BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In The Matter of the Application of SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 E) for a Permit to Construct The TL 6931 Fire Hardening / Wind Interconnect Project

Application 12-12-____

APPLICATION OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 E) FOR A PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT THE TL 6931 FIRE HARDENING/ WIND INTERCONNECT PROJECT

(VOLUME I OF II)

Allen K. Trial Attorney for:

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY 101 Ash Street, HQ12B San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 699-5162 Fax: (619) 699-5027 E-mail: <u>ATrial@Semprautilities.com</u>

December 13, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | INTRODUCTION1 |
|------|---|
| II. | SUMMARY OF REQUEST |
| III. | PROJECT BACKGROUND |
| | A. Project Site |
| | B. Project Objectives |
| | C. Project Description |
| IV. | STATUTORY AND PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS |
| | A. Rule 2.1(a) – (c) |
| | 1. Statutory Authority |
| | 2. Rule 2.1(a) - Legal Name and Address |
| | 3. Rule 2.1(b) - Correspondence |
| | 4. Rule 2.1(c) |
| | a. Proposed Category of Proceeding |
| | b. Need for Hearings |
| | c. Issues to be Considered9 |
| | d. Proposed Schedule9 |
| | B. Rule 2.2 – Articles of Incorporation 10 |
| | C. Rule 2.3 – Financial Statement |
| | D. Rule 2.4 – CEQA Compliance |
| | E. Rule 2.5 – Fees for Recovery of Cost in Preparing EIR25 |
| | F. Rule 3.1 (a) - (i)- Construction or Extension of Facilities25 |
| | 1. Rule 3.1(a) – Description of the Proposed Project |
| | 2. Rule 3.1(b) – Competing Utilities |
| | 3. Rule 3.1(c) – Project Maps |
| | 4. Rule 3.1(d) – Required Permits |
| | 5. Rule 3.1(e) – Public Convenience and Necessity |
| | 6. Rule 3.1(f) – Estimated Cost |
| | 7. Rule 3.1(g) – Financial Ability 14 |
| | 8. Rule 3.1(h) – Proposed Rates |
| | 9. Rule 3.1(i) – Proxy Statement |
| V. | INFORMATION REQUIRED BY GENERAL ORDER 131-D 16 |
| | A. Section IX.A.B |
| | 1. Section IX.B.1.a Description of the Proposed Project facilities 16 |
| | 2. Section IX.B.1.b Map of Proposed power line routing or |
| | substation location16 |
| | 3. Section IX.B.1.c Reasons for adoption of the power line route or |
| | substation locations selected16 |
| | 4. Section IX.B.1.d Listing of governmental agencies consulted and |
| | statements of position16 |
| | 5. Section IX.B.1.e. – Proponent's Environmental Assessment |
| | B. Section X.A |
| | C. Section XI.A31 |

| VI. | LIST OF APPENDICES AND ATTACHMENTS | 18 |
|-------|------------------------------------|----|
| VII. | CONCLUSION | 18 |
| VIII. | VERIFICATION | 20 |

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In The Matter of the Application of SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 E) for a Permit to Construct The TL 6931 Fire Hardening / Wind Interconnect Project

Application 12-12-

APPLICATION OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 E) FOR A PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT THE TL 6931 FIRE HARDENING/ WIND INTERCONNECT PROJECT

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to General Order (GO) 131-D, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the California Public Utilities Code, and the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission), San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) files this Application (Application) for a Permit to Construct (PTC) the TL 6931 Fire Hardening / Wind Interconnect Project (Proposed Project). As set forth in the accompanying Proponent's Environmental Assessment (PEA), the primary objectives of the Proposed Project includes fire hardening an approximately 5.2 mile segment of existing power line facilities (TL 6931), and providing a new generation interconnection circuit to Boulevard East Substation for the Shu'luuk Wind Project, assuming the Shu'luuk Wind Project is approved and constructed. The proposed inservice date for the new power line facilities is December 2014. A complete project

description is included in the PEA, which is Volume II of this application. The PEA will be referenced in this Application pursuant to GO 131-D, Section IX.B.1.e.¹

II. SUMMARY OF REQUEST

SDG&E submits this Application requesting that the Commission, upon completion of its review of this Application, issue and certify an appropriate environmental document and issue an expedited *ex parte* decision granting SDG&E a PTC authorizing SDG&E to construct the Proposed Project set forth in this Application, PEA and the accompanying documents within the proposed timelines set forth in Section IV.A.4.d of this Application.

III. PROJECT BACKGROUND

A. Project Site

The Proposed Project is located south of I-8 and Old Highway 80 and traverses the Live Oak Springs and the Boulevard community areas in southeast San Diego County, California, approximately 10 miles north of the United States (U.S.)-Mexico border, 15 miles west of the Imperial County border, and 50 miles east of downtown San Diego.

The utility is proposing this Project to fire harden existing 69 kilovolt (kV) wood pole power line facilities (TL 6931) located within a high fire risk area, and to provide new interconnection facilities necessary for the Shu'luuk Wind Project.

The Shu'luuk Wind Project is proposed to be constructed on the Campo Indian Reservation and is currently being reviewed pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) with the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) in the role of lead agency.

¹ Other required information for a PTC application under the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure are contained in this Application or its appendices.

The BIA is drafting an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), which was anticipated to be released for public review in December 2012.

B. Project Objectives

The Proposed Project is being proposed to meet the following primary objectives:

- Fire harden the existing system by replacing the existing 69 kV wood pole structures with steel poles that include 138 kV class insulators and vertical spacing.
- Provide the interconnection facilities for the Shu'luuk Wind Project or a vacant position to allow for the addition of a second circuit when needed in the future.

Fire Hardening TL 6931

As a result of the fires in San Diego County in 2003, 324 wood transmission poles and 45 miles of transmission line were repaired at a cost of approximately \$7 million. As a result of the fires in 2007, 309 wood transmission poles were replaced, and 56 miles of transmission line were repaired at a cost of approximately \$16 million. Transmission line outages due to fires have a serious impact on utility electric system reliability and the resulting loss of electric service can debilitate emergency services and SDG&E customers' abilities to cope during a fire emergency.

SDG&E previously identified TL 6931 to be rebuilt from wood poles to steel poles as part of SDG&E's ongoing efforts to replace existing wood poles with steel poles in high risk fire areas. TL 6931 feeds the Crestwood and Boulevard Substations, as well as distribution circuits 444, 445, and 1215 which provide power to approximately 1,400 customers. Customers fed by these distribution circuits include Mountain Empire Unified School District, AT&T, Cingular, Sprint PCS, T-Mobile, and Verizon as well as local government, fire, and law enforcement. As such, safe and reliable operation of TL 6931 is a priority.

The immediate and long-term benefits from these pole replacements include improved electric reliability available for company substations and the above-mentioned critical community infrastructure. Additionally, the average age of the poles being replaced is 35 years, and the majority of these poles have exceeded their expected useful life (30 years). During this pole replacement work, phase spacing will be increased and longer polymer insulators will be installed, thus reducing outage potential, improving contamination resistance, reducing estimated facility maintenance, maximizing equipment life span potential, and providing superior avian protection. Therefore, regardless of whether the other components of the Proposed Project are approved and constructed, the existing wood poles along this ROW would be rebuilt in the future with steel poles of similar configuration as envisioned under the Proposed Project. If constructed as a separate project, the rebuild of TL 6931 would likely either be coordinated with the CPUC through an Advice Letter or proceed as a categorically exempt activity.

Interconnection Facilities

A primary purpose of the Proposed Project is to provide the interconnection facilities for the Shu'luuk Wind Project. The Wind Project's Interconnection request to the CAISO is for 138 kV voltage at the Boulevard East Substation. Also note that a lower voltage interconnection would not efficiently deliver the Shu'luuk Wind Project's output; the circuit to accommodate the interconnect has been proposed at 138 kV (one of the standard voltage classes in SDG&E's system). The proposed approximately 5.2-

4

mile-long interconnection circuit would connect the Shu'luuk Wind Project to the existing Boulevard Substation² and serve as the interconnection to the SDG&E electric grid.

SDG&E is obligated to interconnect/accommodate interconnection of generation projects that submit an interconnection request subject to the CAISO's Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) approved tariff.

In the event the Shu'luuk Wind Project is not constructed, TL 6931 will be rebuilt with a vacant position, which will facilitate the addition of a second circuit when needed in the future.

The Proposed Project components, their locations, preliminary configuration, and

the existing and proposed system configuration are presented in Chapter 2, Purpose and

Need of the PEA, Volume II of this application.

C. Project Description

The Proposed Project includes the following main components:

- On the west end of the project, at the Campo Reservation boundary on private property, a double circuit steel pole deadend structure will be installed.
- Approximately 5.2 miles of TL 6931 from the Campo Reservation boundary to the Boulevard Substation will be fire hardened by replacing or modifying approximately 49 existing wood, single-circuit 69 kV poles with approximately 53 double-circuit dull galvanized steel poles. Additionally, two temporary wood poles will be installed for the interconnection of TL 6931 to the Boulevard East Substation until the existing Boulevard Substation is demolished at which time the two temporary wood poles would be removed. The proposed new steel poles will include 138 kV class insulators and vertical spacing and will provide for a second circuit on the rebuilt TL 6931. The new second circuit would be either a 138 kV generation interconnection circuit for

² The Boulevard Substation is scheduled to undergo a rebuild pursuant to a PTC issued by this Commission in proceeding A.09-08-003.

the proposed Shu'luuk Wind Project (in the event that project is constructed) or a vacant position for a second circuit to be installed as needed in the future.

- On the east end of the project, a new double circuit steel cable pole will be installed. From Pole 52 to the Boulevard East Substation the 138 kV line will be constructed underground and the 69kV line will be constructed overhead. The approximately 750 foot underground 138 kV line will be generally constructed under existing roads, while a temporary 730 foot long 69 kV line will be built overhead and used as the interconnection to the Boulevard East Substation until the Boulevard Substation is demolished. Once the Boulevard East Substation is constructed, a new right-of-way (ROW) for the permanent 550 foot long 69 kV overhead line will be required.
- Other ancillary facilities required to implement the Proposed Project, including 13 new permanent access roads for access and 3 permanent helicopter landing zones to facilitate on-going maintenance of the Proposed Project, and any temporary facilities required for construction (e.g., staging areas, guard structures, and temporary wood poles to accommodate TL 6931 interconnection to the Boulevard East Substation).
- The Proposed Project will also result in certain modifications to existing 12kV distribution facilities

The Proposed Project components, their locations, preliminary configuration, and

the existing and proposed system configuration are presented in Chapter 3, Project

Description of the PEA, Volume II of this application.

IV. STATUTORY AND PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

GO 131-D, Section IX.B. requires an applicant for a PTC to comply with the

Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Rule 2. Pursuant to this requirement,

SDG&E responds as follows:³

³ Although not specifically discussed herein, SDG&E's Application also complies as necessary to Rule 1.5 ("Form and Size of Tendered Documents"), Rule 1.13 ("Tendering and Review of Document for Filing"), Rule 7.1 ("Categorization, Need for Hearing"), Rule 8.1 ("Definitions"), Rule 8.2 ("Ex Parte Requirements"), Rule 13.3 ("Assigned Commissioner Presence"), and Rule 13.13 ("Oral Argument before Commission").

A. Rule 2.1(a) - (c)

In accordance with Rule 2.1(a) - (c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, SDG&E provides the following information.

1. Statutory Authority

This Application is made pursuant to the CEQA, GO 131-D, the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and prior decisions, orders and resolutions of this Commission.

2. Rule 2.1(a) - Legal Name and Address

The applicant is San Diego Gas & Electric Company, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and an investor-owned public utility as defined by Section 216 (a) and 218 (a), respectively, of the California Public Utilities Code, and engaged in the business of purchasing, selling, generating, transmitting, distributing, and providing electric and gas energy service to approximately 3.4 million consumers through 1.4 million electric meters and more than 840,000 natural gas meters throughout San Diego County and in a portion of southern Orange County, California. The utility's service area spans 4,100 square miles and 25 cities and unincorporated areas in southwestern California, United States. The activities of SDG&E are regulated by this Commission and by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. SDG&E is a wholly-owned, indirect subsidiary of Sempra Energy, whose shares are publicly traded. SDG&E's principal place of business is 8330 Century Park Court, San Diego, California 92123.

3. Rule 2.1(b) - Correspondence

Correspondence or communications regarding this Application should be

addressed to:

ALLEN K. TRIAL Attorney for: San Diego Gas & Electric Company 101 Ash Street, HQ12B San Diego, California 92112 Tel: (619) 699-5162 Fax: (619) 699-5027 <u>ATrial@semprautilities.com</u>

with copies to:

LINDA WRAZEN Regulatory Case Administrator San Diego Gas & Electric Company 8330 Century Park Court, CP32D San Diego, CA 92123 Tel: (858) 637-7914 Fax: (858) 654-1788 LWrazen@semprautilities.com

4. Rule 2.1(c)

a. Proposed Category of Proceeding

In accordance with Rule 7.1, SDG&E requests that this Application be categorized as ratesetting because the costs for the new power line facilities will be recovered by SDG&E through its retail rates, and because this Application neither raises questions of policy or rules of general applicability, nor adjudicates any allegations of violations of law. In addition, because this Application raises ancillary issues that do not fall clearly into a single category, Rule 7.1(e)(2) requires that it be categorized as a ratesetting proceeding.

b. Need for Hearings

SDG&E does not believe that approval of this Application will require hearings. SDG&E has provided ample information, analysis and documentation that provide the Commission with a sufficient record upon which to grant the relief requested on an *ex parte* basis. SDG&E respectfully requests that the relief requested in this Application be provided on an *ex parte* basis as provided for in G.O. 131-D, Section IX.B.6.

c. Issues to be Considered

The issues to be considered are described in this Application, PEA and the accompanying documents. Based on the PEA, SDG&E believes the Proposed Project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment. Therefore, SDG&E requests that the Commission issue a decision within the time limits prescribed by Cal. Gov. Code § 65920 <u>et seq.</u> (Permit Streamlining Act) as provided for in G.O. 131-D, Section IX.B.6.

d. Proposed Schedule

Section IX.B.1.a. of GO 131-D requires that applicants for a PTC include a proposed schedule for authorization, construction, and commencement of operation of facilities. This proceeding involves Commission's: (1) environmental review of the Proposed Project in compliance with the CEQA (Public Resources Code Section 21100 <u>et seq.</u>) and GO 131-D; and (2) issuance of a PTC authorizing SDG&E to construct the Proposed Project. In accordance with Section IX.B.1.a. of GO 131-D, SDG&E submits a Proposed Construction Schedule, which is attached to this Application as Appendix A. Given the pressing need of commencement of operation of facilities and lack of

9

anticipated environmental issues or public controversy connected with the Proposed

Project, SDG&E proposes the following schedule for this Application:

ACTION

DATE

| Application filed | December 13, 2012 |
|---|---|
| Provide Notice of Filing of Application by direct mail, advertisement and on-site posting | December 26, 2012 (Within 10 days after filing) |
| File a Declaration of Mailing and Posting | December 31, 2012 (Within 5 days of completion) |
| Application Completeness Determination by Commission | January 14, 2013 (30 days after Application filed) |
| Last Date for Protest and Request for Public Hearings | January 14, 2013 (30 days after notice ⁴) |
| Draft EIR or Negative Declaration Issued by Commission for Public Comment | April 1, 2013 (105 days) |
| Close of Public Comment Period on Draft EIR or Negative Declaration | May 15, 2013 (45 days after notice of availability) |
| Proposed Date for Release of Final EIR or Negative Declaration | June 2013 |
| Draft Decision Issued | July 2013 |
| <i>Ex Parte</i> Decision Issued. Final CEQA Document Certified. | September 2013 |

B. Rule 2.2 – Articles of Incorporation

A copy of SDG&E's Restated Articles of Incorporation as last amended, presently

in effect and certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the Commission

⁴ GO 131-D, Section XII. provides in part that any person entitled under the Commission's Rules of Procedure to participate in a proceeding for a permit to construct may, within 30 days after the notice was mailed or published (as provided under Section XI. of GO 131-D), object to the granting in whole or in part of the authority sought by the utility and request that the Commission hold hearings on the application.

on August 31, 2009 in connection with SDG&E's Application No. 09-08-019, and is incorporated herein by reference.

C. Rule 2.3 – Financial Statement

SDG&E's financial statement, balance sheet and income statement for the six-month period ending September 30, 2012 are included with this Application as Appendix G.

D. Rule 2.4 - CEQA Compliance

GO 131-D, Section IX.B.1.e. requires an applicant for a PTC to include in its application "[a] PEA or equivalent information on the environmental impact of the project in accordance with the provisions of CEQA and this Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure". SDG&E has prepared a PEA describing in detail the environmental setting and the potential impacts associated with the construction and operation of the Proposed Project. SDG&E is simultaneously submitting the PEA portion of this application as Volume II of II.

E. Rule 2.5 – Fees for Recovery of Cost in Preparing EIR

SDG&E is submitting a deposit concurrently with this application to be applied to the cost the Commission incurs to prepare a negative declaration or an environmental impact report for the Proposed Project.

F. Rule 3.1(a) – (i) – Construction or Extension of Facilities

Rule 2.1(d) requires all applications to comply with "[s]uch additional information as may be required by the Commission in a particular proceeding." Commission Rule 3.1 contains some additional requirements for applicants for PTCs. Some of the requirements of Rule 3.1 are duplicative of the requirements of GO 131-D, which are more precisely identified and discussed in Section V *infra*. In accordance with Rule 3.1(a) - (i) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, SDG&E provides the following information.

1. Rule 3.1(a) – Description of the Proposed Project

Commission Rule 3.1(a) requires applicants for a PTC to include in their applications "A full description of the proposed construction or extension, and the manner in which the same will be constructed."

Please refer to SDG&E's response in Section III-C supra of this application.

2. Rule 3.1(b) – Competing Utilities

Commission Rule 3.1(b) requires applicants for a PTC to include in their applications "The names and addresses of all utilities, corporations, persons or other entities, whether publicly or privately operated, with which the proposed construction is likely to compete, and of the cities or counties within which service will be rendered in the exercise of the requested certificate."

The Proposed Project will be built entirely within the service territory of SDG&E, and is not intended to compete with the projects of any other entity. The requested certification is to enhance electric service within SDG&E's service territory (which consists of San Diego County and a portion of southern Orange County, including the Cities of Carlsbad, Chula Vista, Coronado, Dana Point, Del Mar, El Cajon, Encinitas, Escondido, Imperial Beach, Laguna Beach, Laguna Hills, Laguna Niguel, La Mesa, Lemon Grove, Mission Viejo, National City, Oceanside, Poway, San Clemente, San Diego, San Juan Capistrano, San Marcos, Santee, Solana Beach and Vista) and in the area served by the CAISO.

3. Rule 3.1(c) – Project Maps

Commission Rule 3.1(c) requires an applicant for a PTC to include in its application "A map of suitable scale showing the location or route of the proposed construction or extension, and its relation to other public utilities, corporations, persons, or entities with which the same is likely to compete."

As stated in the previous response, the Proposed Projects are not intended to compete with the projects of any other entity. A map of the Proposed Projects is contained in Appendix J. Additional maps showing the location or route under consideration for the projects and are included in the PEA, Volume II of this Application.

4. Rule 3.1(d) – Required Permits

Commission Rule 3.1(d) requires an applicant for a PTC to include in its application "A statement identifying the franchises and such health and safety permits as the appropriate public authorities have required or may require for the proposed construction or extension."

A list of the franchises and anticipated health and safety permits required for the Proposed Project is found in the PEA, Volume II of this application.

5. Rule 3.1(e) – Public Convenience and Necessity

Commission Rule 3.1(e) requires an applicant for a PTC to include in its application "Facts showing that public convenience and necessity require, or will require, the proposed construction or extension, and its operation."

The above requirements notwithstanding, pursuant to GO 131-D, Section IX.B.1.f., an application for a PTC need not include a detailed analysis of purpose and

necessity beyond that required for CEQA compliance. Nonetheless, please refer to Section III.B of this Application and the PEA, Volume II of this Application.

6. Rule 3.1(f) – Estimated Cost

Commission Rule 3.1(f) requires an applicant for a PTC to include in its application "A statement detailing the estimated cost of the proposed construction or extension and the estimated annual costs, both fixed and operating associated therewith."

The above requirements notwithstanding, pursuant to GO 131-D, Section IX.B.1.f., an application for a PTC need not include a detailed estimate of cost beyond that required for CEQA compliance. SDG&E provides an estimated cost range for the proposed scope of the projects in Appendix I.

7. Rule 3.1(g) – Financial Ability

Commission Rule 3.1(g) requires an applicant for a PTC to include in its application "Statements or exhibits showing the financial ability of the applicant to render the proposed service together with information regarding the manner in which applicant proposes to finance the cost of the proposed construction or extension."

The above requirements not withstanding, pursuant to GO 131-D, Section IX.B.1.f., an application for a PTC need not include a detailed economic analysis beyond that required for CEQA compliance. In any event, SDG&E plans to own 100 percent of the assets that will comprise the Project and those assets will be added to SDG&E's utility rate base. At present, SDG&E intends to finance the Project cost with the same proportions of debt and equity with which all other rate base assets are financed, in keeping with the capital structure approved by the Commission for SDG&E. Financing would be in the form of retained earnings, available cash and debt, as necessary.

14

8. Rule 3.1(h) – Proposed Rates

Commission Rule 3.1(h) requires an application for a PTC to include "A statement of the proposed rates to be charged for service to be rendered by means of such construction or extension."

SDG&E's retail rates are found in its currently-effective tariffs approved by this Commission. SDG&E's transmission rates are formula rates subject to annual adjustment, as approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). SDG&E is not proposing to increase rates as a result of this Project. A statement of all of SDG&E's presently effective electric rates can be viewed electronically by accessing: http://www.sdge.com/regulatory/currentEffectiveTariffs.shtml.

The costs associated with the Proposed Project are predominantly for transmission-related services. When the project is placed in service, SDG&E will seek to recover the costs through the CAISO's FERC-jurisdictional rates. This would occur as part of a FERC rate case covering the test period in which the project will become operative. Costs not approved by FERC for recovery in general transmission rates may be recovered through CPUC-jurisdictional retail rates.

9. Rule 3.1(i) – Proxy Statement

Commission Rule 3.1(i) requires an applicant for a PTC to include in its application "a copy of the latest proxy statement sent to stockholders by it or its parent company containing the information required by the rules of the SEC if not previously filed with the Commission."

A copy of SDG&E's most recent proxy statement, dated April 27, 2012, as sent to all shareholders of SDG&E's Parent Company, Sempra Energy, was mailed to

15

the California Public Utilities Commission on May 02, 2012, and is incorporated herein by reference.

V. INFORMATION REQUIRED BY GENERAL ORDER 131-D

GO 131-D, Sections IX., X. and XI., adopted by the Commission in D.94-06-014 as modified by D.95-08-038, requires an applicant for a PTC to include in its application a variety of information. This information follows in the order in which it is listed in GO 131-D.

A. Section IX.A.B.

In accordance with Section IX.A.B.1.(a) – (f) of the Commission's GO 131-D,

SDG&E provides the following information.

1. Section IX.B.1.a. - Description of the Proposed Project facilities

See the PEA, Volume II of this application.

2. Section IX.B.1.b. - Map of Proposed power line route or substation

location

See Appendix J and the relevant portions of the POD, Volume II of this

application.

3. Section IX.B.1.c. - Reasons for adoption of the power line route or substation locations selected

See the PEA, Volume II of this application.

4. Section IX.B.1.d. - Listing of governmental agencies consulted and statements of position

A Listing of governmental agencies consulted and statements of position is

contained in Appendix H.

5. Section IX.B.1.e. – Proponent's Environmental Assessment

The PEA attached to this application as Volume II includes the information described in Section IV(a)-(d) above and concludes that the Proposed Project will have no significant unmitigable impact on the environment.

B. Section X.A.

GO 131-D, Section X.A. requires an applicant for a PTC to "describe the measures taken or proposed by the utility to reduce the potential exposure to electric and magnetic fields generated by the proposed facilities, in compliance with Commission order."

A copy of SDG&E's Magnetic Field Management Plan is attached to this application as Appendix F.

C. Section XI.A.

GO 131-D, Section XI.A. requires an applicant for a PTC to notify the public of its filing "within ten days of filing the application" in several different ways, by direct mail, by advertisement and by posting.

In compliance with Section XI.A. of GO 131-D, SDG&E will, within ten days after the filing of this Application, provide proper notice of the filing of this Application: (1) by direct mail to certain public agencies and legislative bodies; (2) by advertisement in a newspaper or newspapers of general circulation in each county in which the Proposed Project will be located; and (3) by posting a notice on-site and off-site at the project location. A copy of the Draft Notice of Application for a Permit to Construct is attached to this application as Appendix B. The Service List and Public Review Locations for Notice of Application are contained in Appendix C. A List of

17

Newspaper(s) Publishing the Notice of Application is contained in Appendix D. And, a Draft Declaration of Posting of Notice of Application is attached to this application as Appendix E.

VI. LIST OF APPENDICES AND ATTACHMENTS

- Appendix A Proposed Construction Schedule
- Appendix B Draft Notice of Application
- Appendix C Service List and Public Review Locations for Notice of Application
- Appendix D List of Newspaper(s) Publishing the Notice of Application
- Appendix E Draft Declaration of Posting of Notice
- Appendix F Magnetic Field Management Plan
- Appendix G Financial Statements
- Appendix H Listing of Governmental Agencies Consulted and Statements of Position
- Appendix I Estimated Cost Range for Proposed Project
- Appendix J Map of Proposed Project
- Volume II Proponent's Environmental Assessment

VII. CONCLUSION

Wherefore, SDG&E requests that the Commission (1) accept its application as complete; (2) prepare an appropriate CEQA document regarding the potential environmental impacts of the Proposed Project; and (3) issue an expedited *ex parte* decision granting SDG&E a Permit to Construct the TL 6931 Fire Hardening / Wind Interconnect Project, as described in this application and the supporting documents. DATED this 13th day of December 2012, at San Diego, California.

Respectfully submitted,

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

By: DAVID L. GEIER

Vice President, Electric Operations

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

By: <u>/s/ Allen K. Trial</u> ALLEN K. TRIAL

> ALLEN K. TRIAL Attorney for:

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

 101 Ash Street, HQ12B

 San Diego, CA 92112

 Tel:
 (619) 699-5162

 Fax:
 (619) 699-5027

 E-Mail:
 <u>Atrial@sempra.com</u>

VIII. VERIFICATION

David L. Geier declares the following:

I am an officer of San Diego Gas & Electric Company and am authorized to make this Verification on its behalf. I am informed and believe that the matters stated in the foregoing **APPLICATION OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 E) FOR A PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT THE TL 6931 FIRE HARDENING/ WIND INTERCONNECT PROJECT** are true to my own knowledge, except as to matters which are therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 13th day of December 2012, at San Diego, California.

DAVID L. GEIER Vice President, Electric Operations

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

APPENDIX A Proposed Construction Schedule

Proposed Construction Schedule – San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) TL 6931 Fire Hardening /Wind Interconnect Project

| Project Segment | Months | Anticipated Start Date |
|---|---------|---------------------------|
| Obtain CPUC permit | | February 2014 |
| Mark out utilities | 1 | February 2014 |
| Stage materials & equipment | 1 | March 2014 |
| Begin site/access road grading | 3 | April 2014 |
| Drill steel pole foundation holes | 3 | July 2014 |
| Install foundations | 3 | September 2014 |
| Install steel poles | 3 | September 2014 |
| Install OH conductor | 2 | October 2014 |
| Install underground vault | 3 Days | April 2014 |
| Trench and install conduit from cable pole to Boulevard Substation | 2 Weeks | April 2014 |
| Clean and mandrel UG conduit | 5 Days | May 2014 |
| Install UG cable | 10 Days | May 2014 |
| Install UG cable splices | 2 | May 2014 |
| Commission test UG cable | 5 Days | June 2014 |
| Energize | 0 | December 2014 |

• Start Dates Dependent Project Approval Date

• Assumes an December 2012 filing

APPENDIX B Draft Notice of Application

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR A PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT

San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) TL 6931 Fire Hardening / Wind Interconnect Project

Date: December 13, 2012

CPUC Application No.: 12-12-XXX

- **Proposed Project:** San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) for a Permit to Construct the San Diego Gas & Electric (Proposed Project). As presented by SDG&E, and further described in the Proponent's Environmental Assessment (PEA). The Proposed Project is needed to fire harden an existing 69 kilovolt (kV) wood pole power line (TL 6931) located within a high fire risk area and to provide the interconnection facilities necessary for the Shu'luuk Wind Project. The Proposed Project includes the following elements:
- Approximately 5.2 miles of TL 6931 from the Campo Reservation boundary to the Boulevard Substation will be fire hardened by replacing or modifying approximately 49 existing wood, single-circuit 69 kV poles with approximately 53 double-circuit dull galvanized steel poles.
- A new second circuit would be configured as either a 138 kV generation interconnection circuit for the proposed Shu'luuk Wind Project (in the event that project is constructed) or a vacant position for a second circuit to be installed as needed in the future.

Environmental Assessment: SDG&E has prepared a PEA that includes the analysis of potential environmental impacts created by the construction and operation of the proposed substation and associated facilities. The PEA concludes there are no unmitigable environmental impacts to the area as a result of the Proposed Project.

Electric Magnetic Field (EMF) Management: SDG&E will employ measures to reduce public exposure to EMF in accordance with CPUC Decisions 93-11-013 and 06-01-042 and SDG&E's "EMF Design Guidelines for Transmission, Distribution, and Substation Facilities." SDG&E has filed copies of its Magnetic Field Management Plan for this Proposed Project as part of its Application.

Public Review Process: SDG&E has applied to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) for a Permit to Construct and has asked for approval without hearings. Pursuant to the CPUC's Rules of Practice and Procedure, within 30 calendar days of the date of notice that this Application appears in the CPUC calendar, you may protest and request that the CPUC hold hearings on this Application. If the CPUC, as a result of its investigation, determines that public hearings should be held, notice shall be sent to each person or entity who is entitled to notice or who has requested a hearing. Please contact the following people should you require any information regarding this project.

| Allen K. Trial | AND | Linda Wrazen | AND | Director, Energy Division |
|----------------------|-----|---------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|
| Attorney for SDG&E | | SDG&E | | California Public Utilities |
| 101 Ash Street, HQ12 | | Regulatory Affairs | | Commission |
| San Diego, CA 92101 | | 8330 Century Park Court, CP 32D | | 505 Van Ness Avenue |
| | | San Diego, CA 92123 | | San Francisco, CA 94102 |

CPUC PROCESS

If you would like additional information on the CPUC process or would like to attend hearings (if held) and need assistance, you can contact the Public Advisor's Office (PAO). You may also send your comments to the PAO at the following address: Public Advisor's Office, 320 West 4th St., Ste. 500, Los Angeles CA 90013 or send an e-mail to: <u>public.advisor.la@cpuc.ca.gov</u>. Any letters received from you will be

circulated to each Commissioner and will become part of the formal correspondence file in the application. In your letter, state that your comments are regarding Application No. A.12-12-XXX.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

You may request additional information or obtain a copy of the application and related exhibits by writing to: Linda Wrazen, Regulatory Case Administrator for SDG&E, 8330 Century Park Court, San Diego, CA 92123. SDG&E will provide a copy of the application, including the public testimony, upon request. SDG&E's application and attachments may be inspected at the CPUC's Central Files Office, 505 Van Ness Ave., San Francisco, CA 94102. A copy of the application and any amendments may be inspected at the SDG&E business offices listed below:

436 H St. Chula Vista, CA 91910

104 North Johnson Ave. El Cajon, CA 92020

320 W. Mission Ave. Escondido, CA 92025

2405 Plaza Blvd. National City, CA 91950 336 Euclid Ave., Suite 502 San Diego, CA 92102

440 Beech St. San Diego, CA 92101

2604 El Camino Real, Ste. B Carlsbad, CA 92008

Copies of this notice will be available for viewing and printing on the SDG&E Web site at: <u>www.sdge.com/billinserts/regulatory.shtml</u>.

APPENDIX C Service List and Public Review Locations for Notice of Application

PUBLIC NOTICE LIST

The following is a list of parties required to be noticed under G.O. 131-D, Section XI. Land owners and other interested parties required to be noticed pursuant to G.O. 131-D, Section XI, A., are listed in the PEA, Section 1-B: Stakeholder List, and are incorporated herein by reference.

LIST OF PUBLIC AGENCIES AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO KELLY BROUGHTON, DEPTUY DIRECTOR 1222 FIRST AVE. - MS 501 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-4155 MR. JAMES GOLDSTENE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALIFORNIA STATE AIR RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1001 "I" STREET P.O. BOX 2815 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO MARK WARKLAW, DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES 5510 OVERLAND AVENUE SAN DIEGO, CA 92123 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO PLANNING COMMISSION DAVID PALLINGER, CHAIR 5510 OVERLAND AVENUE SAN DIEGO, CA 92123

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT MR. ROBERT KARD, DIRECTOR 10124 OLD GROVE RD SAN DIEGO CA 92131

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION AMY BAKER 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION DOCKET OFFICE 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION ROBERT OGLESBY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 1516 NINTH STREET, MAIL STOP 39 SACRAMENTO CA 95814

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DIVISION OF AERONAUTICS GARY CATHEY, DIVISION CHIEF 1120 N STREET, RM 3300 SACRAMENTO CA 95814

CALIFORNIA RESOURCES AGENCY JOHN LAIRD, SECRETARY OF RESOURCES 1416 9TH STREET, SUITE 1311 SACRAMENTO CA 95814

CA DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME MR. WILLIAM TIPPETS NCCP FIELD SUPERVISOR 3883 RUFFIN ROAD SAN DIEGO CA 92123 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH DR. RON CHAPMAN, DIRECTOR 1615 CAPITOL AVENUE SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5015

CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD THOMAS HOWARD, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 1001 "I" STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD MARY D. NICHOLS, BOARD CHAIRMAN 1001 "I" STREET P. O. BOX 2815 SACRAMENTO CA 95814

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION RICHARD LAND, CHIEF DEPUTY DIRECTOR 4050 TAYLOR ST. SAN DIEGO CA 92110

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD DAVID W. GIBSON, EXECUTIVE OFFICER SAN DIEGO REGION 9174 SKY PARK COURT, SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO CA 92123-4340

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION CHARLES LESTER EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT MARGARET GOODRO, FIELD MGR. EL CENTRO FIELD OFFICE 1661 S. 4TH STREET EL CENTRO, CA 92243

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION MR. WILLIAM WITHYCOMBE WESTERN PACIFIC DIVISION ADMINISTRATOR P.O. BOX 92007 WPC LOS ANGELES CA 90009 US FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, CARLSBAD FIELD OFFICE JIM BARTEL, FIELD SUPERVISOR 6010 HIDDEN VALLEY RD., SUITE 101 CARLSBAD, CA 92011

US ARMY CORP OF ENGINEERS SHANTI SANTULLI, REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER SAN DIEGO FIELD OFFICE 6010 HIDDEN VALLEY RD, SUITE 105 CARLSBAD, CA 92011-4213 US ARMY CORP OF ENGINEERS ROBERT REVO SMITH JR., P.E., ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER/CIVIL ENGINEER REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER SAN DIEGO FIELD OFFICE 6010 HIDDEN VALLEY RD, SUITE 105 CARLSBAD, CA 92011-4213

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS LENORE LAMB NATURAL RESOURCES OFFICER SOUTHERN CALIIFORNIA AGENCY 1451 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE SUITE 100 RIVERSIDE, CA 92507

CAMPO KUMEYAAY NATION RALPH GOFF, CHAIR TRIBAL ADMINISTRATION BUILDING 36190 CHURCH ROAD SUITE 1 CAMPO CALIFORNIA, 91906

SAN DIEGO RURAL FIRE PROTESTION DISTRICT J.R. TERRY, CHAIR 14024 PEACEFUL VALLEY RANCH ROAD JAMUL, CA 91935

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO SUPERVISOR DIANNE JACOB 1600 PACIFIC HIGHWAY SAN DIEGO, CA 91901

CONGRESSMAN DUNCAN HUNTER 52ND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1870 CORDELL COURT #206 EL CAJON, CA 92010

SENATOR JOEL ANDERSON CALIFORNIA SENATE DISTRICT 36 500 FESLER STREET, SUITE 201 EL CAJON, CA 92020

ASSEMBYLMAN BRIAN JONES 77TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 10152 MISSION GORGE ROAD SANTEE, CA 92017 BOULEVARD COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP DONNA TISDALE, CHAIR P.O. BOX 1275 BOULEVARD, CA 91905 CAMPO/LAKE MORENA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP JACK WHITE, CHAIR 29445 YAWEH LANE CAMPO, CA 91906

LIST OF PROPERTY OWNERS

2300 LIVE OAK SPRINGS 875 ADA STREET CHULA VISTA, CA 91911 BLACKBURN FAMILY TRUST P.O. BOX 1277 BOULEVARD, CA 91905

RICHARD W. BOHLANDER P.O. BOX 2735 EL CENTRO, CA 92244 BOULEVARD PROPERTIES PARTNERSHIP 12543 PINE CREEK ROAD CERRITOS, CA 90703

BOWEN FAMIILY TRUST P.O. BOX 2444 ALPINE, CA 91903 THOMAS E. CALGARO TRUST P.O. BOX 1532 BOULEVARD, CA 91905

UTE E. CERVANTES 576 BANTA ROAD IMPERIAL, CA 92251 EVA C. CLARK 4750 70TH STREET LA MESA, CA 91942

ROBERT & CYNDIA CLARK P.O. BOX 1393 BOULEVARD, CA 91905

JAMES L. DIMAGGIO 2071 ROSS AVENUE BOULEVARD, CA 91905

JERI DOKULIL 2132 TULE JIM LANE BOULEVARD, CA 91905 CARSON W. EAGLE 2039 JEWEL VALLEY ROAD BOULEVARD, CA 91905

SANDRA EVANS P.O. BOX 1256 BOULEVARD, CA 91905 ROBERT L. FOX 2102 DEERPARK DRIVE SAN DIEGO, CA 92110

ROBERT L. & MELE C. FOX 2102 DEERPARK DRIVE SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 TIM FOX 2102 DEERPARK DRIVE SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 CANDI FREED 38292 HIGHWAY 94 BOULEVARD, CA 91905 **GUADALUPE GONZALEZ** 4191 MORAGA AVENUE SAN DIEGO, CA 92117

GREGORY G. GROSSET P.O. BOX 1501 BOULEVARD, CA 91905 SHEILA HASKETT P.O. BOX 1312 BOULEVARD, CA 91905

FRANK & SUSAN HOFSTEE FAMILY TRUSTHOME EQUITY ASSET TRUST1491 CURTIS LANE180 5TH STREET ALPINE, CA 91901

SAINT PAUL, MN 55101

GARY C. HOYT 2052 FLYING CLOUD PLACE BOULEVARD, CA 91905

CHRISTOPHER B. HUBBARD $401 \ 68^{\text{TH}} \text{ STREET}$ SAN DIEGO, CA 92114

HUFF FAMILY P.O. BOX 4243 DIAMOND BAR, CA 91765 HARRY L. HURD 38250 HIGHWAY 94 BOULEVARD, CA 91905

PATRICIA M. & PAUL J. ISHAM P.O. BOX 1459 BOULEVARD, CA 91905 JOHN P. & JULY L. KELLY 8845 WHITEPORT LANE SAN DIEGO, CA 92119

ALICE KEYSER 1222 MERRITT DRIVE EL CAJON, CA 92020 SHIRLEY J. & JAMES H. KIN 16372 MARUFFA CIRCLE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA 92649

LAIR FAMILY TRUST 2388 VANCOUVER AVENUE SAN DIEGO, CA 92104 CHERYL J. LENZ LIVING TRUST 2040 ROSS AVENUE BOULEVARD, CA 91905

LIVE OAK HOLDING LLC P.O. BOX 1241 BOULEVARD, CA 91905

LOOKKO FAMILY 16372 MARUFFA CIRCLE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA 92649

MIKE & LORI LUONGO 40550 EADY LANE BOULEVARD, CA 91905

GEORGE N. MATESZ FAMILY TRUST 40152 OLD HIGHWAY 80 BOULEVARD, CA 91905

JAY M. McCOLL 9144 PARADISE PARK DRIVE LAKESIDE, CA 92040 JOHN JR. AND MEMORY S. McGOVERN 6811 ELMORE STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92111

DAVID P. AND MARY P. MOMBERG 2126 ROSS AVENUE BOULEVARD, CA 91905 STEPHANIE W. MONAHAN 29 CARMEL DRIVE NOVATO, CA 94952 CARLOS J. & CLOTILDE NAVA 1404 US HIGHWAY 111 EL CENTRO, CA 92243 CHRISTOPHER A. NOLAND 8445 GRAVES AVE SANTEE, CA 92071

JAMES T. O'CONNOR 37753 OLD HIGHWAY 80 BOULEVARD, CA 91905

CRISTINA G. & LAURO PRIJOLES 11373 SPIT FIRE ROAD SAN DIEGO, CA 92126

GLADYS L. PRITCHETT 8265 SOLANA STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92114

JOHN M. ROBINSON 252 NODEN STREET EL CAJON, CA 92020

ESTHER & HUMBERTO RODRIGUEZ 40601 OLD HIGHWAY 80 BOULEVARD, CA 91905 LINDA L. & FRANCIS J. SACCO 2385 GRADE ROAD ALPINE, CA 91901 SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC 40749 OLD HIGHWAY 80 BOULEVARD, CA 91905 DAVID SEMPSROTT 6607 BROADWAY SAN DIEGO, CA 92114

JAIME & ROSALIND SERVIN 1621 A STREET BRAWLEY CA 92227 DOLORES & ENRIQUE SOTO 2033 JEWEL VALLEY ROAD BOULEVARD, CA 91905

JOHN, HOWARD & SUZANNE STORM 39325 LILLIE LANE BOULEVARD, CA 91905 TBO REALTY LLC 2246 FEDERAL AVE LOS ANGELES, CA 90064

JOHN AND LUPE VALENZUELA 268 ORANGE AVENUE EL CENTRO, CA 92243 MARIA VIZZIELLI 11534 111TH AVENUE SOUTH OZONE PARK, NY 11420

MARIA VIZZIELLI 11534 111TH AVENUE SOUTH OZONE PARK, NY 11420

AMY AND DEBS WEISIGER 39235 HIGHWAY 94 BOULEVARD, CA 91905

PUBLIC REVIEW LOCATIONS

A copy of the application and any amendments may be inspected at the SDG&E business offices listed below:

426 H STREET CHULA VISTA, CA 91910 336 EUCLID AVENUE, SUITE 502 SAN DIEGO, CA 92102

104 NORTH JOHNSON AVENUE EL CAJON, CA 92020

440 BEACH STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

320 W. MISSION AVENUE ESCONDIDO, CA 92025 2406 PLAZA BOULEVARD NATIONAL CITY, CA 91950

2604 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE B SAN DIEGO, CA 92008 2405 PLAZA BLVD. NATIONAL CITY, CA 91950

APPENDIX D List of Newspaper(s) Publishing the Notice of Application

LIST OF NEWSPAPER(S) PUBLISHING THE NOTICE OF PERMIT TO COSTRUCT

The San Diego Union-Tribune

350 Camino de la Reina San Diego, CA 92122-0191

East County Gazette

201 S. Sunshine Avenue El Cajon, CA 92020

The Alpine Sun

2144 Alpine Blvd. Alpine, CA 91901

The East County Herald

9115 Sinsonte Lane Lakeside, CA 92040

Imperial Valley Press

205 N. 8th Street El Centro, CA 92243

APPENDIX E Draft Declaration of Posting of Notice

DECLARATION OF POSTING (DRAFT)

I, Thomas Carr, am a Project Manager San Diego Gas & Electric Company. On December ___, 2012, I posted the site for the proposed San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) TL 6931 Fire Hardening / Wind Interconnect Project with the Notice of Filing of an Application for a Permit to Construct filed with the California Public Utilities Commission, in accordance with the provisions of General Order 131-D, Section XI.A.3.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed this _____ day of December 2012, at San Diego, California.

Thomas Carr Project Manager, SDG&E

APPENDIX F Magnetic Field Management Plan



<u>Detailed Magnetic Field Management Plan</u> <u>for the</u> <u>SDG&E - Wind Interconnect / TL6931 Fire-Hardening</u> <u>Project</u>

| Project Manager: Project Engineer: Project Designer: | <u>Tom Carr</u> <u>Myles Still</u> (Transmission) <u>Flynn Ortiz</u> (Transmission) |
|--|---|
| Project Workorder No.: | WO 2596326-R1 |
| In-Service Date: | <u>Jan 2015</u> |
| Transmission Lines: | TL6931 and new 138kV |
| Central File No.: | <u>ELA 140.B.XX</u> |

Prepared by: Technical Analysis:

Gerald Bennett – Transmission portion John Baranowski - Substation portion Vahid Zakeri - TLine Engineering Date: <u>11/29/2012</u>

Table of Contents

| I. | PROJECT SCOPE | 1 |
|-------------|--|---|
| II. | MAGNETIC FIELD MANAGEMENT DESIGN GUIDELINES | 2 |
| III. | METHODOLOGY | 2 |
| IV. | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | 3 |
| F | GIGURE 1: DRAWING SYMBOL DEFINITIONS | 5 |
| | FIELD MANAGEMENT MEASURES CONSIDERED FOR THE TRANSMISSION RTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT | 6 |
| Т | CABLE 1: MAGNETIC FIELD REDUCTION MEASURES ADOPTED OR REJECTED | 6 |
| | MAGNETIC FIELD REDUCTION MEASURES EVALUATED FOR THE ANSMISSION PORTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT | 7 |
| | MAGNETIC FIELD REDUCTION MEASURES RECOMMENDED FOR THE ANSMISSION PORTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT | 9 |
| A B | | |
| VIII TRA | I. SUMMARY OF CALCULATED MAGNETIC FIELD LEVELS FOR THE ANSMISSION PORTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT | 0 |
| Т | Cable 2: Increasing Sag Height within 100-foot-wide Easement | 0 |
| | FIELD MANAGEMENT PLAN CHECKLIST FOR THE BOULEVARD EAST BSTATION PORTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT | 1 |

I. Project Scope

This SDG&E Wind Interconnection / TL6931 Fire-Hardening Project (Proposed Project) is to provide the interconnection facilities for the Shu'luuk Wind Project, which is proposed to be constructed on the Campo Indian Reservation. In addition, because the proposed interconnection facilities are located within an existing transmission corridor and will replace existing wood power poles with steel poles, the Proposed Project will fire harden an existing 69 kV transmission line (TL), TL 6931.

The Proposed Project is located in the Boulevard area of southeastern San Diego County, California, approximately 10 miles north of the United States (U.S.)-Mexico border, 15 miles west of the Imperial County border, and 50 miles east of downtown San Diego. The "Appendix 1: SDG&E Wind Interconnect/TL6931 Fire-Hardening Project – Segment 1 Map" displays the Proposed Project and transmission line locations.

The Proposed Project is to meet the following fundamental objectives:

- 1. Provide 138kV generation interconnection facilities for the Shu'luuk Wind Project to Boulevard East Substation.
- 2. Fire-harden the existing 69 kV transmission line by replacing the existing wood pole structures with double circuit steel poles.

The primary purpose of the Proposed Project is to provide the interconnection facilities for the Shu'luuk Wind Project. Because a lower voltage configuration would not efficiently convey the Shu'luuk Wind Project's output, the power line has been sized to 138 kV. The approximately 5.2 miles in length tieline interconnection would connect the Shu'luuk Wind Project to the existing Boulevard East Substation and serve as the link to the SDG&E electric grid.

Transmission line outages due to fires have a serious impact on utility electric system reliability and the resulting loss of electric service can debilitate emergency services and our customer's abilities to cope during the fire emergency. SDG&E previously identified TL6931 for conversion from wood poles to steel poles as part of SDG&E's on-going efforts to replace existing wood poles with steel poles in high risk fire areas. This is defined as "fire-hardening". During fire-hardening pole replacement, increased phase spacing and installation of longer polymer insulators will be implemented, thus reducing outage potential due to high winds, improve contamination resistance, reduce estimated facility maintenance, maximize equipment life span potential, and providing superior avian protection. Approximately 1,400 customers are fed in part by TL6931 and the distribution circuits coming out of Boulevard East and Crestwood Substations. They include Mountain Empire Unified School District, AT&T, Cingular, Sprint PCS, T-Mobile, and Verizon as well as local government, fire, and law enforcement. As such, safe and reliable operation of TL6931 is a very high priority.

SDG&E has designed the Proposed Project to use existing transmission lines and rights-of-way (ROW) to the greatest extent feasible. The new 138 kV circuit to be added to the transmission line, TL 6931, rebuild would utilize approximately 5.2 miles of the existing 69 kV line easement for the proposed double circuit 138 kV pole top configuration.

II. Magnetic Field Management Design Guidelines

The California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") requires SDG&E apply its EMF^{1} Design Guidelines for Electrical Facilities ("Guidelines") to all new electric transmission projects to reduce public exposure to magnetic fields. SDG&E filed its Guidelines with the CPUC in accordance with CPUC Decision 93-11-013 and updated them in accordance with the 2006 CPUC Decision 06-01-042.

Consistent with SDG&E's Guidelines and with the CPUC order, magnetic fields and possible magnetic field management measures were evaluated along the existing, and proposed, transmission circuit locations associated with the Project. The results of this evaluation are contained in this FMP.

The FMP deals solely with magnetic fields. Moreover, reducing the magnetic field strength is but one of many factors to be considered in planning and designing a transmission system, along with other issues such as safety, environmental concerns, reliability, insulation and electrical clearance requirements, aesthetics, cost, operations and maintenance.

III. Methodology

In Decision 06-01-042, the CPUC notes that modeling is used to compare the relative effectiveness of field-reduction options and is not to be used to predict post-construction field levels. CPUC Decision 06-01-042, Finding of Fact 14: "Utility modeling methodology is intended to compare differences between alternative EMF [Electromagnetic Field] mitigation measures and not determine actual EMF amounts."² The CPUC also notes that "modeling indicates relative differences in magnetic field reductions between different transmission line construction methods, but does not measure actual environmental magnetic fields."³

In accordance with its Guidelines, SDG&E will take the following measures for the Proposed Project:

- Apply SDG&E's EMF Guidelines for transmission circuit facilities to the Project design.
- Identify and implement appropriate "no-cost" measures, i.e., those that will not increase overall project costs but will reduce the magnetic field levels.
- Identify and implement appropriate "low-cost" measures, i.e., those measures costing in the range of 4% of the total budgeted project cost that will reduce the magnetic field levels by 15% or more at the edge of the rights-of-way (ROW).
- When a sufficiency of "low-cost" measures is available to reduce magnetic field levels, such that it is difficult to stay within the 4% cost guideline, apply these "low-cost" measures by priority, per the Guidelines.

The 15% minimum reduction required for low-cost measures is in addition to any field reduction due to "no-cost" measures. It is not cumulative.

¹ EMF refers to electric and magnetic fields.

² CPUC Decision D.06-01-042, Finding of Fact 14, p. 20.

³ Ibid, p.11.

Since the Project requires permitting under General Order 131-D, a Detailed Field Management Plan ("FMP") will be used. The Detailed FMP consists of a project description, a checklist table showing evaluation of magnetic field reduction measures adopted or rejected per segment, evaluation of "no-cost" and "low-cost" magnetic field reduction techniques, magnetic field models, and a summary with recommendations, including tables showing resultant magnetic field reduction levels at the edges-of-ROW where applicable.

A table showing calculated resultant magnetic field levels at the edges-of-ROW are included in "Section VIII- Summary of Calculated Magnetic Field Levels" in this report.

Field levels were calculated using the Resicalc program developed and maintained by the Electric Power Research Institute. As the proposed in-service date of the Project would be January 2015, the projected high usage currents, "2015 heavy summer," were used in the calculations. For the purpose of evaluating the field management measures, magnetic field levels were calculated and compared at a height of one meter above ground.

To evaluate the effectiveness of various magnetic field reduction measures, calculated values for a given technique were compared to calculated values without the technique. Since all portions of the Proposed Project are within defined ROW, magnetic field levels were calculated and compared at the adjacent edges-of-ROW.

IV. Project Description

The Proposed Project lies within San Diego County, California and the unincorporated community of Boulevard. The primary purpose of the Proposed Project is to provide the interconnection facilities for the Shu'luuk Wind Project to Boulevard East Substation. Because a lower voltage configuration would not efficiently convey the Shu'luuk Wind Project's output, the new transmission line has been sized to 138 kV. The interconnection circuit would extend southeasterly from Pole #1, located approximately 1 mile south of Crestwood Substation and at the Campo Indian Reservation property boundary, and terminate at the Boulevard East Substation on the Campo Reservation will be permitted through the Shu'luuk Wind Project. Therefore, Pole #1 is the first pole location at the northern extent of the project with pole numbers increasing as the alignment proceeds over undeveloped rural land toward Boulevard East Substation. The "Appendix 1: SDG&E Wind Interconnect / TL6931 Fire-Hardening Project - Segment 1 Map" displays the Proposed Project and transmission line locations.

The existing system in this area is comprised of a 69 kV power line, TL6931, which connects the existing Crestwood Substation to Boulevard East Substation. SDG&E previously identified this tieline for conversion from wood-to-steel poles as part of SDG&E's on-going efforts to "fire-harden" high risk fire areas. During fire-hardening pole replacement, increased phase spacing and installation of longer polymer insulators will be implemented, thus reducing outage potential due to high winds, improve contamination resistance, reduce estimated facility maintenance, maximize equipment life span potential, and providing superior avian protection.

4

This substation is proposed to be rebuilt as part of the preceding ECO Substation Project.

The Proposed Project interconnection to Boulevard East Substation route was strategically chosen as not to create unnecessary impacts on surrounding resources. The route primarily follows the existing alignment of TL 6931 to reduce the Project's impact on undeveloped land. However, there is a segment (Poles # 18 through #23) of the line that is proposed to circumnavigate a residential development rather than cut through it diagonally if following the existing TL6931 ROW. This detour from the existing TL6931 alignment was chosen as the proposed route to avoid land use conflicts between the Proposed Project's 100 foot ROW and nearby residences. The route is adjacent to, and comprised of, undeveloped rural land with an occasional nearby residence. It traverses 29 privately owned parcels that are primarily vacant, and those that are used are occupied by small, low-density single-family residences or mobile homes.

The Proposed Project will rebuild TL6931 for approximately 5.2 miles with double circuit steel structures between Pole #1 and the Boulevard East Substation in order to accommodate TL6931 and the new 138 kV circuit. Pole top configuration will place TL6931 on the north side and the new 138 kV circuit on the south side of the steel poles. By combining the Proposed Project TL 6931 wood-to-steel rebuild component with the proposed 138 kV interconnection facilities component, environmental impacts will be minimized in the area. The double circuit steel pole configuration will require a permanent expansion of the existing TL 6931 ROW to a 100-footwide ROW (50 feet on either side of the centerline).

Once the Proposed Project interconnection reaches Boulevard East Substation, the 138 kV tieline will transition from overhead to underground via a cable pole (Pole #52) within undeveloped rural land, and SDG&E property, then terminate at a short underground duct bank within the Boulevard East Substation, while TL6931 will terminate overhead within Boulevard East Substation as it does now.

There is another project currently underway in the unincorporated community of Boulevard for a new substation to be named, "East County Substation (ECO)" (500/230/138 kV) which will affect the connectivity state of the tielines in this Proposed Project. The ECO Substation project includes an expansion of the Boulevard East Substation (138/69/12 kV), and two new 138 kV circuits interconnecting ECO Substation to Boulevard East Substation. This expansion will provide the connectivity hardware within Boulevard East Substation for this Proposed Project.

Direction of current flow from the new ECO Substation, the new Shu'luuk Wind Project 138kV line, and TL 6931 from existing Crestview Substation all flow into Boulevard East Substation. However, it must be noted that TL6931 will normally be in an OPEN state at Boulevard East Substation. The only time it will be CLOSED is when the 138kV tielines tied to Boulevard East Substation coming from ECO and wind generation resources, including the Shu'luuk 138kV interconnection, are down for an unforeseen event. Then, the TL6931 connection will be closed providing a 69kV source from Crestwood Substation. Therefore, at any one time only one of the two tielines on the new double circuit poles will be energized.

Drawings and descriptions showing a typical pole top configuration, tieline relative locations to each other and left and right ROW are included in Appendix 1 for a "Detailed Field Management Plan" for complex corridor configurations. Figure 1 below shows the drawing symbols; the arrows on the drawings indicate the viewing direction for orienting each drawing and the direction of current flow. This FMP consists of a single double circuit pole within a 100 foot ROW so drawings were not provided.

| Symbol | Interpretation | Meaning |
|--------|-------------------------------------|--|
| ↑ N | Viewing Direction | The orientation as seen when looking toward the north |
| | Current flow into the page | Direction of current flow is same as viewing direction |
| | Current flow out of the page | Direction of current flow is opposite of viewing direction |
| | Underground Transmission Circuit | Location of underground transmission circuit |
| | Underground Transmission Circuit | Location of Underground Transmission in Bridge Cell |

Figure 1: Drawing Symbol Definitions

V. Field Management Measures Considered for the Transmission Portion of the Proposed Project

Per the "EMF Design Guidelines for Electrical Facilities, Table 3-1", all Segments were reviewed for suitable application of magnetic field reduction measures, as listed in "*Table 1: Magnetic Field Reduction Measures Adopted or Rejected*" below. These techniques will be discussed under the "Section VI- Magnetic Field Reduction Measures Evaluated for the Project" that follows.

Table 1: Magnetic Field Reduction Measures Adopted or Rejected

| Segment(s) | Location (Street, Area) | Adjacent Land Use | Reduction Measure Considered | Measure Adopted? (Yes/No) | Estimated Cost to Adopt |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Entire Project Corridor | Residential, , Undeveloped | Locate power lines closer to center of the utility corridor to extent possible. | No | N/A |
| 1 | | | ible circuit steel pole line for the renter of easement as possible. The | | |
| | Overhead Project Corridor | Residential, Undeveloped | Increase structure height. | Yes | Not Available |
| 1 | heights will inc Making structu cost exceeding is discussed be | crease to maintain or re heights taller was 4% of the total Prop | standard 138 kV double circuit ste r increase sag distance from groun s modeled for 15% reduction at Re posed Project cost was evaluated. r Field Reduction Measures Evalu elow) | d to the circuit OW and consid A conclusion v | by design. eration of the vas made and |
| | Entire Project Corridor | Residential, Undeveloped | Reduce conductor (phase) spacing. | No | N/A |
| 1 Reason not adopted : For the Proposed Project, pole top spacing is per recommended for a 138kV double circuit steel pole to avoid possibility hardening of the existing 69kV circuit, TL6931, and new 138kV tieline. was discarded. | | | | ity of blow-out | and for fire |
| | Overhead Project Corridor | Residential, Undeveloped | Place Overhead Underground | No | N/A |
| 1 Reasons not adopted : The Proposed Project route is adjacent to, and comprised of, und rural land with an occasional nearby residence. Although, visual impacts would be reduced constructing the line underground, the impacts to cultural resources, biological resources geology and soils would be significant and unavoidable and undergrounding does not off benefits that would outweigh the significant impacts created by this mitigation. Also, bas preliminary cost estimates for Proposed Project, approximately 1,100 feet could be undergrounded and still be considered a "low-cost" field-reduction measure. As there are known schools, day-care centers or hospitals on lands adjacent to the Proposed Project reduction | | | | e reduced by urces, and ot offer any so, based on ere are no | |

| | priority for low-cost field reduction would be given to segments adjacent to residential land use. Preliminary review suggests that the accumulated distances adjacent to residence is greater than 1,100 feet. Though evaluation of low-cost measures for these segments can be prioritized by considering location and/or density of adjacent permanently occupied structures, ^[1] the population density along most of these segments is consistently sparse, making prioritization difficult. A more broadly effective "low-cost" measure is proposed for use under "Increasing Structure Height" as shown in "Table 1" above. For these reasons, undergrounding as a "low-cost" field-reduction measure was not adopted. | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---|----|-----|--|--|
| | Underground Project Corridor | Undeveloped | Increase trench depth. | No | N/A | | |
| 1 | Reasons not adopted : The new 138 kV tieline will transition from overhead to underground via a cable pole (pole #52) and proceed within undeveloped rural land or within SDG&E property for approx. 750 ft. to a point within Boulevard East Substation. Increasing the depth for this short segment would involve additional costs and would not be a "no-cost" option but a "low-cost" option. Although there are residential structures in the area near this underground portion, preliminary design plans show depth to be, on average, twice the SDG&E Transmission Design Guideline depth of 3 ft. top-of-conduit and increasing that depth would lower the ampacity rating of the entire tieline due to less heat dissipation as compaction increases. This would make the new 138kV tieline inadequate to provide for the interconnection facilities in this Proposed Project. Therefore this option was discarded. | | | | | | |
| | Project Corridor | Residential, Undeveloped | Phasing circuits to reduce magnetic fields. | No | N/A | | |
| 1 | Reasons not adopted : As described in the Project Definition above, TL6931 will be normally OPEN at Boulevard East Substation. The only time it will be CLOSED is when the 138kV tielines tied to Boulevard East Substation coming from ECO, and wind generation resources, including the Shu'luuk 138kV interconnection, are down for an unforeseen event. Then TL6931 will feed Boulevard East substation from Crestwood Substation. Therefore, at any one time only one of the two tielines on the new double circuit poles would be energized. Changing the phasing of a single circuit pole top configuration does not change the milligauss values at ROW. For that reason this option was discarded. | | | | | | |

VI. Magnetic Field Reduction Measures Evaluated for the Transmission Portion of the Proposed Project

Per SDG&E EMF Design Guidelines for Electrical Facilities, this FMP is limited to an assessment of increasing structure height for the length of the overhead portion of the Proposed Project. Other techniques such as locating power lines closer to the center of the corridor, reducing conductor (phase) spacing, placing overhead underground, increasing trench depth, and phasing circuits to reduce magnetic fields were not implemented.

^[1] SDG&E Guidelines, p. 12: "When spending for "low-cost" measures would otherwise disallow equitable magnetic field reduction for all areas within a single land use class, prioritization can be achieved by considering location and/or density of permanently occupied structures on lands adjacent to the projects, as appropriate."

Locating power lines closer to the center of the easement: The new double circuit steel pole line for the new 138kV tieline and TL 6931 is designed to be as close to center of the 100 foot wide easement as possible. Therefore this option was discarded.

<u>Reducing conductor phase spacing:</u> Reducing conductor spacing of overhead installations is not an acceptable mitigation technique. The overhead pole top spacing is per SDG&E Standards recommended for a 138kV double circuit steel pole to avoid possibility of blow-out and for fire-hardening. Therefore this option was discarded.

Placing Overhead Underground: The Proposed Project route is adjacent to, and comprised of, undeveloped rural land with an occasional nearby residence. Although, visual impacts would be reduced by constructing the line underground, the impacts to cultural resources, biological resources, and geology and soils would be significant and unavoidable and undergrounding does not offer any benefits that would outweigh the significant impacts created by this mitigation. Also, based on preliminary cost estimates for Proposed Project, approximately 1,100 feet could be undergrounded and still be considered a "low-cost" field-reduction measure. As there are no known schools, day-care centers or hospitals on lands adjacent to the Proposed Project route, priority for low-cost field reduction would be given to segments adjacent to residential land use. Preliminary review suggests that the accumulated distances adjacent to residence is greater than 1,100 feet. Though evaluation of low-cost measures for these segments can be prioritized by considering location and/or density of adjacent permanently occupied structures,^[1] the population density along most of these segments is consistently sparse, making prioritization difficult. A more broadly effective "low-cost" measure is proposed for use under "Increasing Structure Height" as shown in "Table 1" above. For these reasons, undergrounding as a "lowcost" field-reduction measure was not adopted.

Increasing Trench Depth of Underground: The new 138 kV tieline will transition from overhead to underground via a cable pole (pole #52) and proceed within undeveloped rural land or within SDG&E property for approx. 750 ft. to a point within Boulevard East Substation. Increasing the depth for this short segment would involve additional costs and would not be a "no-cost" field reduction technique but a "low-cost" reduction technique. Although there are residential structures in the area near this underground portion, preliminary design plans show depth to be, on average, twice the SDG&E Transmission Design Guideline depth of 3 ft. top-of-conduit and increasing that depth would lower the ampacity rating of the entire tieline due to less heat dissipation as compaction increases. This would make the new 138kV tieline inadequate to provide for the interconnection facilities in this Proposed Project. Therefore this option was discarded.

Phasing Circuits to Reduce Magnetic Fields: Reduction of magnetic field values (milligauss) through phasing techniques was considered for the Proposed Project. As described in the Project Definition above, TL6931 will be normally OPEN at Boulevard East Substation. The only time it will be CLOSED is when the 138kV tielines tied to Boulevard East Substation coming from ECO, and wind generation resources, including the Shu'luuk 138kV interconnection, are down for an unforeseen event. Then TL6931 will feed Boulevard Substation from Crestwood

^[1] SDG&E Guidelines, p. 12: "When spending for "low-cost" measures would otherwise disallow equitable magnetic field reduction for all areas within a single land use class, prioritization can be achieved by considering location and/or density of permanently occupied structures on lands adjacent to the projects, as appropriate."

Substation. Therefore, at any one time only one of the two tielines on the new double circuit poles would be energized. Changing the phasing of a single circuit pole top configuration does not change the milligauss values at ROW. For that reason this option was discarded.

Increasing Structure Height: The design pole height required to maintain minimum 30 foot sag (distance from ground) for 138kV tielines was used for the new double circuit steel poles in the Proposed Project. Some structure heights were increased to maintain or increase sag distance from ground to the circuit by design due to the contour of the land they travel over. Increasing pole height, which will increase sag height, would not be a "no-cost" option but a "low-cost" option. To adopt a "low-cost" option, the calculated reduction at edges of rights-of-way, must be at least 15%. Modeling was done to try to get an additional 15% or more at both edges of rightsof-way for the overhead portion of the Proposed Project, and the structure height would have to increase an additional **10.0 feet** from ground to lowest circuit wire (**40.0 feet minimum sag**). Land uses along the route include undeveloped rural land and areas of rural residence structures, only some of which are on properties adjacent to the tieline. Though evaluation of low-cost measures for these segments can be prioritized by considering location and/or density of adjacent permanently occupied structures,^[1] the population density along most of these segments is consistently sparse, making prioritization difficult. Increasing structure height was recommended as a "low-cost" measure for the Proposed Project for the portions of the tieline passing residential structures where properties are predominantly adjacent to the line. (see "Magnetic Field Reduction Measures Recommended for the Project" below).

VII. Magnetic Field Reduction Measures Recommended for the Transmission Portion of the Proposed Project

The field Reduction of magnetic field values by increasing structure height field reduction technique was adopted as a viable method to reduce magnetic fields at the edge-of-ROW for the Proposed Project. For the percentage of magnetic field reduction see Table 2 located in "Section VIII. - Summary of Calculated Magnetic Field Levels for the Transmission Portion of the Proposed Project." The recommended field reduction technique are:

A. "No-Cost" Field Management Technique:

There are no "no-cost" magnetic field reduction techniques recommended for this Project.

B. "Low-Cost" Field Management Technique:

After discussing increasing structure height field reduction techniques with Transmission Engineering, <u>raising the structure height an additional 10.0 feet to make sag height a</u> <u>minimum of 40.0 feet</u> from ground to lowest circuit wire was selected for most viable "lowcost" technique to reduce magnetic fields for the Proposed Project for the portions of the tieline passing residential structures where properties are predominantly adjacent to the tieline. Though evaluation of low-cost measures for these segments can be prioritized by considering location

^[1] SDG&E Guidelines, p. 12: "When spending for "low-cost" measures would otherwise disallow equitable magnetic field reduction for all areas within a single land use class, prioritization can be achieved by considering location and/or density of permanently occupied structures on lands adjacent to the projects, as appropriate."

and/or density of adjacent permanently occupied structures,^[1] the population density along most of these segments is consistently sparse, making prioritization difficult. Prioritizing by proximity, would increase height by **10** feet for **19** poles (#s <u>**11**</u>, <u>**12**</u>, <u>**19**-**23**, <u>**35**</u>, <u>**36**</u>, <u>**42**, <u>**43**</u>, <u>**and**</u> <u>**46**-**53**). All poles except **11** and **12** are near adjacent residential properties for which the residences are within 300 feet of the line; the span between poles 11 and 12 crosses a residential property (though the residence is nearly 500' away). This prioritization provides a low-cost magnetic field reduction measure for approximately **4.0%** of total Proposed Project cost. Field reduction at the north edge ROW is **15.0%** and at the south edge ROW is **19.9%**. (see "Appendix 1: SDG&E Wind Interconnect/TL6931 Fire Hardening Project – Segment 1 Map" below)</u></u></u>

VIII. Summary of Calculated Magnetic Field Levels for the Transmission Portion of the Proposed Project

The following table shows the initial design sag height and recommended ("low-cost") design magnetic field values (milligauss) and the percent change for the Proposed Project. A positive percentage value shows a reduction in milligauss, while a negative value shows an increase in milligauss from the initial design. The magnetic field values were calculated at the edges-of-ROW. Since increasing structure height field reduction technique_was the only viable technique, other modeling tables were not included.(see "Table 2" below) The location of the Proposed Project is included in the attached "Appendix –SDGE Wind Interconnection / TL6931 Fire Hardening Project Segment Map" below.

| | Standard min. Sag 30 ft. (milligauss) | Increase min. Sag to 40.0 <u>ft.</u> (milligauss) | Percent (%) milligauss reduction |
|------------|---|---|--|
| north ROW | 2.06 | 1.75 | 15.0% |
| centerline | 7.66 | 4.58 | 40.2% |
| south ROW | 2.92 | 2.34 | 19.9% |

Table 2: Increasing Sag Height within 100-foot-wide Easement

^[1] SDG&E Guidelines, p. 12: "When spending for "low-cost" measures would otherwise disallow equitable magnetic field reduction for all areas within a single land use class, prioritization can be achieved by considering location and/or density of permanently occupied structures on lands adjacent to the projects, as appropriate."

IX. Field Management Plan Checklist for the Boulevard East Substation Portion of the Proposed Project

Generally, magnetic field values along the substation perimeter are low compared to the substation interior because of the distance to the energized equipment. Normally, the highest values of magnetic fields around the perimeter of a substation are caused by overhead power lines and underground duct banks entering and leaving the substation, and not by substation equipment. Therefore, the magnetic field reduction measures generally applicable to a substation project are as follows:

- Site selection for a new substation;
- Setback of substation structures and major substation equipment (such as bus, transformers, and underground cable duct banks, etc.) from perimeter;
- Field reduction for transmission lines entering and exiting the substation.

The Substation Checklist FMP evaluates the no-cost and low-cost measures considered for the substation project, the measures adopted, and reasons that certain measures were not adopted.

| No. | No-Cost and Low-Cost Magnetic Field Reduction Measures Evaluated for a Substation Project | Measure Adopted? (Yes/No) | Reason(s) if not Adopted |
|-----|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | Keep high current devices, transformers, capacitors, and reactors, away from the substation property lines by bringing into the substation property as much as possible. | Yes | |
| 2 | For underground duct banks, the minimum distance should be 12 feet from the adjacent property lines or to the extent practical. | Yes | |
| 3 | Locate new substations close to existing transmission line rights-of-way to the extent practical. | Yes | |
| 4 | Increase the substation property boundary to the extent practical. | Yes | |
| 5 | Other: | | |

Prepared By:

Date:

John Baranowski Construction Services Supervisor November 1, 2012

Appendix 1

SDG&E Wind Interconnect / TL6931 Fire Hardening Project

Segment 1 Map



APPENDIX G Financial Statements

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENT SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

| (a) | Amounts and Kinds of Stock Authorized: Preferred Stock Preferred Stock Preferred Stock Common Stock | 1,375,000 s 10,000,000 s Amount of shares n 255,000,000 s | shares not specified | Par Value \$27,500,000 Without Par Value \$80,000,000 Without Par Value |
|-----|---|--|-------------------------|--|
| | Amounts and Kinds of Stock Outstanding: PREFERRED STOCK | | | |
| | 5.0% | 375,000 s | shares | \$7,500,000 |
| | 4.50% | 300,000 s | shares | 6,000,000 |
| | 4.40% | 325,000 s | shares | 6,500,000 |
| | 4.60% | 373,770 s | shares | 7,475,400 |
| | \$1.70 | 1,400,000 s | shares | 35,000,000 |
| | \$1.82 | 640,000 s | shares | 16,000,000 |
| | COMMON STOCK | 116,583,358 s | shares | 291,458,395 |

(b) <u>Terms of Preferred Stock:</u>

Full information as to this item is given in connection with Application Nos. 93-09-069, 04-01-009, 06-05-015 and 10-10-023 to which references are hereby made.

- (c) <u>Brief Description of Mortgage:</u> Full information as to this item is given in Application Nos. 08-07-029,10-10-023 and 12-03-005 to which references are hereby made.
- (d) Number and Amount of Bonds Authorized and Issued:

| | Nominal | Par Value | | |
|------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| | Date of | Authorized | | Interest Paid |
| First Mortgage Bonds: | Issue | and Issued | Outstanding | in 2011 |
| 6.8% Series KK, due 2015 | 12-01-91 | 14,400,000 | 14,400,000 | 979,200 |
| Var% Series OO, due 2027 | 12-01-92 | 250,000,000 | 150,000,000 | 7,612,500 |
| 5.85% Series RR, due 2021 | 06-29-93 | 60,000,000 | 60,000,000 | 3,510,000 |
| 2.539% Series VV, due 2034 | 06-17-04 | 43,615,000 | 43,615,000 | 2,562,373 |
| 2.539% Series WW, due 2034 | 06-17-04 | 40,000,000 | 40,000,000 | 2,349,999 |
| 2.516% Series XX, due 2034 | 06-17-04 | 35,000,000 | 35,000,000 | 2,056,249 |
| 2.832% Series YY, due 2034 | 06-17-04 | 24,000,000 | 24,000,000 | 1,409,999 |
| 2.832% Series ZZ, due 2034 | 06-17-04 | 33,650,000 | 33,650,000 | 1,976,936 |
| 2.8275% Series AAA, due 2039 | 06-17-04 | 75,000,000 | 75,000,000 | 134,561 |
| 5.35% Series BBB, due 2035 | 05-19-05 | 250,000,000 | 250,000,000 | 13,375,000 |
| 5.30% Series CCC, due 2015 | 11-17-05 | 250,000,000 | 250,000,000 | 13,250,000 |
| 6.00% Series DDD. due 2026 | 06-08-06 | 250,000,000 | 250,000,000 | 15,000,000 |
| Var Series EEE, due 2018 | 09-21-06 | 161,240,000 | 161,240,000 | 324,863 |
| 6.125% Series FFF, due 2037 | 09-20-07 | 250,000,000 | 250,000,000 | 15,312,500 |
| 6.00% Series GGG, due 2039 | 05-14-09 | 300,000,000 | 300,000,000 | 18,000,000 |
| 5.35% Series HHH, due 2040 | 05-13-10 | 250,000,000 | 250,000,000 | 13,375,000 |
| 4.50% Series III, due 2040 | 08-15-10 | 500,000,000 | 500,000,000 | 21,812,500 |
| 3.00% Series JJJ, due 2021 | 08-18-11 | 350,000,000 | 350,000,000 | 0 |
| 3.95% Series LLL, due 2041 | 11-17-11 | 250,000,000 | 250,000,000 | 0 |
| 4.30% Series MMM, due 2042 | 03-22-12 | 250,000,000 | 250,000,000 | 0 |
| Unsecured Bonds: | | | | |
| 5.9% CPCFA96A, due 2014 | 06-01-96 | 129,820,000 | 129,820,000 | 7,659,380 |
| 5.3% CV96A, due 2021 | 08-02-96 | 38,900,000 | 38,900,000 | 2,061,700 |
| 5.5% CV96B. due 2021 | 11-21-96 | 60.000.000 | 60.000.000 | 3.300.000 |
| 4.9% CV97A, due 2023 | 10-31-97 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 1,225,000 |
| | | ,, | | , ,,,,,, |

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENT SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

| | Date of | Date of | Interest | Interest Paid |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|
| Other Indebtedness: | <u>Issue</u> | <u>Maturity</u> | <u>Rate</u> | Outstanding 2011 |
| Commercial Paper & ST Bank Loans | Various | Various | Various | 0 \$0 |

Amounts and Rates of Dividends Declared: The amounts and rates of dividends during the past five fiscal years are as follows:

| | Shares | | eclared | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Preferred Stock | Outstanding 12-31-11 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| 5.0% | 375,000 | \$375,000 | \$375,000 | \$375,000 | \$375,000 | \$375,000 |
| 4.50% | 300,000 | 270,000 | 270,000 | 270,000 | 270,000 | 270,000 |
| 4.40% | 325,000 | 286,000 | 286,000 | 286,000 | 286,000 | 286,000 |
| 4.60% | 373,770 | 343,868 | 343,868 | 343,868 | 343,868 | 343,868 |
| \$ 1.7625 | 0 | 969,375 | 242,344 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$ 1.70 | 1,400,000 | 2,380,000 | 2,380,000 | 2,380,000 | 2,380,000 | 2,380,000 |
| \$ 1.82 | 640,000 | 1,164,800 | 1,164,800 | 1,164,800 | 1,164,800 | 1,164,800 |
| | 3,413,770 | \$5,789,043 | \$5,062,012 [1] | \$4,819,668 | \$4,819,668 | \$4,819,668 |

Dividend to Parent \$150,000,000 [2] \$0 \$0

A balance sheet and a statement of income and retained earnings of Applicant for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 are attached hereto.

Includes \$242,344 of interest expense related to redeemable preferred stock.
 San Diego Gas & Electric Company dividend to parent.

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY BALANCE SHEET ASSETS AND OTHER DEBITS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

| | 1. UTILITY PLANT | 2012 |
|------------|---|------------------------------|
| 101 | UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE | \$13,487,237,954 |
| 102 | UTILITY PLANT PURCHASED OR SOLD | 13,548,294 |
| 104 | UTILITY PLANT LEASED TO OTHERS | 85,194,000 |
| 105 | PLANT HELD FOR FUTURE USE | 8,151,201 |
| 106 | COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION NOT CLASSIFIED | - |
| 107 | CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS | 644,811,836 |
| 108 | ACCUMULATED PROVISION FOR DEPRECIATION OF UTILITY PLANT | (4,891,310,393) |
| 111 | ACCUMULATED PROVISION FOR AMORTIZATION OF UTILITY PLANT | (256,001,359) |
| 118 119 | OTHER UTILITY PLANT ACCUMULATED PROVISION FOR DEPRECIATION AND | 696,958,732 |
| 119 | ACCOMULATED PROVISION FOR DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION OF OTHER UTILITY PLANT | (104 217 472) |
| 120 | NUCLEAR FUEL - NET | (194,217,472) 114,909,686 |
| | TOTAL NET UTILITY PLANT | 9,709,282,479 |
| | 2. OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS | |
| 121 122 | NONUTILITY PROPERTY ACCUMULATED PROVISION FOR DEPRECIATION AND | 6,313,633 |
| | AMORTIZATION OF NONUTILITY PROPERTY | (546,049) |
| 123 | INVESTMENTS IN SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES | - |
| 124 | OTHER INVESTMENTS | - |
| 125 | SINKING FUNDS | - |
| 128 | OTHER SPECIAL FUNDS | 891,855,963 |
| | | |

| TOTAL OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS | 897,623,547 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | |

Data from SPL as of November 29, 2012

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY BALANCE SHEET ASSETS AND OTHER DEBITS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

3. CURRENT AND ACCRUED ASSETS

| | 2011 | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 131 CASH 132 INTEREST SPECIAL DEPOSITS 134 OTHER SPECIAL DEPOSITS 135 WORKING FUNDS 136 TEMPORARY CASH INVESTMENTS 137 NOTES RECEIVABLE 138 OTHER ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE 139 OTHER ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE 130 OTHER ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE 144 ACCUMULATED PROVISION FOR UNCOLLECTIBLE ACCOUNTS 145 NOTES RECEIVABLE FROM ASSOCIATED COMPANIES 146 ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE FROM ASSOCIATED COMPANIES 147 FUEL STOCK 148 PLANT MATERIALS AND OPERATING SUPPLIES 149 OTHER MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 149 OTHER MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 150 OTHER MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 151 FUEL STOCK 152 FUEL STOCK EXPENSE UNDISTRIBUTED 154 PLANT MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 155 OTHER MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 156 OTHER MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 157 OTHER MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 158 STORES EXPENSE UNDISTRIBUTED 159 OTHER MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 151 FUEL STOCK EXPENSE UNDISTRIBUTED 152 FUEL STOCK EXPENSE UNDISTRIBUTED 153 STORES EXPENSE UNDISTRIBUTED 154 PLANT MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 155 OTHER MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 156 OTHER MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 157 DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENT ASSETS 158 DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENT ASSETS 159 DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENT ASSETS | 217,557 - - 500 - 233,612,683 20,081,947 (2,863,738) - 16,778,226 2,305,557 - 78,537,372 - (1,162) 124,296 225,125,013 4,010,076 62,753,000 187,504,106 44,776,045 872,961,478 | | |
| 4. DEFERRED DEBITS | | | |
| 181 UNAMORTIZED DEBT EXPENSE 182 UNRECOVERED PLANT AND OTHER REGULATORY ASSETS 183 PRELIMINARY SURVEY & INVESTIGATION CHARGES 184 CLEARING ACCOUNTS 185 TEMPORARY FACILITIES 186 MISCELLANEOUS DEFERRED DEBITS 188 RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT 189 UNAMORTIZED LOSS ON REACQUIRED DEBT 190 ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES | 35,714,172 2,571,278,815 5,106,648 976,020 - 23,303,759 - 17,089,535 557,872,815 | | |
| TOTAL DEFERRED DEBITS | 3,211,341,764 | | |
| TOTAL ASSETS AND OTHER DEBITS | 14,691,209,268 | | |

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY BALANCE SHEET LIABILITIES AND OTHER CREDITS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

5. PROPRIETARY CAPITAL

| | | 2011 | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 201 204 207 210 211 214 216 219 | COMMON STOCK ISSUED PREFERRED STOCK ISSUED PREMIUM ON CAPITAL STOCK GAIN ON RETIRED CAPITAL STOCK MISCELLANEOUS PAID-IN CAPITAL CAPITAL STOCK EXPENSE UNAPPROPRIATED RETAINED EARNINGS ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | (\$291,458,395) (78,475,400) (592,222,753) - (479,665,368) 25,688,571 (2,786,794,413) 9,755,579 | | |
| | TOTAL PROPRIETARY CAPITAL | (4,193,172,179) | | |
| | 6. LONG-TERM DEBT | | | |
| 221 | | (3,536,905,000) | | |
| 223 224 225 | ADVANCES FROM ASSOCIATED COMPANIES OTHER LONG-TERM DEBT UNAMORTIZED PREMIUM ON LONG-TERM DEBT | - (253,720,000) - | | |

- 226UNAMORTIZED DISCOUNT ON LONG-TERM DEBT11,834,550
 - TOTAL LONG-TERM DEBT (3,778,790,450)

7. OTHER NONCURRENT LIABILITIES

| 227 OBLIGATIONS UNDER CAPITAL LEASES - NONCURRENT | (674,680,029) |
|---|---------------|
| 228.2 ACCUMULATED PROVISION FOR INJURIES AND DAMAGES | (31,028,287) |
| 228.3 ACCUMULATED PROVISION FOR PENSIONS AND BENEFITS | (330,278,239) |
| 228.4 ACCUMULATED MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING PROVISIONS | 0 |
| 230 ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS | (727,777,372) |

| (1,763,763,927) |
|-----------------|
| |

Data from SPL as of November 29, 2012

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY BALANCE SHEET LIABILITIES AND OTHER CREDITS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

8. CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITES

| | | 2011 |
|------------|---|------------------------------|
| 231 232 | NOTES PAYABLE ACCOUNTS PAYABLE | (1,700,000) (355,445,678) |
| 233 | NOTES PAYABLE TO ASSOCIATED COMPANIES | - |
| 234 | ACCOUNTS PAYABLE TO ASSOCIATED COMPANIES | (19,711,480) |
| 235 | CUSTOMER DEPOSITS | (62,850,929) |
| 236 | TAXES ACCRUED | (23,942,687) |
| 237 | INTEREST ACCRUED | (62,692,511) |
| 238 | DIVIDENDS DECLARED | (1,204,917) |
| 241 | TAX COLLECTIONS PAYABLE | (5,403,831) |
| 242 | MISCELLANEOUS CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES | (393,906,897) |
| 243 | OBLIGATIONS UNDER CAPITAL LEASES - CURRENT | (36,831,314) |
| 244 | DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENT LIABILITIES | (190,728,539) |
| 245 | DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENT LIABILITIES - HEDGES | 0 |
| | | |
| | TOTAL CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES | (1,154,418,783) |

9. DEFERRED CREDITS

| 252 253 | CUSTOMER ADVANCES FOR CONSTRUCTION OTHER DEFERRED CREDITS | (13,656,727) (496,869,300) |
|------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 254 | OTHER REGULATORY LIABILITIES | (1,133,746,949) |
| 255 | ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INVESTMENT TAX CREDITS | (26,152,469) |
| 257 | UNAMORTIZED GAIN ON REACQUIRED DEBT | - |
| 281 | ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES - ACCELERATED | (5,201,256) |
| 282 | ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES - PROPERTY | (1,723,457,126) |
| 283 | ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES - OTHER | (401,980,102) |
| | TOTAL DEFERRED CREDITS | (3,801,063,929) |

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND OTHER CREDITS (\$14,691,209,268)

Data from SPL as of November 29, 2012

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY STATEMENT OF INCOME AND RETAINED EARNINGS NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

1. UTILITY OPERATING INCOME

| 400 401 402 403-7 408.1 409.1 410.1 411.1 411.4 411.6 | OPERATING REVENUES OPERATING EXPENSES MAINTENANCE EXPENSES DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION EXPENSES TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES INCOME TAXES PROVISION FOR DEFERRED INCOME TAXES PROVISION FOR DEFERRED INCOME TAXES PROVISION FOR DEFERRED INCOME TAXES - CREDIT INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT ADJUSTMENTS GAIN FROM DISPOSITION OF UTILITY PLANT | \$1,985,711,620 150,491,317 340,416,565 66,916,393 (60,648,307) 692,026,077 (439,860,104) 349,575 - | \$3,128,104,838 |
|--|--|---|----------------------------|
| | TOTAL OPERATING REVENUE DEDUCTIONS | - | 2,735,403,136 |
| | NET OPERATING INCOME | | 392,701,702 |
| | 2. OTHER INCOME AND DEDUCTIONS | | |
| 415 417.1 418 418.1 419 419.1 421 421.1 | REVENUE FROM MERCHANDISING, JOBBING AND CONTRACT WORK EXPENSES OF NONUTILITY OPERATIONS NONOPERATING RENTAL INCOME EQUITY IN EARNINGS OF SUBSIDIARIES INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME ALLOWANCE FOR OTHER FUNDS USED DURING CONSTRUCTION MISCELLANEOUS NONOPERATING INCOME GAIN ON DISPOSITION OF PROPERTY | (2,338) 279,720 - 3,433,840 61,143,049 441,574 - | |
| | TOTAL OTHER INCOME | 65,295,845 | |
| 421.2 426 | LOSS ON DISPOSITION OF PROPERTY MISCELLANEOUS OTHER INCOME DEDUCTIONS | - 2,269,819 2,269,819 | |
| 408.2 409.2 410.2 411.2 | TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES INCOME TAXES PROVISION FOR DEFERRED INCOME TAXES PROVISION FOR DEFERRED INCOME TAXES - CREDIT TOTAL TAXES ON OTHER INCOME AND DEDUCTIONS | 385,776 (50,028,891) 0 9,150,462 (40,492,653) | |
| | | (+0,+32,033) | |
| | TOTAL OTHER INCOME AND DEDUCTIONS | - | 103,518,679 |
| | INCOME BEFORE INTEREST CHARGES NET INTEREST CHARGES* | _ | 496,220,381 118,248,320 |
| | NET INCOME | = | \$377,972,061 |
| | | | |

*NET OF ALLOWANCE FOR BORROWED FUNDS USED DURING CONSTRUCTION, (25,593,864)

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY STATEMENT OF INCOME AND RETAINED EARNINGS NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

3. RETAINED EARNINGS

| RETAINED EARNINGS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD, AS PREVIOUSLY REPORTED | \$2,412,437,103 |
|--|-----------------|
| NET INCOME (FROM PRECEDING PAGE) | 377,972,061 |
| DIVIDEND TO PARENT COMPANY | - |
| DIVIDENDS DECLARED - PREFERRED STOCK | (3,614,751) |
| OTHER RETAINED EARNINGS ADJUSTMENTS | |
| RETAINED EARNINGS AT END OF PERIOD | \$2,786,794,413 |

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

COST OF PROPERTY AND DEPRECIATION RESERVE APPLICABLE THERETO AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

| No. | Account | Original Cost | Reserve for Depreciation and <u>Amortization</u> |
|--|---|---|--|
| ELECT | RIC DEPARTMENT | | |
| 302 303 | Franchises and Consents Misc. Intangible Plant | \$ 222,841 77,353,474 | \$ 202,900 5,956,882 |
| | TOTAL INTANGIBLE PLANT | 77,576,315 | 6,159,782 |
| 310.1 310.2 311 312 314 315 316 | Land Land Rights Structures and Improvements Boiler Plant Equipment Turbogenerator Units Accessory Electric Equipment Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment Steam Production Decommissioning | 14,526,518 0 83,488,783 163,231,924 112,838,130 81,935,410 25,801,345 | 46,518 0 28,099,799 48,112,447 31,835,664 24,629,097 5,570,451 0 |
| | TOTAL STEAM PRODUCTION | 481,822,111 | 138,293,977 |
| 320.1 320.2 321 322 323 324 325 107 | Land Land Rights Structures and Improvements Boiler Plant Equipment Turbogenerator Units Accessory Electric Equipment Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment ICIP CWIP | 0 283,677 275,650,545 556,559,852 142,381,272 173,236,427 314,945,328 0 | 0 283,677 270,613,381 419,749,061 137,165,063 167,695,922 238,404,313 0 |
| | TOTAL NUCLEAR PRODUCTION | 1,463,057,102 | 1,233,911,417 |
| 340.1 340.2 341 342 343 344 345 346 | Land Land Rights Structures and Improvements Fuel Holders, Producers & Accessories Prime Movers Generators Accessory Electric Equipment Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment | 143,476 2,428 19,292,858 20,348,101 84,174,818 327,819,991 31,708,394 23,517,224 | 0 2,428 3,354,334 4,219,943 18,425,712 79,806,403 6,932,035 9,161,900 |
| | TOTAL OTHER PRODUCTION | 507,007,290 | 121,902,754 |
| | TOTAL ELECTRIC PRODUCTION | 2,451,886,502 | 1,494,108,147 |

| <u>No.</u> <u>Account</u> | Original Cost | Reserve for Depreciation and <u>Amortization</u> |
|--|---------------------------|---|
| 350.1 Land | \$ 40,792,766 | \$ 0 |
| 350.2 Land Rights | 136,915,589 | پ 12,620,732 |
| 352 Structures and Improvements | 285,526,462 | 37,969,416 |
| 353 Station Equipment | 963,037,139 | 160,020,003 |
| 354 Towers and Fixtures | 657,533,430 | 90,481,482 |
| 355 Poles and Fixtures | 264,238,315 | 52,963,522 |
| 356 Overhead Conductors and Devices | 405,736,207 | 173,636,915 |
| 357 Underground Conduit | 296,317,703 | 26,013,364 |
| 358 Underground Conductors and Devices | 322,821,442 | 27,238,624 |
| 359 Roads and Trails | 189,171,960 | 7,688,134 |
| TOTAL TRANSMISSION | 3,562,091,012 | 588,632,193 |
| 360.1 Land | 16,176,228 | 0 |
| 360.2 Land Rights | 75,238,482 | 33,153,382 |
| 361 Structures and Improvements | 3,496,653 | 1,430,921 |
| 362 Station Equipment | 400,242,232 | 83,103,282 |
| 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures | 514,829,210 | 227,985,908 |
| 365 Overhead Conductors and Devices | 406,981,539 | 161,271,509 |
| 366 Underground Conduit | 961,943,312 | 372,398,607 |
| 367 Underground Conductors and Devices | 1,275,571,386 | 750,853,056 |
| 368.1 Line Transformers | 493,734,055 | 83,730,898 |
| 368.2 Protective Devices and Capacitors | 15,811,184 | (8,073,411) |
| 369.1 Services Overhead | 120,817,092 | 123,018,731 |
| 369.2 Services Underground | 307,165,451 | 216,444,427 |
| 370.1 Meters370.2 Meter Installations | 202,595,561 48,973,286 | (10,090,946) (25,352,981) |
| 371 Installations on Customers' Premises | 6,513,419 | 11,287,058 |
| 373.1 St. Lighting & Signal SysTransformers | 0,010,410 | 0 |
| 373.2 Street Lighting & Signal Systems | 24,682,390 | 17,871,226 |
| TOTAL DISTRIBUTION PLANT | 4,874,771,482 | 2,039,031,669 |
| 389.1 Land | 7 500 607 | 0 |
| 389.1 Land 389.2 Land Rights | 7,523,627 | 0 |
| 390 Structures and Improvements | 31,037,336 | 18,531,828 |
| 392.1 Transportation Equipment - Autos | 0 | 49,884 |
| 392.2 Transportation Equipment - Trailers | 58,146 | 2,554 |
| 393 Stores Equipment | 17,466 | 16,139 |
| 394.1 Portable Tools | 19,375,183 | 6,089,238 |
| 394.2 Shop Equipment | 328,720 | 192,373 |
| 395 Laboratory Equipment | 302,226 | 43,595 |
| 396 Power Operated Equipment | 92,162 | 149,134 |
| 397 Communication Equipment | 167,869,475 | 68,724,500 |
| 398 Miscellaneous Equipment | 1,367,470 | 198,274 |
| TOTAL GENERAL PLANT | 227,971,811 | 93,997,520 |
| 101 TOTAL ELECTRIC PLANT | 11,194,297,122 | 4,221,929,310 |

| <u>No.</u> | Account | Original <u>Cost</u> | Reserve for Depreciation and <u>Amortization</u> |
|---|---|---|---|
| GAS PL | ANT | | |
| 302 303 | Franchises and Consents Miscellaneous Intangible Plant | \$ 86,104 0 | \$ 86,104 0 |
| | TOTAL INTANGIBLE PLANT | 86,104 | 86,104 |
| 360.1 361 362.1 362.2 363 363.1 363.2 363.3 363.4 363.5 363.6 | Land Structures and Improvements Gas Holders Liquefied Natural Gas Holders Purification Equipment Liquefaction Equipment Vaporizing Equipment Compressor Equipment Measuring and Regulating Equipment Other Equipment LNG Distribution Storage Equipment TOTAL STORAGE PLANT | 0 43,992 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2,052,614 2,096,606 | 0 43,992 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 695,087 739,079 |
| 365.1 365.2 366 367 368 369 371 | Land Land Rights Structures and Improvements Mains Compressor Station Equipment Measuring and Regulating Equipment Other Equipment TOTAL TRANSMISSION PLANT | 4,649,144 2,218,045 11,541,403 133,850,631 80,292,125 18,728,435 0 251,279,782 | 0 1,216,581 9,549,587 60,133,947 58,124,223 14,690,619 0 143,714,957 |
| 374.1 374.2 375 376 378 380 381 382 385 385 386 387 | Land Land Rights Structures and Improvements Mains Measuring & Regulating Station Equipment Distribution Services Meters and Regulators Meter and Regulator Installations Ind. Measuring & Regulating Station Equipme Other Property On Customers' Premises Other Equipment | 102,187 8,118,693 43,447 559,330,462 15,057,081 242,910,503 138,989,796 86,311,288 1,516,811 0 5,223,272 1,057,603,539 | 0 6,032,451 61,253 320,306,907 6,731,152 280,997,186 37,776,302 25,839,727 1,015,741 0 4,676,902 683,437,621 |

| <u>No.</u> | Account | Orig Co | jinal ost | De | eserve for preciation and nortization |
|------------|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------|----|--|
| 392.1 | Transportation Equipment - Autos | \$ | 0 | \$ | 25,503 |
| 392.2 | Transportation Equipment - Trailers | | 74,501 | | 74,501 |
| 394.1 | Portable Tools | | 7,177,745 | | 3,059,517 |
| 394.2 | Shop Equipment | | 76,864 | | 29,005 |
| 395 | Laboratory Equipment | | 283,094 | | 235,131 |
| 396 | Power Operated Equipment | | 162,284 | | 92,500 |
| 397 | Communication Equipment | | 1,541,611 | | 945,501 |
| 398 | Miscellaneous Equipment | | 198,325 | | 54,067 |
| | TOTAL GENERAL PLANT | | 9,514,423 | | 4,515,725 |
| 101 | TOTAL GAS PLANT | 1,32 | 0,580,454 | | 832,493,487 |

COMMON PLANT

| 303 | Miscellaneous Intangible Plant | 191,146,549 | 103,690,346 |
|-------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 350.1 | Land | 0 | 0 |
| 360.1 | Land | 0 | 0 |
| 389.1 | Land | 5,612,511 | 0 |
| 389.2 | Land Rights | 1,080,961 | 27,275 |
| 390 | Structures and Improvements | 238,943,754 | 102,545,650 |
| 391.1 | Office Furniture and Equipment - Other | 18,852,648 | 9,705,372 |
| 391.2 | Office Furniture and Equipment - Computer Ed | 69,378,197 | 33,175,342 |
| 392.1 | Transportation Equipment - Autos | 33,942 | (338,930) |
| 392.2 | Transportation Equipment - Trailers | 33,369 | 1,801 |
| 393 | Stores Equipment | 144,926 | 84,549 |
| 394.1 | Portable Tools | 1,193,702 | 133,992 |
| 394.2 | Shop Equipment | 248,289 | 139,109 |
| 394.3 | Garage Equipment | 969,973 | (70,516) |
| 395 | Laboratory Equipment | 2,236,234 | 866,738 |
| 396 | Power Operated Equipment | 0 | (192,979) |
| 397 | Communication Equipment | 103,048,288 | 46,815,016 |
| 398 | Miscellaneous Equipment | 2,440,895 | 870,667 |
| 118.1 | TOTAL COMMON PLANT | 635,364,239 | 297,453,433 |
| 110.1 | | 035,304,239 | 297,403,403 |
| | | | |
| | TOTAL ELECTRIC PLANT | 11,194,297,122 | 4,221,929,310 |
| | TOTAL GAS PLANT | 1,320,580,454 | 832,493,487 |
| | TOTAL COMMON PLANT | 635,364,239 | 297,453,433 |
| | - | | |
| 101 & | | | |
| 118.1 | TOTAL | 13,150,241,816 | 5,351,876,230 |
| | | | |
| 101 | PLANT IN SERV-SONGS FULLY RECOVER | \$ (1,164,131,236) | \$ (1,164,131,236) |
| .01 | | Ψ (1,10 1 ,101,200) | Ψ (1,10 ⁻ ,101,200) |
| 101 | PLANT IN SERV-ELECTRIC NON-RECON | | |
| - | Electric | \$ (5,884,704) | \$ 0 |
| | - | | |

| <u>No.</u> | <u>Account</u> | | Original Cost | D | Reserve for epreciation and mortization |
|------------|---|---------|---|----------|--|
| 101 | PLANT IN SERV-ASSETS HELD FOR SALE Electric Common | \$ | (23,714,257) (8,861,299) (32,575,555) | \$ \$ | (600,716) 0 (600,716) |
| 101 | PLANT IN SERV-LEGACY METER RECLAS | S \$ | (23,070,475) | \$ | 66,831,561 |
| 101 | PLANT IN SERV-SUNRISE FIRE MITIGATIO | N \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| 118 | PLANT IN SERV-COMMON NON-RECON Common - Transferred Asset Adjustment | \$ | (2,894,035) | \$ | (2,894,035) |
| 101 | Accrual for Retirements Electric Gas | \$ | (1,625,051) (1,166,032) | \$ | (1,625,051) (1,166,032) |
| | TOTAL PLANT IN SERV-ACCRUAL FOR RE | | (2,791,083) | | (2,791,083) |
| 102 | Electric Gas | | 0 0 | | 0 0 |
| | TOTAL PLANT PURCHASED OR SOLD | | 0 | | 0 |
| 104 | Electric Gas | | 85,194,000 0 | | 738,033 0 |
| | TOTAL PLANT LEASED TO OTHERS | | 85,194,000 | | 738,033 |
| 105 | Plant Held for Future Use Electric Gas | | 8,151,201 0 | | 0 0 |
| | TOTAL PLANT HELD FOR FUTURE USE | | 8,151,201 | | 0 |
| 107 | Construction Work in Progress Electric Gas Common | | 691,573,134 46,045,820 60,506,228 | | |
| | TOTAL CONSTRUCTION WORK | | 798,125,183 | | 0 |
| 108 | Accum. Depr SONGS Mitigation/Spent Fuel D Electric | isallo | wance 0 | | 221,468 |

| <u>No.</u> | Account | Original Cost | Reserve for Depreciation and <u>Amortization</u> |
|----------------|--|--|---|
| 108.5 | Accumulated Nuclear Decommissioning Electric | 0_ | 800,593,254 |
| | TOTAL ACCUMULATED NUCLEAR DECOMMISSIONING | 0_ | 800,593,254 |
| 101.1 118.1 | ELECTRIC CAPITAL LEASES COMMON CAPITAL LEASE | 778,390,265 25,803,159 804,193,424 | 74,999,690 17,682,391 92,682,081 |
| 120 | NUCLEAR FUEL FABRICATION | 62,963,775 | 40,861,208 |
| 143 143 | FAS 143 ASSETS - Legal Obligation FIN 47 ASSETS - Non-Legal Obligation FAS 143 ASSETS - Legal Obligation | 116,218,782 72,842,470 0 | (688,610,630) 30,051,014 (1,335,631,302) |
| | TOTAL FAS 143 | 189,061,252 | (1,994,190,918) |
| | UTILITY PLANT TOTAL | \$ 13,866,583,564 | \$ 3,189,195,847 |

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY SUMMARY OF EARNINGS NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 (DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

| Line No. | ltem | <u>Amount</u> |
|----------|----------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Operating Revenue | \$3,128 |
| 2 | Operating Expenses | 2,735 |
| 3 | Net Operating Income | \$393 |
| 4 | Weighted Average Rate Base | \$5,738 |
| 5 | Rate of Return* | 8.40% |
| | | |

*Authorized Cost of Capital

APPENDIX H Listing of Governmental Agencies Consulted and Statements of Position

DECLARATION OF SERVING A WRITTEN REQUEST FOR A BRIEF POSITION STATEMENT

I, Donald A. Parent, am a Public Affairs Manager responsible for managing community outreach for San Diego Gas & Electric Company. On December 12, 2012, in accordance with the provisions of General Order 131-D, Section XI.B.1.d., I made a written request for a brief position statement by the agencies listed in Appendix H concerning the proposed power line route or activities described in this Application for a Permit to Construct the San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) TL 6913 Fire Hardening/Wind Interconnect Project as filed with the California Public Utilities Commission. To the best of my own knowledge and belief as of the date of this affidavit no agency has submitted a position statement to SDG&E.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 13th day of December, 2012, at San Diego, California.

Public Affairs Manager SDG&E

| ORGANIZATION | INDIVIDUAL | EMAIL | PHONE | DATE and TYPE of CONTACT | COORDINATOR / PARTICIPANTS | COMMENTS |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| FEDERAL | | | | | | |
| | Joe Browning | joe.browning@mail.house.gov | | 12/12/2012 - email | | |
| Congressman Duncan Hunter | Michael Harrison | <u>michael.harrison@mail.house.gov</u> | 619-448-5201 | | RPA | |
| | Rick Terrazas | rick.terrazas@mail.house.gov | | 12/12/2012 - email | | |
| STATE | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Constar lad Andarcan | Joel Anderson | Senator.anderson@sen.ca.gov | 610 EQ6. 2126 | 12/12/2012 email | | |
| | | | 0515-065-610 | | NFA | |
| | Eddie Sprecco | Edward.Sprecco@sen.ca.gov | | 12/12/2012 email | | |
| Assemblyman Brian Jones | Brian Jones | assemblymember.jones@asm.ca.gov | 619-441-2322 | 12/12/2012 email | RPA | |
| Assemblyman Brian Jones | Gail Ramer | gail.ramer@asm.ca.gov | 619-441-2322 | 12/12/2012 - email | RPA | |
| LOCAL | | | | | | |
| Supervisor Dianne Jacob | Dianne Jacob | dianne.jacob@sdcounty.ca.gov | 619-531-5522 | 12/12/2012 - email, | RPA | |
| COMMUNITY PLANNING or SPONSOR GROUP | NSOR GROUP | | | | | |
| Campo-Lake Morena CPG | Jack White | jdwhitehouse1@aol.com | 619-609-8989 | 12/12/2012 email | RPA | |
| Boulevard CPG | Donna Tisdale | <u>donnatisdale@hughes.net</u> | 619-766-4170 | 12/12/2012 email | RPA | |

| ORGANIZATION | INDIVIDUAL | EMAIL | PHONE | DATE and TYPE of CONTACT | COORDINATOR / PARTICIPANTS | COMMENTS |
|--|------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| NATIVE AMERICAN TRIBES | | | | | | |
| Campo Band of the Kumeyaay Nation | Ralph Goff | rgoff@campo-nsngov | 619-478-9369 | 12/12/2012 email | RPA | |
| La Posta Band of Mission Indians | Gwendolyn Parada | Ip13boots@aol.com | 619-478-2113 | 12/12/2012 - email | RPA | |
| Manzanita Band of the Kumeyaay Nation | Leroy Elliott | ljbirdsinger@aol.net | 619-766-4930 | | RPA | |
| CHAMBERS OF COMMERCE | | | | | | |
| Alpine | Pat Cannon, CEO | pat@alpinechamber.sdcoxmail.com | 619-445-2722 | 12/12/2012 email | RPA | |
| AGENCIES | | | | | | |
| San Diego Rural Fire Protection District | J. R. Terry | <u>rterry @sdrfire.org</u> | 619) 669-1188 12/12/2012 email | | RPA | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | _ | |

APPENDIX I Estimated Cost Range for Proposed Project

San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) TL 6931 Fire Hardening / Wind Interconnect Project Estimated Project Costs

Tie Line Approximate Cost*

TL6931/138kV Interconnect $34MM \pm 10\%$.

* All costs are approximate and based on preliminary engineering. Final costs will be determined based on approved final project scope and contracting costs.

APPENDIX J Map of Proposed Project

