | 15.3 | 16.8 | 275.2 |
| Oak Creek Wind Power | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 5.8 |
| Ocotillo Express | 29.2 | 29.1 | 56.4 | 72.3 | 85.9 | 62.8 | 50.8 | 48.0 | 45.3 | 39.8 | 22.0 | 18.9 | 560.5 |
| Pacific Wind | 18.0 | 19.8 | 28.6 | 36.2 | 39.5 | 38.5 | 30.3 | 27.3 | 18.9 | 20.8 | 17.5 | 21.7 | 317.1 |
| San Geronio | 0.8 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 23.6 |
| Subtotal | 228.9 | 272.1 | 307.1 | 301.2 | 304.4 | 286.2 | 221.6 | 197.6 | 225.1 | 210.2 | 225.6 | 240.5 | 3,020.6 |
| RPS SALES | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Power Purchase Costs (\$000) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BIO GAS | \$ 1,757 | \$ 1,644 | \$ 1,757 | \$ 1,700 | \$ 1,757 | \$ 1,700 | \$ 1,786 | \$ 1,786 | \$ 1,729 | \$ 1,786 | \$ 1,700 | \$ 1,756 | \$ 20,861 |
| OTHER | \$ 27 | \$ 25 | \$ 27 | \$ 26 | \$ 27 | \$ 26 | \$ 27 | \$ 27 | \$ 26 | \$ 27 | \$ 26 | \$ 27 | \$ 318 |
| SOLAR | \$ 22,495 | \$ 27,085 | \$ 33,006 | \$ 37,039 | \$ 40,476 | \$ 40,175 | \$ 49,021 | \$ 51,190 | \$ 43,480 | \$ 41,486 | \$ 24,013 | \$ 21,437 | \$ 430,904 |
| WIND | \$ 11,720 | \$ 11,574 | \$ 17,338 | \$ 21,041 | \$ 23,000 | \$ 19,736 | \$ 15,052 | \$ 13,735 | \$ 12,486 | \$ 12,546 | \$ 10,420 | \$ 10,803 | \$ 179,451 |
| WIND (REC) | \$ 3,944 | \$ 5,333 | \$ 4,754 | \$ 3,318 | \$ 2,756 | \$ 3,235 | \$ 2,578 | \$ 2,225 | \$ 3,546 | \$ 3,061 | \$ 4,371 | \$ 4,586 | \$ 43,707 |
| RPS SALES | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Subtotal | \$ 39,944 | \$ 45,661 | \$ 56,882 | \$ 63,125 | \$ 68,016 | \$ 64,872 | \$ 68,464 | \$ 68,964 | \$ 61,267 | \$ 58,906 | \$ 40,531 | \$ 38,609 | \$ 675,240 |

Attachment D

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO P.U.C. CODE 583, 454.5(g), GO 66-C and D.06-06-066 as needed

| ATTACHMENT D - SDG&E 2020 CTC QUALIFYING FACILITY (QF) DETAIL | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------|
| CTC QF - Dispatchable (GWh) | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | 2020 |
| Goal Line QF | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yuma Cogen Associates QF | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CTC QF - SRAC Priced (GWh) | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aggregation of Hydro Units (SO1) | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ERRA Expenses (\$000) | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CTC QF | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (to Line 5 of Attachment A) | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TCBA Expenses (\$000) | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CTC QF | [REDACTED] | | | | | | | | | | | | \$ 16,898 |

Attachment E

GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS

AB: Assembly Bill

ARB: California Air Resource Board

A/S: Ancillary Services

CAISO: California Independent System Operator

CEC: California Energy Commission

CHP: Combined Heat and Power

CO₂e: Carbon Dioxide Emissions

CRR: Congestion Revenue Rights

CSGT: Community Solar Green Tariff

CTC: Competition Transition Charge

DAC: Disadvantaged Communities

DAC-GT: Disadvantaged Communities – Green Tariff

DAC-SASH: Disadvantaged Communities – Single-family Solar Homes

Desert Star: Desert Star Energy Center

ECR: Enhanced Community Renewables

ERRA: Energy Resource Recovery Account

FERC: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

FF&U: Franchise Fee and Uncollectible

GHG: Greenhouse Gas

GMC: Grid Management Charges

GT: Green Tariff

GTSR: Green Tariff Shared Renewable

GWh: Gigawatt Hours

ICE: Intercontinental Exchange

ISO: Independent System Operator

IST: Inter-Scheduling Coordinator Trades

kWh: Kilowatt Hour

LG: Local Generation

LGBA: Local Generation Balancing Account

O&M: Operating and Maintenance

OMECE: Otay Mesa Energy Center
MIRAMAR I: Miramar Energy Facility I
MIRAMAR II: Miramar Energy Facility II
MMBtu: Million British Thermal Units
MPB: Market Price Benchmark
MRTU: Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade
MT: Metric Ton
MW: Megawatt
MWh: Megawatt Hour
NOB: Northern Oregon Border
PABA: Portfolio Allocation Balancing Account
Palomar: Palomar Energy Center
PCIA: Power Charge Indifference Adjustment
PPA: Power Purchase Agreement
PPP: Public Purpose Program
PURPA: Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act
QFs: Qualifying Facilities
REC: Renewable Energy Credit
RPS: Renewables Portfolio Standard
SCE: Southern California Energy Company
SDG&E: San Diego Gas & Electric Company
SOMAH: Solar on Multifamily Affordable Housing
SRAC: Short-Run Avoided Cost
SONGS: San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station
TCBA: Transition Cost Balancing Account
TMNBCBA: Tree Mortality Non-Bypassable Charge Balancing Account
WREGIS: Western Renewable Energy Generation Information System
YCA: Yuma Cogeneration Associates