Company: San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U902M)

Proceeding: 2016 General Rate Case

Application: A.14-11-003 Exhibit: SDG&E-231

SDG&E

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF KENNETH E. SCHIERMEYER (ELECTRIC CUSTOMERS AND SALES)

June 2015

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	SUMMA	RY OF DIFFERENCES	1
II.	INTROD	UCTION	1
	A.	ORA	1
	B.	UCAN	2
III.	REBU'	TTAL TO PARTIES' CUSTOMER FORECAST PROPOSALS	2
	A.	ORA	2
	B.	UCAN	2
IV	CONC	LUSION	3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

SUMMARY OF DIFFERENCES¹ I.

RESIDENTIAL ELECTRIC CUSTOMER FORECAST % Difference **Base Year Test Year** Versus SDG&E **TY2016** 2013 2016 SDG&E 1,249,227 1,286,981 **ORA** 1,249,227 1,288,009 + 0.08% **UCAN** 1,277,616 - 0.73% 1,249,227

SDG&E REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF KENNETH E. SCHIERMEYER

(ELECTRIC CUSTOMERS AND SALES)

COMBINED COMMERCIAL & INDUTRIAL ELECTRIC						
CUSTOMER FORECAST						
			% Difference			
	Base Year	Test Year	Versus SDG&E			
	2013	2016	TY2016			
SDG&E	146,104	149,237				
ORA	146 104	148 714	-0.35%			

TOTAL ELECTRIC CUSTOMER FORECAST					
			% Difference		
	Base Year	Test Year	Versus SDG&E		
	2013	2016	TY2016		
SDG&E	1,405,218	1,445,387			
ORA	1,405,218	1,445,892	+0.03%		

II. INTRODUCTION

A. **ORA**

Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) issued its report on SDG&E's electric customers and sales on April 24, 2015. The following is a summary of ORA's position(s):

ORA conducted an independent analysis to forecast electric residential, small commercial and industrial customers.

¹ Excludes tables for Lighting and Agriculture customer classes. In ORA's testimony (Page 7, Table 3-3), ORA recommended 5,790 Lighting customers and 3,379 Agriculture customers for TY2016, which are the same as provided in the SDG&E customer forecast. UCAN provided only a residential customer forecast in its testimony.

² ORA-3, April 24, 2015, "Report on the Results of Operations for San Diego Gas & Electric Company Southern California Gas Company Test Year 2016 General Rate Case, Customers, Sales, Cost Escalation" (Witness: Tom Renaghan), referred to herein as "ORA-3 (Renaghan)."

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2829

30

1

- ORA developed a regression model to forecast residential customers, which resulted in a forecast that is only 0.08% different than SDG&E's TY2016 forecast.
- ORA developed separate regression models for the commercial and industrial sectors. In combination, ORA's forecast of commercial and industrial customers was 0.35% lower than SDG&E's TY2016 forecast.
- In total, ORA's forecast of electric customers is very similar to SDG&E's in TY2016. The ORA forecast of 1,445,892 is only 0.03% different than SDG&E's forecast of 1,445,387.
- ORA does not oppose SDG&E's proposed level of electric sales for TY2016.³

B. UCAN

The Utility Consumers' Action Network (UCAN) submitted testimony on May 15, 2015.⁴ The following is a summary of UCAN's position(s):

- UCAN conducted an analysis of the residential electric customer forecast.
- UCAN recommends that SDG&E's residential electric customer forecast be adjusted to use the February 2015 housing starts forecast developed by IHS Global Insight, to include 2014 actual data in the regression analysis, and to exclude the housing starts versus household variable.
- UCAN indicates that these changes would result in a total residential forecast of 1,277,616, which is 0.73% lower than SDG&E's total residential customer forecast for TY2016.
- UCAN also proposes removing CARE enrollment forecasts from GRC Phase 1.5

III. REBUTTAL TO PARTIES' CUSTOMER FORECAST PROPOSALS

A. ORA

The total electric customer forecasts by ORA and SDG&E are very close and differ by only 0.03% in TY2016. SDG&E agrees with ORA's finding that "ORA and SDG&E arrive at very similar results" and believes this finding supports adopting SDG&E's proposed forecast.

B. UCAN

UCAN indicated in its testimony that it used updated forecast data from IHS Global Insight, February 2015, to create its residential electric customer forecast. UCAN's revised

³ ORA-3 (Renaghan), p. 23, ln 9.

⁴ UCAN, May 15, 2015, "Testimony of Briana Kobor, Laura Norin, and Mark Fullmer on Behalf of the Utility Consumers' Action Network Concerning Sempra's Revenue Requirement Proposals for San Diego Gas & Electric and SoCalGas" (Witness: Briana Kobor), referred to herein as "UCAN (Kobor)."

⁵ UCAN (Kobor), p. 9, lns 10-11.

⁶ ORA, page 1, line 15.

⁷ UCAN (Kobor), pp. 6-14.

3

6

5

7 8

9 10

1112

13

1415

1617

18

19

2021

22

2324

forecast produces a residential customer forecast of 1,277,616, which is 0.73% lower than SDG&E's forecast of 1,286,981 for TY2016.⁸

The February 2015 data became available well after SDG&E needed to finalize forecasts prior to its June 2014 Notice of Intent (NOI) filing and its November 2014 Application filing. SDG&E does not agree with UCAN's proposal to selectively use third-party data that was not available to SDG&E at the time of preparing its GRC forecasts. It is inappropriate to make isolated GRC forecasting updates for at least two reasons.

First, selective updating ignores the fact that while certain drivers may be lower than expected, other drivers may be higher than expected, and there is no provision to reflect those instances. For example, if economic activity were now higher than when SDG&E prepared its forecasts, SDG&E would not be permitted to revise its forecasts upward based on more recent data. It would be an endless exercise and not feasible to process if one were to attempt to uniformly update all the drivers and recorded data for all facets of the GRC.

Second, the Rate Case Plan is prescriptive regarding the types of information that may be updated in a GRC, and UCAN's proposals contravene this intent. SDG&E is not able to make any updates to the electric customer forecast after the GRC filing date, whether higher or lower.

Finally, UCAN also proposes that GRC Phase 1 is not the time to consider the future rate of CARE enrollment. SDG&E does not object to UCAN's proposal and agrees that future CARE customer projections can be addressed in GRC Phase 2.

IV. CONCLUSION

SDG&E recommends the Commission adopt the SDG&E-proposed electric customer and sales forecasts and accept ORA's findings that "ORA and SDG&E arrive at very similar results." and that "ORA does not oppose SDG&E's proposed level of electric sales for TY2016."

This concludes my prepared rebuttal testimony.

⁸ UCAN (Kobor), p. 13.

⁹ UCAN (Kobor), p. 15.