Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Authorization: (1) to replace San Onofre Nuclear (SONGS 2 & 3) steam generators; (2) establish ratemaking for cost recovery; and (3) address other related steam generator replacement issues.

Application No. 04-02-026 Exhibit No.: (SDG&E-4) IAI-R/ Witness: Michael M. Schneider

# ERRATA TO

# PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL M. SCHNEIDER

# **ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

# **BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

## OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

January 14, 2005

SCE in 2004, and SCE would accept responsibility for all future decommissioning costs
 associated with SDG&E's current 20% ownership share. Finally, SDG&E would enter
 into a PPA with SCE in 2004 to provide SDG&E's customers a fixed amount of energy
 each year through 2022.

Analysis for Alternative 3 includes all costs identified under Alternative 1,
including SDG&E's 20% share of SONGS O&M and fuel, as well as SDG&E's
projected SONGS depreciation, return, and NDT contributions. However under
Alternative 3 these costs would be paid by SCE and recovered from SDG&E through the
PPA. Therefore the cost of the PPA expressed in 2004 present value dollars, is equal to
the 2004 present value of all costs associated with Alternative 1.

11 Under the PPA, SCE would provide to SDG&E a fixed amount of energy 12 equivalent to SDG&E's current 430 MW entitlement in SONGS at a capacity factor of 91.8%. That capacity factor was chosen because it is equal to the historic average of 13 SONGS 2&3 capacity factors over the past 5 years (1999-2003). Since this capacity 14 15 factor is greater than the capacity factor projected by SCE in their cost-effectiveness study (88%), Alternative 3 would result in somewhat more energy being delivered to 16 SDG&E than Alternative 1. Therefore, while the total 2004\$ cost of the PPA would 17 18 equal the total 2004\$ cost of Alternative 1, the total 2004\$ cost of Alternative 3 is somewhat less than the total 2004\$ cost of Alternative 1 because it includes the value of . 19 20 this increased energy. As shown in Attachment 1 the value of this increased energy is 21 estimated to be \$<u>102.3</u> million (2004\$).

Deleted: 63.5

MMS - 9

1 power plant. However, as indicated from the sensitivity analysis conducted in Section VI-D and Figure 2 below, the Geothermal PPA would be cost-effective only if SDG&E's 2 ownership share of SONGS remains above 15%. The Geothermal PPA option has added 3 benefits of providing continued fuel diversity to SDG&E's generation portfolio as well as 4 5 supporting the State's energy policy of requiring higher levels of renewable resources for 6 future energy and capacity supply. These benefits should be considered in addition to the 7 cost-effective analysis and provide a premium such that even if SDG&E's ownership in 8 SONGS falls below 15%, a Geothermal PPA would be preferred over participation in the 9 SGRP.

Alternative 1 is SDG&E's third preference. Under Alternative 1, SDG&E
would continue to keep its 20% ownership percentage in SONGS, while SCE goes
forward with the SGRP.



13 14

MMS - 13

#### Attachment - 1

### Total Cost of SDG&E Alternatives (2004\$, Thousands) Based on CTCC Replacement Generation

	Alternative 1 SDG&E Participates					SDG&E (	<u>Alt</u> )wn	ernative 2 ership Rec	ī	Alt	ernative 3 E Ownership					
Description	·····	in SGRP		0%		5%		10%		15%		20%		Trans	fer with PPA	
Fuel Costs	5	180,602	\$	708,147	\$	576,261	\$	444,375	5	312,489	\$	180,602		\$	180,602	200,202
Operating & Maintenance	\$	1,002,422	S	510,775	\$	633,687	\$	756,598	\$	879,510	s	1,002,422		\$	1,002,422	
NDT Contributions	\$	7 <b>6,7</b> 63	\$	-	s	· -	\$	12,439	s	45,636	\$	76,763		\$	76,763	
Capital - Routine (non-SGRP)	\$	238,035	\$	127,975	\$	155,490	\$	183,005	\$	210,520	\$	238,035		\$	238,035	
Capital - SGRP	\$	137,796	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	5	-	\$	-		5	137,796	
Capital - CTCC Power Plant	\$	-	\$	286,014	\$	214,510	\$	143,007	\$	71,503	\$	-		5	-	
Capital - Transmission Mitigation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	s	.   •	\$	-	\$	-		\$	-	
Value of Additional Energy	<u> </u>		5		\$		\$	·	\$	-	\$	<u>.</u> :		\$	<del>(63,493)</del>	(102,295)
Total 2004 NPV \$	\$	1,635,618	<u></u>	1,632,911	s	1,579,948	5	1,539,424	s	1,519,658		1,497,822	<b>z</b> :	5	<u>_1,572,125</u>	1,533,323
									<b>()</b>							1,552,923

#### Total Cost of SDG&E Alternatives (2004S, Thousands) Based on Geothermal Replacement Generation

Description	A1	ternative 1					Ál	ternative 2	<u></u> Al	ternative 3					
	SDG&E Participates in SGRP		0%			SDG&E C 5%	)wr	nership Re 10%	duc	tion to: 15%	20%		SDG&E Ownership Transfer with PPA		
Fuel Costs	\$	180,602	\$	77,246	- <b>\$</b>	103,085	\$	128,924	5	154,763	\$	180,602	\$	180,602	200,202
Operating & Maintenance	\$	1,002,422	\$	413,942	\$	561,062	\$	708,182	S	855,302	\$	1,002,422	S	1,002,422	
NDT Contributions	\$	76,763	5	-	\$		\$	12,439	\$	45,636	\$	76,763	\$	76,763	
Capital - Routine (non-SGRP)	\$	238,035	\$	127,975	\$	155,490	5	183,005	S	210,520	\$	238,035	\$	238,035	
Capital - SGRP	5	137 <b>,7</b> 96	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	s		\$	-	\$	(37,796	
Gcothermal PPA	\$	•	\$-	1,44764 <del>1,521,380</del>	tə . s	<del>1,141,035</del> 1,085 72	\$	72 <b>3,82</b> - <del>760,898</del>	.0 . \$	380,345 341,974	\$	-	\$	-	
Capital - Transmission Mitigation	\$	-	\$	-	Ś	-	ŝ	·	\$	-	\$	•	S	-	•
Value of Additional Energy	S	-	\$		s	-	\$	-	5		\$		5	(03,493)	(102,295)
Total 2004 NPV \$	s	1,635,618	Ş.	2,0668 2,140 514	04 S	1.960.673 1,905;	s 3ċ	1,756,3 <del>1.793:240</del> 8	70 S [(	1 <del>.646.566</del> 628;13	\$ /	1.497.822	S	1.572.125	1.537 323 1.552,923
										•					

#### Attachment - 2

#### Total Cost of SDG&E Alternatives (2004\$/MWh) Based on CTCC Replacement Generation

	Alternative 1						Alternative 3								
Description	SDG&E Participates		0%		SDG&E ( 5%		wnership Redu			uction to: 15%		20%	SDG& Trans	E Ownership fer with PPA	
Fuel Costs	\$	2.92	\$	11.45	\$	9.32	\$	7.18	\$	5.05	\$	2.92	8	292-3.24	
Operating & Maintenance	\$	16.20	s	8.26	\$	10.24	\$	12.23	\$	14.22	\$	16.20	5	16.20	
NDT Contributions	\$	1.24	\$	-	s	-	5	0.20	\$	0.74	\$	1.24	\$	1.24	
Capital - Routine (non-SGRP)	S	3.85	\$	2.07	\$	2.51	\$	2.96	5	3.40	\$	3.85	\$	3.85	
Capital - SGRP	\$	2.23	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	s	-	\$	-	\$	2.23	
Capital - CTCC Power Plant	\$	-	\$	4.62	\$	3.47	\$	2.31	S	1.16	\$	-	\$	-	
Capital - Transmission Mitigation	\$		\$	-	S	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	
Value of Additional Energy	<u>s</u>	<b>-</b>	<u>s</u>		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	(1.65)	
Total NPV S/MWh	<u>s</u>	26.44	\$	26.40	\$	25.54	\$	24.89	\$	24.57	\$	24.21	<u></u>	25.41 24.79	-

#### Total Cost of SDG&E Alternatives (2004\$/MWh) Based on Geothermal Replacement Generation

	Alte	rnative 1		<u> </u>		SDC&FC	Alte	rnative 2		E Ownership					
Description Fuel Costs	in SGRP		0%			5%		10%		15%		20%	Transfer with PPA		
	S	2.92	\$	1.25	\$	1.67	\$	2.08	\$	2.50	\$	2.92	5	2.92	3.24
Operating & Maintenance	\$	1 <b>6.2</b> 0	\$	6.69	\$	9.07	\$	11.45	\$	13.83	\$	16.20	\$	16.20	
NDT Contributions	\$	1.24	2		s	-	\$	0.20	\$	0.74	\$	1.24	<b>.</b> \$	1.24	
Capital - Routine (non-SCiRP)	\$	3.85	5	2.07	\$	2.51	<b>\$</b> · .	<b>2.9</b> 6	8	3.40	5	3.85	S	3.85	
Capital - SGRP	\$	2.23	5	31.4	s	•	\$	- 11	\$	-	S	•	5	2.23	
Geothermal PPA	\$		<b>S</b> -	24.59	s	<del>-18:45</del> - ( 7.55	\$	<del>12.30</del>	s	<del>د. ان</del> 5 <b>۰</b> 85	\$	-	S	-	
Capital - Transmission Mitigation	\$		\$	- '	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	•	S		
Value of Additional Energy	S	·	5	•	5	•	s	<b>.</b>	\$	<del>_</del>	5	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<del>(1.03)</del>	(1.65)
Total NPV S/MWh	5	26.44	5	33.41 <del>34:60</del>	S	<del>31:70</del> 30,80	s D	28-31 <del>28:</del> 99	7 5 - 5	2662 260.3	\$ 2	24.21	\$	- <del>25.41</del>	24.79 25.10

25.10