

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company
(U-902-E) for Adoption of an Advanced Metering
Infrastructure Deployment Scenario and Associated Cost
Recovery and Rate Design.

Application 05-03-015

Exhibit No: _____

CHAPTER 4
ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM BENEFITS

**Prepared Supplemental, Consolidating,
Superseding and Replacement Testimony
of**

PATRICK T. LEE

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

MARCH 28, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION..... 1

II. DISCUSSION 1

 A. Assumptions and Methodology 2

 B. Transmission and Distribution (T&D) Benefits 2

III. AMI PROJECT RISKS AND SDG&E MITIGATION EFFORTS..... 5

IV. QUALIFICATIONS OF PATRICK LEE 7

CHAPTER 4

ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM BENEFITS

**Prepared Supplemental, Consolidating,
Superseding and Replacement Testimony**

of

PATRICK T. LEE

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of my testimony is to describe the costs and benefits that will be realized in the transmission and distribution areas of SDG&E with the deployment of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI). Specifically, I discuss the benefits associated with the Transmission and Distribution System listed in Table PL 4-1.

This testimony consolidates, supersedes, and replaces all previous direct and supplemental testimony filed by me or by any other SDG&E witness testifying in this docket, on the topics covered herein.

Table PL 4-1 – Summary of Benefits

Table PL 4-1					
Transmission and Distribution Benefits (\$ thousands)					
	Net Benefits (not loaded, not escalated)				
	2008	2009	2010	2011-2022	2023-2038
Total T&D Capital Item Net Benefits	0	0	2,819	11,703	3,347
Total T&D O&M Item Net Benefits	0	0	513	6,154	8,205

II. DISCUSSION

The benefits of implementing AMI on the Transmission and Distribution (T&D) systems can be grouped into three categories: 1) demand reduction benefits; 2) outage management benefits; and 3) significant other efficiencies and related benefits including providing foundational technology for projects such as system automation and the smart grid concept. T&D demand reduction benefits include the deferral of transmission line projects, the deferral of distribution capacity projects and avoided distribution capacity additions. The T&D outage management benefits include a reduction in labor associated

1 with the response to customer outage calls, automatic outage analysis, crew deployment
2 improvements and emergency and planned switching support. The outage management
3 benefits included here are for normal and storm operations. The other efficiencies and
4 related benefits include the improvement of load forecast accuracy, elimination of drag
5 hand reads and elimination of transformer load reads. SDG&E expects improvements in
6 the optimization of capital expenditures due to improved accuracy and resolution of
7 customer load data, but such improvements are difficult to quantify and have not been
8 included in T&D benefit estimates. Table PL 4-1 provides a summary and description of
9 T&D benefits.

10 **A. Assumptions and Methodology**

11 The potential T&D benefits due to demand response reductions are estimates
12 based on the following key assumptions. First, AMI and AB1X compliant
13 dynamic rates are implemented concurrently and are sustainable to ensure that the
14 full benefits of demand response are realized (as described further in Mr. Gaines’
15 testimony (Chapter 5) and Dr. George’s testimony (Chapter 6). Second,
16 forecasted demand reductions accurately predict demand response (again, further
17 described in Dr. George’s testimony (Chapter 6)). Third, the deferral or
18 avoidance of future distribution capacity projects is based on demand reduction
19 assumptions that require peak load reductions to: a) be of a sufficient minimum
20 magnitude, b) be realized at the area of the proposed project(s), c) be fully
21 realized prior to the proposed project(s), d) occur during the peak loads of the
22 equipment affected by the proposed project(s), and e) provide physical assurance
23 that load reductions will actually occur. These five assumptions (labeled a-e
24 above) are seen as reasonable and attainable. Fourth, that distribution deferred or
25 avoided capacity projects may lag demand response by 1-3 years.

26 **B. Transmission and Distribution (T&D) Benefits**

27 **1. Demand Response Benefits**

28 The Charles River Associates (CRA) econometric model predicts peak
29 demand load reductions for full deployment scenarios as further elaborated in
30 Dr. George’s testimony (Chapter 6). These load reductions will be
31 incorporated in future electric system planning as they are realized.

1 **a. T&D Capital Deferral Benefits**

2 SDG&E estimates potential savings associated with the deferral of
3 transmission capital projects by using CRA predicted peak demand load
4 reductions and reviewing planned projects. According to the timing and
5 magnitude of projected demand reduction in future years, a number of
6 transmission projects between 2011 and 2020 were targeted for deferral.
7 SDG&E also estimates potential savings associated with the deferral of
8 distribution capacity capital projects by applying CRA predicted peak
9 demand load reductions to expected future distribution capacity projects.

10 **b. Avoided Distribution Transformer Additions Benefits**

11 With the predicted demand response reductions SDG&E believes that
12 it will be able to modify its design practices for greenfield developments.
13 Based on the CRA predicted peak demand reductions, SDG&E estimates
14 the potential savings associated with avoiding distribution transformer
15 capital additions.

16 **2. Outage Management Benefits**

17 T&D Outage Management benefits can be accrued due to the additional
18 availability and resolution of operational data provided by the AMI system.
19 With this system in place and software to provide information for better
20 decision support, the Distribution System Operator and Dispatcher can
21 improve operational efficiencies and better dispatch and utilize Electric
22 Trouble Shooters.

23 **a. Customer Outage Calls**

24 With the AMI system it will be possible to determine via a real-time
25 query whether a customer's premise is actually energized. This avoids
26 sending Electric Trouble Shooters to verify customer calls as well as
27 improved Dispatcher efficiency.

28 **b. Automated Outage Analysis**

29 Once an outage is detected either during normal operations or during a
30 storm, the Distribution Operator has to filter outage information to reduce
31 duplicate secondary orders and regroup/redirect Electric Trouble Shooters.

1 Customer outages are automatically reported in the AMI system and
2 associated software will enable SDG&E Distribution Operations to
3 improve Dispatcher efficiency and avoid sending Electric Trouble
4 Shooters to outages that previously were incorrectly declared by the
5 system, or outages that have already been restored.

6 **c. Crew Deployment Improvements**

7 The AMI system will enable SDG&E to improve its outage
8 management by ensuring customers are fully restored prior to sending
9 crews back to the District Office and reducing manpower associated with
10 customer call backs after the outage has been restored.

11 **d. Emergency and Planned Switching Support**

12 SDG&E estimates a reduction in Electric Trouble Shooter labor
13 associated with a reduction in the number of load reads associated with
14 switching operation on the Distribution System.

15 **3. Other Benefits**

16 SDG&E believes that there are some additional capital and O&M benefits
17 that will occur with the system wide implementation of AMI. These other
18 benefits are described below.

19 **a. Improvements in Capital Addition Efficiency**

20 With the full deployment of AMI to all of SDG&E's approximately
21 1.4 million customers, SDG&E will have improved resolution of the
22 loading on its system at all times. SDG&E currently has limited data
23 resolution available and believes that this will improve the efficiency of
24 planning capital additions, right sizing transformers and optimally locating
25 capacitor banks. This improved data will augment SDG&E's current
26 capability in distribution system modeling and analysis, resulting in
27 savings associated with the annual distribution capacity capital budget.

28 **b. Load Forecasting Data Accuracy Benefits**

29 SDG&E estimates potential capital and O&M savings associated with
30 improved meter accuracy and more timely load information resulting in
31 improved forecasting accuracy. The estimated potential capital savings

1 are due to the deferral of distribution capital capacity projects for non-
2 SCADA substations.

3 **c. Eliminate Drag Hand Reads**

4 SDG&E estimates there will be O&M savings due to the reduction in
5 labor hours associated with eliminating drag hand load reads of non-
6 SCADA substations.

7 **d. Eliminate Other Load Reads – Transformer Loading**

8 SDG&E also estimates O&M savings due to the reduction in other
9 load reads associated with transformer loading.

10 **e. Foundational Technology**

11 Implementation of the AMI system ultimately provides endpoint data
12 via two way communication that is a foundation for some of the smart grid
13 concepts. The AMI meters and back office system discussed in Ms.
14 Welch’s testimony (Chapter 10) and Mr. Pruschki’s testimony (Chapter
15 11) are fundamental components necessary for the smart grid. The AMI
16 communication system is also compatible or complementary with the
17 smart grid.

18 **III. AMI PROJECT RISKS AND SDG&E MITIGATION EFFORTS**

19 To defer the transmission and distribution capital expenditures outlined in this
20 chapter, demand response must be geographically located in the right areas that
21 potentially need transmission or distribution capacity projects. The customers’ demand
22 response must be of the right magnitude so as to reduce the peak load that creates the
23 need for the transmission or distribution capacity project. The demand response must
24 also be available at the right time and provide physical assurance of performance, so that
25 the construction of that project can be deferred. To mitigate the risk associated with
26 customers’ demand response SDG&E eschewed an econometric approach to calculating
27 the impact of demand response on the load forecast and based its calculations on
28 historical capital projects on the distribution system and load flow simulations on the
29 transmission system. For distribution SDG&E determined the number of projects and
30 corresponding overloads that could have been deferred by the demand response as a
31 percentage of system peak. The transmission values were calculated based on a load

1 flow analysis of SDG&E's system load forecast with and without the AMI demand
2 response number.

3 The O&M benefits detailed in the outage management and other benefits sections
4 are unaffected by customer demand response. The benefits accrue due to the AMI
5 system itself and the associated back-office IT systems.

6 This concludes my testimony.

1 **IV. QUALIFICATIONS OF PATRICK LEE**

2 My name is Patrick Lee. My business address is 8315 Overland Ave, San Diego,
3 California, 92123. I am employed by San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) as
4 the Director of Electric Regional Operations.

5 My present responsibilities include electric distribution construction,
6 maintenance, field operations, skills and compliance training, and business processes
7 support & performance management. In this capacity, I provide leadership for public and
8 employee safety, electric system reliability, work management, budget management, and
9 workforce management.

10 I earned a Bachelor of Science degree in electrical engineering from San Diego
11 State University and a Masters of Science in electrical engineering from California State
12 University, Sacramento. I am a registered professional electrical engineer in California.

13 Prior to my career at SDG&E, I worked for Sacramento Municipal Utility District
14 and the Electric Department at the City of Roseville in California. I joined SDG&E in
15 1991 and have held positions of increasing responsibility. Some of my past experiences
16 included electric system planning, engineering standards, transmission and substation
17 construction and maintenance, transmission and distribution reliability, electric system
18 automation, system protection, engineering data integration, and business process re-
19 engineering. I have been in my current position since September of 2005.