SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC 2017 METRICS RESULTS

BASE CATEGORY	Spreadsheet Index	PA	Units of Measurement	Metric Type	Metric/ dicator		Sector	Reporting Year	Reporting	Number Numerator (for metrics/indica where unit of measurement 'percent')	f where unit of	cators f		rt Term Target			ong Term Target (2024- 025)	Methodology	Key Definitions	Proxy Explanation (1) What other data sources were considered? 2) Is a study need identified? 3) If yes, provide brief scope, costs and estimated timeframe (start and completion))
GHG		1 SDGE	Metric Ton	NEW: Energy Savings	Metric	Greenhouse gasses (MT CO2eq) Net kWh savings, reported on an annual	Portfolio Level (PL)– All Sectors	2,017		123,248.00 N/A	N/A		2,018.00 76,996.30	2,019.00 80,846.11	2,020.0 0 84,888.42		94,378.9	4		
SAVINGS		2 SDGE	First year annual kW gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	PL1-S1- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net).		2,017		51,153.90 N/A	N/A		49,464.30	52,930.37	57,315.72	2 78,051.16	80,977.0	6 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS		3 SDGE	First year annual kW net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	PL1-S1- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) • •		2,017		41,771.08 N/A	N/A		43,794.83	46,932.22	51,186.49	9 70,091.61	71,311.5	4 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS		4 SDGE	First year annual kWh gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	PL1-S1- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) • •		2,017	283,	,068,357.89 N/A	N/A		229,181,761.52	249,196,970.81	254,641,276.49	9 309,056,611.35	325,110,871.0	5 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS		5 SDGE	First year annual kWh net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	PL1-S1- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) • •		2,017	227,	,296,995.16 N/A	N/A		201,672,144.39	219,896,561.74	224,552,672.0	7 269,641,122.75	278,725,313.4	9 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS		6 SDGE	First year annual Therm gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	PL1-S1- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) • •		2,017		377,385.83 N/A	N/A		3,668,761.19	3,959,302.69	4,848,044.5	5 6,014,172.42	6,165,517.8	6 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS		7 SDGE	First year annual Therm net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	PL1-S1- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) • •		2,017	-	-118,747.73 N/A	N/A		3,365,102.69	3,602,467.63	4,111,825.50	5,018,666.08	5,233,663.2	3 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS		8 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kW gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	PL1-S1- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) • •		2,017		450,070.56 N/A	N/A		328,863.73	351,907.98	381,064.00	0 518,923.70	538,376.5	8 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS		9 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kW net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	PL1-S1- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) • •		2,017		356,749.65 N/A	N/A		238,002.39	255,052.48	278,172.30	0 380,911.91	387,541.6	1 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS	1	10 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	PL1-S1- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) • •		2,017	3,105,	.546,718.36 N/A	N/A		2,383,262,773.53	2,591,401,077.78	2,648,016,451.3	7 3,213,881,906.88	3,380,830,268.0	8 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS	1	11 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	PL1-S1- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) ••		2,017	2,487,	,711,865.03 N/A	N/A		1,953,734,962.65	2,130,287,264.71	2,175,394,166.24	4 2,612,196,595.11	2,700,201,317.3	7 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS	1	12 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	PL1-S1- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) • •		2,017	-12,	,872,741.24 N/A	N/A		27,876,600.90	30,084,242.38	36,837,230.86	6 45,697,900.64	46,847,879.0	7 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS	1	13 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	PL1-S1- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) • •		2,017	-16,	,920,516.42 N/A	N/A		21,343,246.46	22,848,739.44	26,079,354.6	1 31,831,012.89	33,194,637.5	8 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS - DAC	j	14 SDGE	First year annual kW gross	S3: DAC Savings	Metric	PL2-S3- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in disadvantaged communities • •		2,017		1,571.83 N/A	N/A		744.14	778.12	813.6	5 885.71	901.7	1 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO	D.18-05-041: DAC = Service accounts in z codes corresponding to census tracts in th top quartile of CalEnviroScreen 3.0 scores.	

SAVINGS - DAC	15 SDGE	First year annual kW S3: DAC Savings net	Metric Pt.2-S3- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in disadvantaged communities • •	2,017	1,352.20 N/A	N/A	516.87	541.75	567.83	618.88	624.18 Savings data from Paper Control of CEDARS. Population data From CISCO Cale invisores 3.0 scores.
SAVINGS - DAC	16 SDGE	First year annual S3: DAC Savings kWh gross	Metric Pt.2-S3- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in disadvantaged communities • •	2,017	10,110,951.92 N/A	N/A	3,659,611.51	3,781,576.46	3,907,606.17	4,136,942.47	4,239,085.40 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. census tracts in the population data from CISCO Cale March
SAVINGS - DAC	17 SDGE	First year annual S3: DAC Savings kWh net	Metric Pt.2-S3- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in disadvantaged communities • •	2,017	8,764,539.45 N/A	N/A	2,598,006.38	2,686,246.64	2,777,483.94	2,932,297.68	2,980,082.27 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. to census tracts in the top quartile of CallerivinoScreen 3.0 scores.
SAVINGS - DAC	18 SDGE	First year annual Therm gross S3: DAC Savings	Metric PL2-S3- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in disadvantaged communities • •	2,017	-99,644.59 N/A	N/A	37,425.98	40,460.59	43,741.26	46,568.36	47,139,92 Savings data from Reporting Service accounts in zip Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO CallenviroScreen 3.0 scores.
SAVINGS - DAC	19 SDGE	First year annual S3: DAC Savings Therm net	Metric Pt.2-S3- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in disadvantaged communities • •	2,017	-92,969.31 N/A	N/A	29,650.86	31,445.76	33,349.32	35,357.99	36,084.24 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO CalEnviroScreen 3.0 scores.
SAVINGS - DAC	20 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kW S3: DAC Savings gross	Metric PL2-53- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in disadvantaged communities • •	2,017	17,231.36 N/A	N/A	8,007.92	8,373.58	8,755.93	9,531.31	9,703.51 Savings data from D.18-05-041: DAC = Service accounts in zip Codes corresponding to census tracts in the top quartile of CapARs. Population data from CISCO CalEnviroScreen 3.0 scores.
SAVINGS - DAC	21 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kW S3: DAC Savings net	Metric PL2-53- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in disadvantaged communities • •	2,017	15,302.16 N/A	N/A	5,507.98	5,773.11	6,051.01	6,595.03	6,651.44 Savings data from Paper Reporting CEDARS. Population data from CISCO CallenviroScreen 3.0 scores.
SAVINGS - DAC	22 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh gross S3: DAC Savings	Metric PL2-53- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in disadvantaged communities • •	2,017	126,252,337.62 N/A	N/A	40,115,348.35	41,452,284.40	42,833,776.92	45,347,679.15	46,467,333.22 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO CalenvioScreen 3.0 scores.
SAVINGS - DAC	23 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh net S3: DAC Savings	Metric PL2-S3- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in disadvantaged communities • •	2,017	112,435,014.56 N/A	N/A	28,047,242.43	28,999,855.91	29,984,824.53	31,656,143.89	32,172,011.01 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. to census tracts in the top quartile of Cale from CISCO Cale mivroScreen 3.0 scores.
SAVINGS - DAC	24 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm gross S3: DAC Savings	Metric PL2-S3- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in disadvantaged communities • •	2,017	-1,524,227.45 N/A	N/A	79,197.59	85,619.18	92,561.44	98,543.89	99,753.38 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO 0.18-05-041: DAC = Service accounts in zip codes corresponding to census tracts in the top quartile of CalEnviroScreen 3.0 scores.

SAVINGS - DAC	25 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm net	Metric PL2-S3- First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in disadvantaged communities • •	2,017 -1,413,880.05 N/A	N/A	31,994.15	33,930.91	35,984.90	38,152.31	38,935.95 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO	D.18-05-041: DAC = Service accounts in zip codes corresponding to census tracts in the top quartile of CalEnviroScreen 3.0 scores.	
SAVINGS-HTR	26 SDGE	First year annual kW S4: Hard to reach markets gross	Metric PL3-S4 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in hard-to-reach markets**	2,017 1,571.83 N/A	N/A	744.14	778.12	813.65	885.71	901.71 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO	HTR as defined in Resolution G-3497,	eographic information used or now, as PAs gather other TR characteristics on articipants going forward
SAVINGS-HTR	27 SDGE	First year annual kW S4: Hard to reach markets net	Metric PL3-S4 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in hard-to-reach markets**	2,017 1,352.20 N/A	N/A	516.87	541.75	567.83	618.88	624.18 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO	HTR as defined in Resolution G-3497, H	eographic information used or now, as PAs gather other TR characteristics on articipants going forward
SAVINGS-HTR	28 SDGE	First year annual kWh gross	Metric PL3-S4 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in hard-to-reach markets.	2,017 10,110,951.92 N/A	N/A	3,659,611.51	3,781,576.46	3,907,606.17	4,136,942.47	4,239,085.40 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO	HTR as defined in Resolution G-3497,	eographic information used or now, as PAs gather other TR characteristics on articipants going forward
SAVINGS-HTR	29 SDGE	First year annual kWh net	Metric PL3-S4 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in hard-to-reach markets••	2,017 8,764,539.45 N/A	N/A	2,598,006.38	2,686,246.64	2,777,483.94	2,932,297.68	2,980,082.27 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO	HTR as defined in Resolution G-3497, H	eographic information used or now, as PAs gather other TR characteristics on articipants going forward
SAVINGS-HTR	30 SDGE	First year annual Therm gross S4: Hard to reach markets	Metric PL3-S4 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in hard-to-reach markets**	2,017 -99,644.59 N/A	N/A	37,425.98	40,460.59	43,741.26	46,568.36	47,139.92 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO	HTR as defined in Resolution G-3497,	eographic information used or now, as PAs gather other TR characteristics on articipants going forward
SAVINGS-HTR	31 SDGE	First year annual Therm net S4: Hard to reach markets	Metric PL3-S4 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in hard-to-reach markets**	2,017 -92,969.31 N/A	N/A	29,650.86	31,445.76	33,349.32	35,357.99	36,084.24 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO	HTR as defined in fo Resolution G-3497, H	eographic information used or now, as PAs gather other TR characteristics on articipants going forward

SAVINGS-HTR	32 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kW gross	S4: Hard to reach markets	Metric PL3-S4 - First year annual and lifecycle Fex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in	ortfolio Level (PL)– All Sectors	2,017	17,231.36 N	I/A	N/A	8,007.92	8,373.58	8,755.93	9,531.31	9,703.51 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and	D.18-05-041 p. 43 - HTR as defined in Resolution G-3497,	Geographic information use for now, as PAs gather othe HTR characteristics on
				hard-to-reach markets ••										CEDARS. Population data from CISCO		participants going forward
AVINGS-HTR	33 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kW net	S4: Hard to reach markets	Metric PL3-S4 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in hard-to-reach markets • •	ortfolio Level (PL)— All Sectors	2,017	15,302.16 N,	1/A	N/A	5,507.98	5,773.11	6,051.01	6,595.03	6,651.44 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO	HTR as defined in Resolution G-3497,	Geographic information use for now, as PAs gather othe HTR characteristics on participants going forward
AVINGS-HTR	34 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh gross	S4: Hard to reach markets	Metric PL3-S4 - First year annual and lifecycle exante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in hard-to-reach markets**	ortfolio Level (PL)—All Sectors	2,017	126,252,337.62 N,	I/A	N/A	40,115,348.35	41,452,284.40	42,833,776.92	45,347,679.15	46,467,333.22 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO	HTR as defined in Resolution G-3497,	Geographic information use for now, as PAs gather othe HTR characteristics on participants going forward
VINGS-HTR	35 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh net	S4: Hard to reach markets	Metric PL3-S4 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in hard-to-reach markets••	ortfolio Level (PL)— All Sectors	2,017	112,435,014.56 N,	I/A	N/A	28,047,242.43	28,999,855.91	29,984,824.53	31,656,143.89	32,172,011.01 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO	D.18-05-041 p. 43 - HTR as defined in Resolution G-3497, modified to "include disadvantaged communities (as designated by CalEPA) in the geographic criteria for hard to reach customers."	Geographic information us for now, as PAS gather othe HTR characteristics on participants going forward
IVINGS-HTR	36 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm gross	S4: Hard to reach markets	Metric PL3-S4 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in hard-to-reach markets • •	ortfolio Level (PL)—All Sectors	2,017	-1,524,227.45 N	1/A	N/A	79,197.59	85,619.18	92,561.44	98,543.89	99,753.38 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO	HTR as defined in Resolution G-3497,	Geographic information us for now, as PAs gather oth HTR characteristics on participants going forward
VINGS-HTR	37 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm net	S4: Hard to reach markets	Metric PL3-S4 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) in hard-to-reach markets • •	ortfolio Level (PL)—All Sectors	2,017	-1,413,880.05 N	I/A	N/A	31,994.15	33,930.91	35,984.90	38,152.31	38,935.95 Savings data from Reporting Warehouse and CEDARS. Population data from CISCO	HTR as defined in Resolution G-3497,	Geographic information us for now, as PAS gather oth HTR characteristics on participants going forward
OST PER UNIT SAVED	38 SDGE	PAC Levelized Cost (\$/kW)	Cost per unit saved	efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	ortfolio Level (PL)– All Sectors	2,017	183.52 N	I/A	N/A	290.54	289.08	287.64	286.20	284.77 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
OST PER UNIT SAVED	39 SDGE	(\$/kWh)		Metric PL4-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	ortfolio Level (PL)– All Sectors	2,017	0.03 N		N/A	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
OST PER UNIT SAVED	40 SDGE	PAC Levelized Cost (\$/therm)		efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	ortfolio Level (PL)– All Sectors	2,017	0.23 N		N/A	0.42	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
COST PER UNIT SAVED	41 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost (\$/kW)	Cost per unit saved	Metric PL4-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	ortfolio Level (PL)– All Sectors	2,017	377.00 N	I/A	N/A	409.30	407.25	405.22	403.19	401.17 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	

COST PER UNIT SAVED	42 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost Cost per unit saved (\$/kWh)	Metric PL4-LC - Levelized cost of energy Portfolio Level (PL) - All Sectors efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)+**	2,017	0.05 N/A	N/A	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
COST PER UNIT SAVED	43 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost (\$/therm) Cost per unit saved	Metric PL4-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)**	2,017	0.47 N/A	N/A	0.59	0.58	0.58	0.58	0.57 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	44 SDGE	First year annual kW S1: Energy Savings gross	Metric RSF1-S1-First year annual and lifecycle Residential (RSF) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for Single Family Customers••	2,017	28,889.37 N/A	N/A	38,055.98	39,793.69	41,610.74	45,295.58	46,113.90 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	45 SDGE	First year annual kW 51: Energy Savings net	Metric RSF1-S1-First year annual and lifecycle Residential (RSF) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for Single Family Customers••	2,017	25,177.87 N/A	N/A	35,093.26	36,782.49	38,553.04	42,019.21	42,378.63 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	46 SDGE	First year annual S1: Energy Savings kWh gross	Metric RSF1-S1-First year annual and lifecycle Residential (RSF) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for Single Family Customers**	2,017 17	73,054,024.33 N/A	N/A	70,479,219.39	72,828,101.14	75,255,264.77	79,671,974.93	81,639,110.90 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	47 SDGE	First year annual S1: Energy Savings kWh net	Metric RSF1-S1-First year annual and lifecycle Residential (RSF) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for Single Family Customers••	2,017 14	49,361,661.47 N/A	N/A	53,104,884.40	54,908,570.76	56,773,518.62	59,938,008.72	60,914,755.86 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	48 SDGE	First year annual S1: Energy Savings Therm gross	Metric RSF1-S1-First year annual and lifecycle Residential (RSF) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for Single Family Customers••	2,017	-927,801.42 N/A	N/A	885,322.77	957,107.48	1,034,712.72	1,101,588.49	1,115,108.88 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	49 SDGE	First year annual S1: Energy Savings Therm net	Metric RSF1-S1-First year annual and lifecycle Residential (RSF) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for Single Family Customers••	2,017	-796,706.31 N/A	N/A	701,654.88	744,129.26	789,174.81	836,707.74	853,893.58 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	Clarify that ex ante here means claimed savings
SAVINGS	50 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kW 51: Energy Savings gross	Metric RSF1-S1-First year annual and lifecycle Residential (RSF) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for Single Family Customers••	2,017	276,289.67 N/A	N/A	141,338.44	147,792.22	154,540.69	168,226.02	171,265.23 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	Clarify that ex ante here means claimed savings
SAVINGS	51 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kW S1: Energy Savings net	Metric RSF1-S1-First year annual and lifecycle Residential (RSF) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for Single Family Customers••	2,017	227,602.36 N/A	N/A	100,926.05	105,784.17	110,876.15	120,844.66	121,878.31 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	Clarify that ex ante here means claimed savings
SAVINGS	52 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante KWh gross S1: Energy Savings	Metric RSF1-S1-First year annual and lifecycle Residential (RSF) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for Single Family Customers••	2,017 2,19	98,974,104.58 N/A	N/A	669,008,045.26	691,304,273.87	714,343,575.47	756,268,197.51	774,940,790.66 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	Clarify that ex ante here means claimed savings
SAVINGS	53 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante S1: Energy Savings kWh net	Metric RSF1-S1-First year annual and lifecycle Residential (RSF) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for Single Family Customers • •	2,017 1,84	47,212,081.17 N/A	N/A	424,986,810.57	439,421,319.26	454,346,090.31	479,670,814.62	487,487,508.98 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	Clarify that ex ante here means claimed savings
SAVINGS	54 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante S1: Energy Savings Therm gross	Metric RSF1-S1-First year annual and lifecycle Residential (RSF) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for Single Family Customers • •	2,017 -2	27,815,785.32 N/A	N/A	1,784,810.14	1,929,528.07	2,085,980.18	2,220,801.70	2,248,058.79 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	Clarify that ex ante here means claimed savings
SAVINGS	55 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante 51: Energy Savings Therm net	Metric RSF1-S1-First year annual and lifecycle Residential (RSF) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for Single Family Customers••	2,017 -2	24,466,669.84 N/A	N/A	679,849.34	721,003.73	764,649.39	810,705.12	827,356.87 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	Clarify that ex ante here means claimed savings
GHG	56 SDGE	MT CO2eq GHG	Metric RSF2-G••Greenhouse gasses (MT CO2eq) Net kWh savings, reported on an annual basis••	2,017 73,104	N/A	N/A	25,311.15	26,154.70	27,026.37	28,612.54	29,318.99 Per CEDARS	Definition: Single family are defined as Service account on residential rates, with dwelling code of single family home or single family dwelling.

INTERVENTION - AVG SAVINGS PER PART.	57 SDGE	Lifecycle NET kW	D1: Depth of interventions • • Per downstream participant	Metric RSF3-D1D - Average: participant in both oj programs (broken de downstream, midstri upstream, as feasible	t-in and opt-out vn by am and	Residential (RSF)	2,017	3.58	214,811.70	60,067.00		0.46	0.47	0.49	0.5	2	0.53 D1D: Downstream methodology- •Numerator: Total downstream savings claimed • Denomin ator: Total number of downstream participants	" = lifecycle
INTERVENTION - AVG SAVINGS PER PART.	58 SDGE	Lifecycle NET kWh	D1: Depth of interventions • Per downstream participant	Metric RSF3-D1D - Average: participant in both of programs (broken de downstream, midstr upstream, as feasible	t-in and opt-out vn by am and	Residential (RSF)	2,017	31,031.42	1,863,964,103.68	60,067.00	3	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	3,397.67	3,510.90	3,716.9	3,	808.73 D1D: Downstream methodology- **Numerator: Total downstream savings claimed **Denomin ator: Total number of downstream participants	" = lifecycle
INTERVENTION - AVG SAVINGS PER PART.	59 SDGE	Lifecycle NET Therm	s D1: Depth of interventions • Per downstream participant	Metric RSF3-D1D - Average participant in both of programs (broken do downstream, midstrupstream, as feasible	t-in and opt-out vn by am and	Residential (RSF)	2,017	-458.27	-27,526,892.91	60,067.00		-5.46	-5.64	-5.83	-6.1		-6.32 D1D: Downstream methodology- • Numerator: Total downstream savings claimed • Denomin ator: Total number of downstream participants	" = lifecycle
INTERVENTION - AVG SAVINGS PER PART.	60 SDGE	Lifecycle NET kW	D1: Depth of interventions • Per midstream participant	Metric RSF3-D1M - Average participant in both of programs (broken do downstream, midstru upstream, as feasible	t-in and opt-out vn by am and	Residential (RSF)	2,017 NOT FEA	SIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBL	E NO	T FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	midstream savings the nun claimed ED: "En	nator is not , do you want to just report
INTERVENTION - AVG SAVINGS PER PART.	61 SDGE	Lifecycle NET kWh	D1: Depth of interventions • Per midstream participant	Metric RSF3-D1M - Average participant in both of programs (broked downstream, midstre upstream, as feasible	t-in and opt-out vn by am and	Residential (RSF)	2,017 NOT FEA	SIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBL	E NO	T FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	midstream savings the nun claimed ED: "En	nator is not , do you want to just report
INTERVENTION - AVG SAVINGS PER PART.	62 SDGE	Lifecycle NET Therm	s D1: Depth of interventions • Per midstream participant	Metric RSF3-D1M - Average participant in both op programs (broken do downstream, midstre upstream, as feasible	t-in and opt-out vn by am and	Residential (RSF)	2,017 NOT FEA	SIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBL	E NO	T FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	midstream savings the nun claimed ED: "En	nator is not , do you want to just report
INTERVENTION - AVG SAVINGS PER PART.	63 SDGE	Lifecycle NET kW	D1: Depth of interventions • • Per opt out participant	Metric RSF3-D10 - Average participant in both of programs (broken de downstream, midstrupstream, as feasible	t-in and opt-out vn by am and	Residential (RSF)	2,017	0.02	11,535.00	550,000.00		1.47	1.48	1.57	1.5	\$	Only ex post savings The onl can be claimed. Per program participant savings Will be calculated in social in the EM&V study. neighbo compar ED: "En	n is the Home the 2015 result as ED has deferred completion of the orming through 2016 EM&V Study.

INTERVENTION - AVG SAVINGS PER PART.	64 SDGE	Lifecycle NET kWh	D1: Depth of interventions • • Per opt out participant	Metric	RSF3-D1O - Average savings per participant in both opt-in and opt-out programs (broken down by downstream, midstream and upstream, as feasible) • •	Residential (RSF)	2,017	63.67	35,018,092.0	550,000.0	0	70.65	76	89 80	.00	80.00	80.00 D10 Methodology: Only ex post savings can be claimed. Per participant savings will be calculated in the EM&V study. D10 Key Definitions: 1) Energy Report using will be calculated in the EM&V study. Energy Report using ocial norming through neighborhood comparisons 2) Per ED: "Energy savings" = lifecycle NET savings.
INTERVENTION - AVG SAVINGS PER PART.	65 SDGE	Lifecycle NET Therm	ns D1: Depth of interventions • • Per opt out participant	Metric	RSF3-D10 - Average savings per participant in both opt-in and opt-out programs (broken down by downstream, midstream and upstream, as feasible) • •	Residential (RSF)	2,017	1.42	783,069.0	550,000.0	00	2.06	2	16 2	.50	2.50	2.50 D10 Methodology: Only ex post savings can be claimed. Per participant savings will be calculated in the EM&V study. D10 Key Definitions: 1) Source of data will be ED EM&V Behavior study. SDG&E will use to program is the Home the 2015 result as ED has energy Report using social norming through neighborhood comparisons 2) Per ED: "Energy savings" = lifecycle NET savings.
INTERVENTION - AVG SAVINGS PER PART.	66 SDGE	Lifecycle NET kW	D1: Depth of interventions • • Per upstream participant	Metric	RSF3-D1U- Average savings per participant in both opt-in and opt-out programs (broken down by downstream, midstream and upstream, as feasible) • •	Residential (RSF)	2,017 NOT FEASIBLE	E NC	OT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIE	BLE NOT	FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBL	E D1U: Upstream methodology—NOT feASIBLE* Numera feasible, do you want tor: Total upstream savings claimed* Denomin Eator: (not available) inumber or sector of of upstream participants
INTERVENTION - AVG SAVINGS PER PART.	67 SDGE	Lifecycle NET kWh	D1: Depth of interventions • • Per upstream participant	Metric	RSF3-D1U- Average savings per participant in both opt-in and opt-out programs (broken down by downstream, midstream and upstream, as feasible) • •	Residential (RSF)	2,017 NOT FEASIBLE	E NO	OT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIE	BLE NOT	FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBL	E D1U: Upstream methodology—NOT denominator is not FEASIBLE*-Numera feasible, do you want too: Total upstream savings claimed*-Denomin ED: "Energy savings" = ator: (not available) number or sector of of upstream participants
INTERVENTION - AVG SAVINGS PER PART.	68 SDGE	Lifecycle NET Therm	ns D1: Depth of interventions • • Per upstream participant	Metric	RSF3-D1U- Average savings per participant in both opt-in and opt-out programs (broken down by downstream, midstream and upstream, as feasible) • •	Residential (RSF)	2,017 NOT FEASIBLE	E NO	OT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIB	BLE NOT	FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBLE	NOT FEASIBL	E D1U: Upstream methodology— NOT denominator is not FEASIBLE **Numera feasible, do you want tor: Total upstream savings claimed** Denomin ED: "Energy savings" = ator: (not available) number or sector of of upstream participants

PENETRATION	69 SDGE	Percent	P1: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market ••Percent of Participation		RSF-P1••Percent of participation relative to eligible population••	Residential (RSF)	2,017		0.74	610,081.00	824,937.00		0.70	0.71	0	.72	0.70	0.66 P1 Methodology: • Numerator: Number of downstream participants) • Denominator: total number of service accounts in	Definition: "Eligible population" refers to Total number of service accounts in sector/segment, excluding CARE. "Participation" is defined as the first instance of participation, should a customer participate more than once or participate in multiple programs in the calendar year. PAs also need to have enough information about a customer to determine if the customer is in the eligible population and service territory. ••	
PENETRATION - DAC	70 SDGE	Percent	P3: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market - DAC		RSF-P3 - Percent of participation in disadvantaged communities • •	Residential (RSF)	2,017		0.01	221.00	23,827.00		0.01	0.01	0	.01	0.01	0.01 Numerator: Number of participants in disadvantaged communitiese enominator: Total number of customers in disadvantaged communities.	D.18-05-041: DAC = Service accounts in zip codes corresponding to census tracts in the top quartile of CalEnviroScreen 3.0 scores.	
PENETRATION -HTR	71 SDGE	Percent	P4: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the HTR market	Metric	RSF-P4 - Percent of participation by customers defined as "hard-to-reach" • •	Residential (RSF)	2,017		0.01	221.00	23,827.00		0.01	0.01	0	.01	0.01	0.01 P4 Methodology: • • Nu merator: number o participants in HTR geographic area • • Denominato	HTR as defined in f Resolution G-3497, modified to "include disadvantaged r communities (as designated by CalEPA)	Geographic information used for now, as PAs gather other HTR characteristics on participants going forward
COST PER UNIT SAVED	72 SDGE	PAC Levelized Cost (\$/kW)	Cost per unit saved	Metric	RSF-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Residential (RSF)	2,017	1	81.57 N/A	N	/A		233.49	232.32	231	.16	230.01	228.86 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
COST PER UNIT SAVED	73 SDGE	(\$/kWh)	Cost per unit saved	Metric	RSF-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Residential (RSF)	2,017		0.02 N/A		/A		0.05	0.05	0	.05	0.05	0.05 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
COST PER UNIT SAVED	74 SDGE	PAC Levelized Cost (\$/therm)	Cost per unit saved	Metric	efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••		2,017		0.21 N/A		/A		0.13	0.13		.13	0.13	0.13 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
COST PER UNIT SAVED	75 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost (\$/kW)	Cost per unit saved		RSF-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Residential (RSF)	2,017		05.04 N/A		/A		357.02	355.24			351.69	349.93 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
COST PER UNIT SAVED	76 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost (\$/kWh)	Cost per unit saved	Metric	RSF-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Residential (RSF)	2,017		0.05 N/A	N	/A		0.08	0.08	0	.08	0.08	0.08 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
COST PER UNIT SAVED	77 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost (\$/therm)	Cost per unit saved	Metric	RSF-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Residential (RSF)	2,017		0.47 N/A	N	/A		0.20	0.20	0	.20	0.20	0.20 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
INTENSITY	78 SDGE	Btu	Energy intensity per SF household	Indicator	RSF-EI1(Indicator) - Average energy us intensity of single family homes (average usage per household – not adjusted)**	e Residential (RSF)	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indic	cator N	/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A -	Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Ind		Definition: Household refers to a service t account	

INTENSITY	79 SDGE	Btu		Indicator RMF-E12[Indicator] - and Average energy use intensity of multifamily units. including in-unit accounts)	Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)		N/A - Indicator	Numerator: Total usage of Res MF sector**-Denomin ator: total units in Res MF sector	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.						
INTENSITY	80 SDGE	Btu	Energy Intensity per MF unit square foot	Indicator RMF-E13(indicator) Average energy until the provided in the provided		or N/A - Indicator	Numerator: Total usage of Res MF sector••••Denomin ator: average number of units in MF building times average square footage of MF units	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.							
BENCHMARKING	81 SDGE	Percent	B1: MF Benchmarking Penetration	Metric RMF-B1 - Percent of benchmarked multi-family properties relative to the eligible population****		2,017	262.0	0 6,345.55		0.01 0.	0.00	0.	01	0.01 Total benchmarked units in RMF sector • Total number of service account in RMF sector • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
BENCHMARKING -HTR	82 SDGE	Percent	B6: Benchmarking of HTR Properties	Metric B6(RMF) - Percent of benchmarking b properties defined as "hard-to-reach" • • • •	y Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	.07 16.9	4 239.07		0.02 0.	.02 0.	0.	02	0.02 Benchmarking per Portfolio Manager. Service accounts x premise IDs in HTR market•••Proxy, if characteristics other than geo location aren't known, develop proxy using just geo location.••	Geographic information used for now, as PAs gather other HTR characteristics on participants going forward

PENETRATION	83 SDGE	Percent	P1: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market ••Percent of Participation		RMF-P1P **Percent of participation relative to eligible population (by unit, and property)**	Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	0.06	351.00	6,345.55	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	Number of downstream participating properties (service accounts x premise ID) Denominator: total number of properties (service accounts x premise ID) in the sector.	as the first instance of participation, should a customer participate more than once or participate in multiple programs in the calendar year. PAs also need to have enough information about a customer to determine if the customer is in	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE GSCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
PENETRATION	84 SDGE	Percent	P1: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market ••Percent of Participation		RMF-P1U ••Percent of participation relative to eligible population (by unit, and property)••	Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	0.06	26,219.00	474,000.00	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	Number of downstream participating MF units (this may be self-reported on application for building-level retrofits) Denominator: total number of units (service accounts x premise	as the first instance of participation, should a customer participate more than once or participate in multiple programs in the calendar year. PAs also need to have enough information about a customer to determine if the customer is in the eligible population and service territory. • •	SOGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SOGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
PENETRATION	85 SDGE	Percent	P2: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in terms of square feet of eligible population	Metric	RMF-P2 - Percent of square feet of eligible population participating (by property)**	Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	0.06	21,683,113.00	391,998,000.00	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04 P2 Methodology: ****Numerator: square footage of participating service accounts (x Premise IDS)****Denominat or: Square footage of all eligible accounts (x Premise IDS)		SOGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
PENETRATION - DAC	86 SDGE	Percent	P3: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market - DAC		RMF-P3 - Percent of participation in disadvantaged communities • •	Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	0.04	1,160.00	30,661.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Number of participants in disadvantaged communities. •••• D enominator: Total	Service accounts in zip codes corresponding to census tracts in the top quartile of	Geographic information used for now, as PAs gather other HTR characteristics on participants going forward
PENETRATION -HTR	87 SDGE	Percent	P4: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the HTR market	Metric	RMF-P4•• Percent of participation by customers defined as "hard-to-reach"••	Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	0.04	1,160.00	30,661.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 P4 Methodology:••Nu merator: number of participants in HTR geographic area* Denominator : Total number of service accounts in HTR geographic	HTR as defined in Resolution G-3497, modified to "include disadvantaged communities (as designated by CalEPA)	Geographic information used for now, as PAs gather other HTR characteristics on participants going forward

INTERVENTION - SAV PER PROJECT	88 SDGE	Lifecycle NET kW	building	Metric	therms) per project (building)****	Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	2.88	8,435.96	2,931.22	5.92	6.12	6.32	6.69	6.86 ••D3 Methodology:••Na merator: Total Savings claimed for MF building retrofits • Denomin ator: Number of buildings that have been eterofitted, per application. per application. MEMAZEV tam to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square fotoage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF building level; building will also look at number of MF building in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine average square fotoage, buildings and units per property. Will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine average square fotoage, buildings and units per property.
INTERVENTION - SAV PER PROJECT	89 SDGE	Lifecycle NET kWh	D3: Depth of interventions per building	Metric	RMF-D3 - Energy savings (kWh, kw, therms) per project (building)****	Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	27,454.69	80,475,791.03	2,931.22	22,756.95	23,515.38	24,299.08	25,725.19	26,360.35 •• D3 Methodology:•• Nu merator: Total Savings claimed for MF building retrofits•• Denomin ator: Number of buildings that have been retrofitted, per application. per application. D3 Key Definitions: EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will likely b
INTERVENTION - SAV PER PROJECT	90 SDGE	Lifecycle NET Therm	is D3: Depth of interventions per building	Metric	RMF-D3 - Energy savings (kWh, kw, therms) per project (building)****	Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	318.51	933,632.47	2,931.22	227.58	235.16	243.00	257.26	Methodology: • Nu Project applications: Methodology: • Nu Project applications merator: Total Savings claimed for MF building retrofits: • Denomin ator: Number of buildings that have been retrofitted, per application. Methodology: • Nu Project applications are made at the make the Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study buildings in SDGE service applications • "Energy savings" = Lifecycle NET savings SDGE will work with the SW EM&V Will will work with the SW EM&V Will will work with the SW EM&V Will will will will have a made at the Market Characterization study to determine average square for loage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will
INTERVENTION - SAV PER PROJECT	91 SDGE	Lifecycle NET kW	D4: Depth of interventions per property	Metric	RMF-D4 - Average savings per participant Savings per project (property)**	Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	14.46	4,960.08	343.00	10.79	11.15	11.52	12.20	12.50 • • • D4 Methodology: • • Nu merator - Total downstream be nominator - number of participating properties (i.e., premise ID x service account): • esservice account): • esservice account): • esservice be ABGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory.
INTERVENTION - SAV PER PROJECT	92 SDGE	Lifecycle NET kWh	D4: Depth of interventions per property	Metric	RMF-D4 - Average savings per participant Savings per project (property)**	Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	213,320.54	73,168,944.66	343.00	41,669.51	43,058.24	44,493.26	47,104.55	48,267.58 • 104 Methodology: • 10µ Methodology: • 10µ Merator - Total downstream savings • • • • Denominator - number of participating properties (i.e., premise ID x service saccount) • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

INTERVENTION - SAV PER PROJECT	93 SDGE	Lifecycle NET Therms D4: Depth of interventions per property	participant Savings per project (property) • •	Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	2,166.80	743,212.50	343.00	274.12	283.25	292.69	309.87	Methodology:••Nu (property) "is defined by a unique downstream savings ••••Denominator - number of participating properties (i.e., premise ID x service account)••	Market Characterization study to determine average square te-footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K finis study will also look at number of MF buildings in SOGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SOGE GISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property, and buildings per property.
INTERVENTION SAV PER SQR FOOT	94 SDGE	Lifecycle NET kW D5: Depth of interventions • • Per square foot	Metric RMF-D5•• Energy savings (kWh, ku therms) per square foot••	v, Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	0.00	4,960.08	21,817,087.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 DS Methodology: ••Numerator] Total downstream savings ••••Denominator] Total number of MF service accounts participating. x average square footage of MF service account.	SDGE will work with the SW EMAV team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property, and buildings per property.
INTERVENTION SAV PER SQR FOOT	95 SDGE	Lifecycle NET kWh D5: Depth of interventions • • Per square foot	Metric RMF-D5•• Energy savings (kWh, kv therms) per square foot••	v, Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	3.35	73,168,944.66	21,817,087.00	2.69	2.78	2.87	3.04	3.11 D5 Methodology: • [Numerator] Total downstream savings •••• [Denominator] Total number of MF service accounts participating. x average square footage of MF service account.	ENDEC will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SOGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SOGE CSCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per buildings, units per property, and buildings per property, and buildings per property.
INTERVENTION SAV PER SQR FOOT	96 SDGE	Lifecycle NET Therms D5: Depth of interventions • • Per square foot	Metric RMF-D5•• Energy savings (kWh, kv therms) per square foot••	v, Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	0.03	743,212.50	21,817,087.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02 D5 Methodology: ••(Numerator) Total downstream savings ••••(Denominator) Total number of MF service accounts participating. x average square footage of MF service account.	ENDER will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DEFECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.

SAVINGS	97 SDGE	First year annual kW gross	S1: Energy Savings		RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts)	(RMF)	2,017	1,261.3	N/A	N/A	2,045.61	2,139.01	2,236.68	2,434.75	2,478.74 Savings calcu using CET; M designation depends on F database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per buildings, units per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	98 SDGE	First year annual kW net	S1: Energy Savings		RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	1,008.44	N/A	N/A	1,879.22	1,969.67	2,064.48	2,250.09	2,269.34 Savings calcu using CET; M designation depends on F database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a NF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study y will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	99 SDGE	First year annual kWh gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	8,790,291.9:	NA	N/A	4,163,975.67	4,302,749.71	4,446,148.72	4,707,091.93	4,823,312.10 Savings calcu using CET; M designation depends on F database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, ma	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a NF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	100 SDGE	First year annual kWh net	S1: Energy Savings		RMF-51-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand sawings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	6,222,601.8:	N/A	N/A	3,152,613.07	3,259,690.32	3,370,404.41	3,558,266.85	3,616,252.21 Savings calcu using CET; M designation depends on F database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, ma	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a NF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.

SAVINGS	101 SDGE	First year annual Therm gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecyc ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric and demand savings (gross and net) multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) ••	(RMF)	2,017	81,853.14	N/A	N/A S	0,326.73	54,407.38	58,818.89	62,620.49	63,389.07 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per buildings, per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	102 SDGE	First year annual Therm net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecyc ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric and demand savings (gross and the multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	59,956.29	N/A	N/A	9,616.65	42,014.83	44,558.17	47,241.97	48,212.31 Savings calculated using CET, MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAS, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	103 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kV gross	V S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecyc ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric and demand savings (gross and not) multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	5,258.33	N/A	N/A	7,306.41	7,640.03	7,988.89	8,696.34	8,853.45 Savings calculated using CET, MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	104 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kV net	V S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecyc ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric and demand savings (gross and net) multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	3,678.91	N/A	N/A	5,396.14	5,655.89	5,928.14	6,461.11	6,516.38 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SOGE will work with the SW EMSV team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.

SAVINGS	105 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh gross	S1: Energy Savings	e: ai m	IMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle x-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for nultifamily customers (in-unit), ommon area, and master metered ccounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	84,345,752.93	N/A	N/A	34,835,587.67	35,996,563.58	37,196,231.69	39,379,267.98	40,351,559.36 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE closs conjunction with SDGE conductive or the study will also the study will be supposed to the study of the study o
SAVINGS	106 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh net	S1: Energy Savings	e: ai m	iMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle x-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, the demand savings (gross and net) for nultifamily customers (in-unit, ommon area, and master metered ccounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	52,696,655.67	N/A	N/A	21,815,455.06	22,556,408.35	23,322,527.82	24,622,498.49	25,023,745.63 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAS, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SIGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SIGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SIGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	107 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm gross	S1: Energy Savings	e: ai m	MF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle w-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, und demand savings (gross and net) for nultifamily customers (in-unit, ommon area, and master metered ccounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	639,599.92	N/A	N/A	195,129.60	210,951.31	228,055.90	242,795.65	245,775.62 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	108 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm net	S1: Energy Savings	e: ai m	iMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle x-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, und demand savings (gross and net) for nultifamily customers (in-unit, ommon area, and master metered ccounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	331,812.44	N/A	N/A	120,004.51	127,268.93	134,973.10	143,102.69	146,042.00 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF MARKE Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.

SAVINGS	109 SDGE	First year annual kW gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifer ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, elect and demand savings (gross and ne multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metere accounts)**	t) for	2,017	103.39	N/A N/A	174,5		190.84	207.74	211.49 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	110 SDGE	First year annual kW net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-51-First year annual and lifec ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, elect and demand savings (gross and ne multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metere accounts)**	t) for	2,017	82.66	N/A N/A	160.34	168.06	176.15	191.98	193.62 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAS, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	111 SDGE	First year annual kWh gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifect ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, elect and demand savings (gross and ne multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metere accounts) • •	t) for	2,017	720,513.44	N/A N/A	355,277.31	367,117.81	379,352.86	401,616.97	411,533.07 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	112 SDGE	First year annual kWh net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifect ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, elect and demand savings (gross and ne multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metere accounts)**	t) for	2,017	510,047.70	N/A N/A	268,986.2:	8 278,122.24	287,568.55	303,597.29	308,544.69 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SOGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SOGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per

SAVINGS	113 SDGE	First year annual Therm gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecyc ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric and demand savings (gross and net) multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	, (RMF)	2,017	6,709.25	N/A I	M/A 4,293	96 4,642.13	5,018.53	5,342.89	5,408.46 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE conductive to the study will also the study will be some study of the study o
SAVINGS	114 SDGE	First year annual Therm net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecyc ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric and demand sawings (gross and net) multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	, (RMF)	2,017	4,914.43	N/A	J/A 3,380	16 3,584.78	3,801.78	4,030.76	4,113.55 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
GHG	115 SDGE	MT CO2eq	GHG	Metric RMF-G•• Greenhouse gasses (MT COZeq) Net kWh savings, reported of an annual basis••	Residential Sector – Multi-family (RMF)	2,017	3,115.11	N/A	J/A 1,756	67 1,815.22	1,875.71	1,985.80	2,034.83 Per CEDARS	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SOGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SOGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	116 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kt gross	V S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-51-First year annual and lifecyc ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric and demand sawings (gross and et) multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	, (RMF)	2,017	431.01	N/A	//A 623	39 651.86	681.63	741.99	755.39 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.	SOGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per property, and buildings per property.

SAVINGS	117 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kW net	S1: Energy Savings	RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	301,51	N/A	N/A	460.41	482.57	505.80	551.27	555.99 Savings calc using CET; M designation depends on database	building or property A with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, ma	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study y will also look at number of MF guildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per buildings per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	118 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh gross	S1: Energy Savings	RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	6,913,564.20	N/A	N/A	2,972,230.68	3,071,287.09	3,173,644.78	3,359,905.09	3,442,862.62 Savings calc using CET; h designation depends on database	family refers to any building or property A with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, ma	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a NF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study y will also look at number of MF g buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	119 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh net	S1: Energy Savings	RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	4,319,384.2	N/A	N/A	1,861,331.16	1,924,550.54	1,989,917.13	2,100,832.81	2,135,067.88 Savings calc using CET; h designation depends on database	family refers to any building or property A with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, ma	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a NF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study y will also look at number of MF g buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	120 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm gross	S1: Energy Savings	RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	52,426.01	N/A	N/A	16,648.78	17,998.72	19,458.11	20,715.73	20,969.99 Savings calc using CET; h designation depends on database	building or property A with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, ma	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a NF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study y will also look at number of MF buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.

SAVINGS	121 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm net	S1: Energy Savings		RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts).	(RMF)	2,017	27,197.6	S N/A	N/A	10,238.99	10,858.80	11,516.13	12,209.76	12,460.55 Savings cal using CET; designatio depends o database	building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, ma	SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study will also look at number of MF g buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per
SAVINGS	122 SDGE	First year annual kW gross	7 S1: Energy Savings		RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts).	(RMF)	2,017	1,364.7	N/A	N/A	2,220	2,322	2,428	2,642.5	2,690.2 Savings cal using CET; designatio depends o database	building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, ma	property. SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study y will also look at number of MF guildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	123 SDGE	First year annual kW net	7 S1: Energy Savings	Metric	RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	1,091.0	N/A	N/A	2,040	2,138	2,241	2,442.1	2,463.0 Savings cal using CET; designation depends o database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, ma	EMBERGE STORE WILLIAM SOUR STORE WILLIAM SOUR STORE WILLIAM SOUR STORE S
SAVINGS	124 SDGE	First year annual kWh gross	S1: Energy Savings		RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	9,510,805.3	N/A	N/A	4,519,253	4,669,868	4,825,502	5,108,708.9	5,234,845.2 Savings cal using CET; designation depends o database	IF family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, ma	property. SDGE will work with the SW EM&V team to conduct a MF Market Characterization study to determine average square footage, buildings and units per property, etc. The study cost will likely be 100K This study y will also look at number of MF g buildings in SDGE service territory. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISCO and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.

SAVINGS	125 SDGE	First year annual kWh net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecy ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electr and demand savings (gross and net multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master meterec accounts) • •	, (RMF)	2,017	6,732,649.5:	N/A	N/A 3,421,5	99 3,537,813	3,657,973	3,861,864.1	3,924,796.9 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units. Begin to be a considerable of the purpose of program strategy, may will likely be 100 KT his st will also look at number define MF as requiring more than 2 units. Begin to conduct: Market Characterization with suffect of the programs state units per buildings and units per buildings, units property, and buildings in SDE service and Programs data to determine square footag units per building, units property, and buildings in SDE service.
SAVINGS	126 SDGE	First year annual Therm gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecy ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electr and demand savings (gross and net multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master meterec accounts) • •	(RMF)	2,017	88,562.44	N/A	N/A 54,620	59,049.50	63,837.42	67,963.38	68,797.53 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	Definition: Multi- family refers to any building or property. Definition: Multi- family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may will also look at number define MF as requiring more than 2 units. DETECTENT 2016 data to determine square footag units per building, units property.
SAVINGS	127 SDGE	First year annual Therm net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecy ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electr and demand savings (gross and net multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	, (RMF)	2,017	64,870.77	N/A	N/A 42,991	45,599.60	48,359.95	51,272.73	52,325.86 Savings calculated using CET, MF designation depends on PA database	Definition: Multi- family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units. BY AND TO SEE WILLIAM TO THE STORY WILL
SAVINGS	128 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kV gross	v S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecy ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, election and demands awings (gross and net multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	, (RMF)	2,017	5,689.3	N/A	N/A 7,92:	8,291.89	8,670.51	9,438.33	9,608.85 Savings calculated using CET, MF designation depends on PA database	

SAVINGS	129 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kW net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-SI-First year annual and lifecycle Residential Sector – Multi-family ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	2,017	3,980.45 N/A	N/A	5,856.55	6,138.46	6,433.93	7,012.39	7,072.37 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	Definition: Multi- family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing funits. Some PAs, for the purposes of define MF as requiring more than 2 units. The subject of program strategy, may define MF as requiring funits. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units. The subject of program strategy. Here we used DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISC and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property.
SAVINGS	130 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	2,017	9,510,805.00 N/A	N/A	3,419,490.31	3,533,452.67	3,651,213.09	3,865,501.76	3,960,942.69 Savings calculated using CET, MF designation depends on PA database	Definition: Multi- family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units. DETECTENT 2016 data in conjunction with SDGE CISC and Programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property, and buildings per property.
SAVINGS	131 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demands awings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	2,017	57,016,039.90 N/A	N/A	23,676,786.22	24,480,958.89	25,312,444.96	26,723,331.29	27,158,813.52 Savings calculated using CET, MF designation depends on PA database	Definition: Multi- family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units. See Summer of the purpose of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units. See Summer of the purpose of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units. See Summer of the purpose of programs data to determine square footage, units per building, units per property, and buildings per property.
COST PER UNIT SAVED	132 SDGE	PAC Levelized Cost (\$/kW)	Cost per unit saved	Metric RMF-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC) •	2,017	1,106.42 N/A	N/A	587.09	584.15	581.23	578.33	575.44 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
COST PER UNIT SAVED	133 SDGE	PAC Levelized Cost (\$/kWh)	Cost per unit saved	Metric RMF-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC) •	2,017	0.12 N/A	N/A	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
COST PER UNIT SAVED	134 SDGE	PAC Levelized Cost (\$/therm)	Cost per unit saved	Metric RMF-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC) •	2,017	0.95 N/A	N/A	0.98	0.98	0.97	0.97	0.97 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
COST PER UNIT SAVED	135 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost (\$/kW)	Cost per unit saved	Metric RMF-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC) •	2,017	1,368.11 N/A	N/A	716.45	712.87	709.31	705.76	702.23 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
COST PER UNIT SAVED	136 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost (\$/kWh)	Cost per unit saved	Metric RMF-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC) •	2,017	0.15 N/A	N/A	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.18 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
COST PER UNIT SAVED	137 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost (\$/therm)	Cost per unit saved	Metric RMF-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC) •	2,017	1.18 N/A	N/A	1.20	1.20	1.19	1.18	1.18 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	138 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts)**	2,017	692,025.98 N/A	N/A	211,778.38	228,950.03	247,514.01	263,511.39	266,745.60 Savings calculated using CET, MF designation depends on PA database	Definition: Multi- family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.

SAVINGS	139 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric RMF-S1-First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, (RMF) and demand savings (gross and net) for multifamily customers (in-unit, common area, and master metered accounts) • •	2,017	359,010.10 N/A		N/A	130,243.50	138,127.73	146,489.24	155,312.46	158,502.55 Savings calculated using CET; MF designation depends on PA database	Definition: Multi- family refers to any building or property with at least two residential housing units. Some PAs, for the purposes of program strategy, may define MF as requiring more than 2 units.
SAVINGS	140 SDGE	First year annual kW gross	/ S1: Energy Savings	Metric C-S1•• - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net)••	2,017	15,689.03 N/A		N/A	20,052.90	20,968.55	21,926.01	23,867.67	24,298.87 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	141 SDGE	First year annual kW net	/ S1: Energy Savings	Metric C-S1•• - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net)••	2,017	11,638.53 N/A		N/A	12,754.84	13,368.80	14,012.32	15,272.12	15,402.75 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	142 SDGE	First year annual kWh gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric C-S1•• - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net)••	2,017	75,323,686.86 N/A		N/A	94,291,378.79	97,433,855.41	100,681,062.27	106,590,005.29	109,221,759.22 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	143 SDGE	First year annual kWh net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric C-S1•• - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net)••	2,017	53,379,695.97 N/A		N/A	58,318,476.79	60,299,240.76	62,347,280.59	65,822,445.72	66,895,085.38 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	144 SDGE	First year annual Therm gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric C-S1•• - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net)••	2,017	867,037.12 N/A		N/A	1,067,270.53	1,153,808.13	1,247,362.46	1,327,982.26	1,344,281.30 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	145 SDGE	First year annual Therm net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric C-S1•• - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net)••	2,017	430,918.04 N/A		N/A	582,666.70	617,938.18	655,344.81	694,817.00	709,088.42 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	146 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kW gross	/ S1: Energy Savings	Metric C-S1•• - First year annual and lifecycle commercial Sector (C) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net)••	2,017	126,103.49 N/A		N/A	219,568.99	229,594.92	240,078.65	261,338.79	266,060.20 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	147 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kW net	/ S1: Energy Savings	Metric C-S1•• - First year annual and lifecycle Commercial Sector (C) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net)••	2,017	93,916.09 N/A		N/A	138,643.06	145,316.72	152,311.61	166,005.45	167,425.38 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	148 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric C-S1•• - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net)••	2,017	610,864,877.19 N/A		N/A	1,031,060,456.31	1,065,422,912.50	1,100,930,576.40	1,165,543,880.00	1,194,321,669.07 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	149 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric C-S1•• - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net)••	2,017	437,305,276.79 N/A		N/A	635,527,368.03	657,112,803.35	679,431,379.44	717,302,096.79	728,991,220.01 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	150 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm gross	S1: Energy Savings	Metric C-S1•• - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net)••	2,017	10,653,838.09 N/A		N/A	12,146,941.39	13,131,852.98	14,196,624.25	15,114,183.54	15,299,688.04 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	151 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric C-S1•• - First year annual and lifecycle commercial Sector (C) ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net)••	2,017	5,365,354.17 N/A		N/A	6,637,439.16	7,039,233.74	7,465,350.78	7,914,997.66	8,077,570.40 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS - PERCENT	152 SDGE	Percent first year annual kW gross	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral Savings	Metric C-S2 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) as a percentage of overall sectoral usage**	2,017	0.01	15,689.03	1,549,130.51	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02 S2 Methodology: ••N merator = Metric C ••Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database	
SAVINGS - PERCENT	153 SDGE	Percent first year annual kW net	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral Savings	Metric C-S2 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) as a percentage of overall sectoral usage • •	2,017	0.01	11,638.53	1,549,130.51	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01 S2 Methodology: • • Ni merator = Metric C • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database	None u C1
SAVINGS - PERCENT	154 SDGE	Percent first year annual kWh gross	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral Savings	Metric C-S2 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) as a percentage of overall sectoral usage • •	2,017	0.01	75,323,686.86	7,432,879,926.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01 S2 Methodology: ••Ni merator = Metric Ci ••Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database	

SAVINGS - PERCENT 15	55 SDGE	Percent first year	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral	Metric	C-S2 - First year annual and lifecycle	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.01	53,379,695.97	7,432,879,926.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01 S2	None	
		annual kWh net	Savings		ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) as a percentage of overall sectoral usage••										Methodology: • Nu merator = Metric CJ • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database		
SAVINGS - PERCENT 15	66 SDGE	Percent first year annual Therm gross	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral Savings	Metric	C-S2 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) as a percentage of overall sectoral usage.	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.00	867,037.12	196,641,536.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01 S2 Methodology: • • Nu merator = Metric C1 • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database		
SAVINGS - PERCENT 15	57 SDGE	Percent first year annual Therm net	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral Savings	Metric	C-S2 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) as a percentage of overall sectoral usage**	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.00	430,918.04	196,641,536.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 SZ Methodology: • Nu merator = Metric CI • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database		
SAVINGS - PERCENT 15	SS SDGE	Percent lifecycle ex- ante kW gross	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral Savings	Metric	C-52 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) as a percentage of overall sectoral usage••	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.08	126,103.49	1,549,130.51	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.18 S2 Methodology: • Nu merator = Metric C1 • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database		This comparison doesn't make theoretical sense. There is no appropriate way to measure system lifecycle KW. So while reduction has a lifecycle, IE customer programs savings, the denominator is an instantaneous measurement.
SAVINGS - PERCENT 15	s9 SDGE	Percent lifecycle ex- ante kW net	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral Savings	Metric	C-S2 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) as a percentage of overall sectoral usage	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.06	93,916.09	1,549,130.51	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.12 S2 Methodology: • • Nu merator = Metric C1 • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database		
SAVINGS - PERCENT 16	50 SDGE	Percent lifecycle ex- ante kWh gross	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral Savings	Metric	C-S2 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) as a percentage of overall sectoral usage	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.08	610,864,877.19	7,432,879,926.00	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.16 S2 Methodology: • • Nu merator = Metric CI • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database		
SAVINGS - PERCENT 16	SI SDGE	Percent lifecycle ex- ante kWh net	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral Savings	Metric	C-S2 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) as a percentage of overall sectoral usage • •	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.06	437,305,276.79	7,432,879,926.00	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10 S2 Methodology: • Nu merator = Metric C1 • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database		
SAVINGS - PERCENT 16	SDGE	Percent lifecycle ex- ante Therm gross	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral Savings	Metric	C-S2 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) as a percentage of overall sectoral usage • •	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.05	10,653,838.09	196,641,536.00	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07 S2 Methodology; ••Nu merator = Metric C1 ••Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database		
SAVINGS - PERCENT 16	SDGE	Percent lifecycle ex- ante Therm net	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral Savings	Metric	C-S2 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) as a percentage of overall sectoral usage • •	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.03	5,365,354.17	196,641,536.00	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04 S2 Methodology: • • Nu merator = Metric C1 • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database		
GHG 16	54 SDGE	metric ton	GHG	Metric	C-G••Greenhouse gasses (MT CO2eq) Net kWh savings, reported on an annual basis	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	34,501.63	N/A	I/A	38,121.46	39,391.94	40,704.77	43,093.72	44,157.72		
INTERVENTION - SAV PERCENT CONSUMP	SDGE	Percent	D2: Depth of interventions by project	Metric	Energy savings (gross kWh, therms) as a fraction of total project consumption.	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.03	99,736,892.96	3,647,470,365.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02 D2 Methodology (ED Ok)**Numerator: Energy savings claimed for project** Denomina tor: Energy Usage Baseline on application, against which project savings is calculated.	Definition: "Project" is defined as "per application"	

INTERVENTION - SAV PERCENT	166 SDGE	Dannant	D2: Depth of interventions by	Metric Energy savings (gross kWh, therms) as Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.00	1,153,760.60	13,062,233.00	0.00	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09 D2 Methodology Definition: "Project" is
INTERVENTION - SAV PERCENT CONSUMP	166 2005	Percent	D2: Depth of interventions by project	Metric Energy savings (gross kWh, therms) as Commercial Sector (C) a fraction of total project consumption.	2,017	0.09	1,153,760.60	13,002,233.00	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	(ED Ok)**Numerator: Energy savings claimed for project**Denomina tor: Energy Usage Baseline on application, against which project savings is calculated.
PENETRATION - SML	167 SDGE	Percent	P1: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market ••Percent of Participation	Metric •••CP1M•••Percent of participation relative to eligible population for small, medium, and large customers••	2,017	0.04	3,799.20	92,481.35	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.11 P1 Methodology: • Numerator: Number of downstream participating (service accounts x premise ID) • Denominator: total number of (service accounts x premise IDs) in the sector. It be ligible population and service territory.•• Participation is defined as SDGE is working to categorize as the first instance of commercial customers by KW consistently between program participants and population. Here we used a percentage for called a participants and population. Here we used a percentage for leave used a percentage for service accounts x premise IDs) in the sector.
PENETRATION - SML	168 SDGE	Percent	P1: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market ••Percent of Participation	Metric C-P1MPercent of participation relative to eligible population for small, medium, and large customers	2,017	0.04	793.04	19,304.41	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.11	O.11 P1 Methodology: Number of Number of downstream participating **Permise ID) **Openminator: total number of (service accounts x premise IDs) in the sector. **December of total number of (service accounts x premise IDs) in the sector. **December of total number of (service accounts x premise IDs) in the sector. **December of total number of (service accounts x premise IDs) in the sector. **December of total number of (service accounts x premise IDs) in the sector. **December of total number of (service accounts x premise IDs) in the eligible population and service territory.** **Permise IDs) in the sector. **Permise IDs) in the
PENETRATION - SML	169 SDGE	Percent	P1: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market ••Percent of Participation	Metric •C-P11••Percent of participation relative to eligible population for small, medium, and large customers••	2,017	0.04	60.99	1,484.61	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.11	O.11 P1 Methodology: • Number of downstream participating (service accounts x premise IDs) in the sector. In the deligible population and service territory. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
PENETRATION	170 SDGE	Percent	P2: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in terms of square feet of eligible population	Metric C-P2 - Percent of square feet of eligible Commercial Sector (C) population • •	2,017	0.16	75,504,152.30	482,328,195.18	0.36	0.37	0.38	0.40	0.41 P2 Methodology: ••••Numerator: square footage of participating service accounts (x Premise IDs)•••Denominat or: Square footage of all eligible accounts (x Premise IDs)•••Venominat or: Square footage of all eligible accounts (x Premise IDs)•••Venominat or: Square footage of all eligible accounts (x Premise IDs)•••Venominat or: Square footage of all eligible accounts (x Premise IDs)••Venominat or: Square footage of all eligible accounts (x Premise IDs)••Venominat or: Square footage of all eligible accounts (x Premise IDs)

PENETRATION -HTR	171 SDGE	Percent	P4: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the HTR market	Metric C-P4- Percent of participation by customers defined as "hard-to-reach" • •	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.03	236.27	7,737.57	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05 P4 Methodology: • • Nu merator proxy: number of participants in HTR geographic area • • Denominator : Total number of service accounts in HTR geographic HTR as defined in Groundified to "include disadvantaged communities (as designated by CalEPA in the geographic trieria for hard to reach customers."	Geographic information used for now, as PAs gather other HTR characteristics on participants going forward
BENCHMARKING	172 SDGE	Percent	Square Footage of Commercial Benchmarking Penetration	Metric C-B2 - Percent of benchmarked squa feet of eligible population • •	re Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.20	94,762,369.00	482,328,195.18	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.23	area. 0.24 Method:***Nume rator: Total square footage of benchmarked commercial buildings in Portfolio Manager***Deno minator: Total square footage of commercial sector (average square footage of commercial sector building in CBECS x number of service accounts) Commercial sector building in CBECS x number of service accounts) Commercial sector building in CBECS x number of service accounts) Commercial sector building in CBECS x number of service accounts) Commercial square footage data is purchasable through third party vendors like Costar, or can be requested from local assessor's offices for the PA's territory. A proxy can be applied for	SDGE used estimated square footage based on CEUS data. Once CUES is updated a new square footage estimate can be used.
BENCHMARKING	173 SDGE	Percent	Benchmarking Penetration for Commercial Sector	Metric B5(C)L Percent of benchmarked customers relative to eligible population for large customers	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.01	16.64	1,484.61	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01 Methodology: ***Numerator: Number of large commercial customers that have been benchmarked on Portfolio Manager***Deno minator: Total number of 5, M, and L commercial customer accounts. **Gro benchmarking mith 1802 regulations (by square footage, not tage). If the PA territory overlaps a city with benchmarking ordinance, then use their size thresholds for reporting.	SDGE was unable to determine KW for benchmarker customers, to determine small a medium and large, at this time. Going forward additional information may need to be collected from benchmarked customers. It is likely SDGE will determine a methodology to pull this from the CIS system, in conjunction with Portfolio Manager data. The internal data team is continuing to work on this now.
BENCHMARKING	174 SDGE	Percent	Benchmarking Penetration for Commercial Sector	Metric BS(C)M Percent of benchmarked customers relative to eligible population for medium customers	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.01	219.63	19,304.41	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01 Methodology: ***Numerator: Number of Number of Medium commercial customers that have been benchmarked on Portfolio Manager***Deno minator: Total number of S, M, and L commercial customer accounts.	SDGE was unable to determine KW for benchmarked customers, to determine small a medium and large, at this time. Going forward additional information may need to be collected from benchmarked customers. It is likely SDGE will determine a methodology to pull this from the CIS system, in conjunction with Portfolio Manager data. The internal data team is continuing to work on this now.

BENCHMARKING -SML	175 SDGE	Percent	Benchmarking Penetration for Commercial Sector	Metric B5(C)S••Percent of b	eligible	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.01	1,043.	74 92,481.	35	0.01	0.0	1	0.01	0.05	0.05 Methodology: ••••Numerator:	For benchmarking metrics, size of	SDGE was unable to deter
				population for small	customers												Number of Small commercial customers that have been		customers, to determine s medium and large, at this Going forward additional information may need to b
																	benchmarked on Portfolio Manager••••Deno	usage). If the PA territory overlaps a	collected from benchmark customers. It is likely SDG determine a methodology
																	minator: Total number of S, M,	benchmarking ordinance, then use	pull this from the CIS syste conjunction with Portfolio
																	and L commercial customer accounts.	their size thresholds for reporting.	Manager data. The intern data team is continuing to on this now.
CHMARKING -HTR	176 SDGE	Percent	B6: Benchmarking of HTR Properties	Metric B6(C) - Percent of be customers defined a:		Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.01	42.	7,737.	57	0.01	0.0	1	0.01	0.01	0.01 Benchmarking per Portfolio Manager.	ratio based on total	Geographic information us for now, as PAs gather oth
				"hard-to-reach"••													Service accounts x premise IDs in HTR market••••Proxy, i characteristics		HTR characteristics on participants going forward
																	other than size and geo location aren't	issue, as described in the benchmarking	
																		metrics, is linking Portfolio Manager data to SDGE's CISCO	
																		data. Thus, we were not able at this time to link premise ID, which	
																		SDGE has mapped to census track, to	
																		benchmarked property. SDGE will have this resolved	
																		soon, and be able to complete the metric without this proxy.	
F PER UNIT SAVED	177 SDGE	PAC Levelized Cost	Cost per unit saved	Metric C-LC - Levelized cost	of energy	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	114.18	N/A	N/A		292.93	291.4	7 29	0.01	288.56	287.12 CEDARS and	None	
		(\$/kW)		efficiency per kWh, t both TRC and PAC)••	herm and kW (u	se											Reporting Warehouse		
F PER UNIT SAVED	178 SDGE	PAC Levelized Cost (\$/kWh)		Metric C-LC - Levelized cost efficiency per kWh, t both TRC and PAC)••	herm and kW (u	Commercial Sector (C) se	2,017	0.02	N/A	N/A		0.06	0.0	6	0.06	0.06	0.06 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
F PER UNIT SAVED	179 SDGE	PAC Levelized Cost (\$/therm)	Cost per unit saved	Metric C-LC - Levelized cost efficiency per kWh, t both TRC and PAC)••	herm and kW (u	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.17	N/A	N/A		0.47	0.4	6	0.46	0.46	0.46 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
T PER UNIT SAVED	180 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost (\$/kW)	Cost per unit saved	Metric C-LC - Levelized cost efficiency per kWh, t both TRC and PAC)••	of energy herm and kW (u	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	253.74	N/A	N/A		409.88	407.8	3 40	5.79	403.76	401.74 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
T PER UNIT SAVED	181 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost (\$/kWh)	Cost per unit saved	Metric C-LC - Levelized cost efficiency per kWh, t both TRC and PAC)••	of energy herm and kW (u	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.05	N/A	N/A		0.09	0.0	9	0.09	0.09	0.09 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
T PER UNIT SAVED	182 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost (\$/therm)	Cost per unit saved	Metric C-LC - Levelized cost efficiency per kWh, t both TRC and PAC)••	of energy herm and kW (u	Commercial Sector (C)	2,017	0.38	N/A	N/A		0.65	0.6	5	0.65	0.64	0.64 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
С	183 SDGE	Percent	NMEC	Indicator C-N1[Indicator] Fract projects utilizing Nor Energy Consumption	ion of total malized Metere	Commercial Sector (C)	N/A - Indicator N/A - Inc	licator 1	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	r	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	Per CAEECC meeting: "Fraction of total custom		
				estimate savings••	(INIVIEC) (O												projects utilizing NMEC to estimate		
																	savings".••••Data from CMPA (Custom Measure		
																	and Project Archive)••••. Mona to check		
EC	184 SDGE	Percent	NMEC	Indicator C-N2[Indicator] Fract savings (gross kWh a from NMEC analysis	nd therm) derive	Commercial Sector (C)	N/A - Indicator N/A - Inc	licator 1	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	r	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	Per CAEECC Meeting: "Fraction of total custom		
																	savings derived from NMEC analysis".••••Data		
						1													

SATISFACTION	185 SDGE	Percent	Satisfaction	Indicator C-CS[Indicator] In customer satisfac		Commercial Sector (C)	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indica	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A	A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	Per CAEECC Meeting: M&E of develop and fie consistent surve instrument	ld a	
SATISFACTION	186 SDGE	Percent	Satisfaction	Indicator C-TS[Indicator] Imally satisfaction••		Commercial Sector (C)	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indica	ator N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A	A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	annually. Per CAEECC Meeting: M&E develop and fie consistent survi instrument	ld a	
INVESTMENT IN EE	187 SDGE	Percent	Investment in energy efficiency		raction of total e by ratepayers and	Commercial Sector (C)	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indica	ator N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A	A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	annually. C-F: Per CAEECC meeting: and El Mona okay: • Numera Total Incentive • Den nator: Total Pro cost • •	omi	
SAVINGS	188 SDGE	First year annual kW gross	S1: Energy Savings	ex-ante (pre-eval	nnual and lifecycle uation) gas, electric, ggs (gross and net) or programs••	Public Sector (P)	2,01	7	5,161.23 N/A	N/A		6,596.81	6,898.0	7,213.0	01 7,85	1.76	7,993.61 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
SAVINGS	189 SDGE	First year annual kW net	S1: Energy Savings	Metric P-S1 - First year a ex-ante (pre-evali and demand savi across Public Sect	uation) gas, electric, ngs (gross and net)	Public Sector (P)	2,01	7	3,828.74 N/A	N/A		4,195.97	4,397.9	4,609.4	54 5,02	1.08	5,067.05 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
SAVINGS	190 SDGE	First year annual kWh gross	S1: Energy Savings	ex-ante (pre-eval	nnual and lifecycle uation) gas, electric, ngs (gross and net) or programs••	Public Sector (P)	2,01	7 24,7	79,279.87 N/A	N/A	31,0	019,093.23	32,052,875.7	33,121,111.	35,064,97	35,9	30,749.71 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
SAVINGS	191 SDGE	First year annual kWh net	S1: Energy Savings		uation) gas, electric, ngs (gross and net)	Public Sector (P)	2,01	7 17,5	60,351.61 N/A	N/A	19,:	185,065.40	19,836,678.5	4 20,510,423.4	21,653,65	22,0	06,517.63 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.

SAVINGS	192 SDGE First year annual S1: Energy Savit Therm gross	Metric P-S1 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) across Public Sector programs • •	2,017 285,229.74 N/A	N/A	351,100.65 379,568.97	410,345.60 436,86	77.15 442,229.05 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
SAVINGS	193 SDGE First year annual S1: Energy Savir Therm net	Metric P-S1 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) across Public Sector programs • •	2,017 141,759.37 N/A	N/A	191,680.22 203,283.51	215,589.19 228,57	4.38 233,269.26 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
SAVINGS	194 SDGE Lifecycle ex-ante kW S1: Energy Savir gross	Metric P-S1 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demands avings (gross and net) across Public Sector programs • •	2,017 41,484.34 N/A	N/A	72,231.75 75,529.98	78,978.82 85,97	87,525.99 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
SAVINGS	195 SDGE Lifecycle ex-ante kW 51: Energy Savir net	Metric P.S1 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) across Public Sector programs • •	2,017 30,895.63 N/A	N/A	45,609.49 47,804.93	50,106.05 54,61	0.92 55,078.03 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
SAVINGS	196 SDGE Lifecycle ex-ante kWh gross	Metric P-S1 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demands avings (gross and net) across Public Sector programs • •	2,017 200,956,596.63 N/A	N/A	339,188,596.30 350,492,834.77	362,173,812.94 383,429,65	1.40 392,896,738.48 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.

SAVINGS	197 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante kWh net	S1: Energy Savings Metric	P-S1 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) across Public Sector programs • •	Public Sector (P)	2,017	143,860,587.49	N/A	N/A	209,069,831.50	216,170,805.52	223,512,961.30	235,971,314.62		CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse		SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICs codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
SAVINGS	198 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm gross	S1: Energy Savings Metric	P-S1 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) across Public Sector programs • •	Public Sector (P)	2,017	3,504,799.71	N/A	N/A	3,995,986.83	4,319,993.80	4,670,272.26	4,972,122.31		CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
SAVINGS	199 SDGE	Lifecycle ex-ante Therm net	S1: Energy Savings Metric	P-S1 - First year annual and lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas, electric, and demand savings (gross and net) across Public Sector programs • •	Public Sector (P)	2,017	1,765,043.88	N/A	N/A	2,183,522.47	2,315,701.08	2,455,881.07	2,603,801.68	2,657,283.33	CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse		SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
БНС	200 SDGE	MT CO2eq	GHG Metric	P-G••Greenhouse gasses (MT CO2eq) based on net lifecycle kWh and Therm savings, reported on an annual basis, incorporating average fuel/technology mix••	S	2,017	8,540.46	N/A	N/A	9,436.51	9,751.00	10,075.97	10,667.33	10,930.71	Per CEDARS		SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
INTERVENTION - SAV PER PROJECT	201 SDGE	Percent annual NET kW	D3: Depth of interventions per building	r P-D3[indicator] Average percent energy savings (kWh, kw, therms) per project building or facility**	Public Sector (P)	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	Indicator	Indicator				N/A - Indicator		Methodology: • Nu merator: Total savings claimed for public sector building retrofits • Denomin ator: Energy usage of buildings that have been retrofitted, per application.	Project applications are made at the property level (premise ID and service account number) not the building level. • "Energy Savings" refers to Annual Net savings, in keeping with ED direction to	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.

INTERVENTION - SAV PER PROJECT	202 SDGE	Percent annual NET kWh	D3: Depth of interventions per building	Indicator P-D3[Indicator] Average percent energy savings (kWh, kw, therms) per project building or facility • •	N/A - Indicator N/A - Indicator	Indicator	Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	••D3 Methodology:••Nu merator: Total savings claimed for public sector D3 Key Definitio D3 Key Definitio Project applicati are made at the property level (premise ID and	
										building retrofits • Denomin ator: Energy usage of buildings that have been retrofitted, per application. service account remery to a count refers to Annua retrofitted, per application. service account refers to Annua re	commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a Net reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
INTERVENTION - SAV PER PROJECT	203 SDGE	Percent annual NET Therms	D3: Depth of interventions per building	Indicator P-D3[Indicator] Average percent energy savings (kWh, kw, therms) per project building or facility • •	N/A - Indicator N/A - Indicator	Indicator	Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	*•D3 Methodology:••Nu Project application amerator: Total savings claimed for public sector buildings retrofits••Denomin ator: Energy usage of buildings that have been retrofitted, per application. **Tenergy Saving refers to Annual retrofitted, per savings, in keep with ED direction use Net savings otherwise not specified (Lifecy Net). **Tenergy Savin refers to Annual supplication.	ustomer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
INTERVENTION - SAV PER PROJECT	204 SDGE	Annual NET kW	D5: Depth of interventions • • Per square foot	Indicator P-D5[Indicator] Average annual energy Public Sector (P) savings (kWh, kw, therms) per project building floor plan area • •	N/A - Indicator N/A - Indicator	Indicator	Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	D5 Methodology: • [Numerator] Total downstream savings •••• [Denominator] Total number of service accounts participating, x average square footage of property	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
INTERVENTION - SAV PER PROJECT	205 SDGE	Annual NET kWh	D5: Depth of interventions • • Per square foot	Indicator P-D5[Indicator] Average annual energy Public Sector (P) savings (kWh, kw, therms) per project building floor plan area • •	N/A - Indicator N/A - Indicator	Indicator	Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	D5 Methodology: • (Numerator) Total downstream savings ••• (Denominator) Total number of service accounts participating. x average square footage of property	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
INTERVENTION - SAV PER PROJECT	206 SDGE	Annual NET Therms	DS: Depth of interventions • Per square foot	Indicator P-D5[Indicator] Average annual energy Public Sector (P) savings (kWh, kw, therms) per project building floor plan area • •	N/A - Indicator N/A - Indicator	Indicator	Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	D5 Methodology: • (Numerator) Total downstream savings • • • (Denominator) Total number of service accounts participating. x average square footage of property	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.

WATER	207 SDGE	Annual NET kW	Water	Indicator P-W1[Indicator] Average annual energy savings (kWh, kW therms) per annual flow through project water/wastewater facilities**	Public Sector (P) N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	Numerator: claimed savings from water/wastewater customers • Denom inator: Baseline energy usage as reported on project applications	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
WATER	208 SDGE	Annual NET kWh	Water	Indicator P-W1[Indicator] Average annual energy savings (kWh, kW therms) per annual flow through project water/wastewater facilities••	Public Sector (P) N/A - Indicator	Numerator: claimed savings from water/wastewater customers • • Denom inator: Baseline energy usage as reported on project applications	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.								
WATER	209 SDGE	Annual NET Therms	Water	Indicator P-W1[Indicator] Average annual energy savings (kWh, kW therms) per annual flow through project water/wastewater facilities••	Public Sector (P) N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	Numerator: claimed savings from water/wastewater customers • Denom inator: Baseline energy usage as reported on project applications	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
PENETRATION	210 SDGE	Percent	P1: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market ••Percent of Participation	accounts participating in programs • •	Public Sector (P) 2,0	17 0.0	1,523.84	37,262.63		0.09 0.1	0 0.3	0 0.11	c	Number of downstream participating (service accounts x premise ID) Denominator: total number of (service accounts x premise IDs) in the sector.	articipation is defined sthe first instance of articipation, should a NaIS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is also each to have enough information about a ustomer to determine the customer to determine the customer is in ee leigible population and service erritory. ••
PENETRATION	211 SDGE	Percent	P2: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in terms of square feet of eligible population	floorplan area (i.e., ft2) of all Public	Public Sector (P) N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	P2 Methodology: ***Numerator: square footage of participating service accounts (x Premise IDs)***Denominat or: Square footage of all eligible accounts (x Premise IDs) times average number of buildings per account	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.

WATER	212 SDGE	Percent	Water	P-W2[indicator] Percent of Public Sector water/wastewater flow (i.e., annual average Million Gallons per Day) enrolled in non-building water/wastewater programs— estimate within +/-20% of flow through eligible facilities (treatment facilities pumping stations), +/-10% of flow through project facilities	Public Sector (P)	N/A - Indicator N/A - Indicat	or N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator As reported by water/wastewa treatment facili pumping statiou that respond to survey	ties' ns	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.					
COST PER UNIT SAVED	213 SDGE	PAC Levelized Cost (\$/kW)	Cost per unit saved	Metric P-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)**	Public Sector (P)	2,017	114.18 N/A	N/A	:	29:	290.01	288.5	5 287.12 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
COST PER UNIT SAVED	214 SDGE	PAC Levelized Cost (S/kWh)	Cost per unit saved	Metric P-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Public Sector (P)	2,017	0.02 N/A	N/A		0.06	0.06	0.0	6 0.06 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
COST PER UNIT SAVED	215 SDGE	PAC Levelized Cost (\$/therm)	Cost per unit saved	Metric P-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Public Sector (P)	2,017	0.17 N/A	N/A		0.47	0.46	0.4	5 0.46 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
COST PER UNIT SAVED	216 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost (S/kW)	Cost per unit saved	Metric P-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Public Sector (P)	2,017	253.74 N/A	N/A	4	09.88 40	7.83 405.79	403.7	5 401.74 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.

COST PER UNIT SAVED	217 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost (S/kWh)	Cost per unit saved	Metric	P-I.C - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Public Sector (P)	2,017	0.05 N/A		N/A		0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
COST PER UNIT SAVED	218 SDGE	TRC Levelized Cost (\$/therm)	Cost per unit saved	Metric	P-IC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Public Sector (P)	2,017	0.38 N/A		N/A		0.65	0.65	0.65	0.64	0.64 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
	219 SDGE	Ş	Investment in EE	Indicator	r P-F2 - [Indicator] Total program-backed financing distributed to Public Sector customers requiring repayment (i.e., loans, OBF)**	Public Sector (P)	N/A - Indicator N/A -	Indicator N/A -	Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A -	Indicator N/.	A - Indicator N	/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator P-F2 Method: To amount loaned through PA programs (ED ok	backed financingrequiring	
BENCHMARKING	220 SDGE	Percent	Public Sector Benchmarking Penetration Calendar Year	Metric	P-B3 - Percent of Public Sector buildings with current benchmark****	Public Sector (P)	2,017	0.01	508.00	37,262.63		0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02 Def: "current" = "within calendar year" (ED ok)••		SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.
INTENSITY	221 SDGE	Btu	Energy intensity per public sector building	Metric Metric	P-E14 Average energy use intensity of all Public Sector buildings ••	Public Sector (P)	2,017	49,897.58	1,859,314,660.00	37,262.63	51,5	924.09	53,654.58	55,442.74	58,696.66	60,145.90 Method (ED Okay)***-Nume or: Total sector-level energy use from PA billing data**-Denom tor: Number of public sector accounts		SDGE did a deep dive of all customer accounts, examining NAICS codes, to determine which would be considered Public. We estimate 25% of commercial accounts are public. This percentage is applied across all public sector metrics to determine a reasonable proxy baseline. At this time SDGE does not propose a study, but will collect this data going forward.

BENCHMARKING	222 SDGE	Percent	Public Sector Square Foot		Public Sector (P)	N/A - Indicator N/A	- Indicator N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	I/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator Numerator: Tot	al	SDGE did a deep dive of all
			Benchmarking Penetration in	area of all Public Sector buildings with									square footage	of	customer accounts, examining
			Calendar Year	current benchmark									public buildings		NAICS codes, to determine
													benchmarked		which would be considered
													within calendar		Public. We estimate 25% of
													year, in Portfoli	0	commercial accounts are
													Manager••••D	eno	public. This percentage is
													minator: Total		applied across all public sector
													square footage	of	metrics to determine a
													all benchmarke	d	reasonable proxy baseline. At
													Public sector		this time SDGE does not
													buildings, in		propose a study, but will collect
													Portfolio Manag	ger	this data going forward.
SAVINGS	223 SDGE	kW	S1: Energy Savings	Metric In-S1••- First year annualized and	Industrial (I)	2,017	470.50 N/A	N/A	676.02	706.89	739.1	7 804.63	819.16 CEDARS and	None	
				lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas,									Reporting		
				electric, and demand savings (gross									Warehouse		
				and net) in industrial sector • •											
SAVINGS	224 SDGE	kW	S1: Energy Savings	Metric In-S1••- First year annualized and	Industrial (I)	2,017	330.23 N/A	N/A	406.57	426.14	446.66	486.83	490.98 CEDARS and	None	
				lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas,									Reporting		
				electric, and demand savings (gross									Warehouse		
				and net) in industrial sector••											
SAVINGS	225 SDGE	kWh	S1: Energy Savings	Metric In-S1••- First year annualized and	Industrial (I)	2,017	2,917,610.21 N/A	N/A	3,443,987.42	3,558,766.20	3,677,370.25	3,893,194.0		None	
				lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas,									Reporting		
				electric, and demand savings (gross									Warehouse		
				and net) in industrial sector••											
SAVINGS	226 SDGE	kWh	S1: Energy Savings	Metric In-S1••- First year annualized and	Industrial (I)	2,017	1,948,210.86 N/A	N/A	2,067,666.99	2,137,894.48	2,210,507.22	2,333,718.3		None	
				lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas,									Reporting		
				electric, and demand savings (gross									Warehouse		
CANTINGS	227 50 55	Th	64 5 6	and net) in industrial sector••	1. 1. 1.2.1(0)	3.047	4.044.55.11/4	21/2	52.264.27	FC CO7 00	C4 40C 0	55.453.45	CE 054 00 CED 405		
SAVINGS	227 SDGE	Therm	S1: Energy Savings	Metric In-S1••- First year annualized and	Industrial (I)	2,017	-1,941.65 N/A	N/A	52,361.37	56,607.00	61,196.8	65,152.15		None	
				lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas,									Reporting		
				electric, and demand savings (gross									Warehouse		
CAMINICS	338 5065	Thomas	C1. Faces Continue	and net) in industrial sector••	Industrial (I)	3.017	1 350 11 11/4	N/A	20.010.67	22 COE 22	24.662.0	20 751 7	27 500 05 050 050 4-4	Name	
SAVINGS	228 SDGE	Therm	S1: Energy Savings	Metric In-S1••- First year annualized and	Industrial (I)	2,017	-1,359.11 N/A	N/A	30,819.67	32,685.33	34,663.93	36,751.7		None	
				lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas,									Reporting Warehouse		
				electric, and demand savings (gross									wateriouse		
SAVINGS	229 SDGE	kW	S1: Energy Savings	and net) in industrial sector•• Metric In-S1••- First year annualized and	Industrial (I)	2,017	4,890.69 N/A	N/A	8,081.53	8,450.55	8,836.42	9,618.92	9,792.70 CEDARS and	None	
SAVIIVGS	225 35062	NVV	31. Ellergy Savings	lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas,	maastriar (i)	2,017	4,830.0314/A	14/15	0,001.55	0,430.33	0,030.4	3,010.54	Reporting	None	
				electric, and demand savings (gross									Warehouse		
				and net) in industrial sector••									Wateriouse		
SAVINGS	230 SDGE	kW	S1: Energy Savings	Metric In-S1••- First year annualized and	Industrial (I)	2,017	3,420.69 N/A	N/A	4,860.39	5,094.35	5,339.5	5,819.63	5,869.41 CEDARS and	None	
				lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas,		_,	-,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,	-,	-,	Reporting		
				electric, and demand savings (gross									Warehouse		
				and net) in industrial sector • •											
SAVINGS	231 SDGE	kWh	S1: Energy Savings	Metric In-S1 • • - First year annualized and	Industrial (I)	2,017	31,793,360.26 N/A	N/A	41,799,714.52	43,192,785.95	44,632,284.6	47,251,740.83	48,418,407.00 CEDARS and	None	
				lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas,									Reporting		
				electric, and demand savings (gross									Warehouse		
				and net) in industrial sector • •											
SAVINGS	232 SDGE	kWh	S1: Energy Savings	Metric In-S1••- First year annualized and	Industrial (I)	2,017	21,129,740.34 N/A	N/A	25,095,297.79	25,947,649.64	26,828,951.29	28,324,365.92	28,785,938.54 CEDARS and	None	
				lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas,	***								Reporting		
				electric, and demand savings (gross									Warehouse		
				and net) in industrial sector • •											
SAVINGS	233 SDGE	Therm	S1: Energy Savings	Metric In-S1••- First year annualized and	Industrial (I)	2,017	-20,172.72 N/A	N/A	518,483.78	560,524.05	605,973.0	645,138.45	653,056.58 CEDARS and	None	
				lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas,	1								Reporting		
				electric, and demand savings (gross	1								Warehouse		
				and net) in industrial sector • •											
SAVINGS	234 SDGE	Therm	S1: Energy Savings	Metric In-S1••- First year annualized and	Industrial (I)	2,017	-14,120.65 N/A	N/A	305,177.28	323,651.06	343,243.14	363,917.08	371,391.87 CEDARS and	None	
				lifecycle ex-ante (pre-evaluation) gas,	1								Reporting		
				electric, and demand savings (gross	1								Warehouse		
				and net) in industrial sector••											
GHG	235 SDGE	metric ton	GHG		Industrial (I)	2,017	73.48 N/A	N/A	1,046.24	1,081.10	1,117.13	1,182.70	1,211.90 Per CEDARS		
				Net kWh savings, reported on an	1										
1	1	1		annual basis • •	I .			1			1	1		1	1

					1		1			,			
PENETRATION - SML	236 SDGE	Percent	P1: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market ••Percent of Participation	*In-P1L**Percent of participation relative to eligible population for small, medium and large customers**	Industrial (I)	2,017 NA	•	0.00	NA .	NA	NA	NA NA	P1 Methodology: • Numerator: Number of downstream garticipating (service accounts x premise ID) in the sector. Participator is defined sobs fast no small industrial as the first instance of customers articipate participating (service accounts x premise IDs) in the sector. Participation, should a customer participate programs in the calendar year. PAs also need to have enough if the customer is in the eligible population and service territory. Participation is defined SDEE has no small industrial customers customers cu
PENETRATION - SML	237 SDGE	Percent	P1: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market ••Percent of Participation	Metric **In-P1M**Percent of participation relative to eligible population for small, medium and large customers**	Industrial (I)	2,017	0.00 0.0	0 1.00	0.6	0 0.00	0.00	0.00	O.00 P1 Methodology: Number of
PENETRATION - SML	238 SDGE	Percent	P1: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market ••Percent of Participation	Metric In-P15**In-P1M**In-P1L**Percent of participation relative to eligible population for small, medium and large customers**		2,017	0.02 10.7	4 655.00	0.4	9 0.5:	1 0.5	3 0.56	0.57 P1 Methodology: Number of Number of downstream participating (service accounts x premise ID) Denominator: total number of (service accounts x premise IDs) in the sector. Participation is defined as the first instance of participate more than once or participate in multiple programs in the calendar year. PAs also ned to have enough information about a customer to determine if the customer is in the eligible population and service territory.**
PENETRATION - SML	239 SDGE	Percent	New participation	Indicator I-PS[Indicator] Percent of customers participating that have not received an incentive for the past three years, annually, by small, medium and large customer categories••	Industrial (I)	N/A - Indicator N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator N/A - Indicator	Numerator: Annual number of Large industrial participants (by service account) that had not received a downstream incentive for the past 3 years (from date of incentive payment) • Denomi nator: Total number of Large Industrial service accounts in the sector/segment•••					

PENETRATION - SML	240 SDGE	Percent	New participation		I-PS[Indicator] Percent of customers participating that have not received an incentive for the past three years, annually, by small, medium and large customer categories • •		N/A - Indicator	nya-mutatoi	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicato	N/A - Indicato	or N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	Numerator: Annual PAR will use PA- number of Medium industrial participants (by service account) that had not received a downstream incentive for the past 3 years (from date of incentive payment) * Denomi nator: Total number of Medium Industrial service accounts in the sector/segment***	
PENETRATION - SML	241 SDGE	Percent	New participation		I-P5[Indicator] Percent of customers participating that have not received an incentive for the past three years, annually, by small, medium and large customer categories••		N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicato	N/A - Indicato	N/A - Indicator	N/A - Indicator	Numerator: Annual number of Small nustrial service accounts in the sector/segment** Nemerator: Annual specific definition for	
OST PER UNIT SAVED	242 SDGE	\$/kW	Cost per unit saved		I-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and KW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Industrial (I)	2,01	7 2,279.07	N/A	N/A	6	45.85	642.62	639.41	636.21	633.03 CEDARS and None Reporting Warehouse	
COST PER UNIT SAVED	243 SDGE	\$/kWh	Cost per unit saved	Metric	I-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and KW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Industrial (I)	2,01	7 0.37	N/A	N/A		0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12 CEDARS and None Reporting Warehouse	
COST PER UNIT SAVED	244 SDGE	\$/therm	Cost per unit saved	Metric	I-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and KW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Industrial (I)	2,01	7 2.87	N/A	N/A		0.92	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.91 CEDARS and None Reporting Warehouse	
COST PER UNIT SAVED	245 SDGE	\$/kW	Cost per unit saved		I-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and KW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Industrial (I)	2,01	7 2,496.49	N/A	N/A	7.	87.62	783.68	779.76	775.86	771.98 CEDARS and None Reporting Warehouse	
COST PER UNIT SAVED	246 SDGE	\$/kWh	Cost per unit saved		I-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and KW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Industrial (I)	2,01	7 0.40	N/A	N/A		0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15 CEDARS and None Reporting Warehouse	
COST PER UNIT SAVED	247 SDGE	\$/therm	Cost per unit saved		I-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and KW (use both TRC and PAC)••	Industrial (I)	2,01		N/A	N/A		1.13	1.12	1.12	1.11	1.10 CEDARS and None Reporting Warehouse	
SAVINGS - PERCENT	248 SDGE	Percent first year annual kW gross	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral Savings		I-RC - Reduction in consumption (proposed by SCE and SDG&E)••	Industrial (I)	2,01	7 0.00	47.5	0 642,473.78		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 S2 Define: "Reduction in Methodology: • Nu Sumption" = merator = Metric C1 energy savings. • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database	
SAVINGS - PERCENT	249 SDGE	Percent first year annual kW net	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral Savings		I-RC - Reduction in consumption (proposed by SCE and SDG&E) • •	industrial (I)	2,01	0.00	33.2	3 642,473.78		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 S2 Define: "Reduction in Methodology: • • Nu consumption" = merator = Metric C1 energy savings. • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database	
SAVINGS - PERCENT	250 SDGE	Percent first year annual kWh gross	S2: Percent Overall Sectoral Savings		I-RC - Reduction in consumption (proposed by SCE and SDG&E) • •	industrial (I)	2,01	7 0.00	291,761.2	1 4,435,088,482.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 S2 Methodology:••Nu consumption" = merator = Metric C1 ••Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database	

SAVINGS - PERCENT	251 SDGE	Percent first year S2: Pe annual kWh net Savin		Metric I-RC - Reduction in consumption (proposed by SCE and SDG&E) • •	Industrial (I)	2,017	0.00	194,821.86	4,435,088,482.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 S2 Methodology: • Nu consumption" = merator = Metric C1 • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database
SAVINGS - PERCENT	252 SDGE	Percent first year S2: Pe annual Therm gross Savin		Metric I-RC - Reduction in consumption (proposed by SCE and SDG&E) ••	Industrial (I)	2,017	0.00	-1,941.65	312,519,365.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 S2 Methodology: • Nu consumption" = merator = Metric C1 • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database
SAVINGS - PERCENT	253 SDGE	Percent first year S2: Per annual Therm net Savin		Metric I-RC - Reduction in consumption (proposed by SCE and SDG&E) ••	Industrial (I)	2,017	0.00	-1,359.11	312,519,365.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 52 Methodology: •Nu consumption" = merator = Metric C1 ••Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database
SAVINGS - PERCENT	254 SDGE	Percent lifecycle ex- ante kW gross Savin		Metric I-RC - Reduction in consumption (proposed by SCE and SDG&E)••	Industrial (I)	2,017	0.00	489.69	642,473.78	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01 S2 Methodology: • Nu consumption* = merator = Metric C1 • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database
SAVINGS - PERCENT	255 SDGE	Percent lifecycle ex- ante kW net		Metric I-RC - Reduction in consumption (proposed by SCE and SDG&E) • •	Industrial (I)	2,017	0.00	342.69	642,473.78	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01 S2 Methodology: • Nu consumption" = merator = Metric C1 • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database
SAVINGS - PERCENT	256 SDGE	Percent lifecycle ex- ante kWh gross Savin		Metric I-RC - Reduction in consumption (proposed by SCE and SDG&E)••	Industrial (I)	2,017	0.00	3,179,336.26	4,435,088,482.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01 S2 Methodology: • Nu consumption" = merator = Metric C1 • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database
SAVINGS - PERCENT	257 SDGE	Percent lifecycle ex- ante kWh net		Metric I-RC - Reduction in consumption (proposed by SCE and SDG&E) • •	Industrial (I)	2,017	0.00	2,112,974.34	4,435,088,482.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01 S2 Methodology: • Nu consumption" = merator = Metric C1 • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database
SAVINGS - PERCENT	258 SDGE	Percent lifecycle ex- ante Therm gross Savin		Metric I-RC - Reduction in consumption (proposed by SCE and SDG&E) ••	Industrial (I)	2,017	0.00	-20,172.72	312,519,365.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 52 Methodology: • Nu consumption" = merator = Metric C1 • • Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database
SAVINGS - PERCENT	259 SDGE	Percent lifecycle ex- ante Therm net Savin		Metric I-RC - Reduction in consumption (proposed by SCE and SDG&E) ••	Industrial (I)	2,017	0.00	-14,120.65	312,519,365.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 52 Methodology: •Nu consumption" = merator = Metric C1 ••Denominator = Total sectoral usage, from PA billing database
SAVINGS	260 SDGE	kW S1: Er	nergy Savings	Ag-S1 - First year and lifecycle ex ante (pre-evaluation) annualized gas, electric, and demand savings in agriculture sector, gross and net••	Agricultural (A)	2,017	2.05 N/A		N/A	68.08	74.11	75.45	82.13	83.61 (ECDARS and Reporting Warehouse
SAVINGS	261 SDGE			Metric Ag-S1 - First year and lifecycle ex ante (pre-evaluation) annualized gas, electric, and demand savings in agriculture sector, gross and net••		2,017	1.65 N/A		N/A	40.94	44.62	45.01	49.05	49.47 CEDARS and None Reporting Warehouse
SAVINGS	262 SDGE	kWh S1: Er	nergy Savings	Metric Ag-S1 - First year and lifecycle ex ante (pre-evaluation) annualized gas, electric, and demand savings in agriculture sector, gross and net••	Agricultural (A)	2,017	108,800.23 N/A		N/A	332,009.17	351,494.69	360,173.25	381,311.72	390,726.47 CEDARS and None Reporting Warehouse
SAVINGS	263 SDGE	kWh S1: Er	nergy Savings	Metric Ag-S1 - First year and lifecycle ex ante (pre-evaluation) annualized gas, electric, and demand savings in agriculture sector, gross and net••	Agricultural (A)	2,017	67,814.74 N/A		N/A	200,452.25	211,625.22	215,073.86	227,061.83	230,762.02 CEDARS and None Reporting Warehouse

						1											
SAVINGS	264 SDGE	Therm	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	Ag-S1 - First year and lifecycle ex ante (pre-evaluation) annualized gas, electric, and demand savings in	Agricultural (A)	2,017	66,299.65 N/A	N/	A	128,951.33	137,285.74	138,970.72	147,952.70	149,768.61 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS	265 SDGE	Therm	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	agriculture sector, gross and net • • Ag-51 - First year and lifecycle ex ante (pre-evaluation) annualized gas, electric, and demand savings in agriculture sector, gross and net • •	Agricultural (A)	2,017	41,769.55 N/A	N/	A	76,793.76	81,419.14	83,091.48	88,096.17	89,905.66 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS	266 SDGE	kW	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	Ag-S1 - First year and lifecycle ex ante (pre-evaluation) annualized gas, electric, and demand savings in agriculture sector, gross and net••	Agricultural (A)	2,017	14.04 N/A	N/	A	753.57	820.30	835.12	909.07	925.50 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS	267 SDGE	kW	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	Ag-S1 - First year and lifecycle ex ante (pre-evaluation) annualized gas, electric, and demand savings in agriculture sector, gross and net••	Agricultural (A)	2,017	12.42 N/A	N/	A	453.21	493.96	498.18	542.97	547.62 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS	268 SDGE	kWh	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	Ag-S1 - First year and lifecycle ex ante (pre-evaluation) annualized gas, electric, and demand savings in agriculture sector, gross and net••	Agricultural (A)	2,017	312,486.50 N/A	N/	A	3,416,127.57	3,616,619.13	3,705,915.04	3,923,414.15	4,020,284.96 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS	269 SDGE	kWh	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	Ag-S1 - First year and lifecycle ex ante (pre-evaluation) annualized gas, electric, and demand savings in agriculture sector, gross and net••	Agricultural (A)	2,017	204,905.35 N/A	N/	A	2,056,560.16	2,171,190.44	2,206,572.06	2,329,563.83	2,367,526.30 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS	270 SDGE	Therm	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	Ag-S1 - First year and lifecycle ex ante (pre-evaluation) annualized gas, electric, and demand savings in agriculture sector, gross and net••	Agricultural (A)	2,017	112,553.02 N/A	N/	A	639,024.86	680,326.45	688,676.46	733,187.15	742,185.95 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
SAVINGS	271 SDGE	Therm	S1: Energy Savings	Metric	Ag-S1 - First year and lifecycle ex ante (pre-evaluation) annualized gas, electric, and demand savings in agriculture sector, gross and net••	Agricultural (A)	2,017	70,865.92 N/A	N/	A	380,595.11	403,518.80	411,807.01	436,610.63	445,578.54 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None	
GHG	272 SDGE	metric ton	GHG	Metric	A-G - Greenhouse gasses (MT CO2eq) Net kWh savings, reported on an annual basis••	Agricultural (A)	2,017	34.40 N/A	N/	A	101.67	105.06	108.56	114.93	117.77 Use the GHG Calculator in the CPUC Cost- effectiveness Tool		
PENETRATION - SML	273 SDGE	Percent	P1: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market ••Percent of Participation		Ag-P1S••Percent of participation relative to eligible population for small, medium and large customers••		2,017	0.00	13.65	2,861.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01 P1 Methodology: ••Numerator: Number of downstream participating large customers (service accounts x premiss ID) ••Denominato total number of large customers (service accounts) premise IDs) in the sector.	Participation is defined as the first instance of participation, should a customer participate more than once or participate in multiple programs in the calendar year. PAs also need to have enough information about a customer to determine if the customer is in the eligible population and service territory. • •	
PENETRATION - SML	274 SDGE	Percent	P1: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market ••Percent of Participation		Ag-P1M-Percent of participation relative to eligible population for small, medium and large customers••	Agricultural (A)	2,017	0.00	4.58	960.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	(service accounts of premise ID) ••Denominator: total number of medium customer (service accounts of the properties of the properties of the properties of the premise of	Participation is defined as the first instance of participation, should a customer participate more than once or is participate in multiple programs in the calendar year. PAs also need to have enough information about a customer to determine if the customer is in the eligible population and service territory. • •	

PENETRATION - SML	275 SDGE	Percent	P1: Penetration of energy efficiency programs in the eligible market • • Percent of Participation	Metric Ag-P1L • Percent of participation relative to eligible population for small, medium and large customers • •	2,017	0.00	0.77	162.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	••Numerator: Number of	Participation is defined as the first instance of participation, should a
													accounts x premise	participate in multiple programs in the
														calendar year. PAs also need to have enough information about a
													premise IDs) in the	customer to determine if the customer is in the eligible population
														and service territory. • •
COST PER UNIT SAVED	276 SDGE	\$/kW	Cost per unit saved	Metric A-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	2,017	4,604.64 N/A	N/A		560.77	557.96	555.17	552.40	549.63 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
COST PER UNIT SAVED	277 SDGE	\$/kWh	Cost per unit saved	Metric A-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	2,017	0.27 N/A	N/A		0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12		None
COST PER UNIT SAVED	278 SDGE	\$/therm	Cost per unit saved	Metric A-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	2,017	2.28 N/A	N/A		0.95	0.95	0.94	0.94	0.93 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
COST PER UNIT SAVED	279 SDGE	\$/kW	Cost per unit saved	Metric A-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	2,017	5,493.65 N/A	N/A		455.63	453.35	451.09	448.83		None
COST PER UNIT SAVED	280 SDGE	\$/kWh	Cost per unit saved	Metric A-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	2,017	0.33 N/A	N/A		0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10 CEDARS and Reporting Warehouse	None
COST PER UNIT SAVED	281 SDGE	\$/therm	Cost per unit saved	Metric A-LC - Levelized cost of energy efficiency per kWh, therm and kW (use both TRC and PAC)••	2,017	2.73 N/A	N/A		0.77	0.77	0.76	0.76	Reporting Warehouse	None
SAVINGS	282 SW	Net GWh	S1: Energy Savings	Metric Net Energy Savings: GWH, M Therms and MW (demand) Codes & Standards (CS)	2,017	1,889.00 N/A	N/A		1,212.00	1,257.00	1,267.00	1,327.00	1,323.00 EM&V study	"Savings" is defined as Net First year saving
SAVINGS	283 SW	Net MMTherms	S1: Energy Savings	Metric Net Energy Savings: GWH, M Therms and MW (demand) Codes & Standards (CS)	2,017	42.00 N/A	N/A		42.00	42.00	49.00	56.00	55.00 EM&V study	"Savings" is defined as Net First year saving
SAVINGS	284 SW	Net MW	S1: Energy Savings	Metric Net Energy Savings: GWH, M Therms and MW (demand) Codes & Standards (CS)	2,017	346.00 N/A	N/A		272.00	275.00	311.00	389.00	415.00 EM&V study	"Savings" is defined as Net First year saving
CODES AND STANDARDS	285 SW	Count	Advocacy-Building	Metric Number of Title 24 measures codes & Standards (CS) supported by CASE studies in 3-year rulemaking cycle (current work)	2,017	23.00 N/A	N/A		12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00 Measures supported by CASE	
CODES AND STANDARDS	286 SW	Count	Advocacy-Building	Metric Number of Title 24 measures adopted by CEC in 3-year rulemaking cycle (indicator of past work)	2,017	0.00 N/A	N/A		12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00 Measures adopted by CEC	
CODES AND STANDARDS	287 SW	Count	Advocacy-Appliance	Metric Number of Title 20 measures supported by CASE studies in 3-year rulemaking cycle (current work)	2,017	5.00 N/A	N/A		10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00 T-20 measures supported by CASE	
CODES AND STANDARDS	288 SW	Count	Advocacy-Appliance	Metric Number of Title 20 measures adopted by CEC in current year Codes & Standards (CS)	2,017	0.00 N/A	N/A		10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00 Measures adopted by CEC	
CODES AND STANDARDS	289 SW	Count	Advocacy-Federal	Metric Number of federal standards adopted for which a utility advocated (IOUs to list advocated activities)	2,017	7.00 N/A	N/A		21.00	21.00	21.00	20.00	20.00 Standards adopted	
CODES AND STANDARDS	290 SW	Count	Advocacy-Federal	Metric Percent of federal standards adopted for which a utility advocated (#IOU supported / # DOE adopted)	2,017	1.00 N/A	N/A		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00 # IOUs supported ÷ # DOE adopted	
CODES AND STANDARDS	291 SW	Count	Reach Codes	Metric The number of local government Reach Codes implemented (this is a joint IOU and REN effort)	2,017	12.00 N/A	N/A		25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00 Reach Code ordinances implemented	
CODES AND STANDARDS	292 SW	Count	Compliance Improvement	Metric Number of training activities (classes, weblians) held, number of market actors participants by segment (e.g. building officials, builders, architects, etc.) and the total size (number of the target audience) by sector. (M) Number of training activities	2,017	118.00 N/A	N/A		138.00	138.00	138.00	138.00	138.00 Number of training activities	
CODES AND STANDARDS	293 SW	Count	Compliance Improvement	Metric Number of training activities (classes, webinars) held, number of market actors participants by segment (e.g. building officials, builders, architects, etc.) and the total size (number of the target audience) by sector. (M) Number of participants	2,017	3,000.00 N/A	N/A		3,600.00	3,600.00	3,600.00	3,600.00	3,600.00 Number of participants	
CODES AND STANDARDS	294 SW	Score	Compliance Improvement	Metric Increase in code compliance Codes & Standards (CS) knowledge pre/post training	2,017	0.20 N/A	N/A		0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20 Knowledge score	

WET	295 SDGE	Count	Collaborations		Number of collaborations by Business Plan sector to jointly develop or share training materials or resources.		N/A N/A	N/A	N/A		3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	5.00		"Collaborations" mean sharing mutually- beneficial resources such as training materials, expertise, and marketing/outreach tactics that help achieve WE&T goals and outcomes.	Target are total collaborations.
PENETRATION	296 SDGE	Count	Penetration	Metric		Workforce Education and Training (WET)	Sector: Residential - 889 Nonresidential - 5,814 Segment: HVAC - 2507 Lighting - 239 Codes & Standard: 896 Foodservice - 125 Renewables & Sustainability - 733 Home Performanc N/A Real Estate - N/A Rates, Rebate & Incentive Program 321 Zero-Net Energy- 103 "Data was not tracked in line with other segments Building Design, Construction and Performance - N/A	o de -	N/A		7,000.00	7,000.00	7,000.00	7,000.00	7,000.00	7,000.00		
PENETRATION	297 SDGE	Percentage	Penetration			Workforce Education and Training (WET)		.10	2,612.00	26,671.00	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13		Numerator: 3,677 unique participants in 2016 (this includes customers outside of our service territory). Report from class registration database. Denominator: SDG&E's share of 321,000 jobs is approximately 26,671 Assume advanced Energy Efficiency jobs are commiserate with population for each PA territory. Population figures obtained from 2010 census. Excluding orange county since this is split territory and census does not differentiate. Advanced Energy Efficiency accounts for the largest share of advanced energy jobs in California. About six in 10 advanced energy workers are employed in the Energy Efficiency sector; these firms support over 321,000 jobs."

DIVERSITY -DAC	298 SDGE	Percentage	Diversity	Metric Percent of total WE&T training program participants that meet the definition of disadvantaged worker.	Workforce Education and Training (WET)	0.04	136.00 3,677.4	0.05	0.06	0.07 0.0	zip codes from class registration database cross-referenced with the list of "disadvantaged worker" zip codes. Please note that these zip codes are a mixture of home and work addresses. By the end of 2018, IOUs will specifically request	Worker' means a worker that (1) has a referral from a collaborating community-based organization (CBO),
DIVERSITY -DAC	299 SDGE	Percentage	Diversity	Metric Percent of applicable incentive contract spend by vendors with a demonstrated commitment to provide career pathways to disadvantaged workers.	Workforce Education and Training (WET)	Not Available N/A	N/A				Disadvantaged worker tracking is currently not required by PA contract terms and conditions.	"Applicable" incentive contract spend includes programs that clinatula, modify, repair, or maintain E equipment where the incentive is paid to an entity other than a manufacturer, distributor, or retailer of equipment. This applicability standard is adopted from the language the July 9th ruling on workforce standards. It excludes contracts such as those for upstream incentives, Codes and Standards, and midstream distributor programs. "Demonstrated commitment" means that the vender submits a plan describing how the
DIVERSITY	300 SDGE	Count	Diversity	Indicator Number Career & Workforce Readiness (CWR) participants who have been employed for 12 months after receiving the training	Workforce Education and Training (WET)	Revised per guidance from Commission staff. This metric was intended to apply only to the Statewide CWR program, which will help Disadvantaged Workers enter the energy industry, and not technical upskill classes offered at the Energy Centers. As the lead PA, PG&E will report on this metric for the whole state.	N/A				CWR program does not yet exist.	N/A
ETP	301 SW	Count	Research Prioritization	Metric ETP-M1 Number of TPMs initiated (ga and electric combined), including one technology-focused pilot (TFP) TPM *This number will be updated once all third party contracts have been awarded.		N/A N/A	N/A	0.00	0.00	6.00 tbd TPMs*	tbd TPMs* Data for this metric will be gathered from 3P TPM Implementers annually.	1) Technology priority maps (TPMs) are defined in the Business Plan 2) Technology- focused pilot: See ETP- M7

ЕТР	302 SW	Count of TPMs	Research Prioritization	Metric ETP-M2 Number of TPMs updated *This number will be updated once all third party contracts have been awarded.	Emerging Technologies (ET)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		0.00	0.00	3.00 tbd TPMs*		Data for this metric will be gathered from 3P TPM Implementers annually.	Technology priority maps (TPMs) are defined in the Business Plan
ЕТР	303 SW	Count of Projects	Projects	Metric ETP-M3 Number of projects initiated *This number will be updated once all third party contracts have been awarded.	Emerging Technologies (ET)	2,0	017 53 projects	N/A	N/A		0.00	0.00	61.00 tbd projects*		Data for this metric will be gathered from 3P TPM implementers annually.	1) Technology priority maps (TPMs) are defined in the Business Plan 2) Projects are considered "initiated" when project budget has been approved and funding allocated.
ETP	304 SW	Count of Events	Outreach	Metric ETP-M4: Number of outreach events with technology developers with products <1 year from commercialization, including new technology vendors, manufacturers, and entrepreneurs. *This number will be updated once all third party contracts have been awarded.	Emerging Technologies (ET)	2,0	017	5.00 N/A	N/A		0.00	2.00	3.00 tbd events*		will be gathered from TPM Implementers annually based on	developers" – Any organization or company that develops energy efficiency and demand response technology suitable for inclusion in PA incentive programs
ЕТР	305 SW	Count of Events	Outreach	Metric ETP-MS: Number of outreach events with technology developers with products <5 years from commercialization, including new technology vendors, manufacturers, and entrepreneurs. *This number will be updated once all third party contracts have been awarded.	Emerging Technologies (ET)	See ETP-M4	See ETP-M4	N/A	N/A	See ETP-M4	See ETP-M4	See ETP-M4	See ETP-M4		M5 simultaneously.**D ata for this metric will be gathered from 3P TPM implementers annually based on methodology to be	developers" – Any organization or company that develops energy efficiency and demand response technology suitable for inclusion in PA incentive programs.
ЕТР	306 SW	Count of TFPs	Pilots	Metric ETP-M6: Number of projects initiated with cooperation from other internal IOU programs associated with each Technology-focused Pilot *This number will be updated once all third party contracts have been awarded.	Emerging Technologies (ET)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		0.00	0.00	2.00 tbd*			"Cooperation" is defined as a process by which all parties work towards a mutual objective.
ETP	307 SW	Count of TFPs	Pilots	Metric ETP-M7 Number of Technology- Focused Pilot (TFP) initiated as part of the TFP TPM. "This number will be updated once all third party contracts have been awarded.	Emerging Technologies (ET)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		0.00	0.00	3.00 tbd*	tbd*	Data for this metric will be gathered from 3P TPM Implementers annually.	1) A technology- focused pilot (TFP) will identify market barriers for a diverse range of high-impact technologies through studies, and subsequently breaking down identified barriers in collaboration with other relevant programs . 2) "Technology-focused Pilot"- Pilots that have been proposed by 3Ps in response to PA needs and that have been approved through the existing ED Ideation Process. These includes TFPs conducted in cooperation with other programs.

ЕТР	308 SW	Percent of New Measures	Measure Tracing	Metric ETP-T1: Prior year: % of new measures added to the portfolio that were previously ETP technologies *The PAs	Emerging Technologies (ET)	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study*	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study*	N/A	N/A	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study				ETP-T1 through ETP - T8 are in a table titled "Emerging	
				believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP does not make decisions about new measures.			·					·		1	determined by ED evaluation contractors. ED evaluators can make recommendations on what suitable targets would be. ETP Tracking Metrics 1 – 5 need to be determined at the same time as part of calculating	Technologies Tracking (Reporting)" and are separate from the metrics ETP-M1 in the table titled "Emerging Technologies Metrics" in Attachment A of D.18-05-041. PAS had proposed that tracking metrics have no targets in the July 14, 2017 metrics filing,	
														i i i i i	and because ETP impact and savings are involved, ED evaluators need to make these determinations. Baselines will not be available until then.	however the commission ruled that these tracking metrics must have targets.	
ETP	309 SW	Count of New Measures		Metric ETP-T2: Prior Year: # of new measures added to the portfolio that were previously ETP technologies. *The PAs believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP does not make decisions about new measures.		Per ED, to be determined by an ED study*	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study*	N/A	N/A		determined by an ED study	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study	determined by an ED by an study*	, , , ,	methodology, and targets need to be determined by ED evaluation contractor. ETP Tracking Metrics 1—5 need to be determined at the same time as part of calculating savings (ETP-T5), and because ETP impact and savings are involved, ED evaluators need to make these determinations. Baselines will not be available until then.	Technologies Tracking (Reporting)" and are separate from the metrics ETP-M1 in the table titled "Emerging Technologies Metrics" in Attachment A of D. 18-05-041. PAS had proposed that tracking metrics have no targets in the July 14, 2017 metrics filling, however the commission ruled that these tracking metrics must have targets.	
ETP	310 SW	Percent	Measure Tracing	Metric standards that were previously ETP technologies. *The PAs believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP does not make decisions about new codes or standards.	Emerging Technologies (ET)	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study*	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study*	N/A	N/A	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study			methodology, and targets need to be determined by ED evaluation contractor.	ETP-T1 through ETP - T8 are in a table titled "Emerging Technologies Tracking (Reporting)" and are separate from the metrics ETP-M1 through ETP-M7 in the table titled "Emerging Technologies Metrics" in Attachment A of D.18-05-041. PAS had proposed that tracking metrics have no targets in the July 14, 2017 metrics filing, however the commission ruled that these tracking metrics must have targets.	

ETP :	311 SW	Count	Measure Tracing Metric	ETP-T4: Prior Year: # of new codes and	Emerging Technologies (FT)	Per ED, to be	Per ED, to be	N/A N	A Per ED, to be determined by	Per ED, to he	Per ED, to be	Per ED, to be	Per ED, to be determined Pe	er ED: Baseline.	ETP-T1 through ETP-T8	
				standards that were previously ETP technologies. *The PAs believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP does not make decisions about new codes or standards.			determined by an ED study*		an ED study	ED study	ED study	study*	ta de eve cc Tr 5 de sa of sa ar im ar eve m de be th w w m	urgets need to be etermined by ED valuation ontractor. ETP racking Metrics 1— need to be etermined at the ame time as part f calculating wings (ETP-TS), nd because ETP napact and savings re involved, ED valuators need to hake these eterminations. aselines will not e available until nen. PAs will work this ED to support hatching ETP ontottolloontent.	Technologies Tracking (Reporting)" and are separate from the metrics ETP-M1 through ETP-M1 in the table titled "Emerging Technologies Metrics" in Attachment A of D.18-05-041. PAs had proposed that tracking metrics have no targets in the July 14, 2017 metrics filing, however the commission ruled that these tracking metrics have targets.	
ETP 3	112 SW	Lifecycle net kW	Savings Tracing Metric	ETP-TSa: Savings of measures currently in the portfolio that were supported by ETP, added since 2009. Ex-ante with gross and net for all measures, with expost where available. "The PAS believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP is a non-resource program and does not claim any savings.		determined by	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study*	N/A N	(A Per ED, to be determined by an ED study	determined by an		Per ED, to be determined by an ED study*	ta de eve- cc Tr 5 de sa of sa im ar eve- m de	nethodology, and rigrets need to be tetermined by ED valuation ontractor. ETP racking Metrics 1— need to be tetermined at the ame time as part fo calculating awings (ETP-TS), nd because ETP npact and savings re involved, ED valuators need to take these eterminations. saelines will not e available until nee.	Technologies Tracking (Reporting)" and are separate from the	
ETP 3	13 SW	Lifecycle net kWh	Savings Tracing Metric	ETP-TSb: Savings of measures currently in the portfolio that were supported by ETP, added since 2009. Ex-ante with gross and net for all measures, with expost where available. "The PAS believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP is a non-resource program and does not claim any savings.		determined by	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study*	N/A N	/A Per ED, to be determined by an ED study	determined by an			ta de eve cc Tr 5 de sa ar im ar eve m de 8 8	nethodology, and irgets need to be etermined by ED valuation ontractor. ETP racking Metrics 1—need to be etermined at the ame time as part fo alculating avings (ETP-TS), nd because ETP napact and savings re involved, ED valuators need to take these eterminations. aseelines will not e available until en.	Technologies Tracking (Reporting)" and are separate from the	

ETP	314 SW	Lifecycle net Therms Savings Tracing	Metric ETP-TSc: Savings of measures currently Emerging Technologies (ET) in the portfolio that were supported by ETP, added since 2009. Ex-ante with gross and net for all measures, with expost where available. "The PAs believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP is a non-resource program and does not claim any savings.	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study*	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study*	N/A	N/A	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study Per ED, to be determined by an ED study	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study	Per ED, to be determined by an ED study*	targets need to be determined by ED evaluation contractor. ETP Tracking Metrics 1 5 need to be determined at the same time as part of calculating savings (ETP-TS), and because ETP impact and savings are involved, ED	Technologies Tracking (Reporting)" and are separate from the metrics ETP-M1 through ETP-M7 in the table titled "Emerging Technologies Metrics" in Attachment A of D.18-05-041. PAs had proposed that tracking
ETP	315 SW	Count of project ideas by PA Project Idea Tracing	Metric ETP-TGa Number and source (as reported by submitter) of project ideas submitted OUTSIDE OF the annual TPM research planning process, for these categories of sources: PA, national lab, manufacturer, entrepreneur, etc.) *The PAs believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP does not control the number of submissions nor their sources. Targets are set in a way to avoid forcing ETP to arbitrarily change existing processes in a way that may negatively impact the effectiveness of the program. Targets and sources may be updated in collaboration with ED after all 3P contracts are awarded.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00 2	2.	00 tbd*	will be gathered from 3P TPM Implementers annually. If ideas are submitted both outside and as part of the TPM-aligned research planning process, it can be reported under both ETP-TG and both ETP-TG and both ETP-TG and some process.	ETP-T1 through ETP-T8 are in a table titled "Emerging Technologies Tracking (Reporting)" and are separate from the metrics ETP-M1 through ETP-M7 in the table titled "Emerging Technologies Metrics" in Attachment A of D.18-05-041. PAs had proposed that tracking metrics have no targets in the July 14, 2017 metrics filing, however the commission ruled that these tracking metrics must have targets. "Submitted" refers to an idea submitted through a formal submission process.
ETP	316 SW	Count of project ideas by national labs	Metric reported by submitter) of project ideas submitted OUTSIDE OF the annual TPM research planning process, for these categories of sources: PA, national lab, manufacturer, entrepreneur, etc.) *The PAs believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP does not control the number of submissions nor their sources. Targets are set in a way to avoid forcing ETP to arbitrarily change existing processes in a way that may negatively impact the effectiveness of the program. Targets and sources may be updated in collaboration with ED after all 3P contracts are awarded.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	1.	00 tbd*	will be gathered from 3P TPM Implementers annually. If ideas are submitted both outside and as part of the TPM-aligned research planning process, it can be reported under both ETP-TG and ETP-TG. Ideas may be submitted by more than one	ETP-T1 through ETP-T8 are in a table titled "Emerging Technologies Tracking (Reporting)" and are separate from the metrics ETP-M1 through ETP-M7 in the table titled "Emerging Technologies Metrics" in Attachment A of D.18-05-041. PAs had proposed that tracking metrics have no targets in the July 14, 2017 metrics filing, however the commission ruled that these tracking metrics must have targets. "Submitted" refers to an idea submitted through a formal submission process.

<u></u>														
ETP	317 SW	Count of project ideas by manufacturers	Project Idea Tracing	Metric ETP-T6c Number and source (as reported by submitter) of project ideas submitted OUTSIDE OF the annual TPM research planning process, for these categories of sources: PA, national lab, manufacturer, entrepreneur, etc.) *The PAs believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP does not control the number of submissions nor their sources. Targets are set in a way to avoid forcing ETP to arbitrarily change existing processes in a way that may negatively impact the effectiveness of the program. Targets and sources may be updated in collaboration with ED after all 3P contracts are awarded.	Emerging Technologies (ET)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	1.00	1.00	tbd* tbd*	Data for this metric will be gathered from 3P TPM are in a table titled from 3P TPM melphemetres annually. If ideas are submitted both outside and as part of the TPM-aligned research planning process, it can be reported under both ETP-T6 and ETP-T7. Ideas may be submitted by more than one source and will be counted under each. Data for this metric series are in the metrics ETP-M1 to the table titled "Emerging Technologies Metrics" Technologies Metrics" and ETP-T7. Ideas may be submitted by more than one source and will be counted under each. Data for this metric series in the lot titled "Emerging Technologies Metrics" Technologies Metrics and ETP-T7. Ideas may be submitted by more than one source and will be counted under each. Submitted "Emerging Reportings Technologies Metrics" Technologies Metrics Technologies
ETP	318 SW	Count of project ideas by entrepreneurs	Project Idea Tracing	Metric ETP-T6d Number and source (as reported by submitter) of project ideas submitted OUTSIDE OF the annual TPM research planning process, for these categories of sources: PA, national lab, manufacturer, entrepreneur, etc.) *The PAs believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP does not control the number of submissions nor their sources. Targets are set in a way to avoid forcing ETP to arbitrarily change existing processes in a way that may negatively impact the effectiveness of the program. Targets and sources may be updated in collaboration with ED after all 3P contracts are awarded.	Emerging Technologies (ET)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	0.00	1.00	tbd*	Data for this metric will be gathered from 3P TPM Implementers annually. If ideas are submitted both outside and as part of the TPM-aligned research planning process, it can be reported under both ETP-T6 and ETP-T7. Ideas may be submitted by more than one source and will be counted under each. Data for this metric will be greatly are in a table titled "Emerging Technologies Tracking metrics ETP-M1 in the table titled "Emerging Technologies Metrics" in Attachment A of Dother Tracking metrics have no targets in the July 14, 2017 metrics filing. Counted under submitted "feres to an idea submitted through a formal submission process.
ЕТР	319 SW	Count of project ideas by PA	Project Idea Tracing	Metric ETP-T7a Number and source (as reported by submitter) of project ideas submitted AS PARTO Ft he annual TPM research planning process, for these categories of sources: PA, national lab, manufacturer, entrepreneur, etc.) *The PAs believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP does not control the number of submissions nor their sources. Targets are set in a way to avoid forcing ETP to arbitrarily change existing processes in a way that may negatively impact the effectiveness of the program. Targets and sources may be updated in collaboration with ED after all 3P contracts are awarded.	Emerging Technologies (ET)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	3.00	3.00	tbd* tbd*	Data for this metric will be gathered from 3P TPM mplementers. If ideas are submitted both outside and as part of the TPM- aligned research planning process, it can be reported under both ETP-T6 and ETP-T7. Ideas may be submitted by more than one source and will be counted under each. Data for this metrics ETP-M1 that table titled "Emerging Technologies Metrics" In a ttackment A of D.18-05-041. PAs had proposed that tracking metrics have no targets in the July 14, the commission ruled that these tracking metrics must have targets. "Submitted" refers to an idea submitted through a formal submission process.

ЕТР	320 SW	Count of project ideas by national labs		Metric	ETP-T7b Number and source (as reported by submitter) of project ideas submitted AS PART OF the annual TPM research planning process, for these categories of sources: PA, national lab, manufacturer, entrepreneur, etc.) "The PAs believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP does not control the number of submissions nor their sources. Targets are set in a way to avoid forcing ETP to arbitrarily change existing processes in a way that may negatively impact the effectiveness of the program. Targets and sources may be updated in collaboration with ED after all 3P contracts are awarded.	Technologies (ET)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	1.00	1.00 tbd*	tbd*	will be gathered from 3P TPM Implementers. If ideas are submitted both outside and as part of the TPM-aligned research planning process, it can be reported under both ETP-T6 and ETP-T7. Ideas may be submitted by more than one source and will be	metrics ETP-M1 through ETP-M7 in the table titled "Emerging Technologies Metrics" in Attachment A of D.18-05-041. PAs had	
ETP	321 SW	Count of project ideas by manufacturers	Project Idea Tracing		EPI-Trc Number and source (as reported by submitter) of project ideas submitted AS PART OF the annual TPM research planning process, for these categories of sources: PA, national lab, manufacturer, entrepreneur, etc.) "The PAs believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP does not control the number of submissions nor their sources. Targets are set in a way to avoid forcing ETP to arbitrarily change existing processes in a way that may negatively impact the effectiveness of the program. Targets and sources may be updated in collaboration with ED after all 3P contracts are awarded.	Technologies (ET)			N/A	N/A	0.00	1.00	1.00 tbd*	tbd*	will be gathered from 3P TPM Implementers. If ideas are submitted both outside and as part of the TPM- aligned research planning process, it can be reported under both ETP-T6	metrics ETP-M1 through ETP-M7 in the table titled "Emerging Technologies Metrics" in Attachment A of D.18-05-041. PAs had	
ETP	322 SW	Count of project ideas by entrepreneurs	Project Idea Tracing	Metric	ETP-T7d Number and source (as reported by submitter) of project ideas submitted AS PART OF the annual TPM research planning process, for these categories of sources: PA, national lab, manufacturer, entrepreneur, etc.) *The PAs believe this is not suited for a metric with targets because ETP does not control the number of submissions nor their sources. Targets are set in a way to avoid forcing ETP to arbitrarily change existing processes in a way that may negatively impact the effectiveness of the program. Targets and sources may be updated in collaboration with ED after all 3P contracts are awarded.	Technologies (ET)			N/A	N/A	0.00	0.00	1.00 tbd*	tbd*	will be gathered from 3P TPM Implementers. If ideas are submitted both outside and as part of the TPM- aligned research planning process, it can be reported	metrics ETP-M1 through ETP-M7 in the table titled "Emerging Technologies Metrics" in Attachment A of	

ETP	323 SW	Number of lists	Statewide Goal Alignment	Metric		Emerging Technologies (ET)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00	1.00 3 lists cumulative	2 lists cumulative		ETP-T1 through ETP-T8
					with statewide goals that were											are in a table titled
					initiated in the reporting year with										from 3P TPM	"Emerging
					specificity as to what aspect of each											Technologies Tracking
					goal it is fulfilling. Goals will also be											(Reporting)" and are
					labeled in the ETP database. A list of											separate from the
					eligible goals will be developed										statewide goals and	
					collaboratively with ED.											through ETP-M7 in the
															each goal. **	table titled "Emerging
																Technologies Metrics"
																in Attachment A of
																D.18-05-041. PAs had
																proposed that tracking
																metrics have no
																targets in the July 14,
																2017 metrics filing ,
																however the
																commission ruled that
																these tracking metrics
																must have targets. The
																"statewide goals" will
																be tracked will be
																developed and
																updated in
																collaboration with ED
																as needed. Projects
																are considered
																"initiated" when