Application of SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC)COMPANY for authority to update its gas and)electric revenue requirement and base rates)effective January 1, 2016 (U 902-M))

Application No. 14-11-\_\_\_\_ Exhibit No.: (SDG&E-07-WP)

# WORKPAPERS TO PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MARIA T. MARTINEZ

# ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

NOVEMBER 2014



# 2016 General Rate Case - APP INDEX OF WORKPAPERS

# Exhibit SDG&E-07-WP - TIMP & DIMP

DOCUMENT	PAGE
Overall Summary For Exhibit No. SDG&E-07-WP	1
Summary of Non-Shared Services Workpapers	2
Category: A. TIMP	3
1TD000.000 - TIMP	4
Category: B. DIMP	17
1TD000.001 - DIMP	18
Appendix A: List of Non-Shared Cost Centers	26

# San Diego Gas & Electric Company 2016 GRC - APP

## Overall Summary For Exhibit No. SDG&E-07-WP

Area:	TIMP & DIMP
Witness:	Maria T. Martinez

	In 2013 \$ (000) Incurred Costs							
	Adjusted-Recorded	Adjusted-Recorded Adjusted-Forecast						
Description	2013	2014	2015	2016				
Non-Shared Services	7,409	9,981	6,265	11,484				
Shared Services	0	0	0	0				
Total	7,409	9,981	6,265	11,484				

Area: TIMP & DIMP Witness: Maria T. Martinez

# Summary of Non-Shared Services Workpapers:

	In 2013 \$ (000) Incurred Costs						
	Adjusted- Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast					
Description	2013	2014	2015	2016			
A. TIMP	4,206	6,337	2,621	5,451			
B. DIMP	3,203	3,644	3,644	6,033			
Total	7,409	9,981	6,265	11,484			

Area:	TIMP & DIMP
Witness:	Maria T. Martinez
Category:	A. TIMP
Workpaper:	1TD000.000

#### Summary for Category: A. TIMP

	In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs						
	Adjusted-Recorded	Adjusted-Recorded Adjusted-Forecast					
	2013	2014	2015	2016			
Labor	196	556	211	559			
Non-Labor	4,010	5,781	2,410	4,892			
NSE	0	0	0	0			
Total	4,206	6,337	2,621	5,451			
FTE	2.5	7.0	3.0	7.0			

#### Workpapers belonging to this Category:

1TD000.000 TIMP				
Labor	196	556	211	559
Non-Labor	4,010	5,781	2,410	4,892
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	4,206	6,337	2,621	5,451
FTE	2.5	7.0	3.0	7.0

Beginning of Workpaper 1TD000.000 - TIMP

Area:	TIMP & DIMP
Witness:	Maria T. Martinez
Category:	A. TIMP
Category-Sub	1. TIMP
Workpaper:	1TD000.000 - TIMP

#### **Activity Description:**

Primary activities focus on the development, management and support of the Pipeline Integrity Management Program (TIMP). Support activities include data collection, analysis, management, and reporting; assessment planning; integrity assessments and project management; preventive and mitigative measure analysis; technical and engineering support in areas of corrosion protection and treatment, metallurgy, pipeline materials specifications and standard operating procedures.

#### Forecast Explanations:

#### Labor - Zero-Based

The activities and operational support provided by this work group are project specific and as such are provided as a zero based forecasting methodology.

#### Non-Labor - Zero-Based

The activities and operational support provided by this work group are project specific and as such are provided as a zero based forecasting methodology.

#### NSE - Zero-Based

There are no Non-Standard Escalation expenses in this work group.

#### Summary of Results:

Γ	In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs									
		Adju	isted-Recor	Ad	justed-Fore	cast				
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		
Labor	209	241	266	751	196	556	211	559		
Non-Labor	626	932	3,867	4,016	4,010	5,781	2,410	4,892		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	835	1,173	4,132	4,767	4,206	6,337	2,621	5,451		
FTE	2.4	2.7	3.1	7.9	2.5	7.0	3.0	7.0		

Area:	TIMP & DIMP
Witness:	Maria T. Martinez
Category:	A. TIMP
Category-Sub:	1. TIMP
Workpaper:	1TD000.000 - TIMP

#### Forecast Summary:

<b>F</b>				3 \$(000) Ir		010				
Forecast Method		Ba	se Foreca	st	Forec	ast Adjusti	nents	Adjus	ted-Foreca	ast
Years		2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016
Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	556	211	559	556	211	559
Non-La	bor Zero-Based	0	0	0	5,781	2,410	4,892	5,781	2,410	4,892
NSE	Zero-Based	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	6,337	2,621	5,451	6,337	2,621	5,451
FTE	Zero-Based	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	3.0	7.0	7.0	3.0	7.0
orecast	Adjustment Details:	•								
Yea	ar/Expl. Labo	<u>or</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	<u>Adj Ty</u>	pe		
20	014 55	56 5,	781	0	6,337	7.0	1-Sided	l Adj		
	NAA Totol EL	-C - E	704	•	6 2 2 7	7.0				
20	014 Total 55	56 5,	781	0	6,337	7.0				
	0 <b>14 Total 55</b> 015 21		<b>781</b> 410	<b>0</b> 0	<b>6,337</b> 2,621	<b>7.0</b> 3.0	1-Sided	Adj		
		i1 2, ) and Non-L m (TIMP) as	410 .abor exper ssociated w	0 nse require	2,621 ements for <sup>-</sup> tion and ass	3.0 Transmissio	on Integrity			
20	015 21 Labor (including FTE Management Progra	i 1 2, i) and Non-L m (TIMP) as aper 1TDxx	410 .abor exper ssociated w	0 nse require	2,621 ements for <sup>-</sup> tion and ass	3.0 Transmissio	on Integrity			
20 20	015 21 Labor (including FTE Management Progra Supplemental workpa 015 Total 21	i 1 2, ) and Non-L m (TIMP) as aper 1TDxx 11 2,	410 abor expense ssociated w xx.pdf for a <b>410</b>	0 nse require ith inspect ctivity deta 0	2,621 ements for <sup>–</sup> tion and ass iils. <b>2,621</b>	3.0 Transmissic sessments. <b>3.0</b>	n Integrity See	,		
20 20	015 21 Labor (including FTE Management Progra Supplemental workpa	i 1 2, ) and Non-L m (TIMP) as aper 1TDxx 11 2,	410 abor expenses ssociated w xx.pdf for a	0 nse require rith inspect ctivity deta	2,621 ements for <sup>-</sup> tion and ass ills.	3.0 Transmissic sessments.	on Integrity	,		
20 20	015 21 Labor (including FTE Management Progra Supplemental workpa 015 Total 21	i) and Non-L m (TIMP) as aper 1TDxx <b>11 2,</b> 59 4, ;) and Non-L m (TIMP) as	410 abor expension sociated w xx.pdf for a <b>410</b> 892 abor expension	0 nse require rith inspect ctivity deta 0 0 nse require rith inspect	2,621 ements for <sup>-</sup> tion and ass tils. <b>2,621</b> 5,451 ements for <sup>-</sup> tion and ass	3.0 Transmissic sessments. <b>3.0</b> 7.0 Transmissic	on Integrity See 1-Sided	, Adj		

Area:	TIMP & DIMP
Witness:	Maria T. Martinez
Category:	A. TIMP
Category-Sub:	1. TIMP
Workpaper:	1TD000.000 - TIMP

#### Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

·····,····	2009 (\$000)	2010 (\$000)	2011 (\$000)	2012 (\$000)	2013 (\$000)
ecorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	167	196	223	642	169
Non-Labor	567	864	3,716	3,946	4,010
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	734	1,060	3,939	4,588	4,179
FTE	2.0	2.3	2.6	6.8	2.2
djustments (Nominal \$) **					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ecorded-Adjusted (Nomin	al \$)				
Labor	167	196	223	642	169
Non-Labor	567	864	3,716	3,946	4,010
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	734	1,060	3,939	4,588	4,179
FTE	2.0	2.3	2.6	6.8	2.2
acation & Sick (Nominal \$	5)				
Labor	26	31	33	93	27
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	26	31	33	93	27
FTE	0.3	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.4
scalation to 2013\$					
Labor	17	14	10	16	0
Non-Labor	59	68	150	70	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	76	83	161	86	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ecorded-Adjusted (Consta	ant 2013\$)				
Labor	209	241	266	751	196
Non-Labor	626	932	3,867	4,016	4,010
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	835	1,173	4,132	4,767	4,206
FTE	2.3	2.7	3.0	7.9	2.6

\* After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

\*\* Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area:	TIMP & DIMP
Witness:	Maria T. Martinez
Category:	A. TIMP
Category-Sub:	1. TIMP
Workpaper:	1TD000.000 - TIMP

#### Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) Incurred Costs						
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

#### Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	<u>Adj Type</u>	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2010 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2011 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2012 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2013 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Supplemental Workpapers for Workpaper 1TD000.000

# SDG&E TIMP Non-Labor Support O&M Supplemental Work Paper

# **Business Purpose**

To be in compliance SDG&E is required under CFR Part 192 Subpart O—Gas Transmission Pipeline Integrity Management to continually identify threats to their transmission pipelines located in High Consequence Areas (HCAs), determine the risk posed by these threats, schedule and track assessments to address threats within prescribed timelines, collect information about the condition of the pipelines, take actions to minimize applicable threats and integrity concerns to reduce the risk of a pipeline failure and report findings to regulators.

The activities as prescribed by Subpart O are primarily implemented and managed by the Transmission Integrity Management Program Team. The team is composed of engineers, project managers, technical advisors, project specialist and other roles with varying degree of responsibility. The various activities managed by the TIMP team can be categorized into seven areas associated with the compliance of Subpart O.

- Threat Identification and Risk Assessment
- Baseline Assessment Plan
- Assessment
- Remediation
- Additional Preventative and Mitigative Measures
- Geographic Information System (GIS) High Pressure Pipeline Database
- Auditing and Reporting

# **Physical Description & Project Justification**

The O&M non-labor to support the seven areas of compliance can be grouped in the following areas:

Contracting (Consulting and Field Services): As part of the continuous improvement consulting and field services are leveraged throughout the year to provide feedback on existing processes for areas of improvement or develop new processes. Field support is needed throughout the year for additional preventative and mitigative measures for casings and facility inspections.

Data Collection (Pipe Samples, Records and Testing): As part of the traceable, verifiable and complete recommendation issued by NTSB additional records research and in some cases pipe sampling is needed to support the expectation issued by PHMSA in response to the NTSB (Advisory Bulletin 11-01, January 3, 2011).

The advisory states that operators relying on the review of design, construction, inspection, testing, and other related data to calculate MAOP (for gas pipelines) or MOP (for liquid pipelines) must diligently search for relevant records and ensure that the records are traceable, verifiable, and complete. If such a search and verification cannot be completed, the operator

cannot rely on this method for calculating MAOP. The advisory also reminded operators of their responsibilities to identify pipeline integrity threats; perform rigorous risk analyses; integrate information; and identify, evaluate, and implement preventative and mitigative measures.

High Pressure Pipeline Database (Application Upgrades, Aerial Photography, Building Detection): The HPPD supports various activities within Pipeline Integrity such as High Consequence Area review, creation of the Assessment Plan to support scheduling of assessments, analysis for risk and threat and assessment analysis. An upgrade to a new version of ESRI will be required and conversion to PODS model database. As part of the of the annual High Consequence Area review new photography is purchased for change detection.

Staff Support (Training and Licenses): The TIMP team consists mainly of engineers that support critical roles such as assessment and remediation recommendations, risk and threat analysis and preventative and mitigative recommendations. The engineers throughout the year sent to courses centered on these activities and some cases obtain certifications from the National Association of Corrosion Engineers. For such as ASME or DOT training custom courses is with an emphasis on pipeline integrity and provided to the engineering team.

# **Forecast Methodology**

The forecast methodology was developed using recent contracting rates, bids submittals and average cost for activities.

- Average hourly rate for consulting and fields services: \$131
- Average cost per excavation: \$45,000
- Training: \$4,000 (\$3,000 per course and \$1,000 travel), \$25,000 group in-house training
- Aerial Photography, Change Detection and Licenses for HPPD: \$33,000
- Total 2016 Request: \$697,536

			2016	
	SDG&E - TIMP	Labor	Non-Labor	Total
1	In-Line Inspection (Assessment)	48,893	4,035,164	4,084,057
2	ECDA (Assessment)	0	159,300	159,300
3	Casing Inspections (P&M)	0		0
4	Integrity Assessment	0		0
5	Data Mgt	0		0
6	Data Mgt/Compliance/GPS	0		0
7	Assessment Planning 2100-3569	97,087	697,536	97,087
8	Direct Assessment/ECDA/P&M			
	2100-3595	234,934		234,934
9	Other (various cost centers)	97 <i>,</i> 087		794,623
10	Ops Tech Support 2100-3909	0		0
11	Vacation & Sick	80,999	0	80,999
12		\$559,000	\$4,892,000	\$5,451,000

#### In-Line Inspection (ILI) O&M Workpaper - SDGE

#### **Business Purpose**

On December 17, 2002 the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 (PSIA 2002) was signed into law, and subsequently 49 C.F.R. Part 192 Subpart O was published. The final rule was effective January 14, 2004. Under this rule, operators of gas transmission pipelines are required to identify the threats to their pipelines, analyze the risk posed by these threats, assess the physical condition of their pipelines and take actions to address applicable threats and integrity concerns before pipeline incidents can occur.

#### **Physical Description**

The assessment of this pipeline will be completed using In-Line Inspection (ILI) tools. The ILI tools will traverse internally along the route of the pipeline to collect information that will be used to complete the assessment of the pipeline. The tools are inserted into the pipelines by installing a temporary launcher and receiver typically installed near the time of inspection.

Following the completion of the inspection excavations to validate or remediate the inspection findings will be needed. When possible, multiple pipelines may be combined into a single run, and conversely, a single pipeline may require multiple launcher and receiver points.

#### **Project Justification**

All DOT Transmission Pipeline Integrity assessments are in response to the Federal Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 and are required to comply with the subsequent rule making. Capital repairs and replacements are constructed in accordance with 49 C.F.R. Part 192, ASME B31.8, and other codes and standards as appropriate. Assessments need to be completed on continual basis using In-Line Inspection (ILI) tools, Pressure Testing or Direct Assessment to address the identified threats on each pipeline. The assessment of transmission pipelines located in High Consequence Area (HCA) requires an assessment to be completed at a minimum every 7 years. 49 C.F.R § 192.939 establishes the requirements for determining the reassessment interval for covered pipelines but goes on to stipulate " *the maximum reassessment interval by an allowable reassessment method is seven years*".

#### **Forecast Methodology**

The cost to assess a pipeline is forecast using the following four components:

- 1. Retrofit of the pipeline and capital replacement
- 2. Installation of launcher and receiver facilities
- 3. In-Line Inspection
- 4. Excavations & remediation

The retrofit and installation of launcher and receiver is a capitalized cost while the in-line inspection and excavation and minor repairs (components 3 and 4 above) are expense. The forecast for components 3 and 4 are covered in the O&M workpapers and testimony.

To forecast the cost of this assessment project, the methodology is using the average cost of ILI per site

and minor repairs. The methodology for capital costs is to use the average cost of installing a launcher/receiver facilities and average cost for retrofit/repairs.

#### Capital Component:

The cost to complete this component is based upon the average cost incurred during 2013 for the retrofit, installation launch/receiver materials of a typical project including radiography and equipment expenses and capital replacements. The resulting total average cost for capital is \$1,062,415 per site.

### O&M Component:

The cost to complete this component is based upon the average cost incurred during 2013 for data collection, ILI inspection and excavations required for validation and minor repairs. The resulting total average cost for O&M is \$1,008,791 per ILI run.

### Distribution of Labor /Non Labor:

The majority of work required to accomplish in projects is contractor work and materials which is pooled into the non-labor category. Based upon 2013 company headcount will remain fairly constant for the 2014-2016 period, we are estimating labor to be based on 2013 actual inflated each year by labor factor of 3.5%.

Based upon the methodology described above, the projected costs for ILI O&M are:

1	Task	Avg Cost
2	Avg Cst per ILI Site	\$1,008,791

		Year 2014						
	<u>Pipeline</u>	Launch (start)	Receive (end)	Miles				
3	1600	Lake Hodges	Mission Gate Station	19.89				
4	1601	Escondido	Carlsbad					
5	3010	Carlsbad	Santee	0				
6			ILI Sites: 3	\$3,026,373				
7			Add'l charge for Retrofit/Repair	\$0				
8			Subtotal 2014 Non-Labor	\$3,026,373				
9			Labor 2014	\$45,642				
10			Total O&M 2014	\$3,072,015				

I	Year 2015						
	<u>Pipeline</u>	<u>Launch (start)</u>	Receive (end)	<u>Miles</u>			
1	3011	Governor Drive	Cross-Tie East Hwy 163	4.19			
2	49-21	D Ave	4th St	0.1			
3			ILI Sites: 1	\$1,008,791			
4			Add'l charge for Retrofit/Repair	\$0			
5			Subtotal 2015 Non-Labor	\$1,008,791			
6			Labor 2015	\$47,240			
7			Total O&M 2015	\$1,056,031			

		Year 20	16	
	<u>Pipeline</u>	Launch (start)	<u>Receive (end)</u>	<u>Miles</u>
8	3600	Harvest Rd Station	Santee Station	29.86
9	49-21	D Ave	4th St	0.1
10	49-23	Sweetwater River Crossing		0.1
11	2010	Camp Elliot Station	Carlton Hills Terminal Reg Sta	7.50
12			ILI Sites: 4	\$4,035,164
13			Add'I charge for Retrofit/Repair	\$0
14			Subtotal 2016 Non-Labor	\$4,035,164
15			Labor 2016	\$48,893
16			Total O&M 2016	\$4,084,057

#### **External Corrosion Direct Assessment (ECDA)**

#### **O&M Supplemental Work Paper**

#### **Business Purpose**

External Corrosion Direct Assessment (ECDA) of Department of Transportation defined transmission pipelines is conducted in accordance with the TIMP Baseline/Re- Assessment Plan to comply with requirements of CFR 49 part 192 subpart O.

#### **Physical Description**

ECDA is a process that proactively seeks to identify external corrosion defects before they grow to a size that affects the structural integrity of the inspected pipeline segment. ECDA is a four step process including

- 1. Pre-assessment (data collection, review evaluation)
- 2. Indirect inspection surveys (over line electrical surveys)
- 3. Direct examination digs (excavation and field inspection of pipe)
- 4. Post assessment (data review, verification and acceptance)

## **Project Justification**

Assessment is mandated by regulatory requirements in CFR 49 part 192 subpart O. Assessment using ECDA is utilized for pipelines to address threats of external corrosion where ILI is not practical or feasible.

#### **Forecast Methodology**

Costs for ECDA projects are estimated based on a history of completing these type projects over the past 10 years and are assembled based on the costs for each phase/step of an ECDA project and the overall length of the pipeline assessment. Typical costs are \$35,000/mile for indirect inspection (with a minimum cost of \$16,000 per project), 1.79 digs per mile (with a minimum of 4 digs per project) at a cost of 45,000 per dig non-labor.

	Average	
Task	Cost	
Line Survey	\$35,000	per mile
Excavations	\$45,000	Per dig
Company Labor	\$30,000	Per Job

						2014
Line Number	Miles	Survey Cost	# of Digs	Cost of Digs	Labor	Total Cost
L 1602	0.85	29,750	2	90,000	30,000	\$149,750
L 1603	0.64	22,400	2	90,000	30,000	\$142,400
L 1604	1.66	58,100	2	90,000	30,000	\$178,100
L 3012	3.82	133,700	3	135,000	30,000	\$298,700
L 49-16, 18 and 21	13.80	483,000	0	0	30,000	\$513,000
					Total	\$1,281,950
					Labor	\$150,000
					NonLabor	\$1,131,950

						2015
Line Number	Miles	Survey Cost	# of Digs	Cost of Digs	Labor	Total Cost
L 49-13	3.67	128,450	2	90,000	30,000	\$248,450
L 49-15	7.35	257,250	2	90,000	30,000	\$377,250
L 49-16	0.00	0	4	180,000	30,000	\$210,000
Total						\$835,700
Labor						\$90,000
					NonLabor	\$745,700

						2016
Line Number	Miles	Survey Cost	# of Digs	Cost of Digs	Labor	Total Cost
L 49-24	1.98	69,300	2	90,000	30,000	\$189,300
					Total	\$189,300
					Labor	\$30,000
					NonLabor	\$159,300

Area:	TIMP & DIMP
Witness:	Maria T. Martinez
Category:	B. DIMP
Workpaper:	1TD000.001

#### Summary for Category: B. DIMP

	In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs						
	Adjusted-Recorded	Adjusted-Recorded Adjusted-Forecast					
	2013	2014	2015	2016			
Labor	929	890	890	1,472			
Non-Labor	2,275	2,754	2,754	4,561			
NSE	0	0	0	0			
Total	3,204	3,644	3,644	6,033			
FTE	12.3	11.0	11.0	18.0			

#### Workpapers belonging to this Category:

1TD000.001 DIMP				
Labor	929	890	890	1,472
Non-Labor	2,275	2,754	2,754	4,561
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	3,204	3,644	3,644	6,033
FTE	12.3	11.0	11.0	18.0

Beginning of Workpaper 1TD000.001 - DIMP

Area:	TIMP & DIMP
Witness:	Maria T. Martinez
Category:	B. DIMP
Category-Sub	1. DIMP
Workpaper:	1TD000.001 - DIMP

#### **Activity Description:**

This group has been organized and resourced to address the requirements of the DOT mandated Distribution Integrity Management Program (DIMP) rules set for in 49 CFR section 192, subpart P. Primarily, the activities will focus on generating and enhancing knowledge of pipeling system (location, materials, data retention, analysis, etc.); Threat identification and mitigation; evaluate, rank and address risk; Damage Prevention, Leakage prevntion and mitigation, etc.

#### Forecast Explanations:

#### Labor - Zero-Based

Due to the recent enactment of the DIMP and the evolving nature of activities performed in this category, a zero based forecast best represents the funding requirements. Specific activities and programs developed for compliance with DIMP drive the labor expense requirements.

#### Non-Labor - Zero-Based

Due to the recent enactment of the DIMP and the evolving nature of activities performed in this category, a zero based forecast best represents the funding requirements. Specific activities and programs developed for compliance with DIMP drive the non labor expense requirements.

#### **NSE - Zero-Based**

There are no Non-Standard Escalation expenses in this work group.

Г	In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs								
		Adjı	isted-Recor	ded		Ad	justed-Fore	cast	
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
Labor	704	782	1,961	1,225	929	890	890	1,472	
Non-Labor	533	933	6,068	4,669	2,275	2,754	2,754	4,561	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	1,236	1,716	8,029	5,894	3,203	3,644	3,644	6,033	
FTE	8.1	11.6	28.8	16.0	12.3	11.0	11.0	18.0	

#### Summary of Results:

Area:	TIMP & DIMP
Witness:	Maria T. Martinez
Category:	B. DIMP
Category-Sub:	1. DIMP
Workpaper:	1TD000.001 - DIMP

#### Forecast Summary:

In 2013 \$(000) Incurred Costs										
t Method	Nethod Base Forecast			Forec	ast Adjust	ments	Adjus	Adjusted-Forecast		
s	2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016	
Zero-Based	0	0	0	890	890	1,472	890	890	1,472	
Zero-Based	0	0	0	2,754	2,754	4,561	2,754	2,754	4,561	
Zero-Based	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ıl	0	0	0	3,644	3,644	6,033	3,644	3,644	6,033	
Zero-Based	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	11.0	18.0	11.0	11.0	18.0	
stment Details:										
pl. Labor	<u>1 1</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	<u>Adj Ty</u>	pe			
890	) 2,7	'54	0	3,644	11.0	1-Sided	l Adj			
	zero-Based Zero-Based Zero-Based Zero-Based Sero-Based Setment Details:	Zero-Based2014Zero-Based0Zero-Based0Zero-Based0Zero-Based0Zero-Based0.0Istment Details:1pl.Labor	t MethodBase ForecaS20142015Zero-Based00Zero-Based00Zero-Based00Zero-Based00J20140Zero-Based00J00J00.0Stment Details:NLbr	t MethodBase Forecasts201420152016Zero-Based000Zero-Based000Zero-Based000J000J00.00.0I00.00.0Istment Details:NLbrNSE	Image: Method Base Forecast Forecast   S 2014 2015 2016 2014   Zero-Based 0 0 0 890   Zero-Based 0 0 0 2,754   Zero-Based 0 0 0 0   Zero-Based 0 0 0 0   Zero-Based 0 0 0 0   Zero-Based 0 0 0 10   Stron-Based 0.0 0.0 0.0 11.0	Image: Method Base Forecast Forecast Adjust   S 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2017 <t< td=""><td>t Method Base Forecast Forecast Adjustments   s 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016   Zero-Based 0 0 0 0 890 890 1,472   Zero-Based 0 0 0 2,754 2,754 4,561   Zero-Based 0 0 0 0 0 0   J 0 0 0 0 0,0 0 0   Zero-Based 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0   Zero-Based 0.0 0.0 0.0 11.0 11.0 18.0   Zero-Based 0.0 0.0 0.0 11.0 11.0 18.0   Istment Details: NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Ty</td><td>t Method Base Forecast Forecast Adjustments Adjustments   s 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 890 890 1,472 890 890 1,472 890 2,754 2,754 4,561 2,754</td><td>t Method Base Forecast Forecast Adjustments Adjusted-Forec   s 2014 2015 2016 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 890 890 1,472 890 890 890 2,754 2,</td></t<>	t Method Base Forecast Forecast Adjustments   s 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016   Zero-Based 0 0 0 0 890 890 1,472   Zero-Based 0 0 0 2,754 2,754 4,561   Zero-Based 0 0 0 0 0 0   J 0 0 0 0 0,0 0 0   Zero-Based 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0   Zero-Based 0.0 0.0 0.0 11.0 11.0 18.0   Zero-Based 0.0 0.0 0.0 11.0 11.0 18.0   Istment Details: NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Ty	t Method Base Forecast Forecast Adjustments Adjustments   s 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 890 890 1,472 890 890 1,472 890 2,754 2,754 4,561 2,754	t Method Base Forecast Forecast Adjustments Adjusted-Forec   s 2014 2015 2016 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 890 890 1,472 890 890 890 2,754 2,	

Labor (including FTE) and Non-Labor expense requirements for Distribution Integrity Management Program (DIMP) as set forth in 49 CFR Sec 192, subpart P. See Supplemental workpaper 1TDxxx for activity details

2014 Total	890	2,754	0	3,644	11.0	
2015	890	2,754	0	3,644	11.0	1-Sided Adj

Labor (including FTE) and Non-Labor expense requirements for Distribution Integrity Management Program (DIMP) as set forth in 49 CFR Sec 192, subpart P. See Supplemental workpaper 1TDxxx for activity details

2016 1.472 4.561 0 6.033 18.0 1-Sided Adi

Management Program (DIMP) as set forth in 49 CFR Sec 192, subpart P. See Supplemental workpaper 1TDxxx for activity details.

			-		
2016 Total	1,472	4,561	0	6,033	18.0

Area:	TIMP & DIMP
Witness:	Maria T. Martinez
Category:	B. DIMP
Category-Sub:	1. DIMP
Workpaper:	1TD000.001 - DIMP

#### Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2009 (\$000)	2010 (\$000)	2011 (\$000)	2012 (\$000)	2013 (\$000)
ecorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	561	634	1,642	1,048	802
Non-Labor	482	865	5,832	4,587	2,275
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,044	1,500	7,474	5,635	3,076
FTE	6.9	9.9	24.7	13.7	10.4
djustments (Nominal \$) **					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ecorded-Adjusted (Nomin	al \$)				
Labor	561	634	1,642	1,048	802
Non-Labor	482	865	5,832	4,587	2,275
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,044	1,500	7,474	5,635	3,076
FTE	6.9	9.9	24.7	13.7	10.4
acation & Sick (Nominal \$	5)				
Labor	87	101	242	152	127
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	87	101	242	152	127
FTE	1.2	1.7	4.1	2.2	1.8
scalation to 2013\$					
Labor	56	47	77	26	0
Non-Labor	50	68	236	82	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	106	115	313	108	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ecorded-Adjusted (Consta	ant 2013\$)				
Labor	704	782	1,961	1,225	929
Non-Labor	533	933	6,068	4,669	2,275
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,236	1,716	8,029	5,894	3,203
FTE	8.1	11.6	28.8	15.9	12.2

\* After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

\*\* Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments *Note: Totals may include rounding differences.* 

Area:	TIMP & DIMP
Witness:	Maria T. Martinez
Category:	B. DIMP
Category-Sub:	1. DIMP
Workpaper:	1TD000.001 - DIMP

#### Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) Incurred Costs					
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	<u>Adj Type</u>	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2010 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2011 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2012 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2013 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Supplemental Workpapers for Workpaper 1TD000.001

# SDG&E DIMP Non-Labor Support O&M Supplemental Work Paper

## **Business Purpose**

These activities are required for compliance with CFR Part 192.1001 Subpart P—Gas Distribution Pipeline Integrity Management. PHMSA purpose for DIMP is to enhance pipeline safety by having operators identify and reduce pipeline integrity risks specifically for distribution pipelines<sup>1</sup>. These activities are primarily implemented and managed by the Distribution Integrity Management Program Team. The team is composed of engineers, project managers, technical advisors, project specialist and other roles with varying degree of responsibility. This cost supports the company's goals of operating the system safely and with excellence by continually assessing, mitigating and reducing the system risk. The following topics and activities will be discussed in additional detail to demonstrate the reasonableness of the labor and non-labor cost.

- System Knowledge
- Threat Identification and Risk Analysis
- Programs and Activities to Address Risk
- Geographic Information System
- Compliance, Auditing and Reporting

# **Physical Description & Project Justification**

The O&M non-labor to support the seven areas of compliance can be grouped in the following areas:

Contracting (Consulting and Field Services): As part of the continuous improvement consulting and field services are leveraged throughout the year to provide feedback on existing processes for areas of improvement or develop new processes. Field or office support needed throughout the year for additional measures.

Data Collection (Records, Data Integration, Pipe Samples, Records and Testing): As part of the traceable, verifiable and complete recommendation issued by NTSB additional records research and in some cases pipelines sampling is needed to support the expectation issued by PHMSA in response to the NTSB (Advisory Bulletin 11-01, January 3, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PHMSA DIMP FAQ B.1.1 Why did PHMSA mandate integrity management requirements to distribution pipeline system? "PHMSA's regulation in part 192 have contributed to producing an admirable safety record. Nevertheless, incidents continue to occur, some of which involve significant consequences, including death and injury. It is not possible to significantly reduce high consequence pipeline incidents without reducing the likelihood of their occurrence on distribution pipelines...."

The advisory states that operators relying on the review of design, construction, inspection, testing, and other related data to calculate MAOP (for gas pipelines) or MOP (for liquid pipelines) must diligently search for relevant records and ensure that the records are traceable, verifiable, and complete. If such a search and verification cannot be completed, the operator cannot rely on this method for calculating MAOP. The advisory also reminded operators of their responsibilities to identify pipeline integrity threats; perform rigorous risk analyses; integrate information; and identify, evaluate, and implement preventative and mitigative measures.

Enterprise-GIS (Applications and Licenses): Applications and license to support data analysis to prioritize the various Program and Activities to Address Risk (PAAR).

Staff Support (Training and Licenses): The DIMP team consists mainly of engineers that support critical roles. The engineers throughout the year are sent to courses centered on DIMP fundamentals and emerging industry changes.

Emerging PAARs: SDG&E will have successfully completed the Sewer Lateral Inspection Program (SLIP) and the Gas Infrastructure Protection Programs (GIPP) by 2016. It's expected that new PAARs will be developed by 2016 to address additional system risk at similar funding levels experienced with SLIP and GIPP.

# **Forecast Methodology**

The forecast methodology was developed using recent contracting rates, bids submittals and average cost for activities.

- Average hourly rate for consulting and fields services: \$131
- Average cost per excavation: \$45,000
- Training: \$4,000 (\$3,000 per course and \$1,000 travel), \$25,000 group in-house training
- Enterprise GIS: \$100,000
- Emerging PAARs: \$2,700,000
- Total 2016 Request: \$4,561,000

	SDG&E - DIMP	Labor	Non-Labor	Total
1	GIPP	0	0	0
2	SLIP	0	0	0
3	Emerging Programs to Address Risk	270,000	2,700,000	2,970,000
4	Program Support	970,610	1,861,000	3,146,610
5	Vacation & Sick	186,391	0	186,391
6		\$1,472,000	\$4,561,000	\$6,033,000

Area:	TIMP & DIMP
Witness:	Maria T. Martinez

### Appendix A: List of Non-Shared Cost Centers

Cost Center	Sub	Description
2100-0167	000	GAS DISTRIBUTION SERVICES DIRECTOR-TIMP
2100-3419	000	GAS TRANSMISSION SPECIAL PROJECTS-TIMP
2100-3569	000	PIPELINE INTEGRITY DIRECT ASSESSMENT-TIMP
2100-3595	000	SDG&E PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS-TIMP
2100-3827	000	PROJ MGR - GAS INFRAS PROTECTION PRGM-TIMP
2100-3828	000	PROJ MGR - SEWER LATERAL INSPECT PRGM-TIMP
2100-3902	000	PROJ MGR - ANODLESS RISER PRGM
2100-3909	000	GIS STRATEGY & APPS TIMP/DIMP