In the Matter of the Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 E) for Approval of its Proposals for Dynamic Pricing and Recovery of Incremental Expenditures Required for Implementation.

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 E) for Authority to Update Marginal Costs, Cost Allocation, and Electric Rate Design Application 10-07-009 (Filed July 6, 2010)

Application 19-03-002 (Filed March 4, 2019)

Application: 10-07-009/A.19-03-002 Exhibit No.:

REVISED PREPARED SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM G. SAXE

ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

JANUARY 15, 2020



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCT	ION AND PURPOSE 1
II.	REQUESTED	INFORMATION 1
III.	SDG&E'S RE	SPONSES TO QUESTIONS IN JULY 26, 2019 RULING
IV.	DEVELOPM	ENT OF LS-1 LED RATES
REVIS	SION LOG	
ATTA	CHMENT A :	Comparison of Present and Illustrative Proposed LS-1 Non-LED Distribution Rates to Illustrative Proposed LS-1 LED Distribution Rates
ATTA	CHMENT B:	Comparison of Present and Illustrative Proposed LS-1 Non-LED UDC Rates to Illustrative Proposed LS-1 LED UDC Rates
ATTA	CHMENT C:	Comparison of Present and Illustrative Proposed LS-1 Non-LED Total Rates to Illustrative Proposed LS-1 LED Total Rates

1 2

REVISED PREPARED SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM G. SAXE

3

I.

INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

4	The purpose of my revised prepared supplemental testimony is to provide the information the									
5	Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") requested in Section 1 ("Streetlighting") of the July 26, 2019									
6	Ruling Direc	ting San Diego Gas & Electric Company ["SDG&E"] to File/Serve Supplemental								
7	Information	("July 26, 2019 Ruling"). The July 26, 2019 Ruling (at p. 1) states that "SDG&E's								
8	supplementa	l testimony on streetlighting, due August 30, 2019, shall include information responsive								
9	to some of th	ne items identified in the prehearing conference statement of California Streetlight								
10	Association.	" As such, the July 26, 2019 Ruling (at pp. 1-2) directs SDG&E to provide information								
11	regarding eig	ght questions. My testimony responds to questions five through eight, while a separate								
12	SDG&E with	ness, Adrianna Magallanes, is responding to questions one through four. ¹								
13	II. REQ	UESTED INFORMATION								
14	My to	estimony responds to the following request for information from the July 26, 2019								
15	Ruling:									
16										
16 17 18	5.	Describe and provide an illustrative example of how lower operations and maintenance costs of each technology will be reflected in the rates of converted lamps.								
17	5. 6.	maintenance costs of each technology will be reflected in the rates of converted								
17 18 19 20 21		maintenance costs of each technology will be reflected in the rates of converted lamps.A proposal for recovery of the cost of conversion, such as an incremental facilities charge that would be billed to participating customers. Identify and describe methodologies, assumptions, data sources and limitations for any proposed								

¹ SDG&E's supplemental testimony in response to the July 26, 2019 Ruling incorporates the updated information SDG&E referenced in its June 10, 2019 Prehearing Conference Statement (at pp. 4-5).

1 **III.**

SDG&E'S RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS IN JULY 26, 2019 RULING

2	Witness Saxe is responding to the following request for information from the July 26, 2019									
3	Ruling:									
4 5 6	5. Describe and provide an illustrative example of how lower operations and maintenance costs of each technology will be reflected in the rates of converted lamps.									
7	The Schedule LS-1 ("LS-1") distribution rates identified in Attachment A reflect the lower									
8	Operations and Maintenance ("O&M") costs reflected in the workpaper of SDG&E witness Ms.									
9	Magallanes of \$16.98 annually per LS-1 Light Emitting Diode ("LED") light. These O&M costs									
10	represent a 21% reduction from the \$21.43 annual O&M cost per LS-1 non-LED light that was									
11	identified in the direct testimony workpaper of Mr. Saxe (Chapter 7) in this proceeding. ²									
12 13 14 15	6. A proposal for recovery of the cost of conversion, such as an incremental facilities charge that would be billed to participating customers. Identify and describe methodologies, assumptions, data sources and limitations for any proposed incremental fee.									
16	Attachment A reflects the change in distribution rates to recover the installation of LED									
17	facilities costs. SDG&E is not proposing a separate incremental fee to pay for the higher cost of									
18	LED light installations but instead these LED costs are included in the development of the									
19	distribution rates for LS-1 LED lights, as reflected in my supplemental testimony workpaper. ³ In									
20	this workpaper, the LED costs are added into the development of the distribution rates by LS-1									
21	lighting type, which essentially develops the rates into perpetuity because the LED lights will need									
22	to be replaced overtime when the LED lights are fully depreciated. However, even though the									
23	installation of LED lights increases the facilities costs being collected in distribution rates, LED									
24	lights have lower watts and reflect lower O&M costs, as described in response to Question 5,									

² Chapter 7 Direct Testimony Workpaper identified as "Ch_7_WP#1_Lighting Model_Public", tab INPUTS-GENERAL, Cell E27.

³ Saxe Supplemental Testimony Workpaper identified as "SDG&E_Witness Saxe_Supplemental Workpaper #1 - LS-1 LED Rates."

1	resulting in lower illustrative proposed distribution rates for most LS-1 LED lights compared to LS-1
2	non-LED lights, as shown in Attachment A, attached hereto. Also, as shown in Attachment C,
3	attached hereto, all LS-1 LED lights will see lower total rates compared to LS-1 non-LED lights.
4 5	7. The payback period to recover the cost of conversion for each technology, and how long any proposed incremental fee would be billed to customers.
6	As described in the response to Question 6, SDG&E is not proposing a separate incremental
7	fee to recover the higher cost of LED light installations. SDG&E is proposing that the higher LED
8	costs simply be recovered in the distribution rates of applicable customers into perpetuity, which
9	basically recovers the costs over the book life of the LED assets. As stated in response to Question
10	6, the illustrative proposed distribution rates are actually lower for most LED customers since the
11	O&M costs and the LED watts used to calculate the distribution rates are lower.
12 13	8. How the lower energy usage of converted lamps is reflected in SDG&E's street light sales forecast.
14	The energy usage forecast used in SDG&E's Test Year ("TY") 2019 General Rate Case
15	("GRC") Phase 2 (Application ["A."] 19-03-002) is based on the California Energy Commission's
16	("CEC's) 2018 Sales Forecast. The conversion of lights to LED would be included in the CEC's
17	energy efficiency savings included in their future sales forecasts. As stated in response to Question
18	6, the lower watts of the LED lights are used in the calculation of the LS-1 LED light rates, as shown
19	in Attachments A, B and C.
20	IV. DEVELOPMENT OF LS-1 LED RATES
21	The proposed LS-1 LED distribution rates reflected in my supplemental testimony
22	workpaper $\#1^4$ are based on the LED costs addressed in the supplemental testimony of SDG&E
• •	

23 24

⁴ Id.

witness Ms. Magallanes and the street lighting cost study used in the development of the street

lighting distribution rates proposed in the prepared direct testimony of Mr. Saxe (Chapter 7). The

street lighting cost study proposed in my prepared direct testimony included updated street lighting determinants, updated street lighting facilities and maintenance costs, updated adjustment factors such as escalation factors, updated street lighting marginal distribution customer and demand marginal costs and revenue allocations as addressed in the prepared direct testimony of SDG&E witness Mr. Saxe (Chapter 5), and the currently authorized distribution revenue requirement.⁵ As required by the November 1, 2019 "Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Directing San Diego Gas & Electric Company to File/Serve Supplemental Information," the illustrative proposed LS-1 LED rates in this proceeding reflect the authorized revenue requirements adopted in SDG&E's TY 2019 GRC Phase 1 decision, Decision ("D.") 19-09-051.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Facilities costs are collected in distribution rates for SDG&E-owned street light installations and reflect costs specific to the lighting type. For LS-1 Class A service, facilities costs include the cost for a standard street light assembly, which includes materials, labor and transportation. For LS-1 Class B and Class C, facilities costs include an average of the costs for a variety of underground and ornamental assemblies, and factors in Contributions in Aid of Construction ("CIAC") made by customers. This supplemental testimony workpaper adjusts the facilities costs originally included in the Chapter 7 direct testimony workpaper to reflect the inclusion of LED installation costs minus the exclusion of non-LED lamp, luminaries, and photoelectric facilities costs.⁶

Maintenance costs are collected in distribution rates for SDG&E-owned street light
installations and for customer-owned street light installations where customers have opted to receive
limited maintenance service. Maintenance service includes costs for renewal of lamps, replacement
of glassware and luminaire equipment, and cleaning of glassware at the time of lamp replacement.

⁵ Currently authorized distribution revenue requirement reflected in rates effective January 1, 2020 per SDG&E Advice Letter 3487-E, effective January 1, 2020.

⁶ LS-1 Non-LED Light Facilities Costs are identified in "SDG&E_Witness Saxe_Supplemental Testimony Workpaper #2 - LS-1 Non-LED Light Facilities Costs."

1	The maintenance costs for the calculation of LS-1 LED distribution rates have been adjusted to
2	reflect \$16.98 in annual O&M costs for LED lights, as addressed in the supplemental testimony of
3	SDG&E witness Ms. Magallanes, instead of the \$21.43 in O&M costs used for non-LED lights, as
4	identified in the direct testimony workpaper of SDG&E's witness Mr. Saxe (Chapter 7). ⁷
5	The customer, demand, facilities and maintenance costs are escalated into 2020 dollars based
6	on the escalation factors consistent with SDG&E's TY 2019 GRC Phase 1.8 Facilities and
7	maintenance costs are directly assigned to the street lighting class whereas customer and demand
8	costs are scaled by a multiplier in the Street Lighting Model to ensure recovery of the street lighting
9	authorized distribution revenue requirement. The illustrative proposed LS-1 LED rates reflecting the
10	updated costs are identified in Attachments A, B, and C and present the following rate comparisons:
11	• Attachment A – Comparison of Present and Illustrative Proposed LS-1 Non-LED
12	Distribution Rates to Illustrative Proposed LS-1 LED Distribution Rates;
13	• Attachment B – Comparison of Present and Illustrative Proposed LS-1 Non-LED Utility
14	Distribution Company ("UDC") Rates to Illustrative Proposed LS-1 LED UDC Rates;
15	and
16	• Attachment C – Comparison of Present and Illustrative Proposed LS-1 Non-LED Total
17	Rates to Illustrative Proposed LS-1 LED Total Rates.
18	This concludes my revised prepared supplemental testimony.

⁷ Chapter 7 Direct Testimony Workpaper identified as "Ch_7_WP#1_Lighting Model_Public", tab INPUTS-GENERAL, Cell E27.

⁸ 2020 escalations are the cost escalation factors presented in SDG&E's TY 2019 GRC Phase 1 Direct Testimony of Scott R. Wilder. *See* A.17-10-007, Workpapers to Prepared Direct Testimony of Scott R. Wilder (October 2017), Ex. SDG&E-39-WP/Wilder.

SDG&E 2019 GRC Phase 2 Revised Prepared Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony Revision Log – January 15, 2020

Witness	Page	Line	Revision Detail
Saxe (Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony)	Cover Page	NA	Changed "Prepared Supplemental Testimony" to "Revised Prepared Supplemental Testimony".
Saxe (Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony)	Cover Page	NA	Changed "March 2019" to "January 15, 2020".
Saxe (Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony)	Page WGS- 1	Line 1	Changed "Prepared Supplemental Testimony" to "Revised Prepared Supplemental Testimony".
Saxe (Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony)	Page WGS-1	Line 4	Changed "my prepared supplemental testimony" to "my revised prepared supplemental testimony".
Saxe (Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony)	Page WGS-4	Lines 5-9	Added the following language to the page: "As required by the November 1, 2019 "Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Directing San Diego Gas & Electric Company to File/Serve Supplemental Information," the revenue requirement used to develop the illustrative proposed LS-1 LED rates in this proceeding reflect the authorized revenue requirements adopted in SDG&E's 2019 GRC Phase 1 decision, Decision ("D.") 19-09-051".
Saxe (Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony	WGS-4	Footnote 5	Changed "rates effective January 1, 2019 per SDG&E Advice Letter 3326-E, effective January 1, 2019" to "rates effective January 1, 2020 per

			SDG&E Advice Letter 3487-E, effective January 1, 2020."
Saxe (Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony)	WGS-5	Line 18	Changed "prepared supplemental testimony" to "revised prepared supplemental testimony".
Saxe (Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony)	Attachment A	Attachment Header	Change "Comparison of Present and Proposed LS-1 Non-LED Distribution Rates to Proposed LS-1 LED Distribution Rates" to "Comparison of Present and Illustrative Proposed LS-1 Non-LED Distribution Rates to Illustrative Proposed LS-1 LED Distribution Rates".
Saxe (Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony)	Attachment A	Comparison of Present and Illustrative Proposed LS-1 Non- LED Distribution Rates to Illustrative Proposed LS-1 LED Distribution Rates	Replaced entire attachment with revised present (1/1/20) LS-1 Non-LED and illustrative proposed LS-1 LED distribution rates from the Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony revised workpapers.
Saxe (Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony)	Attachment B	Comparison of Present and Illustrative Proposed LS-1 Non- LED Utility Distribution ("UDC") Rates to Illustrative Proposed LS-1 LED UDC Rates	Replaced entire attachment with revised present (1/1/20) LS-1 Non-LED and illustrative proposed LS-1 LED UDC rates from the Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony revised workpapers.
Saxe (Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony)	Attachment C	Comparison of Present and Illustrative Proposed LS-1 Non- LED Total Rates to Illustrative Proposed LS-1 LED Total Rates	Replaced entire attachment with revised present (1/1/20) LS-1 Non-LED and illustrative proposed LS-1 LED total rates from the Supplemental Street Lighting Testimony revised workpapers.

ATTACHMENT A

COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED LS-1 NON-LED DISTRIBUTION RATES TO ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED LS-1 LED DISTRIBUTION RATES

ATTACHMENT A - SCHEDULE LS-1 LIGHT EMITTING DIODE ("LED") DISTRIBUTION RATES COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED NON-LED LS-1 DISTRIBUTION RATES TO ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED LED LS-1 DISTRIBUTION RATES

	NON-LED DESCRIPTION		1/1/2020 PRESENT DISTRIBUTION NON-LED LS-1 RATE	PROPOSED 2021 DISTRIBUTION NON-LED LS-1 RATE	PROPOSED 2021 DISTRIBUTION LED LS-1 RATE	PROPOSED LED VS. PF	ATE CHANGE	PROPOSED LED VS. PR DISTRIBUTION R/	ATE CHANGE
LINE			(\$/LAMP)	(\$/LAMP)	(\$/LAMP)	(\$/LAMP CHANGE)	(% CHANGE)	(\$/LAMP CHANGE)	(% CHANGE)
NO.	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
1	LS-1, Mercury Vapor, Class A, Reactor Ballast								
2	175	7000	11.74	12.72	11.09	(0.65)	-5.5%	(1.63)	-13%
3	LS-1, Mercury Vapor, Class A, Regulator Ballast	1000	11.14	12.72	11.00	(0.00)	0.070	(1.00)	10,0
4	175	7000	12.10	13.21	11.09	(1.01)	-8.3%	(2.12)	-16%
5	400	20000	19.97	22.62	16.34	(3.63)	-18.2%	(6.28)	-28%
6	LS-1, HPSV, Class A, Reactor Ballast					()		(* - · /	
7	70	5800	9.52	9.02	9.46	(0.06)	-0.6%	0.44	5%
8	100	9500	10.39	10.09	9.83	(0.56)	-5.4%	(0.26)	-3%
9	150	16000	11.45	11.55	11.00	(0.45)	-3.9%	(0.55)	-5%
10	LS-1, HPSV, Class A, Regulator Ballast								
11	200	22000	13.74	14.15	12.19	(1.55)	-11.3%	(1.96)	-14%
12	250	30000	15.71	16.47	12.74	(2.97)	-18.9%	(3.73)	-23%
13	400	50000	18.67	20.76	15.37	(3.30)	-17.7%	(5.39)	-26%
14	LS-1, HPSV, Class B, 1-Lamp, Reactor Ballast								
15	70	5800	9.66	9.38	9.82	0.16	1.7%	0.44	5%
16	100	9500	10.59	10.51	10.25	(0.34)	-3.2%	(0.26)	-2%
17	150	16000	11.51	11.81	11.26	(0.25)	-2.2%	(0.55)	-5%
18	LS-1, HPSV, Class B, 1-Lamp, Regulator Ballast								
19	200	22000	13.78	14.32	12.36	(1.42)	-10.3%	(1.96)	-14%
20	250	30000	15.76	16.65	12.93	(2.83)	-18.0%	(3.72)	-22%
21	400	50000	18.61	20.87	15.48	(3.13)	-16.8%	(5.39)	-26%
22	LS-1, HPSV, Class B, 2-Lamp, Reactor Ballast								
23	70	5800	8.51	8.21	5.50	(3.01)	-35.4%	(2.71)	-33%
24	100	9500	9.41	9.28	5.86	(3.55)	-37.7%	(3.42)	-37%
25	150	16000	10.44	10.74	6.72	(3.72)	-35.6%	(4.02)	-37%
26	LS-1, HPSV, Class B, 2-Lamp, Regulator Ballast				0.00				
27	200	22000	12.73	13.34	7.98	(4.75)	-37.3%	(5.36)	-40%
28	250	30000	14.79	15.75	8.58	(6.21)	-42.0%	(7.17)	-46%
29	400	50000	17.66	19.96	10.40	(7.26)	-41.1%	(9.56)	-48%

ATTACHMENT A - SCHEDULE LS-1 LIGHT EMITTING DIODE ("LED") DISTRIBUTION RATES COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED NON-LED LS-1 DISTRIBUTION RATES TO ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED LED LS-1 DISTRIBUTION RATES

LINE	NON-LED DESCRIPTION	UMENS	1/1/2020 PRESENT DISTRIBUTION NON-LED LS-1 RATE (\$/LAMP)	PROPOSED 2021 DISTRIBUTION NON-LED LS-1 RATE (\$/LAMP)	PROPOSED 2021 DISTRIBUTION LED LS-1 RATE (\$/LAMP)	PROPOSED LED VS. PI DISTRIBUTION R/ (\$/LAMP CHANGE)		PROPOSED LED VS. PR DISTRIBUTION R/ (\$/LAMP CHANGE)	
NO.	(A)	(B)	(C)	(t) (D)	(t) (E)	(F)	(G)	(+,_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(1)
30 31	LS-1, HPSV, Class C, 1-Lamp, Reactor Ballast 70	5800	14.35	13.17	13.62	(0.73)	-5.1%	0.45	3%
32	100	9500	14.35	14.18	13.93	(0.73)	-5.1%	(0.25)	-2%
33	150	16000	16.05	15.50	14.96	(1.09)	-6.8%	(0.23)	-2 %
34	LS-1, HPSV, Class C, 1-Lamp, Regulator Ballast	10000	10.00	15.50	14.50	(1.03)	-0.070	(0.04)	-070
35	200	22000	19.33	18.89	16.93	(2.40)	-12.4%	(1.96)	-10%
36	250	30000	20.70	20.77	17.05	(3.65)	-17.6%	(3.72)	-18%
37	400	50000	25.66	26.59	21.19	(4.47)	-17.4%	(5.40)	-20%
38	LS-1, HPSV, Class C, 2-Lamp, Reactor Ballast					()		(0.10)	
39	70	5800	9.38	9.09	6.49	(2.89)	-30.8%	(2.60)	-29%
40	100	9500	10.07	10.35	7.04	(3.03)	-30.1%	(3.31)	-32%
41	150	16000	11.42	11.60	7.72	(3.70)	-32.4%	(3.88)	-33%
42	LS-1, HPSV, Class C, 2-Lamp, Regulator Ballast								
43	200	22000	13.10	13.60	8.37	(4.73)	-36.1%	(5.23)	-38%
44	250	30000	15.74	16.58	9.55	(6.19)	-39.3%	(7.03)	-42%
45	400	50000	18.10	20.30	10.95	(7.15)	-39.5%	(9.35)	-46%
46	LS-1, LPSV, Class A								
47	55	8000	13.62	12.01	9.85	(3.77)	-27.7%	(2.16)	-18%
48	90	13500	15.75	14.27	10.79	(4.96)	-31.5%	(3.48)	-24%
49	135	22500	17.43	16.63	12.13	(5.30)	-30.4%	(4.50)	-27%
50	180	33000	19.95	18.51	12.99	(6.96)	-34.9%	(5.52)	-30%
51	LS-1, LPSV, Class B, 1-Lamp								
52	55	8000	13.80	12.32	10.16	(3.64)	-26.4%	(2.16)	-18%
53	90	13500	15.92	14.57	11.10	(4.82)	-30.3%	(3.47)	-24%
54	135	22500	17.56	16.80	12.46	(5.10)	-29.0%	(4.34)	-26%
55	180	33000	20.08	18.67	13.33	(6.75)	-33.6%	(5.34)	-29%
56	LS-1, LPSV, Class B, 2-Lamp								
57	55	8000	13.15	11.69	8.38	(4.77)	-36.3%	(3.31)	-28%
58	90	13500	15.28	13.95	9.76	(5.52)	-36.1%	(4.19)	-30%
59	135	22500	17.16	16.51	11.23	(5.93)	-34.6%	(5.28)	-32%
60	180	33000	19.67	18.38	13.34	(6.33)	-32.2%	(5.04)	-27%

ATTACHMENT A - SCHEDULE LS-1 LIGHT EMITTING DIODE ("LED") DISTRIBUTION RATES COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED NON-LED LS-1 DISTRIBUTION RATES TO ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED LED LS-1 DISTRIBUTION RATES

LINE NO.	NON-LED DESCRIPTION WATTS (A)	L	UMENS (B)	1/1/2020 PRESENT DISTRIBUTION NON-LED LS-1 RATE (\$/LAMP) (C)	PROPOSED 2021 DISTRIBUTION NON-LED LS-1 RATE (\$/LAMP) (D)	PROPOSED 2021 DISTRIBUTION LED LS-1 RATE (\$/LAMP) (E)	PROPOSED LED VS. PF DISTRIBUTION RA (\$/LAMP CHANGE) (F)		PROPOSED LED VS. PR DISTRIBUTION R/ (\$/LAMP CHANGE) (H)	
61	LS-1, LPSV, Class C, 1-Lamp									
62		55	8000	16.88	15.19	13.03	(3.85)	-22.8%	(2.16)	-14%
63		90	13500	18.33	17.01	13.54	(4.79)	-26.1%	(3.47)	-20%
64		135	22500	21.27	19.95	15.62	(5.65)	-26.6%	(4.33)	-22%
65		180	33000	22.35	21.14	15.80	(6.55)	-29.3%	(5.34)	-25%
66	LS-1, LPSV, Class C, 2-Lamp									
67		55	8000	13.26	11.72	8.38	(4.88)	-36.8%	(3.34)	-28%
68		90	13500	15.41	14.01	10.15	(5.26)	-34.1%	(3.86)	-28%
69		135	22500	17.49	16.80	11.17	(6.32)	-36.1%	(5.63)	-34%
70		180	33000	19.74	18.40	13.30	(6.44)	-32.6%	(5.10)	-28%
71	LS-1, Metal Halide, Class A									
72		100	8500	8.53	9.29	9.26	0.73	8.6%	(0.03)	0%
73 74		175	12000	10.03	11.34	10.53	0.50	5.0%	(0.81)	-7%
		250	18000	11.73	13.64	11.93	0.20	1.7%	(1.71)	-13%
75		400	32000	15.41	18.41	13.39	(2.02)	-13.1%	(5.02)	-27%
76	LS-1, Metal Halide, Class B									
77		100	8500	8.98	9.95	9.92	0.94	10.5%	(0.03)	0%
78		175	12000	10.48	12.01	11.20	0.72	6.9%	(0.81)	-7%
79		250	18000	12.19	14.31	12.59	0.40	3.3%	(1.72)	-12%
80		400	32000	15.86	19.08	14.05	(1.81)	-11.4%	(5.03)	-26%
81	LS-1, Metal Halide, Class C							- 10/		
82		100	8500	20.76	20.15	20.12	(0.64)	-3.1%	(0.03)	0%
83		175	12000	22.26	22.21	21.40	(0.86)	-3.9%	(0.81)	-4%
84		250	18000	23.97	24.51	22.79	(1.18)	-4.9%	(1.72)	-7%
85		400	32000	27.64	29.28	24.26	(3.38)	-12.2%	(5.02)	-17%

ATTACHMENT B

COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED LS-1 NON-LED UDC RATES TO ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED LS-1 LED UDC RATES

ATTACHMENT B - SCHEDULE LS-1 LIGHT EMITTING DIODE ("LED") UTILITY DISTRIBUTION COMPANY ("UDC") RATES COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED NON-LED LS-1 UDC RATES TO ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED LED LS-1 UDC RATES

	NON-LED DESCRIPTION	LUMENS	1/1/2020 PRESENT UDC NON-LED LS-1 RATE	PROPOSED 2021 UDC NON-LED LS-1 RATE	PROPOSED 2021 UDC LED LS-1 RATE	PROPOSED LED VS. PF	HANGE	PROPOSED LED VS. PR	HANGE
LINE NO.	WATTS (A)	LUMENS (B)	(\$/LAMP) (C)	(\$/LAMP) (D)	(\$/LAMP) (E)	(\$/LAMP CHANGE) (F)	(% CHANGE) (G)	(\$/LAMP CHANGE) (H)	(% CHANGE)
<u>NO.</u>	(*)		(0)	(0)	(⊏)	(1)	(0)	(1)	(1)
1	LS-1, Mercury Vapor, Class A, Reactor Ballast								
2	175	7000	13.17	14.05	11.60	(1.57)	-11.9%	(2.45)	-17.4%
3	LS-1, Mercury Vapor, Class A, Regulator Ballast								
4	175	7000	13.66	14.67	11.60	1.01	7.4%	(3.07)	-20.9%
5	400	20000	23.43	25.85	17.54	2.42	10.3%	(8.31)	-32.1%
6	LS-1, HPSV, Class A, Reactor Ballast								
7	70		10.14	9.61	9.69	(0.53)	-5.2%	0.08	0.8%
8	100		11.27	10.91	10.11	(0.36)	-3.2%	(0.80)	-7.3%
9	150	16000	12.71	12.73	11.51	0.02	0.2%	(1.22)	-9.6%
10	LS-1, HPSV, Class A, Regulator Ballast								
11	200		15.57	15.85	12.87	0.28	1.8%	(2.98)	-18.8%
12	250		18.06	18.66	13.42	0.60	3.3%	(5.24)	-28.1%
13	400	50000	22.23	24.09	16.57	1.86	8.4%	(7.52)	-31.2%
14	LS-1, HPSV, Class B, 1-Lamp, Reactor Ballast								
15	70		10.28	9.97	10.05	(0.31)	-3.0%	0.08	0.8%
16	100		11.47	11.33	10.53	(0.14)	-1.2%	(0.80)	-7.1%
17	150	16000	12.77	12.99	11.77	0.22	1.7%	(1.22)	-9.4%
18	LS-1, HPSV, Class B, 1-Lamp, Regulator Ballast								
19	200		15.61	16.02	13.04	0.41	2.6%	(2.98)	-18.6%
20	250		18.11	18.84	13.61	0.73	4.0%	(5.23)	-27.8%
21	400	50000	22.17	24.20	16.68	2.03	9.2%	(7.52)	-31.1%
22	LS-1, HPSV, Class B, 2-Lamp, Reactor Ballast								
23	70		9.13	8.80	5.73	(0.33)	-3.6%	(3.07)	-34.9%
24	100	9500	10.29	10.10	6.14	(0.19)	-1.8%	(3.96)	-39.2%
25	150	16000	11.70	11.92	7.23	0.22	1.9%	(4.69)	-39.3%
26	LS-1, HPSV, Class B, 2-Lamp, Regulator Ballast								
27	200		14.56	15.04	8.66	0.48	3.3%	(6.38)	-42.4%
28	250		17.14	17.94	9.26	0.80	4.7%	(8.68)	-48.4%
29	400	50000	21.22	23.29	11.60	2.07	9.8%	(11.69)	-50.2%

ATTACHMENT B - SCHEDULE LS-1 LIGHT EMITTING DIODE ("LED") UTILITY DISTRIBUTION COMPANY ("UDC") RATES COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED NON-LED LS-1 UDC RATES TO ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED LED LS-1 UDC RATES

	NON-LED DESCRIPTION		1/1/2020 PRESENT UDC NON-LED LS-1 RATE	PROPOSED 2021 UDC NON-LED LS-1 RATE	PROPOSED 2021 UDC LED LS-1 RATE	PROPOSED LED VS. PF	HANGE	PROPOSED LED VS. PR	HANGE
LINE NO.	WATTS (A)	LUMENS (B)	(\$/LAMP) (C)	(\$/LAMP) (D)	(\$/LAMP) (E)	(\$/LAMP CHANGE) (F)	(% CHANGE) (G)	(\$/LAMP CHANGE) (H)	(% CHANGE)
<u>NO.</u>	(?)	(0)	(0)		(⊏)	(1)	(6)	(11)	(1)
30	LS-1, HPSV, Class C, 1-Lamp, Reactor Ballast								
31	70	5800	14.97	13.76	13.85	(1.21)	-8.1%	0.09	0.7%
32	100	9500	15.98	15.00	14.21	(0.98)	-6.1%	(0.79)	-5.3%
33	150	16000	17.31	16.68	15.47	(0.63)	-3.6%	(1.21)	-7.3%
34	LS-1, HPSV, Class C, 1-Lamp, Regulator Ballast								
35	200	22000	21.16	20.59	17.61	(0.57)	-2.7%	(2.98)	-14.5%
36	250	30000	23.05	22.96	17.73	(0.09)	-0.4%	(5.23)	-22.8%
37	400	50000	29.22	29.92	22.39	0.70	2.4%	(7.53)	-25.2%
38	LS-1, HPSV, Class C, 2-Lamp, Reactor Ballast								
39	70	5800	10.00	9.68	6.72	(0.32)	-3.2%	(2.96)	-30.6%
40	100	9500	10.95	11.17	7.32	0.22	2.0%	(3.85)	-34.5%
41	150	16000	12.68	12.78	8.23	0.10	0.8%	(4.55)	-35.6%
42	LS-1, HPSV, Class C, 2-Lamp, Regulator Ballast								
43	200	22000	14.93	15.30	9.05	0.37	2.5%	(6.25)	-40.8%
44	250	30000	18.09	18.77	10.23	0.68	3.8%	(8.54)	-45.5%
45	400	50000	21.66	23.63	12.15	1.97	9.1%	(11.48)	-48.6%
46	LS-1, LPSV, Class A							(• / • • /
47	55	8000	14.29	12.63	9.95	(1.66)	-11.6%	(2.68)	-21.2%
48	90	13500	16.81	15.27	11.07	(1.54)	-9.2%	(4.20)	-27.5%
49	135	22500	18.95	18.05	12.54	(0.90)	-4.7%	(5.51)	-30.5%
50	180	33000	21.71	20.15	13.67	(1.56)	-7.2%	(6.48)	-32.2%
51	LS-1, LPSV, Class B, 1-Lamp			40.04	10.00	(1.50)	10.00/	(0.00)	00 70/
52	55	8000	14.47	12.94	10.26	(1.53)	-10.6%	(2.68)	-20.7%
53	90	13500	16.98	15.57	11.38	(1.41)	-8.3%	(4.19)	-26.9%
54	135	22500	19.08	18.22	12.87	(0.86)	-4.5%	(5.35)	-29.4%
55	180	33000	21.84	20.31	14.01	(1.53)	-7.0%	(6.30)	-31.0%
56	LS-1, LPSV, Class B, 2-Lamp						10.00/	(****	• • • • •
57	55	8000	13.82	12.31	8.48	(1.51)	-10.9%	(3.83)	-31.1%
58	90	13500	16.34	14.95	10.04	(1.39)	-8.5%	(4.91)	-32.8%
59	135	22500	18.68	17.93	11.64	(0.75)	-4.0%	(6.29)	-35.1%
60	180	33000	21.43	20.02	14.02	(1.41)	-6.6%	(6.00)	-30.0%

ATTACHMENT B - SCHEDULE LS-1 LIGHT EMITTING DIODE ("LED") UTILITY DISTRIBUTION COMPANY ("UDC") RATES COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED NON-LED LS-1 UDC RATES TO ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED LED LS-1 UDC RATES

LINE	NON-LED DESCRIPTION WATTS	UMENS	1/1/2020 PRESENT UDC NON-LED LS-1 RATE	PROPOSED 2021 UDC NON-LED LS-1 RATE	021 2021 DC UDC I-LED LED RATE LS-1 RATE	PROPOSED LED VS. PRESENT NON-LED PROPOSED LED VS. PROPOSED NON-LED UDC RATE CHANGE UDC RATE CHANGE				
NO.	(A)	L	(B)	(\$/LAMP) (C)	(\$/LAMP) (D)	(\$/LAMP) (E)	(\$/LAMP CHANGE) (F)	(% CHANGE) (G)	(\$/LAMP CHANGE) (H)	(% CHANGE)
110.			(8)	(0)	(8)	(Ľ/	(*)	(0)	(11)	(1)
61	LS-1, LPSV, Class C, 1-Lamp									
62		55	8000	17.55	15.81	13.13	(1.74)	-9.9%	(2.68)	-17.0%
63		90	13500	19.39	18.01	13.82	(1.38)	-7.1%	(4.19)	-23.3%
64		135	22500	22.79	21.37	16.03	(1.42)	-6.2%	(5.34)	-25.0%
65		180	33000	24.11	22.78	16.48	(1.33)	-5.5%	(6.30)	-27.7%
66	LS-1, LPSV, Class C, 2-Lamp									
67		55	8000	13.93	12.34	8.48	(1.59)	-11.4%	(3.86)	-31.3%
68		90	13500	16.47	15.01	10.43	(1.46)	-8.9%	(4.58)	-30.5%
69		135	22500	19.01	18.22	11.58	(0.79)	-4.2%	(6.64)	-36.4%
70		180	33000	21.50	20.04	13.98	(1.46)	-6.8%	(6.06)	-30.2%
71	LS-1, Metal Halide, Class A									
72		100	8500	9.51	10.21	9.39	0.70	7.4%	(0.82)	-8.0%
73		175	12000	11.58	12.78	10.69	1.20	10.4%	(2.09)	-16.4%
74		250	18000	13.89	15.66	12.09	1.77	12.7%	(3.57)	-22.8%
75		400	32000	18.73	21.51	13.55	2.78	14.8%	(7.96)	-37.0%
76	LS-1, Metal Halide, Class B									
77		100	8500	9.96	10.87	10.05	0.91	9.1%	(0.82)	-7.5%
78		175	12000	12.03	13.45	11.36	1.42	11.8%	(2.09)	-15.5%
79		250	18000	14.35	16.33	12.75	1.98	13.8%	(3.58)	-21.9%
80		400	32000	19.18	22.18	14.21	3.00	15.6%	(7.97)	-35.9%
81	LS-1, Metal Halide, Class C									
82		100	8500	21.74	21.07	20.25	(0.67)	-3.1%	(0.82)	-3.9%
83		175	12000	23.81	23.65	21.56	(0.16)	-0.7%	(2.09)	-8.8%
84		250	18000	26.13	26.53	22.95	0.40	1.5%	(3.58)	-13.5%
85		400	32000	30.96	32.38	24.42	1.42	4.6%	(7.96)	-24.6%

ATTACHMENT C

COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED LS-1 NON-LED TOTAL RATES TO ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED LS-1 LED TOTAL RATES

ATTACHMENT C - SCHEDULE LS-1 LIGHT EMITTING DIODE ("LED") UTILITY TOTAL RATES COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED NON-LED LS-1 TOTAL RATES TO ILLUSTRATIVE PROPOSED LED LS-1 TOTAL RATES

LINE	NON-LED DESCRIPTION WATTS		UMENS	1/1/2020 PRESENT TOTAL NON-LED LS-1 RATE (\$/LAMP)	PROPOSED 2021 TOTAL NON-LED LS-1 RATE (\$/LAMP)	PROPOSED 2021 TOTAL LED LS-1 RATE (\$/LAMP)	PROPOSED LED VS. PI TOTAL RATE (\$/LAMP CHANGE)		PROPOSED LED VS. PR TOTAL RATE ((\$/LAMP CHANGE)	
NO.	(A)	L	(B)	(\$/LAIVIF) (C)	(\$/LAIVIP) (D)	(\$/LAWP) (E)	(\$/LAIVIP CHANGE) (F)	(% CHANGE) (G)	(\$/LAIVIP CHANGE) (H)	
NO.	(A)		(D)	(0)	(D)	(⊏)	(F)	(0)	(11)	(1)
1	LS-1, Mercury Vapor, Class A, Reactor Ballast									
2	, , , , ,	175	7000	17.90	18.49	13.26	(4.64)	-25.9%	(5.23)	-28.3%
3	LS-1, Mercury Vapor, Class A, Regulator Ballast									
4		175	7000	18.81	19.51	13.26	(5.55)	-29.5%	(6.25)	-32.0%
5		400	20000	34.72	36.46	21.52	(13.20)	-38.0%	(14.94)	-41.0%
6	LS-1, HPSV, Class A, Reactor Ballast									
7		70	5800	12.19	11.53	10.42	(1.77)	-14.5%	(1.11)	-9.6%
8		100	9500	14.16	13.63	11.04	(3.12)	-22.0%	(2.59)	-19.0%
9		150	16000	16.87	16.64	13.17	(3.70)	-21.9%	(3.47)	-20.9%
10	LS-1, HPSV, Class A, Regulator Ballast									
11		200	22000	21.57	21.49	15.13	(6.44)	-29.9%	(6.36)	-29.6%
12		250	30000	25.75	25.89	15.68	(10.07)	-39.1%	(10.21)	-39.4%
13		400	50000	33.87	35.04	20.55	(13.32)	-39.3%	(14.49)	-41.4%
14	LS-1, HPSV, Class B, 1-Lamp, Reactor Ballast									
15		70	5800	12.33	11.89	10.78	(1.55)	-12.6%	(1.11)	-9.3%
16		100	9500	14.36	14.05	11.46	(2.90)	-20.2%	(2.59)	-18.4%
17		150	16000	16.93	16.90	13.43	(3.50)	-20.7%	(3.47)	-20.5%
18	LS-1, HPSV, Class B, 1-Lamp, Regulator Ballast									
19		200	22000	21.61	21.66	15.30	(6.31)	-29.2%	(6.36)	-29.4%
20		250	30000	25.80	26.07	15.87	(9.93)	-38.5%	(10.20)	-39.1%
21		400	50000	33.81	35.15	20.66	(13.15)	-38.9%	(14.49)	-41.2%
22	LS-1, HPSV, Class B, 2-Lamp, Reactor Ballast									
23		70	5800	11.18	10.72	6.46	(4.72)	-42.2%	(4.26)	-39.7%
24		100	9500	13.18	12.82	7.07	(6.11)	-46.4%	(5.75)	-44.9%
25		150	16000	15.86	15.83	8.89	(6.97)	-43.9%	(6.94)	-43.8%
26	LS-1, HPSV, Class B, 2-Lamp, Regulator Ballast			00.50	~~~~~	10.00	(0.04)	10.00/	(0.70)	17.00/
27		200	22000	20.56	20.68	10.92	(9.64)	-46.9%	(9.76)	-47.2%
28		250	30000	24.83	25.17	11.52	(13.31)	-53.6%	(13.65)	-54.2%
29		400	50000	32.86	34.24	15.58	(17.28)	-52.6%	(18.66)	-54.5%

	NON-LED DESCRIPTION			1/1/2020 PRESENT TOTAL NON-LED LS-1 RATE	PROPOSED 2021 TOTAL NON-LED LS-1 RATE	PROPOSED 2021 TOTAL LED LS-1 RATE	PROPOSED LED VS. PI	CHANGE	PROPOSED LED VS. PROPOSED NON-LED	
LINE NO.	WATTS	I	UMENS (B)	(\$/LAMP)	(\$/LAMP)	(\$/LAMP) (E)	(\$/LAMP CHANGE) (F)	(% CHANGE) (G)	(\$/LAMP CHANGE) (H)	(% CHANGE)
NU.	(A)		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(П)	(I)
30	LS-1, HPSV, Class C, 1-Lamp, Reactor Ballast									
31		70	5800	17.02	15.68	14.58	(2.44)	-14.3%	(1.10)	-7.0%
32		100	9500	18.87	17.72	15.14	(3.73)	-19.8%	(2.58)	-14.6%
33		150	16000	21.47	20.59	17.13	(4.34)	-20.2%	(3.46)	-16.8%
34	LS-1, HPSV, Class C, 1-Lamp, Regulator Ballast									
35		200	22000	27.16	26.23	19.87	(7.29)	-26.8%	(6.36)	-24.2%
36		250	30000	30.74	30.19	19.99	(10.75)	-35.0%	(10.20)	-33.8%
37		400	50000	40.86	40.87	26.37	(14.49)	-35.5%	(14.50)	-35.5%
38	LS-1, HPSV, Class C, 2-Lamp, Reactor Ballast									
39		70	5800	12.05	11.60	7.45	(4.60)	-38.2%	(4.15)	-35.8%
40		100	9500	13.84	13.89	8.25	(5.59)	-40.4%	(5.64)	-40.6%
41		150	16000	16.84	16.69	9.89	(6.95)	-41.3%	(6.80)	-40.7%
42	LS-1, HPSV, Class C, 2-Lamp, Regulator Ballast	200	22000	20.93	20.94	11.31	(0.62)	-46.0%	(0.62)	-46.0%
43 44		200 250	22000 30000	20.93	20.94 26.00	12.49	(9.62) (13.29)	-46.0% -51.6%	(9.63)	-46.0% -52.0%
44 45		250 400	50000	33.30	34.58	12.49	(13.29)	-51.6%	(13.51) (18.45)	-53.4%
43	LS-1, LPSV, Class A	400	50000	33.30	34.30	0.00	(17.17)	-31.0%	(10.45)	-55.4%
40	LO-1, LFOV, Class A	55	8000	16.48	14.69	10.28	(6.20)	-37.6%	(4.41)	-30.0%
48		90	13500	20.34	18.59	12.00	(8.34)	-41.0%	(6.59)	-35.4%
49		135	22500	23.96	22.76	13.93	(10.03)	-41.9%	(8.83)	-38.8%
50		180	33000	27.49	25.59	15.93	(11.56)	-42.1%	(9.66)	-37.7%
51	LS-1, LPSV, Class B, 1-Lamp								()	
52		55	8000	16.66	15.00	10.59	(6.07)	-36.4%	(4.41)	-29.4%
53		90	13500	20.51	18.89	12.31	(8.20)	-40.0%	(6.58)	-34.8%
54		135	22500	24.09	22.93	14.26	(9.83)	-40.8%	(8.67)	-37.8%
55		180	33000	27.62	25.75	16.27	(11.35)	-41.1%	(9.48)	-36.8%
56	LS-1, LPSV, Class B, 2-Lamp									
57		55	8000	16.01	14.37	8.81	(7.20)	-45.0%	(5.56)	-38.7%
58		90	13500	19.87	18.27	10.97	(8.90)	-44.8%	(7.30)	-40.0%
59		135	22500	23.69	22.64	13.03	(10.66)	-45.0%	(9.61)	-42.4%
60		180	33000	27.21	25.46	16.28	(10.93)	-40.2%	(9.18)	-36.1%

				1/1/2020 PRESENT TOTAL NON-LED	PROPOSED 2021 TOTAL NON-LED	PROPOSED 2021 TOTAL LED	PROPOSED LED VS. PRESENT NON-LED PROPOSED LED VS. PROPOSED NON-LED				
	NON-LED DESCRIPTION			LS-1 RATE	LS-1 RATE	LS-1 RATE	TOTAL RATE		TOTAL RATE		
LINE	WATTS	L	UMENS	(\$/LAMP)	(\$/LAMP)	(\$/LAMP)	(\$/LAMP CHANGE)	(% CHANGE)	(\$/LAMP CHANGE)	(% CHANGE)	
NO.	(A)		(B)	(C)	(D)	<u>(E)</u>	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	
61	LS-1, LPSV, Class C, 1-Lamp										
62	, , , ,	55	8000	19.74	17.87	13.46	(6.28)	-31.8%	(4.41)	-24.7%	
63		90	13500	22.92	21.33	14.75	(8.17)	-35.6%	(6.58)	-30.8%	
64		135	22500	27.80	26.08	17.42	(10.38)	-37.3%	(8.66)	-33.2%	
65		180	33000	29.89	28.22	18.74	(11.15)	-37.3%	(9.48)	-33.6%	
66	LS-1, LPSV, Class C, 2-Lamp										
67	· · · · •	55	8000	16.12	14.40	8.81	(7.31)	-45.3%	(5.59)	-38.8%	
68		90	13500	20.00	18.33	11.36	(8.64)	-43.2%	(6.97)	-38.0%	
69		135	22500	24.02	22.93	12.97	(11.05)	-46.0%	(9.96)	-43.4%	
70		180	33000	27.28	25.48	16.24	(11.04)	-40.5%	(9.24)	-36.3%	
71	LS-1, Metal Halide, Class A										
72		100	8500	12.76	13.26	9.85	(2.91)	-22.8%	(3.41)	-25.7%	
73		175	12000	16.66	17.56	11.22	(5.44)	-32.7%	(6.34)	-36.1%	
74		250	18000	20.94	22.29	12.62	(8.32)	-39.7%	(9.67)	-43.4%	
75		400	32000	29.59	31.73	14.08	(15.51)	-52.4%	(17.65)	-55.6%	
76	LS-1, Metal Halide, Class B										
77		100	8500	13.21	13.92	10.51	(2.70)	-20.4%	(3.41)	-24.5%	
78		175	12000	17.11	18.23	11.89	(5.22)	-30.5%	(6.34)	-34.8%	
79		250	18000	21.40	22.96	13.28	(8.12)	-37.9%	(9.68)	-42.2%	
80		400	32000	30.04	32.40	14.74	(15.30)	-50.9%	(17.66)	-54.5%	
81	LS-1, Metal Halide, Class C										
82		100	8500	24.99	24.12	20.71	(4.28)	-17.1%	(3.41)	-14.1%	
83		175	12000	28.89	28.43	22.09	(6.80)	-23.5%	(6.34)	-22.3%	
84		250	18000	33.18	33.16	23.48	(9.70)	-29.2%	(9.68)	-29.2%	
85		400	32000	41.82	42.60	24.95	(16.87)	-40.3%	(17.65)	-41.4%	