

In the Matter of the Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 E) for Approval of its Proposals for Dynamic Pricing and Recovery of Incremental Expenditures Required for Implementation.

Application 10-07-009  
(Filed July 6, 2010)

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 E) for Authority to Update Marginal Costs, Cost Allocation, and Electric Rate Design

Application 19-03-002  
(Filed March 4, 2019)

Application: 10-07-009/A.19-03-002  
Exhibit No.: \_\_\_\_\_

## **CHAPTER 5**

### **SECOND REVISED PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF**

**WILLIAM G. SAXE**

### **ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**JANUARY 15, 2020**



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. OVERVIEW AND PURPOSE ..... 1

II. BACKGROUND ..... 2

III. MARGINAL DISTRIBUTION DEMAND COSTS ..... 3

    A. Marginal Distribution Demand Cost Background ..... 3

    B. Unit Marginal Feeder and Local Distribution Costs ..... 4

    C. Unit Marginal Substation Costs ..... 5

IV. MARGINAL DISTRIBUTION CUSTOMER COSTS ..... 6

    A. Marginal Distribution Customer Cost Background ..... 6

    B. Transformer, Service Drop and Meter (“TSM”) Costs ..... 7

    C. O&M Costs ..... 8

    D. Customer Service Distribution Costs ..... 10

    E. Support for Rental Method Adoption ..... 10

V. DISTRIBUTION REVENUE ALLOCATION ..... 12

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION ..... 15

VII. WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS ..... 16

REVISION LOG

ATTACHMENT A - Marginal Distribution Costs ..... A-1

ATTACHMENT B – Distribution Revenue Allocation ..... B-1

ATTACHMENT C - Illustrative New Customer Only (“NCO”) Marginal Distribution  
Customer Costs ..... C-1

1                                   **SECOND REVISED PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF**  
2   **WILLIAM G. SAXE**  
3   **(CHAPTER 5)**

4 **I.       OVERVIEW AND PURPOSE**

5               The purpose of my second revised prepared direct testimony is to present San Diego Gas  
6 & Electric Company’s (“SDG&E”) updated marginal distribution demand and customer costs,  
7 and the resulting electric allocation of distribution revenues to customer classes based on these  
8 marginal distribution costs.

9               My testimony is organized as follows:

- 10               • **Section II – Background:** Describes the development of the proposed marginal  
11               distribution demand and customer costs, and the use of these marginal costs to  
12               develop the proposed electric distribution revenue allocation;
- 13               • **Section III – Marginal Distribution Demand Costs:** Presents the development of  
14               the proposed updated marginal distribution demand costs based on the National  
15               Economic Research Associates (“NERA”) Regression Method;
- 16               • **Section IV – Marginal Distribution Customer Costs:** Presents the development of  
17               the proposed updated marginal distribution customer costs based on the Rental  
18               Method;
- 19               • **Section V – Distribution Revenue Allocation:** Presents the proposal to use the  
20               updated marginal costs coupled with the Equal Percent of Marginal Costs (“EPMC”)  
21               methodology to allocate the authorized distribution revenue requirement;
- 22               • **Section VI – Summary and Conclusion:** Provides a summary of recommendations;  
23               and
- 24               • **Section VII – Witness Qualifications:** Presents my qualifications.

1 My testimony also contains the following attachments:

- 2 • **Attachment A** – Marginal Distribution Costs;
- 3 • **Attachment B** – Distribution Revenue Allocation;
- 4 • **Attachment C** – Illustrative New Customer Only (“NCO”) Marginal Distribution  
5 Customer Costs.

## 6 **II. BACKGROUND**

7 For more than 30 years, the California Public Utilities Commission (“CPUC”) has relied  
8 on marginal costs as the basis for revenue allocation and rate design development for the  
9 different customer classes. My testimony presents SDG&E’s updated studies for both marginal  
10 distribution demand and customer costs. The proposed marginal distribution demand costs are  
11 based on the NERA Regression Method while the marginal distribution customer costs utilize  
12 the Rental Method. Recent SDG&E rate design proceedings, specifically its Test Year (“TY”)   
13 2008 General Rate Case (“GRC”) Phase 2 (Application (“A.”) 07-01-047), TY 2012 GRC Phase  
14 2 (A.11-10-002), and TY 2016 GRC Phase 2 (A.15-04-012), were decided by settlement on  
15 revenue allocation and thus, there was no formal adoption of marginal costs or marginal cost  
16 methodology in those proceedings.

17 Marginal cost is the change in costs caused by providing one additional unit of a good or  
18 service. In the electric utility context, marginal cost is defined as the change in cost to provide  
19 electric service to customers. Marginal distribution demand costs measure the cost of serving an  
20 additional unit of customer kilowatt (“kW”) demand on the electric distribution system while  
21 marginal distribution customer costs reflect the cost of adding an additional customer to the  
22 electric distribution system. These marginal distribution costs are used as a frame of reference

1 for the determination of cost-based rates when we design distribution rates to reflect the costs of  
2 providing utility service.

3 In addition, SDG&E is proposing that authorized distribution revenue requirements be  
4 allocated to customer classes using the updated marginal costs proposed in this TY 2019 GRC  
5 Phase 2 Application. Allocating authorized distribution revenue requirements based on marginal  
6 costs balances fairness and equity by providing customers clear and accurate price signals for the  
7 services they receive.

### 8 **III. MARGINAL DISTRIBUTION DEMAND COSTS**

#### 9 **A. Marginal Distribution Demand Cost Background**

10 Marginal distribution demand costs represent the cost of providing facilities from the  
11 substation to the customer access point in order to meet the customer's individual demand.  
12 These marginal distribution demand costs are separated into feeder and local distribution and  
13 substation components for the purposes of this GRC Phase 2 Application.

14 Consistent with its previous GRC Phase 2 proceedings, SDG&E will continue the use of  
15 the NERA Regression Method to calculate marginal feeder and local distribution and substation  
16 costs for the system as a whole. By definition, the NERA Regression Method uses ten years of  
17 historical and five years of forecasted distribution investments along with annual distribution  
18 system peak determinants in a regression methodology. The NERA Regression Method  
19 identifies the utility's cumulative incremental changes in distribution load peak data as the  
20 independent variable, the utility's cumulative incremental distribution growth-related  
21 investments as the dependent variable, and then regresses the data over a fifteen-year period of  
22 data points.

1 SDG&E’s marginal distribution demand cost component includes distribution investment  
2 costs related to load and customer growth for the period 2005-2019. These marginal distribution  
3 demand costs do not include reliability investments, replacement costs, or customer access costs,  
4 because these costs are not considered growth-related.

5 The distribution demand investment cost component is derived in units of dollars-per-  
6 kW. To more accurately reflect the true cost of investment, the investment costs are adjusted by  
7 various loading factors. These loading factors reflect additional costs that are related to the  
8 addition of capacity to the distribution systems. Loading factors have been derived for  
9 Operations & Maintenance (“O&M”), Administrative & General (“A&G”), General Plant  
10 (“GP”), and Working Capital (“WC”).

11 SDG&E’s cumulative change in peak load data is based on distribution planning  
12 forecasted circuit and substation loads from 2005-2019.

### 13 **B. Unit Marginal Feeder and Local Distribution Costs**

14 Marginal feeder and local distribution costs represent the cost of expanding facilities  
15 from the distribution substation to the point of customer access to serve an additional kW of  
16 demand. The cost of feeder and local distribution facilities is based on the projected investments  
17 needed to meet load growth on SDG&E’s system during a specific planning horizon. These  
18 facilities include poles, fixtures, capacitors, and overhead and underground conductors and  
19 devices.

20 The feeder and local distribution investments used in the NERA Regression Method were  
21 obtained from distribution capital budget forecasts for the period 2017 through 2019.<sup>1</sup> Only

---

<sup>1</sup> 2017-2019 Distribution Capital Budget Forecasts are found in the SDG&E TY 2019 GRC Phase 1 Direct Testimony of Alan F. Colton. See A.17-10-007, Revised SDG&E Direct Testimony of Alan F. Colton (Electric Distribution Capital) (December 2017), Ex. SDG&E-14-R/Colton at Appendix A.

1 three years of forecasted data was available from the capital budget data. Since only three years  
2 of forecast data was available, twelve years of historical investment data from years 2005  
3 through 2016 was used for the historical period. However, the extension given to filing this  
4 GRC Phase 2 Application allows for the use of actual 2017 data and thus, the NERA regression  
5 analysis will use historical distribution investment data from 2005-2017 and forecasted  
6 distribution investment data for 2018 and 2019. Because marginal costs reflect the cost to meet  
7 new demand on the system, only capital budget investments and historical investments related to  
8 capacity additions were used in the regression calculation.

9 After obtaining the feeder and local distribution investment using the NERA Regression  
10 Method, the result is then adjusted to reflect both GP and WC loaders. The resulting amount  
11 (reflected in \$/kW) is then annualized to \$/kW-year using a Real Economic Carrying Charge  
12 (“RECC”) factor derived for feeder and local distribution plant accounts. The annualized  
13 investment amount then receives an A&G plant loader, fixed O&M loader, and A&G fixed  
14 O&M loader. Lastly, the resulting loaded annualized investment sum is escalated to 2020 dollars  
15 to derive the marginal distribution demand costs for feeder and local distribution.<sup>2</sup>

16 SDG&E’s marginal distribution demand costs for feeder and local distribution are  
17 provided in Attachment A.

### 18 **C. Unit Marginal Substation Costs**

19 Marginal substation costs represent the forecasted cost for construction of substations to  
20 serve an additional kW of demand. The cost of substations is based on the projected investments  
21 needed to meet the load growth on the SDG&E system during a given period of time.

---

<sup>2</sup> 2020 escalations are the cost escalation factors presented in SDG&E TY 2019 GRC Phase 1 Direct Testimony of Scott R. Wilder. See A.17-10-007, Workpapers to Prepared Direct Testimony of Scott R. Wilder (October 2017), Ex. SDG&E-39-WP/Wilder.

1           The substation investments used to calculate marginal substation costs were obtained  
2 from capital budget forecasts for the period 2017 through 2019.<sup>3</sup> Only three years of forecasted  
3 substation data was available from the capital budget data. Because only three years of forecast  
4 data was available, twelve years of historical investment data from years 2005 through 2016 was  
5 used for the historical component. However, the extension given to filing this GRC Phase 2  
6 Application allows for the use of actual 2017 data and thus, the NERA regression analysis will  
7 use historical distribution investment data from 2005-2017 and forecasted investment data for  
8 2018 and 2019. Because marginal costs reflect the cost to meet new demand on the system, only  
9 capital budget investments and historical investments related to capacity additions were used in  
10 the regression calculation.

11           After obtaining the substation investment using the NERA Regression Method, the result  
12 is then adjusted to reflect both GP and WC loaders. The resulting amount (reflected in \$/kW) is  
13 then annualized to \$/kW-year using a RECC factor derived for substation plant accounts. The  
14 annualized investment then receives an A&G plant loader, fixed O&M loader, and A&G fixed  
15 O&M loader. Lastly, the resulting loaded annualized investment sum is escalated to 2020 dollars  
16 to derive the marginal distribution demand costs for substations.

17           SDG&E's marginal distribution costs for substations are provided in Attachment A.

#### 18 **IV. MARGINAL DISTRIBUTION CUSTOMER COSTS**

##### 19 **A. Marginal Distribution Customer Cost Background**

20           Marginal distribution customer costs represent the cost of providing an individual  
21 customer access to electrical service. These marginal costs are composed of two types of costs.

---

<sup>3</sup> 2017-2019 Distribution Capital Budget Forecasts are found in the SDG&E TY 2019 GRC Phase 1 Direct Testimony of Alan F. Colton. *See Ex. SDG&E-14-R/Colton at Appendix A.*



1 The first is the cost associated with the investment required to provide access (hook up) to a new  
2 customer. The second relates to the ongoing costs of maintaining the new customer. These two  
3 kinds of costs vary by customer type, size, service voltage and type of equipment used for  
4 access. Examples of the above costs include distribution-related investments for items such as  
5 final line transformers (“transformers”), service drops, meters, customer related O&M, Customer  
6 Service Distribution, A&G, GP and WC.

7 Consistent with its previous GRC Phase 2 proceedings, SDG&E will continue the use of  
8 the Rental Method to calculate unit marginal customer costs for the various customer classes,  
9 which for SDG&E consists of residential, small commercial, medium/large commercial &  
10 industrial (“M/L C&I”), agricultural, street lighting classes, and the new school class being  
11 proposed in this proceeding.<sup>4</sup> As explained below in Section E, SDG&E proposes the use of the  
12 Rental Method because it believes it sends a more accurate and more reasonable price signal of  
13 the cost of providing an individual customer access to the electrical system compared to the other  
14 marginal distribution customer cost methodologies being considered.

#### 15 **B. Transformer, Service Drop and Meter (“TSM”) Costs**

16 The customer investment costs for each customer type, customer size, and service voltage  
17 level were calculated using the TSM method. The TSM method includes transformers, service  
18 drops, and meters as the basis of the customer hookup costs. The installed costs for the TSM  
19 component are based on a detailed analysis of each individual component. Cost estimates for the  
20 various customer demand and service levels were developed for: 1) transformers based on  
21 transformer size and the average number of customers per transformer; 2) service drops based on  
22 wire size, number of runs, average service length, and compression lug wires; and 3) meters

---

<sup>4</sup> The School class is proposed in the direct testimony of SDG&E witness Stein (Chapter 1).

1 based on size and type (single- or three-phase). The TSM investment cost for each customer  
2 group was based on engineering estimates for a typical customer by size and class.

3 To determine the average TSM costs for each customer class, customers are grouped by  
4 maximum annual demand levels (in kW). Once grouped, the TSM costs for each customer  
5 demand level are calculated by multiplying the number of customers per demand level by the  
6 estimated demand-specific cost for each TSM component. A weighted average is then calculated  
7 for each TSM component that produces the average TSM cost per customer class. These TSM  
8 costs are then adjusted for Rule 15/Rule 16 allowances that residential and non-residential  
9 customers receive to cover TSM installation costs. For residential customers, the Rule 15/Rule  
10 16 allowance to cover TSM costs is currently \$3,241 per customer hook-up;<sup>5</sup> thus, the residential  
11 TSM costs used in the marginal distribution customer cost calculation reflects a maximum TSM  
12 cost per residential customer of \$3,241. For non-residential customers, the Rule 15/16 allowance  
13 is calculated separately for each customer;<sup>6</sup> thus, the non-residential TSM costs are adjusted for  
14 the average percentage of TSM costs paid by non-residential customers based on historical data,  
15 which is 19%.

16 Once developed, the TSM costs are multiplied by GP and WC loading factors. After  
17 receiving GP and WC loading, the TSM costs are then converted to an annualized amount  
18 (dollars-per-customer-per-year) by using a RECC that calculates an annual economic rent.

### 19 **C. O&M Costs**

20 In order to develop a per-customer O&M cost allocation, SDG&E analyzed the Federal  
21 Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”) Form 1 Distribution O&M account costs (580 to 598)

---

<sup>5</sup> Rule 15 tariff, Sheet 4 (effective October 20, 2017) at Section C.3.

<sup>6</sup> *Id.* at Section C.4.

1 to determine which portion of each account relates to distribution demand and which relates to  
2 customer connection. The customer-connection-related account amounts are totaled for the  
3 O&M costs.

4 In the Chapter 5 revised prepared direct testimony, filed in May 2019, SDG&E proposed  
5 to allocate the unassigned distribution O&M costs based on the percentage of SDG&E's  
6 distribution plant that is either distribution demand-related or customer related.<sup>7</sup> However, in its  
7 2018 Rate Design Window ("RDW") Phase 3 rebuttal testimony, which used SDG&E's  
8 proposed 2019 GRC Phase 2 marginal costs to develop residential fixed costs, SDG&E accepted  
9 the Public Advocates Office's ("Cal PA's") revised approach to allocate SDG&E's unassigned  
10 distribution Operation & Maintenance ("O&M") costs (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
11 ("FERC") Accounts 580, 583, 584, 588, 593, 594, and 598) between demand-related and  
12 customer-related functions based on what portion of those costs are assumed to be based on work  
13 performed upstream of the final line transformer ("FLT" or demand function), with two  
14 adjustments.<sup>8</sup> The first proposed adjustment was to also use this approach to allocate FERC  
15 Accounts 589 (Rents) and 590 (Maintenance Supervision and Engineering) costs, which were the  
16 only other FERC 580-590 O&M costs that are not directly assigned to the demand and customer  
17 functions.<sup>9</sup> The second proposed adjustment is for the allocations to be based on a five-year  
18 average of cost data, rather than on just 2017 cost data.<sup>10</sup>

19 SDG&E proposes that Cal PA's revised approach, with the two adjustments, to allocate  
20 unassigned distribution O&M costs in the development of marginal distribution costs also be

---

<sup>7</sup> 2019 GRC Phase 2 Revised Prepared Direct Testimony of William G. Saxe (Chapter 5), pp. WGS-8 and WGS-9.

<sup>8</sup> SDG&E's 2018 RDW Phase 3 Prepared Rebuttal Testimony of William G. Saxe, pp. WS-13 through WS-15 (Exhibit No. SDG&E-23 in Application 17-12-013).

<sup>9</sup> SDG&E 2018 RDW Phase 3 Prepared Rebuttal Testimony of William G. Saxe, p. WS-14, lines 12-15.

<sup>10</sup> SDG&E 2018 RDW Phase 3 Prepared Rebuttal Testimony of William G. Saxe, p. WS-14, lines 15-17.

1 used in the SDG&E’s 2019 GRC Phase 2 proceeding to allocate unassigned distribution O&M  
2 costs in the development of marginal distribution customer and demand costs.

3 SDG&E then allocates the customer-related O&M costs to the various rate schedules by  
4 using a factor derived from each schedule’s percentage of the grand total of the estimated TSM  
5 cost. These amounts are then adjusted by an A&G factor before calculating the per-customer  
6 O&M cost.

#### 7 **D. Customer Service Distribution Costs**

8 Customer Service Distribution Costs represent costs for activities such as customer  
9 service field, advanced metering, billing, credit & collections, postage, branch office, customer  
10 contact center, residential customer services, business services, marketing and communication,  
11 and customer programs. The Customer Service Distribution Costs allocated for marginal  
12 distribution customer cost purposes in this proceeding are based on a study of historical SDG&E  
13 Customer Service Costs to determine the appropriate allocation of each type of Customer Service  
14 Distribution Costs identified in SDG&E’s TY 2019 GRC Application.<sup>11</sup>

#### 15 **E. Support for Rental Method Adoption**

16 SDG&E has consistently proposed the use of the Rental Method to calculate unit  
17 marginal distribution customer costs in GRC Phase 2 proceedings because the Rental Method  
18 sends a more accurate and more reasonable price signal of the cost of providing an individual  
19 customer access to the electrical system compared to the New Customer Only (“NCO”) Method  
20 that some parties have proposed in those proceedings. In the billing of utility electricity rates, all  
21 customers pay a “rental” price for the distribution customer-related equipment or TSM costs

---

<sup>11</sup> Adjusted 2016 Customer Services Distribution Expenses presented in the SDG&E TY 2019 GRC Phase 1 Direct Testimony of Khai Nguyen. See A.17-10-007, SDG&E Second Revised Direct Testimony of Khai Nguyen, Ex. SDG&E-42-2R/Nguyen, p. KN-A-29, Table KN-28.

1 necessary to maintain a customer account. For instance, residential customers do not pay the  
2 upfront incremental cost of the TSM assets necessary to provide them electric service but rather  
3 customers pay electric rates in their monthly utility bills to recover the cost of TSM assets.  
4 Therefore, by paying electric utility rates through monthly bills customers are essentially paying  
5 a monthly rental price for the TSM equipment installed to allow them to receive electric service.

6         The Rental Method follows this “rental” process by annualizing the cost of the TSM  
7 investments required to maintain the accounts of all customers and then converting this annual  
8 cost into a monthly amount. Conversely, the NCO Method understates the marginal distribution  
9 customer costs because this method takes the cost per customer to hook up a new customer (not  
10 the annualized cost), multiplies that value only by the number of estimated new and replacement  
11 customers for the customer class, and then divides this amount by the total number of customers  
12 in that class to get the unit cost per customer. This results in inefficient price signals to  
13 customers considering new hookups because this approach assures that new customers will never  
14 pay the full costs incurred to hook up to the utility’s electric system. Also, because the NCO  
15 Method calculation relies on the forecasted number of new and replacement customers, the  
16 resulting unit cost for TSM under the NCO Method varies considerably depending on the  
17 assumed customer class growth rates and not necessarily in response to changes in the TSM  
18 costs.

19         Attachment A presents SDG&E’s proposed marginal distribution customer costs based  
20 on the Rental Method. In addition, for comparison purposes, Attachment C presents illustrative  
21 SDG&E marginal distribution customer costs based on the NCO Method that has been used by  
22 other parties in SDG&E’s previous GRC Phase 2 proceedings, including the NCO Method

1 assumptions used in those proceedings.<sup>12</sup> One of those assumptions is the TSM Replacement  
2 Rate to use in the calculation. In its prepared testimony in the 2018 RDW Phase 3 proceeding,  
3 The Utility Reform Network (“TURN”) proposed using a 1.5% TSM Replacement Rate in the  
4 calculation of marginal distribution customer costs under the NCO Method instead of the 3.03%  
5 TSM Replacement Rate used by SDG&E in its 2019 GRC Phase 2 revised prepared direct  
6 testimony.<sup>13</sup> In its 2018 RDW Phase 3 rebuttal testimony, which used SDG&E’s proposed 2019  
7 GRC Phase 2 marginal distribution costs to develop residential fixed costs, SDG&E accepted  
8 TURN’s proposal to use the 1.5% TSM Replacement Rate in the calculation of marginal  
9 distribution customer costs under the NCO Method.<sup>14</sup>

10 SDG&E proposes that the calculation of marginal distribution customer costs under the  
11 NCO Method in SDG&E’s 2019 GRC Phase 2 proceeding also use the 1.5% TSM Replacement  
12 Rate. Attachment C presents the illustrative marginal distribution customer costs based on the  
13 NCO Method that includes the 1.5% TSM Replacement Rate.

#### 14 **V. DISTRIBUTION REVENUE ALLOCATION**

15 SDG&E proposes to use the EPMC revenue allocation methodology to allocate the  
16 authorized distribution revenue requirement to customer classes. The EPMC methodology scales  
17 the customer class distribution marginal cost revenue responsibilities up or down by a single  
18 factor such that the sum equals the authorized distribution revenue requirement. As required by

---

<sup>12</sup> Pursuant to Decision (“D.”) 17-09-035, the SDG&E TSM costs proposed in this proceeding will be used to calculate the Residential Eligible Fixed Costs in Phase 3 of SDG&E’s 2018 Rate Design Window (“RDW”) proceeding (A.17-12-013) based on the four marginal distribution customer cost methodologies used in that proceeding as directed in that decision (at 39): (1) Rental Method; (2) NCO Method; (3) Adjusted Rental Method 1 (“ARM 1”); and (4) Adjusted Rental Method 2 (“ARM 2”).

<sup>13</sup> TURN 2018 RDW Phase 3 Direct Testimony, pp. 32-33 (Exhibit No. TURN-16 in Application 17-12-013).

<sup>14</sup> SDG&E 2018 RDW Phase 3 Prepared Rebuttal Testimony of William G. Saxe, pp. WS-25 through WS-26 (Exhibit No. SDG&E-23 in Application 17-12-013).

1 the November 1, 2019 “Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Directing San Diego Gas & Electric  
2 Company to File/Serve Supplemental Information,” this chapter’s distribution revenue allocation  
3 and resulting distribution rates reflects the authorized revenue requirements adopted in  
4 SDG&E’s 2019 GRC Phase 1 decision, D.19-09-051.

5 Under SDG&E’s distribution revenue allocation proposal, the authorized distribution  
6 revenue requirement, minus any revenues that are directly assigned to the particular customer  
7 classes,<sup>15</sup> is allocated among the customer classes based on the proposed marginal distribution  
8 cost revenue responsibilities by customer class. The customer class marginal costs revenue  
9 responsibilities for the distribution function is the sum of marginal customer, feeder and local  
10 distribution, and substation distribution costs. The unit marginal costs of distribution are  
11 multiplied by the appropriate cost drivers to develop the marginal distribution revenue  
12 allocations by customer class. Marginal customer cost revenues by customer class are developed  
13 by multiplying each class’ unit marginal customer cost (\$/customer/year) by the forecasted  
14 number of customers in that class. Total marginal feeder and local distribution cost revenues are  
15 developed by multiplying the unit marginal feeder and local distribution costs (\$/kW/year) by the  
16 system non-coincident demand and the applicable loss factors. The customer class allocation of  
17 the marginal feeder and local distribution cost revenues is developed by multiplying the total  
18 marginal feeder and local distribution cost revenues by the product of the customer class’ annual  
19 non-coincident demand and the estimated ratio of the average class contribution to the peak  
20 demand at the circuit level (Effective Demand Factor or “EDF”). Total marginal substation cost  
21 revenues are developed by multiplying the unit marginal substation costs (\$/kW/year) by the

---

<sup>15</sup> SDG&E’s directly assigned distribution revenues are labeled Non-Marginal Revenue Requirement Components and identified in Attachment B.2.

1 system non-coincident demand and the applicable loss factors. The customer class allocation of  
2 the marginal substation cost revenues is developed by multiplying the total marginal substation  
3 cost revenues by the product of the customer class' annual non-coincident demand and EDF at  
4 the substation level.

5 The sum of the marginal customer, feeder and local distribution, and substation  
6 distribution cost revenues is used to develop the distribution EPMC allocation factor. The  
7 EPMC allocation factor is then used to scale the marginal distribution class revenue allocations  
8 to equal the authorized distribution revenue requirement. The distribution revenue allocation by  
9 customer class, and the resulting EPMC distribution rates based on those revenue allocations, is  
10 provided in Attachment B, attached herein. Attachment B.1 presents the distribution marginal  
11 cost allocation factors by customer class. Attachment B.2 presents the allocation of distribution  
12 revenues to each customer class based on the distribution marginal cost allocations factors.

13 Attachment B.3 presents the resulting EPMC distribution rates and revenues by customer class.

14 One change in this GRC Phase 2 filing is the addition of the On-Peak Demand-Related Marginal  
15 Cost (\$/On-Peak kW) category. As discussed in the direct testimony of SDG&E witness Stein  
16 (Chapter 1), pursuant to Ordering Paragraph ("OP") 33 of D.17-08-030 SDG&E is required to  
17 perform a distribution cost study to determine the percentage of its distribution costs that should  
18 be allocated to on-peak demand charges instead of non-coincident demand charges. The On-  
19 Peak Demand-Related Marginal Costs presented in my workpapers reflect the results of this  
20 distribution demand study that SDG&E is required to file within 60 days of the filing of this  
21 Application.<sup>16</sup>

---

<sup>16</sup> Resolution E-4951 (September 13, 2018) at OPs 1 and 2.



1 **VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION**

2           SDG&E recommends that the CPUC adopt SDG&E's updated marginal distribution  
3 demand and customer costs, presented in Attachment A, and SDG&E's proposal to use these  
4 marginal costs coupled with the EPMC methodology to allocate authorized distribution revenue  
5 requirements, as presented in Attachment B.

6           This concludes my second revised prepared direct testimony.

1 **VII. WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS**

2 My name is William G. Saxe. My business address is 8330 Century Park Court, San  
3 Diego, California 92123. I am employed as Rates & Cost Studies Project Manager in the  
4 Customer Pricing Department of SDG&E. I have worked for SDG&E since February 2001.  
5 Prior to joining SDG&E, I was employed by Sempra Energy, the parent company of SDG&E,  
6 from April 1999 through January 2001. In addition, I was employed by the Illinois Commerce  
7 Commission (“ICC”) from September 1990 through April 1999.

8 I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Economics from the University of Wisconsin-  
9 Madison in 1985. I received a Master of Business Administration degree, with a concentration in  
10 Finance, from the University of Wisconsin-Madison in 1990.

11 I have previously testified before the CPUC on rate design, marginal cost and other  
12 issues. In addition, I have previously submitted testimony before the FERC and the ICC.

**SDG&E 2019 GRC Phase 2 Chapter 5 Second Revised Prepared Direct Testimony  
Revision Log – January 15, 2020**

<b>Witness</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Line</b>	<b>Revision Detail</b>
Saxe (Chapter 5)	Cover Page	NA	Changed caption and “Revised Prepared Direct Testimony” to “Second Revised Prepared Direct Testimony”.
Saxe (Chapter 5)	Cover Page	NA	Changed “March 2019” to “January 15, 2020”.
Saxe (Chapter 5)	Page WGS- 1	Line 1	Changed “Revised Prepared Direct Testimony” to “Second Revised Prepared Direct Testimony”.
Saxe (Chapter 5)	Page WGS-1	Line 5	Changed “my direct testimony” to “my second revised prepared direct testimony”.
Saxe (Chapter 5)	Pages WGS-9 & WGS-10	Line 4 on WGS-9 through Line 2 on WGS-10	Added two paragraphs describing the change in the allocation of unassigned distribution O&M costs, as proposed by Cal PA in SDG&E’s 2018 RDW proceeding (A.17-12-013) and agreed to with two adjustments by SDG&E in that proceeding.
Saxe (Chapter 5)	Page WGS-9	Footnotes 7, 8, 9 & 10	Added footnotes 7, 8, 9 and 10 citing the 2019 GRC Phase 2 and 2018 RDW testimony on the issue of allocation of unassigned O&M costs.
Saxe (Chapter 5)	Page WGS-12	Lines 1-13	Added two paragraphs describing the change in the TSM Replacement Rate used in the NCO Method from 3.03% to 1.50%, as proposed by TURN in SDG&E’s 2018 RDW proceeding (A.17-12-013) and agreed to by SDG&E in that proceeding.

Saxe (Chapter 5)	Page WGS-12	Footnotes 13 & 14	Added footnotes 13 and 14 citing the 2019 GRC Phase 2 and 2018 RDW testimony on the issue of TSM Replacement Rates.
Saxe (Chapter 5)	Pages WGS-12 & WGS-13	Line 18 on WGS-12 through Line 4 on WGS-13.	Added the following language: “As required by the November 1, 2019 “Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Directing San Diego Gas & Electric Company to File/Serve Supplemental Information”, the authorized distribution revenue requirement used to develop the distribution revenue allocation and resulting distribution rates in the Chapter 5 testimony reflect the authorized revenue requirements adopted in SDG&E’s 2019 General Rate Case (“GRC”) Phase 1 decision, Decision (“D.”) 19-09-051”.
Saxe (Chapter 5)	WGS-15	Line 6	Changed “prepared direct testimony” to “second revised prepared direct testimony”.
Saxe (Chapter 5)	Attachment A	Marginal Distribution Costs	Replaced entire attachment with the marginal distribution costs from the Chapter 5 second revised workpapers.
Saxe (Chapter 5)	Attachment B	Distribution Revenue Allocation	Replaced entire attachment with the distribution revenue allocation calculations from the Chapter 5 second revised workpapers.
Saxe (Chapter 5)	Attachment C	Illustrative New Customer Only (“NCO”) Marginal Distribution Customer Costs	Replaced entire attachment with the NCO marginal distribution costs from the Chapter 5 second revised workpapers.

**ATTACHMENT A**  
**MARGINAL DISTRIBUTION COSTS**

ATTACHMENT A

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY ("SDG&E")  
 TEST YEAR ("TY") 2019 GENERAL RATE CASE ("GRC") PHASE 2, APPLICATION ("A.") 19-03-0002  
 MARGINAL DISTRIBUTION COSTS

Proposed Distribution Marginal Unit Costs

Line No.	Description (A)	Secondary (B)	Primary (C)	Transmission (D)	Line No.	
1	<u>Customer Marginal Cost Based on Rental Method:</u>				1	
2	Residential (\$/Customer/Year)	\$134.02			2	
3					3	
4	Small Commercial (\$/Customer/Year)				4	
5		0 - 5 kW	\$181.55	\$455.60	5	
6		>5 - 20 kW	\$364.58	\$455.60	6	
7		>20 - 50 kW	\$888.41	\$455.60	7	
8		>50 kW	\$1,339.82	\$587.90	8	
9					9	
10	Medium/Large Commercial & Industrial (\$/Customer/Year)				10	
11		≤500 kW	\$1,808.40	\$896.14	\$6,278.89	11
12		500 - 12 MW	\$4,350.17	\$992.60	\$9,344.23	12
13		> 12 MW		\$1,270.70	\$13,450.08	13
14					14	
15	Agricultural (\$/Customer/Year)				15	
16		≤20 kW	\$372.62	\$567.16	16	
17		>20 kW	\$1,271.05	\$654.12	17	
18					18	
19	Lighting (\$/Lamp/Year)	\$7.64			19	
20					20	
21	School				21	
22		<u>Non-Lighting (\$/Customer/Year)</u>			22	
23		≤20 kW	\$428.55	\$567.16	23	
24		>20 kW	\$2,074.11	\$890.92	24	
25					25	
26		Lighting (\$/Lamp/Year)	\$7.64		26	
27					27	
28	<u>Demand-Related Marginal Cost:</u>				28	
29	Feeders & Local Distribution Demand (\$/kW/Year)	\$55.88	\$55.88		29	
30					30	
31	Substation Demand (\$/kW/Year)	\$22.57	\$22.57		31	
32					32	
33	Total Demand-Related Marginal Cost (\$/kW/Year)	\$78.45	\$78.45		33	

Note: Customer, Feeder & Local Distribution Demand and Substation Demand Unit Marginal Costs: Customer, Feeder & Local Distribution Demand

**ATTACHMENT B**  
**DISTRIBUTION REVENUE ALLOCATION**

**ATTACHMENT B.1**

**SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY ("SDG&E")  
TEST YEAR ("TY") 2019 GENERAL RATE CASE ("GRC") PHASE 2, APPLICATION ("A.") 19-03-0002  
DISTRIBUTION REVENUE ALLOCATION**

**Distribution Marginal Cost Allocation Factor by Customer Class**

<b>Line No.</b>	<b>Customer Class (A)</b>	<b>Customer Marginal Cost Revenue (\$000) (B)</b>	<b>Percentage Allocation (%) (C)</b>	<b>Demand-Related Marginal Cost Revenue (\$000) (D)</b>	<b>Percentage Allocation (%) (E)</b>	<b>Total Distribution Marginal Cost Revenue (\$000) (F)</b>	<b>Distribution Marginal Cost Allocation Factor (%) (G)</b>	<b>Line No.</b>
1	Residential	\$176,613	66.7%	\$186,613	41.5%	\$363,226	50.9%	1
2								2
3	Small Commercial	\$44,479	16.8%	\$57,125	12.7%	\$101,604	14.2%	3
4								4
5	Medium/Large Commercial & Industrial	\$38,071	14.4%	\$190,054	42.3%	\$228,125	31.9%	5
6								6
7	Agricultural	\$2,374	0.9%	\$6,155	1.4%	\$8,529	1.2%	7
8								8
9	Lighting	\$1,230	0.5%	\$960	0.2%	\$2,190	0.3%	9
10								10
11	School	\$2,208	0.8%	\$8,375	1.9%	\$10,583	1.5%	11
12								12
13	System	\$264,975	100.0%	\$449,283	100.0%	\$714,257	100.0%	13

- Note:
- (1) **Customer Marginal Cost Revenue:** reflects customer-related distribution marginal costs.
  - (2) **Demand-Related Marginal Cost Revenue:** reflects feeder & local distribution and substation demand-related distribution marginal costs.



ATTACHMENT B.2

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY ("SDG&E")  
TEST YEAR ("TY") 2019 GENERAL RATE CASE ("GRC") PHASE 2, APPLICATION ("A.") 19-03-0002  
DISTRIBUTION REVENUE ALLOCATION

Distribution Revenue Allocation by Customer Class

Line No.	Customer Class (A)	Updated Distribution Revenue Allocation				Comparison to Current Allocation <sup>2</sup>		Comparison to 2016 GRC Phase 2 Proposed Allocation <sup>3</sup>		Line No.	
		Distribution Allocation Factors (%) (B)	Non Marginal Distribution Revenue (\$000) (C)	Marginal Distribution Revenue (\$000) (D)	Proposed Total Distribution Revenue Allocation (\$000) (E)	Proposed Total Distribution Revenue Allocation (%) (F)	Current Total Distribution Revenue Allocation (\$000) (G)	Percentage Change (%) (H)	SDG&E 2016 GRC Phase 2 Proposed Total Distribution Revenue Allocation (\$000) (I)		Percentage Change (%) (J)
1	Residential	50.85%		\$800,337	\$800,337	50.37%	\$702,272	13.96%	\$771,662	3.72%	1
2											2
3	Small Commercial	14.23%		\$223,877	\$223,877	14.09%	\$250,683	-10.69%	\$251,328	-10.92%	3
4											4
5	Medium/Large Commercial & Industrial	31.94%	\$11,554	\$502,654	\$514,208	32.36%	\$604,748	-14.97%	\$533,843	-3.68%	5
6											6
7	Agricultural	1.19%		\$18,793	\$18,793	1.18%	\$20,765	-9.50%	\$19,578	-4.01%	7
8											8
9	Lighting	0.31%	\$3,399	\$4,824	\$8,223	0.52%	\$10,342	-20.49%	\$12,399	-33.68%	9
10											10
11	School	1.48%	\$54	\$23,319	\$23,373	1.47%	NA	NA	NA	NA	11
12											12
13	System	100.00%	\$15,006	\$1,573,804	\$1,588,811	100.00%	\$1,588,811	0.00%	\$1,588,811	0.00%	13
14											14
15	Distribution Revenue Requirement (\$000):	\$1,588,811									15
16											16
17	Non Marginal Revenue Requirement Components (\$000):										17
18	Lighting Facilities & Maintenance Charge Revenues (Non-School):	\$3,399									18
19	Lighting Facilities & Maintenance Charge Revenues (School):	\$28									19
20	Standby Revenues:	\$8,048									20
21	Distance Adjustment Fee Revenues (Non-School):	\$3,506									21
22	Distance Adjustment Fee Revenues (School):	\$26									22

Note:

- (1) Updated Distribution Revenue Allocation: allocation of the current distribution revenue requirement based on the marginal Distribution Allocation Factors presented in this Application.
- (2) Current Total Distribution Revenue Allocation: allocation of current distribution revenue requirement based on the current class distribution allocation percentages reflected in current rates; rates effective January 1, 2020, pursuant to SDG&E Advice Letter 3487-E.
- (3) 2016 GRC Phase 2 Proposed Total Distribution Revenue Allocation: total distribution revenue allocation based on the total distribution allocation factors proposed in SDG&E 2016 GRC Phase 2 (A.15-04-012) Rebuttal Testimony of William G. Saxe (Chapter 5) multiplied by the current total distribution revenue requirement.
- (4) Distribution Revenue Requirement: the \$1,588,811,000 Distribution Revenue Requirement reflects the current distribution revenues being collected in rates effective January 1, 2020, pursuant to SDG&E Advice Letter 3487-E, excluding revenues that have separate allocation treatment such as Demand Response ("DR") and Vehicle-Grid Integration ("VGI").
- (5) Non-Marginal Lighting Facilities & Maintenance Charge Revenues: Lighting Facilities Charges of \$3,399,000 for non-school and \$28,000 for school are the annual lighting facilities and maintenance revenues identified in the Lighting Model from the revised direct testimony workpapers of SDG&E witness William G. Saxe (Chapter 7).
- (6) Non-Marginal Standby Revenues: Standby Revenues of \$8,048,000 are the standby revenues based on the forecasted standby determinants multiplied by the applicable current standby rates effective January 1, 2020, pursuant to SDG&E Advice Letter 3487-E.
- (7) Non-Marginal Distance Adjustment Fee Revenues: Distance Adjustment Fees of \$3,506,000 for non-school and \$26,000 for school are the annual distance adjustment fees revenues based on the forecasted overhead and underground distance adjustment fee determinants in feet multiplied by the applicable current distance adjustment fees effective January 1, 2020, pursuant to SDG&E Advice Letter 3487-E.

ATTACHMENT B.3

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY ("SDG&E")  
 TEST YEAR ("TY") 2019 GENERAL RATE CASE ("GRC") PHASE 2, APPLICATION ("A.") 19-03-0002  
 DISTRIBUTION REVENUE ALLOCATION

Distribution Equal Percentage of Marginal Cost ("EPMC") Rates and Revenue by Customer Class

Line No.	Customer Class (A)	Marginal Distribution Rate (B)	EPMC Distribution Rate (C)	EPMC Distribution Revenue Allocation (\$000) (D)	Line No.
1	Residential				1
2	Customer Marginal Cost (\$/Customer-Month)	\$11.17	\$24.61		2
3	Summer On-Peak Demand-Related Marginal Cost (\$/On-Peak kW)	\$0.54	\$1.19		3
4	Non-Coincident Demand-Related Marginal Cost (\$/Non-Coincident kW)	\$3.84	\$8.46		4
5	Total - Residential			\$800,337	5
6					6
7	Small Commercial				7
8	Customer Marginal Cost (\$/Customer-Month)				8
9	Secondary				9
10	0 - 5 kW	\$15.13	\$33.34		10
11	>5 - 20 kW	\$30.38	\$66.94		11
12	>20 - 50 kW	\$74.03	\$163.13		12
13	>50 kW	\$111.65	\$246.02		13
14	Secondary Total	\$27.78	\$61.21		14
15					15
16	Primary				16
17	0 - 5 kW	\$37.97	\$83.66		17
18	>5 - 20 kW	\$37.97	\$83.66		18
19	>20 - 50 kW	\$37.97	\$83.66		19
20	>50 kW	\$48.99	\$107.95		20
21	Primary Total	\$38.35	\$84.50		21
22					22
23	Summer On-Peak Demand-Related Marginal Cost (\$/On-Peak kW)				23
24	Secondary	\$0.81	\$1.78		24
25	Primary	\$0.80	\$1.77		25
26	Total	\$0.81	\$1.78		26
27					27
28	Non-Coincident Demand-Related Marginal Cost (\$/Non-Coincident kW)				28
29	Secondary	\$5.20	\$11.46		29
30	Primary	\$5.17	\$11.40		30
31	Total	\$5.20	\$11.46		31
32					32
33	Total - Small Commercial			\$223,877	33
34					34

ATTACHMENT B.3

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY ("SDG&E")  
 TEST YEAR ("TY") 2019 GENERAL RATE CASE ("GRC") PHASE 2, APPLICATION ("A.") 19-03-0002  
 DISTRIBUTION REVENUE ALLOCATION

Distribution Equal Percentage of Marginal Cost ("EPMC") Rates and Revenue by Customer Class

Line No.	Customer Class (A)	Marginal Distribution Rate (B)	EPMC Distribution Rate (C)	EPMC Distribution Revenue Allocation (\$000) (D)	Line No.
35	Medium/Large Commercial & Industrial				35
36					36
37	Secondary				37
38	≤500 kW	\$150.70	\$332.06		38
39	500 - 12 MW	\$362.51	\$798.77		39
40	Secondary Total	\$156.81	\$345.52		40
41					41
42	Primary				42
43	≤500 kW	\$74.68	\$164.55		43
44	500 - 12 MW	\$82.72	\$182.26		44
45	> 12 MW	\$105.89	\$233.32		45
46	Primary Total	\$79.75	\$175.71		46
47					47
48	Transmission				48
49	≤500 kW	\$523.24	\$1,152.92		49
50	500 - 12 MW	\$778.69	\$1,715.77		50
51	> 12 MW	\$1,120.84	\$2,469.67		51
52	Transmission Total	\$734.55	\$1,618.52		52
53					53
54	Summer On-Peak Demand-Related Marginal Cost (\$/On-Peak kW)				54
55	Secondary	\$1.15	\$2.52		55
56	Primary	\$1.14	\$2.51		56
57	Transmission	\$0.00	\$0.00		57
58	Total	\$1.14	\$2.52		58
59					59
60	Non-Coincident Demand-Related Marginal Cost (\$/Non-Coincident kW)				60
61	Secondary	\$8.10	\$17.85		61
62	Primary	\$8.06	\$17.76		62
63	Transmission	\$0.00	\$0.00		63
64	Total	\$8.09	\$17.83		64
65					65
66	Total - Medium/Large Commercial & Industrial			\$502,654	66
67					67

ATTACHMENT B.3

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY ("SDG&E")  
 TEST YEAR ("TY") 2019 GENERAL RATE CASE ("GRC") PHASE 2, APPLICATION ("A.") 19-03-0002  
 DISTRIBUTION REVENUE ALLOCATION

Distribution Equal Percentage of Marginal Cost ("EPMC") Rates and Revenue by Customer Class

Line No.	Customer Class (A)	Marginal Distribution Rate (B)	EPMC Distribution Rate (C)	EPMC Distribution Revenue Allocation (\$000) (D)	Line No.
68	Agricultural				68
69	Customer Marginal Cost (\$/Customer-Month)				69
70	Secondary				70
71	≤20 kW	\$31.05	\$68.42		71
72	>20 kW	\$105.92	\$233.39		72
73	Secondary Total	\$50.26	\$110.74		73
74					74
75	Primary				75
76	≤20 kW	\$47.26	\$104.14		76
77	>20 kW	\$54.51	\$120.11		77
78	Primary Total	\$53.50	\$117.89		78
79					79
80	Summer On-Peak Demand-Related Marginal Cost (\$/On-Peak kW)				80
81	Secondary	\$0.63	\$1.40		81
82	Primary	\$0.63	\$1.39		82
83	Total	\$0.63	\$1.40		83
84					84
85	Non-Coincident Demand-Related Marginal Cost (\$/Non-Coincident kW)				85
86	Secondary	\$3.75	\$8.26		86
87	Primary	\$3.73	\$8.22		87
88	Total	\$3.75	\$8.25		88
89					89
90	Total - Agricultural			\$18,793	90
91					91
92	Lighting				92
93	Customer Marginal Cost (\$/Lamp-Month)	\$0.64	\$1.40		93
94	Summer On-Peak Demand-Related Marginal Cost (\$/On-Peak kW)	\$0.24	\$0.52		94
95	Non-Coincident Demand-Related Marginal Cost (\$/Non-Coincident kW)	\$3.82	\$8.41		95
96	Total - Lighting			\$4,824	96
97					97

ATTACHMENT B.3

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY ("SDG&E")  
 TEST YEAR ("TY") 2019 GENERAL RATE CASE ("GRC") PHASE 2, APPLICATION ("A.") 19-03-0002  
 DISTRIBUTION REVENUE ALLOCATION

Distribution Equal Percentage of Marginal Cost ("EPMC") Rates and Revenue by Customer Class

Line No.	Customer Class (A)	Marginal Distribution Rate (B)	EPMC Distribution Rate (C)	EPMC Distribution Revenue Allocation (\$000) (D)	Line No.
98	School				98
99					99
100					100
101					101
102					102
103					103
104					104
105					105
106					106
107					107
108					108
109					109
110					110
111					111
112					112
113					113
114					114
115					115
116					116
117					117
118					118
119					119
120					120
121					121
122					122
123					123
124					124
125					125
126					126
127					127
128					128
129	Total-System				129
130					130
131					131
132					132
133					133

GRC Phase 1 Distribution Revenue Requirement:	1,588,811
Non-Marginal Revenue Requirement	15,006
Marginal Distribution Revenue Requirement Allocation	1,573,804
Marginal Customer Distribution Revenue Requirement	264,975
Marginal Demand-Related Distribution Revenue Requirement	449,283
Total Marginal Distribution Revenue Requirement	714,257
EPMC Allocation Factor	220.34%

- Notes:
- (1) **Distribution EPMC Rates and Revenues by Customer Class:** the distribution EPMC rates and revenues by customer class presented are from the second revised direct testimony workpapers of SDG&E witness William G. Saxe (Chapter 5).
  - (2) **Marginal Distribution Rate:** equals the marginal cost by class and by voltage level for demand-related margin cost divided by the class determinants.
  - (3) **EPMC Distribution Rate:** equals the Marginal Distribution Rate multiplied by the EPMC Distribution Allocation Factor.
  - (4) **EPMC Distribution Revenue Allocation:** equals the EPMC Distribution Rate multiplying by the applicable determinants.

## **ATTACHMENT C**

### **ILLUSTRATIVE NEW CUSTOMER ONLY (“NCO”) MARGINAL DISTRIBUTION CUSTOMER COSTS**

**ATTACHMENT C**

**SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY ("SDG&E")  
TEST YEAR ("TY") 2019 GENERAL RATE CASE ("GRC") PHASE 2, APPLICATION ("A.") 19-03-002  
MARGINAL DISTRIBUTION CUSTOMER COSTS**

**Distribution Customer Marginal Unit Cost by Customer Class Based on New Customer Only ("NCO") Method  
Illustrative Marginal Customer Costs --- Not Proposed by SDG&E**

<b>Line No.</b>	<b>Description (A)</b>	<b>Secondary (B)</b>	<b>Primary (C)</b>	<b>Transmission (D)</b>	<b>Line No.</b>
1	<b>Customer Marginal Cost Based on NCO Method (\$/Customer/Year):</b>				1
2	<b>Residential</b>	<b>\$68.60</b>			2
3					3
4	<b>Small Commercial</b>				4
5	0 - 5 kW	\$107.73	\$180.40		5
6	>5 - 20 kW	\$166.02	\$180.40		6
7	>20 - 50 kW	\$318.06	\$180.40		7
8	>50 kW	\$467.32	\$214.03		8
9					9
10	<b>Medium/Large Commercial &amp; Industrial</b>				10
11	≤500 kW	\$1,241.54	\$719.86	\$2,691.08	11
12	500 - 12 MW	\$2,668.14	\$762.62	\$3,539.40	12
13	> 12 MW		\$710.09	\$4,689.23	13
14					14
15	<b>Agricultural</b>				15
16	≤20 kW	\$235.72	\$291.95		16
17	>20 kW	\$468.13	\$313.35		17
18					18
19	<b>Lighting (\$/Lamp/Year)</b>	<b>\$2.81</b>			19
20					20
21	<b>School</b>				21
22	<b>Non-Lighting (\$/Customer/Year)</b>				22
23	≤20 kW	\$192.65	\$291.95		23
24	>20 kW	\$1,873.05	\$661.29		24
25					25
26	<b>Lighting (\$/Lamp/Year)</b>	<b>\$2.81</b>			26

**Note:** Distribution Customer Marginal Unit Cost by Customer Class Based on NCO Method: the distribution customer marginal unit costs by customer class based on the NCO Method are being provided for comparison purposes only.