**QUESTION 1:**

With reference to the Direct Testimony of Sharim Chaudhury:

1. With respect the statements on page 4 that 6,561 MWs of gas-fired power plants are expected to come on line by 2019, and that 8,831 MWs of gas-firedt power plants are expected to come on line by 2025, please provide the list of projects included in these numbers. For each individual project please provide the project’s name, capacity in MW, and projected in-service date.
2. With respect to the chart on page 6, please provide projections of potential gas exports for each of the years between 2014 and 2019.

**RESPONSE 1:**

1. The attached page (page 8 from the EPNG Business Update, February 2013) contains the requested information about each individual project, capacity in MW, and projected in-service date.



1. The projections of potential gas exports for each of the years between 2014 and 2019 are shown below. The projections are based on the methodologies described in foot notes 12 and 15, page 5, of Mr. Chaudhury’s Direct Testimony. As pointed out in footnote 16, page 5 of the same testimony, the 2015 through 2025 potential gas exports to Mexico reflects demand for gas-fired power plants only and do not include any potential exports to meet growth in Mexico’s industrial and residential sector due to unavailability of data for these sectors.



**QUESTION 2:**

With reference to the Direct Testimony of David M. Bisi:

1. On pages 12 and 13 the testimony states that gas supplies cannot be transported east from Newberry to North and South Needles. Given that statement, does SoCalGas agree with El Paso’s witness Sanabric that El Paso’s proposed project would be able to transport gas supplies from SoCalGas’ storage to SoCalGas’ southern system at Ehrenberg? If the answer is yes, please explain how such transportation would be possible without transporting gas from Newberry east to North or South Needles.

**RESPONSE 2:**

Please refer to EPNG’s Response to Question 23 of SoCalGas’ and SDG&E’s 1st Data Request in A.13-12-013 (attached). The SoCalGas system interconnects with the common Kern/Mojave pipeline as described in EPNG’s response. However, questions regarding the operations and capabilities of Kern/Mojave should be directed to EPNG, not SoCalGas and SDG&E. SoCalGas and SDG&E believe that the services described by EPNG (i.e., transporting SoCalGas storage supplies on EPNG’s proposed project) would likely require significant additional capital improvements on the SoCalGas system. But we have not yet analyzed what those improvements would be or how much they would cost.



**QUESTION 3:**

With reference to the Direct Testimony of Beth Musich:

1. On page 5 the testimony states: “Southern System support costs have been rising during the past five years. SoCalGas and SDG&E expect this trend to continue.” Did SoCalGas conduct any analysis of the likely range of these system support costs for the period 2014 through 2019? If the answer is yes, please explain how the analysis was conducted and provide the results thereof.

**RESPONSE 3:**

No.