Application No.: A.14-12-xxx Exhibit No.: SDGE-01

Witnesses: Michael L. De Marco

Adam H. Levin Frank Thomas Cynthia Fang Tracy Dalu

# PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF THE 2014 DECOMMISSIONING COST ESTIMATES

ON BEHALF OF

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

December 10, 2014

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I.   | INTRODUCTION (M. De Marco)  | 1  |
|------|---|----|
| II.  | BACKGROUND ON SONGS DECOMMISSIONING COST ESTIMATES (M. De Marco)  | 1  |
| III. | SDG&E'S SHARE OF THE DECOMMISSION COST ESTIMATE (De Marco)  | 2  |
| IV.  | SDG&E OVERVIEW OF THE DCE DRAFTING AND DEVELOPMENT PROCESS (M. De Marco)                                | 2  |
| V.   | SDG&E HAS REVIEWED THE DCE AND FOUND IT TO BE REASONABLE AS A DECOMMISSIONING PLAN AND GUIDING SCHEDULE | 3  |
|      | a. SDG&E Finds The DCE to be a Reasonable Estimated Schedule (De Marco)                                 | 3  |
|      | b. SDG&E Expert's Review of DCE (A. Levin)  | 4  |
| VI.  | CRITICAL DECOMMISSIONING ASSUMPTIONS IN THE DCE ARE REASONABLE (A. Levin)                               | 5  |
|      | a. Assumptions Regarding Site Security And Emergency Response Requirements                              | 5  |
|      | b. Assumptions Regarding Low-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal   | 7  |
|      | c. Assumptions Regarding SONGS Site Release   | 8  |
|      | d. Assumptions Regarding DOE Pickup at SONGS  | 9  |
| VII  | . USE OF THE RETAIL RATE TO ESTIMATE DECOMMISSIONING POWER COSTS IS REASONABLE (F. Thomas)              | 0  |
|      | a. Power at SONGS During Operations (F. Thomas)1  | 0  |
|      | b. Power at SONGS During Decommissioning (F. Thomas)  | .1 |
|      | c. Use of Retail Service to SONGS During Decommissioning is Fair to Ratepayers (C. Fang)                | 1  |
| VII  | I. ESTIMATE OF SDG&E-ONLY COSTS IS REASONABLE (De Marco) 1  | 3  |
|      | a. Allocations of Estimated SDG&E-Only Costs Between Decommissioning Categories                         | 4  |
|      | b. SDG&E Labor  | .5 |
|      | c Other/Non-Labor   | 5  |

|     | d. Contingency  | 16   |
|-----|---|------|
|     | e. Escalation   | 16   |
|     | f. A&G Costs  | 16   |
| IX. | NDT TRUST DISBURSEMENT ADVICE LETTERS (T. Dalu)                 | 17   |
|     | a. Proposed Contents of SDG&E Trust Disbursement Advice Letters | . 17 |
|     | b. Request for Forward-Looking Advice Letter Process            | . 18 |
|     | WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS  | 20   |
|     | Attachment A  |      |

## PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY ON BEHALF OF SDG&E

#### I. INTRODUCTION (M. De Marco)

As the 20% minority owner, San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E") is contractually obligated to pay its 20% ownership share of decommissioning expenses for the San Onofre Nuclear Generation Station ("SONGS"). This testimony supports the 2014 Decommissioning Cost Estimate<sup>1</sup> ("DCE") completed by the Energy*Solutions* and Chicago Bridge & Iron Company ("ES/CBI") consortium on behalf of Southern California Edison Company ("SCE"), provided as Appendix A-1 of SCE-01, as well as SDG&E's 20% share of the DCE. The testimonies provided below provide additional support for the DCE and many of its material assumptions and particular estimates.

SDG&E will also incur SDG&E-only costs associated with decommissioning. These costs (100%) are expected to be incurred and paid for only by SDG&E. SDG&E's internal decommissioning costs that it expects to incur and to fund on its own behalf (100%) are provided in Attachment A of SDGE-01. I discuss these costs in my testimony below in Section VIII.

Lastly, testimony from Ms. Tracy Dalu, Section IX, describes SDG&E's Trust disbursement advice letter, and describes the proposed process for and content of future SDG&E Trust disbursement advice letters.

### II. BACKGROUND ON SONGS DECOMMISSIONING COST ESTIMATES (M. De Marco)

The previous decommissioning cost estimates for SONGS Units 2 & 3, completed by ABZ, were predicated on the assumption that SONGS would continue to operate to the end of its Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC") license in 2022 and thus were intended primarily to determine the appropriate amount of ratepayer contributions needed for the decommissioning trusts. As such, the previous estimates contained general approximations of the major deliverables required for decommissioning. In addition, the previous decommissioning cost estimates did not include SDG&E-specific costs, which were recoverable through general rates, or were not yet contemplated by SDG&E and the other SONGS co-owners.

The decommissioning period for SONGS began in June 2013 with SCE's announcement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Energy *Solutions* Document No. 164001-DCE-001, 2014 Decommissioning Cost Analysis of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station ("SONGS") Units 2 & 3 ("DCE").

that SONGS had ceased operations. The DCE was produced by ES/CBI at the request of SCE to estimate the decommissioning costs for SONGS 2 & 3, based upon SCE's June 7, 2013 announcement that Units 2 & 3 had ceased operations. The DCE will not only be used to calculate ratepayer contributions to the trust, but it will also be used as the basis for reviewing decommissioning trust fund requests and uses, and serve as a guiding executable decommissioning plan and schedule. It also reflects lessons learned early on by SDG&E during the decommissioning process about decommissioning costs and timing. As such, this DCE provides a more detailed review of the decommissioning activities than prior SONGS decommissioning cost estimates and it also includes SDG&E-only costs for the first time.

#### III. SDG&E'S SHARE OF THE DECOMMISSION COST ESTIMATE (De Marco)

SDG&E's total costs for decommissioning SONGS is \$898.911 million (2014\$). This estimate is comprised of SDG&E's 20% share of the DCE, which is \$882.249 million (2014\$), and SDG&E-only costs (100%) of \$16.662 million (2014\$).<sup>2</sup> I discuss the SDG&E-only costs in more detail below in Section VIII.

### IV. SDG&E OVERVIEW OF THE DCE DRAFTING AND DEVELOPMENT PROCESS (M. De Marco)

Due to the unanticipated early retirement of the facility, the SONGS co-owners were unable to complete the initial decommissioning planning activities that normally would have been completed prior to the scheduled shutdown of the facility. As a result, the development of a more detailed site-specific cost estimate for submittal to the NRC and the Commission did not occur prior to SONGS' shutdown.

The prior ABZ cost estimates provided an assessment of the overall SONGS decommissioning costs in order to determine the decommissioning trust balances needed for decommissioning. Once the retirement of SONGS was announced, a more detailed site-specific cost estimate was pursued. The resulting DCE, completed by ES/CBI, provides a detailed site-specific cost estimate and includes an executable decommissioning plan, work breakdown structure, and schedule. The evaluation of decommissioning work plans and possible sequencing of work activities were considered during the development of the ES/CBI DCE to derive a realistic scope of work that was predicated on safety, efficiency, and cost effectiveness.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Attachment A, SDG&E-Only Decommissioning Costs (100%).

As SDG&E's Nuclear Team Leader, I am stationed at SONGS and am responsible for the oversight and review of SCE's activities related to SONGS planning and work efforts. I also function as the liaison between SCE and SDG&E for information requests and SDG&E employee access to the site. I have consistently interacted with SCE personnel at SONGS throughout the development of the DCE, including participating on behalf of SDG&E in the evaluation and selection of the DCE vendors and the review of the preliminary DCE drafts. It is my belief that the DCE reflects a realistic estimate to cost effectively and safely decommission SONGS and comply with existing regulations.

SDG&E sought the advice of an independent decommissioning expert to assist in its oversight and review of the DCE (see testimony of Mr. Adam Levin provided in Sections V.b. and VI below). I am the point of contact for Mr. Levin to make sure Mr. Levin's comments and concerns are conveyed to SCE personnel during weekly SONGS co-owner meetings and other collaborative discussions. SDG&E relied upon my knowledge of the site, coupled with Mr. Levin's knowledge of nuclear decommissioning, to oversee the DCE development.

### V. SDG&E HAS REVIEWED THE DCE AND FOUND IT TO BE REASONABLE AS A DECOMMISSIONING PLAN AND GUIDING SCHEDULE

#### a. SDG&E Finds The DCE to be a Reasonable Estimated Schedule (De Marco)

Before commencing decommissioning, owners of nuclear generation facilities must decide when to start, and how to go about decommissioning and demolition. The NRC has three scenarios that a retiring nuclear plant can pursue: DECON, SAFSTOR, and ENTOMB. DECON results in promptly pursuing decontamination, dismantlement and return of the site for beneficial use. SAFSTOR essentially puts a plant in stasis (or safe storage mode) for up to 60 years prior to decontamination and dismantlement, with the expectation that the site ultimately becomes available for other beneficial uses. ENTOMB is a hardened safe storage prior to decontamination and dismantlement, but the site is not released for other uses (i.e., nuclear fuel remains enshrined on site).

As reflected in the DCE, SCE, as Operating Agent, is pursuing DECON at SONGS with dry fuel storage until the Department of Energy ("DOE") can remove the spent fuel. SDG&E finds this approach to be appropriate and cost efficient. SDG&E has encouraged SCE to promptly transfer spent fuel from the pools to dry cask storage in a safe manner and promptly commence the decontamination and dismantlement of the plant. In my review, the DCE

accurately reflects SCE's proposed course of action. Therefore, I believe that the DCE is a reasonable estimated schedule of decommissioning activities at SONGS.

#### b. SDG&E Expert's Review of DCE (A. Levin)

SDG&E has retained me as one of its decommissioning experts. Part of my role was to review and provide my expert opinion on the DCE. I did not participate in the effort to produce this Study; however, I did provide my feedback to SCE via SDG&E, throughout the drafting process.

During my 37-year career in the commercial nuclear industry, I have participated in 11 major decommissioning projects.<sup>3</sup> I have had the opportunity to develop and review decommissioning cost estimates for more than 40 commercial nuclear units. In my opinion, the DCE provides a realistic estimate of the costs expected to be incurred while decommissioning SONGS Units 2 & 3. The DCE uses industry-accepted methods for cost estimating, appropriate site-specific inputs, and reasonable, conservative assumptions regarding the disposition of radiological, hazardous and municipal waste from the site. Additionally, the DCE generally conforms to the guidance for preparing decommissioning cost estimates provided by the NRC.<sup>4</sup>

In DECON,<sup>5</sup> the major decommissioning activities at SONGS will begin with (1) the completion of the transfer of spent nuclear fuel into dry cask storage, and (2) major equipment removal (e.g., the reactor vessel, reactor internals, steam generators, primary loop piping and valves). Radioactively-contaminated components will be disposed of at the NRC-licensed low-level radioactive waste ("LLRW") disposal facilities at Envirocare of Utah ("Envirocare") and Waste Control Specialists ("WCS") in Texas. Other non-hazardous, non-radioactive waste generated during decommissioning will be disposed of at a municipal landfill. All of these activities and disposal plans are reasonably reflected in the DCE.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Specifically, Cintichem, Trojan Nuclear Plant, Big Rock Point Plant, Dresden Nuclear Power Station Unit 1, Pathfinder Generating Plant, Shippingport Atomic Power Station, Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station, Crystal River Unit 3, Zion Nuclear Power Station, Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Plant and San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 1.202, "Standard Format and Content of Decommissioning Cost Estimates for Nuclear Power Reactors," February 2005. http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML0502/ML050230008.pdf.

The DECON decommissioning scenario is defined by the NRC as "the equipment, structures, and portions of the facility and site that contain radioactive contaminants are promptly removed or decontaminated to a level that permits termination of the license after cessation of operations. (Decontamination is initiated within a couple of years after shutdown and continues until completed, usually within 7 to 10 years)". *Id.* at I.202-3.

Once the site has been cleared of LLRW and municipal waste, SCE as the NRC licensee will (1) submit a license termination plan to NRC for its approval, and (2) the site will be restored to a state acceptable to the State of California and various federal agencies. Spent nuclear fuel will remain on site at the SONGS Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation ("ISFSI") until accepted and removed by the DOE for storage off site or disposal. These events are also appropriately reflected in the DCE.

### VI. CRITICAL DECOMMISSIONING ASSUMPTIONS IN THE DCE ARE REASONABLE (A. Levin)

Several critical assumptions contained in the DCE have noteworthy impact upon the estimated decommissioning costs, include:

- Site security and emergency response requirements;
- The continuing availability of LLRW and municipal disposal facilities accepting waste from SONGS;
- Agreement between the SONGS participants, State of California and the U.S. Department of the Navy officials upon the site's end state conditions; and
- The date assumed by which the DOE will complete its obligation to accept and remove spent nuclear fuel from SONGS for storage off site or disposal.

#### a. Assumptions Regarding Site Security And Emergency Response Requirements

Current and anticipated NRC site security and emergency response requirements for SONGS are not expected to significantly impact estimated project cost. Kewaunee, Crystal River 3, SONGS and Vermont Yankee have recently requested exemptions from the NRC to reduce operating level site security and emergency response organizations, to reflect the decommissioning status of the sites. The NRC is preparing interim staff guidance to address these matters.<sup>6</sup>

In her letter to Senator Feinstein regarding site security and emergency response requirements, NRC Commission Chairman Allison Macfarlane noted that:

The 2009 security regulations established and updated security requirements for power reactors, including the spent fuel pool, to be consistent with those requirements imposed by Commission Orders after the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001. These security requirements remain applicable during

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Letter from NRC Commission Chairman Allison Macfarlane to Senator Dianne Feinstein, June 30, 2014. http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/congress-docs/correspondence/2014/feinstein-06-30-2014.pdf.

decommissioning activities unless a licensee is granted an exemption from a particular requirement.<sup>7</sup>

On August 7, 2014, the NRC Commission ruled on Kewaunee's exemption request, partially approving and partially disapproving the exemption. Chairman Macfarlane noted that dry cask storage did not present a challenge to Kewaunee's request to relax emergency planning requirements:

First, fuel that is stored in casks is typically much older than 1-2 years postdischarge and there is not a possibility of fuel heating up to zirconium fire temperatures if a cask loses its helium coolant. Second, the very nature of dry casks results in the spent fuel being segregated and compartmentalized into smaller volumes. A severe accident or event would be less likely to propagate to the entire population of fuel being stored externally in casks.<sup>8</sup>

However, Chairman Macfarlane maintained that until Kewaunee could demonstrate spent fuel in the pool had cooled sufficiently such that a zirconium fire was no longer credible in a pool drain down event, some offsite response should be maintained.<sup>9</sup>

#### Chairman Macfarlane concluded:

Based on my review of this request, in a general sense, I agree that EP requirements that are specifically designed for rapidly developing operating reactor accidents can be exempted, and I support those exemptions. There is a significant amount of time to react to events involving colder fuel in the pool. However, I find that there is not an adequate basis to exempt the licensee from all of the offsite radiological emergency preparedness requirements. Specifically, I disapprove elements of the exemption request that remove Kewaunee's requirements for emergency classification and offsite dose projection capabilities, which appear to have defense-in-depth value in the event of an offsite release. The staff should maintain these elements in its pending review of Kewaunee's license request to change its EP plan. <sup>10</sup>

On October 31, 2014, NRC issued the amendment granting Kewaunee's request for changes to its emergency response plan and emergency action levels. This amendment is based

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28 29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> NRC ADAMS Ascension Number ML14220A046, Commission Voting Record, Decision Item SECY-14-0066, "Request by Dominion Energy Kewaunee, Inc. for Exemptions from Certain Emergency Planning Requirements", August 7, 2014. <sup>9</sup> *Id*.

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  *Id*.

upon NRC staff's analysis of Kewaunee's request and the August 7, 2014 Commission Voting Record.<sup>11</sup>

It is my opinion that NRC staff will request that Crystal River 3, Vermont Yankee, and SONGS maintain some offsite emergency classification and offsite dose projection capabilities until spent fuel at these sites (1) cools sufficiently that it can be demonstrated that a zirconium fire is no longer a credible in a pool drain down event, or (2) has been moved to dry storage. It is also my opinion that in either instance, maintaining emergency classification and offsite dose projection capabilities until all spent fuel is moved into dry storage will not add significant cost to SONGS decommissioning, when properly staffed.

As of November 19, 2014, only Kewaunee has received a response from NRC staff on its site security exemption request. NRC staff denied Kewaunee's security exemption request. 12 Kewaunee intends to modify its amendment and resubmit its request to the NRC staff. Based upon my knowledge of their initial request and the modifications Kewaunee intends to make upon resubmission, I expect NRC will subsequently accept the revised amendment request.

Therefore, I find that the cost provided in the DCE for NRC site security and emergency response requirements at SONGS to be reasonable until the time all spent fuel has been moved into dry storage.

#### b. Assumptions Regarding Low-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal

There are no existing concerns with the availability of LLRW disposal or municipal disposal facilities to accept SONGS waste for disposal. SONGS will continue to send Class A LLRW to Envirocare during decommissioning, as it did during operations. SONGS is planning upon sending its more radioactive Class B and Class C LLRW to WCS in Andrews, Texas. The WCS facility was opened to these classes of LLRW from out-of-state generators in 2011. The LLRW disposal facilities at Envirocare and WCS have sufficient capacity to accept all LLRW generated during the SONGS decommissioning.

SONGS has entered into long-term contractual agreements with Envirocare and WCS ensuring access to these NRC-licensed LLRW disposal facilities. These contracts provide surety

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Kewaunee Power Station – Issuance of Amendment for Changes to the Emergency Plan and Emergency Action Levels (TAC No. MF3411), October 31, 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Kewaunee Power Station – Denial of Request for Exemption from Certain Requirements of 10 CFR 73.55 (TAC No. MF3012), October 30, 2014.

of pricing through favorable base rates and annual price adjustments. These disposal rates and annual price adjustments have been properly reflected in the DCE.

As a result, I find that the costs provided in the DCE for nuclear and municipal waste disposal to be reasonable.

#### c. Assumptions Regarding SONGS Site Release

Previous commercial nuclear plant decommissioning projects have been impacted by site release standards imposed by State and Local officials, which are above and beyond the site release standards required by the NRC.

SONGS resides on easements and/or land leased from the U.S. Department of the Navy. The lease agreement (Mesa side) currently requires SONGS to remove all of the buildings unless the U.S. Navy requests otherwise. The SONGS Plant Site Easement (the Plant side) currently requires SONGS to return the land to its original condition at the conclusion of decommissioning. Additionally, SONGS maintains a lease with State of California State Lands Commission ("CSLC"), providing access from the Plant site into the Pacific Ocean for SONGS cooling water supply and discharge conduits. This lease currently requires SONGS to return this property to its original state.

These added site restoration requirements significantly impact decommissioning costs. The U.S. Navy lease requirements for the Plant side will require the SONGS participants to excavate complete building structures, including foundations, and the CSLC lease will require the removal of cooling water supply and discharge lines from the Pacific Ocean seabed. The DCE assumes this large volume of non-hazardous waste (over 60 million cubic feet) will have to be disposed of through scrap metal recyclers or in an out-of-state municipal landfill, since its disposal at an in-state municipal landfill is precluded by a California Executive Order. <sup>16</sup>

To the extent they are understood today, these requirements have been captured in the DCE and their impacting costs reflected appropriately.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> "SONGS Shutdown and Decommissioning", CA Senate Energy, Utilities and Communications Committee, August 13, 2013. http://seuc.senate.ca.gov/sites/seuc.senate.ca.gov/files/08-13-13Edison.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Units 2 & 3 Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report at 13. http://www.songscommunity.com/docs/PSDARRevisionAugust2014.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Executive Department State of California, Executive Order D-62-02 by the Governor of the State of California Gray Davis, September 30, 2002. http://www.cdph.ca.gov/certlic/radquip/Documents/RHB-HT-EO-D-62-02.htm.

#### d. Assumptions Regarding DOE Pickup at SONGS

Based on information known today, the dates assumed in the DCE concerning the DOE pickup of spent fuel are reasonable.

The start date of DOE performance and the rate at which the DOE will remove spent nuclear fuel have been the subject of much public speculation. The DCE assumes the DOE will begin removing fuel in 2024, based upon a previously DOE-announced commencement of geologic repository operations in 2020 – including a four-year delay from DOE's announcement. Since the DOE's 2020 starting-date announcement, much has transpired, including the termination of the siting process at Yucca Mountain, and the commissioning and completion of a federal Administration study on the future of managing spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. 18

The Blue Ribbon Commission ("BRC") report recommended – among other matters – that a program to site consolidated interim storage facilities ("ISF") with the goal of first accepting spent nuclear fuel from shutdown plants, be pursued ("the Pilot ISF"). <sup>19,20</sup> This ISF approach would have begun removing spent fuel from shutdown plants followed by others in industry by 2021. The DOE-assumed start date for Pilot ISF operations was predicated on work beginning on the Pilot ISF by January 2014. The Pilot ISF program has yet to be launched – Congress has not allocated the funding required, and federal legislation needed in order for the DOE to move forward has yet to be enacted upon. However, making a reasonable assumption that federal legislation can be enacted in 2017, after the 2016 Presidential election cycle, the DOE Pilot ISF program would be delayed by only three years, from 2021 to 2024. The DCE assumption that DOE pickups across industry will commence in 2024, based on DOE's published intentions and the timely enactment of federal legislation, are reasonable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Southern California Edison, "Testimony on the Nuclear Decommissioning of SONGS 2 & 3 and Palo Verde Before the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California," December 21, 2012. https://www.sdge.com/sites/default/files/regulatory/NDCTP%20-%20SCE-2%20Testimony\_0.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> "Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future", Report to the Secretary of Energy, January 2012. http://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2013/04/f0/brc\_finalreport\_jan2012.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> *Id*.

<sup>20 &</sup>quot;Strategy for the Management and Disposal of Used Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste", U.S. Department of Energy, January 2013.

http://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/Strategy%20for%20the%20Management%20and%20Disposal%20of%20Used%20Nuclear%20Fuel%20and%20High%20Level%20Radioactive%20Waste.pdf.

2 3 4

1

Based upon my review of the study, my knowledge of and involvement in previous industry decommissioning projects, and my familiarity with the history of spent nuclear fuel management and pending programs to do the same, I find the DCE to be a reasonable estimate of the anticipated costs to decommission SONGS.

8 9

5

6

7

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

24 25

23

26

27

28

#### VII. USE OF THE RETAIL RATE TO ESTIMATE DECOMMISSIONING POWER **COSTS IS REASONABLE** (F. Thomas)

The DCE uses SDG&E's retail rate to estimate decommissioning power costs to the SONGS facility.<sup>21</sup> As described below in more detail, use of the SDG&E retail rate and the application of SDG&E's tariffs by the DCE is reasonable to estimate "Energy" costs during decommissioning.

Furthermore, the DCE's estimate is a reflection of reality. On October 6, 2014, SDG&E informed SCE that due to the change in status of SONGS from operational to decommissioning, SDG&E was required to apply its Commission-approved tariffs and would begin billing the decommissioning load at the SONGS site under retail service. Thus, the DCE uses the correct information to accurately estimate this particular cost.

#### a. Power at SONGS During Operations (F. Thomas)

When it was operating, SONGS received station power and light via two separate and distinct means – first, power was stepped down from the generator leads (Units 2 & 3) and second, power through SDG&E's 69 kV Japanese Mesa where it is stepped down to 12 kV. When SONGS was operating, the bulk of the station power and light for the plant west of I-5 came from either Unit 2 and/or Unit 3.<sup>22</sup> Certain loads west of I-5 and the load for the SONGS Mesa east of I-5 were served via two 12 kV lines acquired by SCE per the SONGS Auxiliary Power Exchange Agreement ("Agreement") signed in 1986.

As part of the Agreement, SDG&E scheduled and provided hourly amounts of energy to meet load on these lines in exchange for SONGS providing SDG&E offsetting energy grossed up for line losses, use of transmission, and scheduling efforts. Per Section 4.4 of the Agreement:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See Appendix D to the DCE (Appendix A-1 to SCE-01) for "Energy" estimates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Even when SONGS was operating but one of the units was off-line for repair or refueling, the other unit would be operating and therefore supplying station power and light.

5 6

7 8

10 11

9

12

13 14

15

16 17

19 20

18

21 2.2.

23 24

26

25

27 28

29 30

31

32

"...service shall be provided under this Agreement only for the sole purpose of providing electric service required for the operation, maintenance or capital improvement of SONGS."

The Agreement is akin to a process commonly used by merchant generators, which allows the generator to net monthly station power and light with energy produced at the station, thereby avoiding Commercial & Industrial ("C&I") tariff rates.

After Units 2 & 3 shut down in January 2012, the SONGS facility continued to take service, except now instead of power coming from Unit 2 or 3, it had to come into SONGS from the high voltage transmission system, stepped down via each of the Unit's transformers. For this load, SCE scheduled electricity and subsequently charged SDG&E at the SONGS default load aggregation point ("DLAP") wholesale price for its 20% co-ownership share.

#### **Power at SONGS During Decommissioning (F. Thomas)**

After June 7, 2013, when SCE announced that Units 2 & 3 had ceased operations and the decommissioning period began, the SONGS facility continued to take service as it had during the prior year. However, from June 7, 2013 onward, SONGS will never again produce electricity nor operate on a commercial basis. Accordingly, SDG&E believes that because SONGS is no longer operational, the Agreement no longer applies. Instead, SDG&E's AL-TOU tariff is the appropriate tariffed rate. This is the same rate charged to the South Bay Power Plant when it was no longer in service and was undergoing decommissioning. This rate is reasonably reflected in the DCE.

#### **Use of Retail Service to SONGS During Decommissioning is Fair to Ratepayers** c. (C. Fang)

The application of retail rates for retail service provided by SDG&E for the decommissioning cost at SONGS is reasonable not only because it appropriately applies SDG&E's tariffs, but it also ensures the fair treatment of SDG&E ratepayers. Continuing to use wholesale rates for retail service would not only violate SDG&E's Commission-approved tariffs, but it would also unfairly benefit SCE's ratepayers at the expense of SDG&E's ratepayers. The failure to apply retail rates for retail service for decommissioning activities at SONGS would allow SCE ratepayers to avoid paying their fair share of decommissioning costs, which in turn, would unfairly burden SDG&E ratepayers.

SDG&E's retail service tariffs include the recovery of:

| 2  | charges for costs to deliver high-voltage electricity from power plants to distribution          |
|----|--|
| 3  | system, <sup>23</sup>  |
| 4  | (2) <b>Distribution</b> – charges for costs to distribute electricity to customer premises and   |
| 5  | recovery of miscellaneous programs such as Demand Response, California Solar                     |
| 6  | Initiative, Solar Generation Incentive Program,  |
| 7  | (3) Public Purpose Program ("PPP") Charges – charges to pay for state-mandated                   |
| 8  | programs such as low-income and energy efficiency programs,                                      |
| 9  | (4) Nuclear Decommissioning ("ND") Charge – charges to pay for the retirement of                 |
| 10 | nuclear power plants,  |
| 11 | (5) Ongoing Competition Transition Charges ("CTC") – charges to pay the above                    |
| 12 | market costs for long-term power contracts,  |
| 13 | (6) Local Generation Charge ("LGC") – charges associated with generation power that              |
| 14 | the CPUC has determined should be recovered from all benefiting customers,                       |
| 15 | (7) <b>Reliability Services</b> ("RS") – FERC-jurisdictional charges for services provided by    |
| 16 | generating facilities to maintain system reliability,  |
| 17 | (8) Department of Water Resource Bond Charge ("DWR-BC") - charges to pay bonds                   |
| 18 | issued by DWR to cover the cost of purchasing power during the 2000-2001 energy                  |
| 19 | crisis,  |
| 20 | (9) <b>Commodity</b> – charges for electricity which includes charges for energy provided by     |
| 21 | SDG&E, and   |
| 22 | (10) Total Rate Adjustment Component ("TRAC") – charges/credits applied to                       |
| 23 | maintain the residential tiered rate structure. <sup>24</sup>                                    |
| 24 | Prior to October 6, 2014, the load at the SONGS site was charged wholesale prices, more          |
| 25 | specifically, the DLAP price. The DLAP price reflects short-term wholesale energy prices.        |
| 26 | When the SONGS units were operational generating facilities, station service to the facility was |
| 27 | provided on a wholesale basis during times when onsite generation did not sufficiently cover     |
|    | 23 Includes Transmission Access Charge Balancing Account Adjustment ("TACBAA") and Transmission  |

(1) **Transmission** – Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") – jurisdictional

Revenue Balancing Account Adjustment ("TRBAA").

24 Previously governed by the capping required pursuant to Assembly Bill ("AB") 1X and Senate Bill ("SB") 695 and now subject to Decision ("D.") 14-06-029, which was approved by the CPUC on June 12, 2014, implementing the Settlement Agreement for Phase 2 Interim Residential Rate Design Changes for SDG&E in Rulemaking ("R.") 12-06-013.

station power requirements, such as when one of the units was offline for a scheduled maintenance outage.

With the shutdown of the SONGS generation operations and the commencement of decommissioning activities, the facility no longer produces electricity. Therefore, now the SONGS site is situated similarly to any other commercial/industrial facility located within SDG&E's service territory and receiving service from SDG&E. Service under SDG&E's authorized retail service tariffs ensure customers pay for the services they receive. In addition to commodity services, these services include, and are not limited to, distribution and transmission services as well as obligations related to state and Commission programs. Failure to charge the decommissioning load at the SONGS site the Commission-approved tariff for retail service would result in SDG&E ratepayers bearing the full burden of the difference in cost recovery under the retail rate relative to the prior wholesale treatment under the DLAP price, which recovers only a fraction of the Commodity costs.

#### VIII. ESTIMATE OF SDG&E-ONLY COSTS IS REASONABLE (De Marco)

In addition to SDG&E's 20% share of the costs outlined in the DCE, SDG&E anticipates incurring SDG&E-only (100%) Labor and Other/Non-Labor<sup>25</sup> costs throughout the decommissioning process. The estimate of SDG&E-only costs is provided as Attachment A to SDGE-01.<sup>26</sup> As reflected in Table 1, SDG&E estimates that its total internal costs over the decommissioning period to be \$16.662 million (2014\$).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Other/Non-Labor also includes SDG&E A&G applied to 2013 capital decommissioning projects. The application of A&G overhead for capital projects is an established convention, approved by the Commission in SDG&E's TY2012 GRC, D.13-05-010 at 80 and Findings of Fact 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> SDG&E-only costs have been updated since the submittal of the DCE, and are summarized in Table 1 and detailed in Attachment A.

| Table 1     |              |               |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SDG&E SON   | GS DECOMMISS | SIONING COSTS | S (1,000's, 2014\$) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total       | SDG&E        | Other/        | Total               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units 2 & 3 | Labor        | Non-Labor     | Costs               |  |  |  |  |  |
| License     | \$4,713      | \$2,436       | \$7,150             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Termination | \$4,713      | \$2,430       | \$7,130             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spent Fuel  | \$3,579      | \$1,924       | \$5,503             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management  | \$5,579      | \$1,924       | \$5,505             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site        | \$2,329      | \$1,680       | \$4,009             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restoration | \$4,329      | \$1,000       | \$4,009             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total       | \$10,621     | \$6,041       | \$16,662            |  |  |  |  |  |

SDG&E has historically provided and prior Commissions have ordered fiscal oversight of SCE's activities at SONGS when it was generating electricity. As SONGS begins decommissioning, SDG&E continues to incur reasonable and prudently incurred costs related to its SONGS oversight through the decommissioning process. SDG&E also expects to incur costs associated with outside decommissioning experts and counsel. Before the current DCE, SDG&E had not previously estimated its internal costs associated with decommissioning for the Commission.

While an estimate of SDG&E-only costs was provided as part of the DCE submission to the NRC by SCE on behalf of the SONGS co-owners on September 23, 2014,<sup>27</sup> the costs provided then differ from the costs currently before the Commission in Table 1. The difference between the estimate of SDG&E-only costs submitted to the NRC and the estimate of SDG&E-only costs provided herein are based on SDG&E's learnings and actual costs incurred during the first 18 months of decommissioning.<sup>28</sup> I discuss these learnings below. I anticipate that this initial estimate will be refined over the years as SDG&E proceeds through the decommissioning process and learns more about its internal needs and incurs actual costs.

### a. Allocations of Estimated SDG&E-Only Costs Between Decommissioning Categories

As summarized in Table 1 and detailed in Attachment A, both the Labor and Other/Non-Labor costs have been allocated to the three traditional NRC categories of License Termination, Spent Fuel Management, and Site Restoration. These categories are designated by federal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Provided to the NRC as Appendix F to the DCE (Appendix A-1 to SCE-01).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> The difference between the SDG&E-only cost estimate provided to the NRC and this cost estimate is approximately \$6.33 million.

regulations for radiological decontamination (identified in Table 1 as License Termination) and spent fuel storage (identified in Table 1 as Spent Fuel Management) and by the terms of the easement/lease contracts specific to SONGS (identified in Table 1 as Site Restoration). To make this allocation, I determined the percentage of the DCE's costs SCE allocated to each category and then multiplied the SDG&E-only costs by that same percentage in order to approximate the cost incurred by SDG&E in each NRC category. This cost allocation approach is reasonable because most of SDG&E's costs are oversight-related, and those costs will be incurred addressing the projects in each NRC category.

#### a. SDG&E Labor

The first category in Table 1, "SDG&E Labor" includes SDG&E staff that provides oversight of SONGS decommissioning costs and activities. SDG&E's internal staffing levels are expected to coincide with decommissioning activities intensity levels, so where the three (3) full-time equivalents ("FTEs") are reduced after 2016 to two (2) FTEs, then to one (1) FTE after 2025, and eventually to zero (0) FTEs after 2032. After 2032, invoicing and oversight activities are anticipated to be minor during this period as ISFSI management efforts are relatively minor and yearly site costs are relatively low. Once ISFSI decommissioning is initiated on or around 2049, SDG&E plans to identify one (1) full-time equivalent through 2051 to provide greater fiscal oversight during: 1) the removal of the ISFSI, railroad tracks, gunite slope protection, access road, north parking lot and, 2) the subsequent backfill and compaction of excavation conducted, concluding with 3) the final grading and re-vegetation of the site.

These costs are shown in Table 1 under the column heading of "SDG&E Labor" and are apportioned into License Termination, Spent Fuel Management, and Site Restoration according to the methodology I describe above.

#### b. Other/Non-Labor

The second type of SDG&E-only costs identified in Table 1 is "Other/Non-Labor". The "Other/Non-Labor" category consists of three specific types of costs: decommissioning consultants external to SDG&E, decommissioning counsel external to SDG&E, and direct costs related to oversight activities.

SDG&E has retained an external decommissioning consultant, Mr. Adam Levin, who possesses nuclear decommissioning industry experience, which SDG&E lacks. Mr. Levin's qualifications are provided in the "Witness Qualification" section at the end of SDGE-01.

SDG&E intends to use Mr. Levin, or a subsequent outside consultant, to substantiate that SCE's decommissioning activities and related costs are consistent with similar nuclear stations undergoing decommissioning. The services of Mr. Levin, or a subsequent outside consultant, will be utilized to a greater extent through 2016 and then taper off annually through 2025.

SDG&E has also retained legal counsel with expertise in nuclear decommissioning issues to represent SDG&E and provide legal advice on numerous decommissioning topics. The costs associated with this area are difficult to anticipate or estimate, but SDG&E has endeavored to include herein an estimate of outside legal costs based on anticipated future activities and use of their legal counsel at levels similar to the outside consultant described above.

Finally, SDG&E incurs direct costs related specifically to its oversight activities at SONGS. These cost projections are based upon SDG&E's current expenses related to SONGS oversight, which include travel reimbursement, phone services, ongoing professional education<sup>29</sup> and wireless communication, which correspond to the SDG&E SONGS oversight personnel FTEs.

#### c. Contingency

A contingency of 25% was added to the estimated SDG&E-only costs for future years to help manage risk associated with currently unknown events as SDG&E proceeds through the decommissioning process and learns more about its needs during decommissioning and incurs actual costs. No contingency was applied to SDG&E-only costs that are already known in 2013 and 2014.

#### d. Escalation

The costs provided in Table 1 were escalated using the same escalation projections that SCE utilized – the IHS Global Insight economic forecasting service. This service has been used in past escalation projections by both Utilities.

#### e. A&G Costs

SDG&E applied A&G costs to its 20% share of the capital costs incurred by SCE.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> "Ongoing professional education" includes costs for SDG&E employees to attend decommissioning summits, symposiums, and conferences, as well as costs associated with maintaining professional certifications, such as CPA certification.

#### IX. NDT TRUST DISBURSEMENT ADVICE LETTERS (T. Dalu)

Once approved, the DCE will be used as the basis of SDG&E's future advice letters requesting access to trust funds to pay for decommissioning costs incurred during 2014 and beyond.

On February 21, 2013, SDG&E submitted Tier 3 Advice Letter 2579-E, requesting Commission approval for interim disbursements from the NDT's in connection with SONGS 2 & 3 decommissioning activities and costs incurred from June 7, 2013 through December 31, 2013. The request designated an allocation of the SDG&E SONGS 2 & 3 trusts among the major decommissioning cost categories, consistent with the allocation outlined in SCE's Advice Letter 2968-E, filed November 18, 2013. AL 2579-E was based on the best information available to SDG&E at the time, which was the ABZ decommissioning cost estimate submitted to the Commission as part of the 2012 Nuclear Decommissioning Cost Triennial Proceeding ("NDCTP") in Summer 2013.<sup>30</sup> SDG&E's request remains pending.

In the meantime, SDG&E has incurred significant decommissioning costs in 2014. Through September 2014, SDG&E has incurred approximately \$21.6 million in decommissioning costs for Units 2 & 3.<sup>31</sup> These costs have been paid with ratepayer funds. SDG&E intends to file an advice letter seeking approval for interim disbursements for SONGS 2 & 3 decommissioning costs incurred in 2014, in accordance with the Commission's direction provided to PG&E regarding trust disbursement advice letters in D.11-07-003.

#### a. Proposed Contents of SDG&E Trust Disbursement Advice Letters

Because SCE is the operating agent of SONGS and is currently serving as the decommissioning agent, SDG&E is forced to rely heavily on SCE for accounting and cost information. While recognizing SDG&E's limitation, I note that when SDG&E prepares its advice letters requesting access to trust funds, SDG&E will strive to provide the following information to the best of its ability in accordance with D.11-07-003:

A summary SDG&E's previous funding requests and trust withdrawals,
 summarized by major cost categories, correlated to the most recent Commission

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> On November 18, 2014, the Commission issued a Proposed Decision that found the ABZ study to be reasonable and that the Study could be the basis for future trust disbursement advice letters. Proposed Decision at Conclusions of Law 14. The Proposed Decision has not yet been adopted by the Commission at the time of this submission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> SDG&E will also file an application no later than January 26, 2015 seeking a finding of reasonableness for 2014 SONGS costs, in accordance with D.14-11-040 at Ordering Paragraph 4.

adopted cost study, including a list of activities which trust funds were requested in past Advice Letters and the amount previously requested for each activity;

- Actual "to date" expenditures for each activity;
- Total "to date" trust disbursements;
- Comparison of actual cash flow to its most recently approval estimated cash flow schedule; and
- Description of activities for which a variance of plus or minus ten percent (+/10%) between the decommissioning cost estimate and the actual costs occurs.

In addition to the costs provided by SCE, SDG&E will also provide similar information about SDG&E-only costs incurred in the reported period. SDG&E internal costs are tracked using specifically identified decommissioning work orders.

SDG&E's reporting capabilities for billed decommissioning costs presented in all future advice letters will rely heavily on cost summary information provided by SCE. Due to the delay in receiving cost information from SCE, SDG&E requests 60 days from the time SCE provides their cost reports to review, compile and submit any required SDG&E cost reports or advice letters for the reporting period.

#### b. Request for Forward-Looking Advice Letter Process

In addition to the advice letter seeking recovery of costs incurred in 2014, SDG&E is seeking the authority to access trust funds for forward-looking decommissioning expenditures. Based on information provided by SCE and included in the approved decommissioning cost estimate, SDG&E will provide a list of work to be performed in each major cost category during the future period to be covered by that advice letter. This will include the estimated disbursement amounts required to cover the cost of SDG&E's 20% share and SDG&E-only costs that are expected during the future period. It will also include an estimated amount to be spent for each activity during the period, a correlation of the activities and costs to the most recent cost study, and an explanation for any differences (amount and timing) from the most recent cost study estimate.

Under this process, the Commission will continue to perform a backwards-looking reasonableness reviews in the NDCTP for the costs of decommissioning projects that were

completed during the previous three years, or alternatively, if the CPUC adopts the annual reasonableness review process outlined in SCE-01, in an annual proceeding.

If SDG&E's access to trust funds for forward-looking decommissioning expenditures is approved, SDG&E would initiate new processes to allow quick and accurate payments directly from the Trusts. Upon receiving the monthly SCE invoice (or incurring a SDG&E-only cost), SDGE's Generation Accounting Group would prepare a "Withdrawal Certificate", which requires the approval signature of authorized representatives designated by SDG&E NDT Committee. Upon approval of the Withdrawal Certificate, the Sempra Pension and Trust Investment team will contact the SDG&E NDT Trustee, currently BNY Mellon, and arrange for the NDTs to sell assets from the NDTs. Once this is complete, the Trustee will wire cash to reimburse SDG&E for the decommissioning payment made to Edison ("Trust Reimbursement"). Ideally, the Decommissioning Payment by SDG&E and Trust Reimbursement for the Decommissioning Payment will happen on the same day.

### WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS

Michael L. De Marco Adam H. Levin Cynthia Fang Frank Thomas Tracy M. Dalu

#### 

### 

## 

#### WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS OF MICHAEL L. DE MARCO

My name is Michael L. De Marco and my business address is 8315 Century Park Court, San Diego, California 92123.

I have been employed by SDG&E since May 2007 as Team Leader of the Nuclear Section in the Electric Project Development & Business Planning Department. My current responsibilities include representing SDG&E's ownership interests at SONGS.

Prior to working for SDG&E, I worked at SCE. Previous positions relevant to my testimony include: Nuclear Plant Operator, SONGS (1989 – 2001), Technical Specialist, Nuclear Rate Regulation (2002 – 2003), Senior Financial Analyst, Energy Supply and Management (2003 – 2006), and Senior Project Manager, Power Procurement (2006– 2007). I earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Workforce Education from Southern Illinois University at Carbondale in 1998 and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of California, Irvine in 2001. I am a registered Project Management Professional with the Project Management Institute.

I am sponsoring Sections I, II, III, IV, V.a., VIII in SDGE-01 in support of the Application.

This material was personally reviewed by me and I believe it to be correct that it is factual in nature. Insofar as the material is in the nature of opinion or judgment, it represents my best judgment.

I have previously testified before this Commission.

#### WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS OF ADAM H. LEVIN

My name is Adam H. Levin, and my business address is 7642 Trillium Boulevard, Sarasota, Florida 34241. I have been retained by SDG&E to provide professional consulting services as Decommissioning Advisor directly to SDG&E.

Since April 2013, I have been consulting to the nuclear energy industry, doing business as AHL Consulting. I currently provide decommissioning and spent fuel management consulting services to Duke Energy Florida Crystal River 3 (Decommissioning Project Management Oversight Board), Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee (Third Party Decommissioning Advisor – through Price Waterhouse Cooper), Dairyland Power Cooperative LaCrosse Boiling Water Reactor (Decommissioning Advisor – through Techsource), as well as SDG&E (Decommissioning Advisor). Additionally, I am providing consulting services to the Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Nuclear Energy (DOE-NE), where I serve on several steering committees overseeing the activities of the Nuclear Fuels Storage and Transportation Project (NFST Project). The NFST Project is DOE's program to implement recommendations made by the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future, regarding the long-term management of spent nuclear fuel in the United States.

Prior to April 2013, I spent 16 years at Exelon Generation in Illinois, the last seven years as the Director of Spent Fuel and Decommissioning for Exelon's fleet of 19 operating and four retired nuclear units. Specifically, in this role I provided governance and oversight to Exelon's decommissioning activities and decommissioning cost estimating, and supported Exelon's corporate finance and tax organizations with trust fund asset management and financial reporting.

I began my career in 1977 providing site characterization analyses for decommissioning the Shippingport reactor, and have been involved in cost estimating and/or technical engineering decommissioning activities at the vast majority of the commercial nuclear plant decommissioning projects in the U.S. to date. I hold a master's degree in nuclear engineering and a bachelor's degree in physics from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute.

The purpose of my testimony in this proceeding is to sponsor testimony discussing SDG&E's review of the 2014 SONGS Units 2 & 3 DCE. I am sponsoring Sections V.b. and VI in SDGE-01 in support of the Application.

This material was personally reviewed by me and I believe it to be correct that it is factual in nature. Insofar as the material is in the nature of opinion or judgment, it represents my best judgment.

I have not previously testified before this Commission.

#### WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS OF CYNTHIA FANG

My name is Cynthia S. Fang and my business address is 8330 Century Park Court, San Diego, California 92123. I am the Electric Rates Manager in the Customer Pricing organization of SDG&E. My primary responsibilities include the development of cost-of-service studies, determination of revenue allocation and electric rate design methods, analysis of ratemaking theories, and preparation of various regulatory filings. I began work at SDG&E in May 2006 as a Regulatory Economic Advisor and have held positions of increasing responsibility in the Electric Rate Design group. Prior to joining SDG&E, I was employed by the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Energy Division, as a Public Utilities Rates Analyst from 2003 through May 2006.

In 1993, I graduated from the University of California at Berkeley with a Bachelor of Science in Political Economics of Natural Resources. I also attended the University of Minnesota where I completed all coursework required for a Ph.D. in Applied Economics.

I am sponsoring Section VII.c. in SDGE-01 in support of the Application. This material was personally reviewed by me and I believe it to be correct that it is factual in nature. Insofar as the material is in the nature of opinion or judgment, it represents my best judgment.

I have previously submitted testimony before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and have submitted testimony and testified before the California Public Utilities Commission regarding SDG&E's electric rate design and other regulatory proceedings. In addition, I have previously submitted testimony and testified before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission on numerous rate and policy issues applicable to the electric and natural gas utilities.

#### WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS OF FRANK THOMAS

My name is Frank Thomas. I am employed by SDG&E as Manager of Electric Project Development and Business Planning. In this role I am responsible for the oversight and management of SDG&E's participation in SONGS. I have been in this role for almost ten years. I have a small staff responsible for monitoring day-to-day activities at the site and tracking budgets and costs for SDG&E's 20% share of SONGS. I also have staff involved in organizing SDG&E's transmission formulaic rate filings and developing utility owned solar via the CPUC approved Solar Energy Project. I also assess utility owned generation opportunities and assist the Electric and Gas Procurement Department by providing generation engineering information. I was formerly Manager of Procurement Planning & Analysis within the Electric and Gas Procurement Department. My responsibilities in this former role included overseeing a staff of gas and electric analyst/planners that support the Procurement Department's traders and schedulers, assisting Resource Planning by taking the lead with short-term planning, valuing energy resources for acquisition, and supporting regulatory filings. I also took lead in developing and carrying out SDG&E's 2003 Grid Reliability RFO. I was lead or a key contributor for SDG&E in its role for the contract development and oversight of the Palomar, Otay Mesa, and Miramar I generation projects and the subsequent Miramar II project several years later.

I received my Bachelor's Degree in Hydrology and a Master's Degree in Civil Engineering from the University of New Hampshire. I have received an MBA from the New Hampshire College with a focus on finance. Much of my career has been as a consultant, where I managed projects including the divestiture of utility assets and relicensing of FERC regulated hydroelectric projects. I spent four years with Citizen's Utilities Company, where I valued hydroelectric assets and life extending capital additions, represented the company in deregulation activities, and analyzed its generation portfolio relative to stranded benefits and costs. My work at Citizen's culminated with strategic planning for the acquisition and divestiture of utility franchises. I joined SDG&E in October 2002.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

I am sponsoring Sections VII, VII.a. and VII.b. in SDGE-01 in support of the
Application. This material was personally reviewed by me and I believe it to be correct that it is
factual in nature. Insofar as the material is in the nature of opinion or judgment, it represents my
best judgment.
I have previously testified before this Commission.

#### WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS OF TRACY M. DALU

My name is Tracy Dalu and my business address is 8330 Century Park Court, San Diego, California 92123. I am a CPA and the Generation Accounting Supervisor at SDG&E. My primary responsibilities are to provide accounting and reporting for all SDG&E owned generation facilities including SONGS and to provide financial information to support legal and regulatory filings. I also am responsible for the accounting and financial reporting of SDGE's asset retirement obligations and ensuring that SDG&E is in compliance with Securities Exchange Commission (SEC) and regulatory reporting requirements. I have been in my current role since May of 2010. I began work at Sempra Energy in May 2002 as an accountant for Sempra Energy's Global division and was responsible for the financial reporting of their generation fleet. My responsibilities included preparing financial statements, consolidations, cash flows, variance analysis and ensuring compliance with SEC reporting. Prior to my career at SDG&E I spent three years working as an auditor for PriceWaterhouse Coopers. I graduated from San Diego State University in 1994 with a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration (Accounting emphasis) and obtained my CPA license in 2001.

The purpose of my testimony in this proceeding is to discuss the advice letters for trust disbursements. I am sponsoring Section IX in SDGE-01 in support of the Application. This material was personally reviewed by me and I believe it to be correct that it is factual in nature. Insofar as the material is in the nature of opinion or judgment, it represents my best judgment.

I have previously testified before this Commission.

### **ATTACHMENT A**

Estimate of SDG&E-ONLY (100%) Decommissioning Costs for Units 2 & 3

SDG&E SONGS Detailed Annual Expenditures
Base Case: Prompt DECON, Time Reasonable Schedule, DOE Repository Opening 2024, Utility and Contractors, Dry Storage (2014 Dollars in Thousands)

| Account | Totals |
|---------|--------|
|         |        |

License Termination Spent Fuel Management Site Restoration

| Unit 2      |       |       |
|-------------|-------|-------|
| LLRW Burial | Other | Labor |

|         | Unit 3      |         |
|---------|-------------|---------|
| Labor   | LLRW Burial | Other   |
| \$2,371 | \$0         | \$1,303 |
| \$1,794 | \$0         | \$1,026 |
| \$1,337 | \$0         | \$968   |
| \$5,502 | \$0         | \$3,297 |

| Labor    | LLRW Burial | Other   |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|-------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| \$4,713  | \$0         | \$2,436 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,579  | \$0         | \$1,924 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,329  | \$0         | \$1,680 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,621 | \$0         | \$6,041 |  |  |  |  |  |

| Total    |
|----------|
| \$7,150  |
| \$5,503  |
| \$4,009  |
| \$16,662 |

#### Unit 2

|      | License Termination |             | Sp      | ent Fuel Managemen | t           | S     | ite Restoration |             |       | ISFSI D&D |             |       |
|------|---------------------|-------------|---------|--------------------|-------------|-------|-----------------|-------------|-------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| Year | Labor               | LLRW Burial | Other   | Labor              | LLRW Burial | Other | Labor           | LLRW Burial | Other | Labor     | LLRW Burial | Other |
| 2013 | \$26                | \$0         | \$17    | \$93               | \$0         | \$25  | \$0             | \$0         | \$128 | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2014 | \$240               | \$0         | \$161   | \$122              | \$0         | \$85  | \$0             | \$0         | \$108 | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2015 | \$281               | \$0         | \$76    | \$153              | \$0         | \$259 | \$8             | \$0         | \$20  | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2016 | \$166               | \$0         | \$107   | \$254              | \$0         | \$56  | \$13            | \$0         | \$7   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2017 | \$110               | \$0         | \$138   | \$172              | \$0         | \$41  | \$4             | \$0         | \$3   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2018 | \$92                | \$0         | \$63    | \$180              | \$0         | \$45  | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2019 | \$202               | \$0         | \$88    | \$98               | \$0         | \$12  | \$0             | \$0         | \$40  | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2020 | \$305               | \$0         | \$171   | \$14               | \$0         | \$2   | \$0             | S0          | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2021 | \$183               | \$0         | \$70    | \$16               | \$0         | \$2   | \$0             | S0          | \$0   | \$0       | SO.         |       |
| 2022 | \$230               | \$0         | \$50    | \$25               | \$0         | \$3   | \$0             | S0          | \$10  | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2023 | \$258               | \$0         | \$120   | \$26               | \$0         | \$3   | \$0             | S0          | \$0   | \$0       | SO.         |       |
| 2024 | \$173               | \$0         | \$30    | \$37               | \$0         | \$2   | \$57            | \$0         | \$45  | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2025 | \$14                | SO          | \$5     | \$55               | \$0         | \$1   | \$218           | \$0         | \$78  | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2026 | \$9                 | \$0         | \$7     | \$37               | \$0         | \$2   | \$92            | \$0         | \$32  | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2027 | \$9                 | \$0         | \$6     | \$35               | \$0         | \$1   | \$92            | S0          | \$33  | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2028 | \$8                 | \$0         | \$5     | \$33               | \$0         | \$1   | \$110           | S0          | \$48  | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2029 | \$6                 | \$0         | \$1     | \$24               | \$0         | \$0   | \$89            | S0          | \$29  | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2030 | \$6                 | SO          | \$1     | \$26               | \$0         | \$0   | \$89            | \$0         | \$31  | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2031 | \$4                 | SO          | \$6     | \$31               | \$0         | \$2   | \$103           | \$0         | \$33  | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2032 | \$20                | SO          | \$11    | \$122              | \$0         | \$20  | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | SO.         |       |
| 2033 | SO.                 | SO          | \$0     | SO.                | \$0         | \$16  | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | SO.         |       |
| 2034 | \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                | \$0         | \$16  | \$0             | S0          | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2035 | \$0                 | SO          | \$0     | \$0                | \$0         | \$16  | SO SO           | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2036 | \$0                 | SO          | \$0     | SO.                | \$0         | \$16  | SO SO           | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2037 | SO.                 | SO          | \$0     | SO.                | \$0         | \$16  | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2038 | SO.                 | SO          | \$0     | SO.                | \$0         | \$16  | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | SO.         |       |
| 2039 | SO.                 | SO          | \$0     | SO.                | \$0         | \$16  | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2040 | \$0                 | SO          | \$0     | \$0                | \$0         | \$16  | SO SO           | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2041 | \$0                 | SO          | \$0     | SO.                | \$0         | \$16  | SO SO           | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2042 | SO.                 | SO          | \$0     | SO.                | \$0         | \$16  | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2043 | SO.                 | SO          | \$0     | SO.                | \$0         | \$16  | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2044 | SO.                 | SO          | \$0     | SO.                | \$0         | \$16  | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2045 | \$0                 | \$0         | SO      | SO SO              | \$0         | \$16  | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | SO          |       |
| 2046 | \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | SO SO              | \$0         | \$16  | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2047 | SO.                 | SO          | \$0     | SO.                | \$0         | \$16  | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2048 | SO.                 | SO          | \$0     | SO.                | \$0         | \$16  | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | SO.         |       |
| 2049 | SO.                 | SO          | \$0     | \$142              | \$0         | \$59  | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2050 | \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$58               | \$0         | \$14  | \$83            | \$0         | \$41  | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2051 | \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$30               | \$0         | \$9   | \$34            | \$0         | \$26  | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2052 | SO SO               | SO SO       | \$0     | SO                 | \$0         | \$0   | \$0             | \$0         | \$0   | \$0       | \$0         |       |
| 2002 | \$2,342             | \$0         | \$1,134 | \$1,785            | \$0         | \$898 | \$992           | \$0         | \$712 | \$0       | \$0         |       |

#### Unit 3

| License Termination |             |         | Spent Fuel Management |             |         | Site Restoration |             |       |  |
|---------------------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|---------|------------------|-------------|-------|--|
| Labor               | LLRW Burial | Other   | Labor                 | LLRW Burial | Other   | Labor            | LLRW Burial | Other |  |
| \$26                | \$0         | \$19    | \$93                  | \$0         | \$31    | \$0              | \$0         | \$12  |  |
| \$235               | \$0         | \$162   | \$122                 | \$0         | \$117   | \$2              | \$0         | \$11  |  |
| \$285               | \$0         | \$90    | \$153                 | \$0         | \$277   | \$19             | \$0         | \$2   |  |
| \$167               | \$0         | \$138   | \$257                 | \$0         | \$82    | \$42             | \$0         | \$9   |  |
| \$89                | \$0         | \$59    | \$174                 | \$0         | \$61    | \$8              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$104               | \$0         | \$123   | \$182                 | \$0         | \$66    | \$0              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$158               | \$0         | \$86    | \$99                  | \$0         | \$18    | \$1              | \$0         | \$4   |  |
| \$217               | \$0         | \$96    | \$14                  | \$0         | \$2     | \$6              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$341               | \$0         | \$185   | \$16                  | \$0         | \$2     | \$1              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$275               | \$0         | \$168   | \$25                  | \$0         | \$3     | \$2              | \$0         | \$1:  |  |
| \$240               | \$0         | \$107   | \$26                  | \$0         | \$3     | \$7              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$157               | \$0         | \$29    | \$37                  | \$0         | \$2     | \$98             | \$0         | \$11  |  |
| \$14                | \$0         | \$5     | \$55                  | \$0         | \$1     | \$202            | \$0         | \$70  |  |
| \$9                 | \$0         | \$7     | \$37                  | \$0         | \$2     | \$100            | \$0         | \$37  |  |
| \$9                 | \$0         | \$6     | \$35                  | \$0         | \$1     | \$104            | \$0         | \$31  |  |
| \$8                 | \$0         | \$5     | \$33                  | \$0         | \$1     | \$91             | \$0         | \$25  |  |
| \$6                 | \$0         | \$1     | \$24                  | \$0         | \$0     | \$135            | \$0         | \$54  |  |
| \$6                 | \$0         | \$1     | \$26                  | \$0         | \$0     | \$131            | S0          | \$52  |  |
| \$4                 | \$0         | \$6     | \$31                  | \$0         | \$2     | \$112            | \$0         | \$37  |  |
| \$20                | \$0         | \$11    | \$122                 | \$0         | \$20    | \$0              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$1   |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$1   |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$1   |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$1   |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$1   |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$1   |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$1   |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$1   |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$16    | \$0              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$142                 | \$0         | \$59    | \$0              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$58                  | \$0         | \$14    | \$86             | \$0         | \$4   |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$30                  | \$0         | \$9     | \$190            | \$0         | \$7   |  |
| \$0                 | \$0         | \$0     | \$0                   | \$0         | \$0     | \$0              | \$0         | \$    |  |
| \$2,371             | \$0         | \$1,303 | \$1,794               | \$0         | \$1,026 | \$1,337          | \$0         | \$96  |  |

#### ATTACHMENT A to SDGE-01 SDG-ONLY (100%) COST ESTIMATE FOR UNITS 2.3

#### SDG&E SONGS Detailed Annual Expenditures (100%)

Base Case: Prompt DECON, Time Reasonable Schedule, DOE Repository Opening 2024, Utility and Contractors, Dry Storage (2014 Dollars in Thousands)

**Account Totals** 

License Termination
Spent Fuel Management
Site Restoration

|         | UIIIL 2     |         |
|---------|-------------|---------|
| Labor   | LLRW Burial | Other   |
| \$2,342 | \$0         | \$1,134 |
| \$1,785 | \$0         | \$898   |
| \$992   | \$0         | \$712   |
| \$5,120 | \$0         | \$2,744 |

| Unit 3  |             |         |  |  |
|---------|-------------|---------|--|--|
| Labor   | LLRW Burial | Other   |  |  |
| \$2,371 | \$0         | \$1,303 |  |  |
| \$1,794 | \$0         | \$1,026 |  |  |
| \$1,337 | \$0         | \$968   |  |  |
| \$5,502 | \$0         | \$3,297 |  |  |

Site Restoration

|          | Total       |         |  |  |
|----------|-------------|---------|--|--|
| Labor    | LLRW Burial | Other   |  |  |
| \$4,713  | \$0         | \$2,436 |  |  |
| \$3,579  | \$0         | \$1,924 |  |  |
| \$2,329  | \$0         | \$1,680 |  |  |
| \$10,621 | \$0         | \$6,041 |  |  |

| ſ | Total    |  |
|---|----------|--|
| ſ | \$7,150  |  |
|   | \$5,503  |  |
|   | \$4,009  |  |
|   | \$16,662 |  |

#### Unit 2 and 3 Total

|      |         | License Termination |         |         | ent Fuei Management |         |
|------|---------|---------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|---------|
| ear  | Labor   | LLRW Burial         | Other   | Labor   | LLRW Burial         | Other   |
| 2013 | \$52    | \$0                 | \$36    | \$186   | \$0                 | \$56    |
| 2014 | \$474   | \$0                 | \$323   | \$243   | \$0                 | \$201   |
| 2015 | \$566   | \$0                 | \$166   | \$307   | \$0                 | \$536   |
| 2016 | \$333   | \$0                 | \$245   | \$511   | \$0                 | \$138   |
| 2017 | \$199   | \$0                 | \$197   | \$346   | \$0                 | \$102   |
| 2018 | \$196   | \$0                 | \$186   | \$361   | \$0                 | \$111   |
| 2019 | \$361   | \$0                 | \$174   | \$196   | \$0                 | \$30    |
| 2020 | \$523   | \$0                 | \$267   | \$29    | \$0                 | \$3     |
| 2021 | \$524   | \$0                 | \$254   | \$33    | \$0                 | \$3     |
| 2022 | \$505   | \$0                 | \$218   | \$51    | \$0                 | \$5     |
| 2023 | \$498   | \$0                 | \$227   | \$52    | \$0                 | \$6     |
| 2024 | \$330   | \$0                 | \$60    | \$73    | \$0                 | \$4     |
| 2025 | \$27    | \$0                 | \$10    | \$111   | \$0                 | \$3     |
| 2026 | \$18    | \$0                 | \$14    | \$74    | \$0                 | \$4     |
| 2027 | \$18    | \$0                 | \$11    | \$71    | \$0                 | \$3     |
| 2028 | \$17    | \$0                 | \$10    | \$67    | \$0                 | \$3     |
| 2029 | \$12    | \$0                 | \$2     | \$49    | \$0                 | \$1     |
| 2030 | \$13    | \$0                 | \$2     | \$52    | \$0                 | \$1     |
| 2031 | \$8     | \$0                 | \$12    | \$62    | \$0                 | \$5     |
| 2032 | \$40    | \$0                 | \$23    | \$245   | \$0                 | \$41    |
| 2033 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2034 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2035 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2036 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2037 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2038 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2039 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2040 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2041 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2042 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2043 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2044 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2045 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2046 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2047 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2048 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$32    |
| 2049 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$285   | \$0                 | \$118   |
| 2050 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$116   | \$0                 | \$28    |
| 2051 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$60    | \$0                 | \$18    |
| 2052 | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     | \$0     | \$0                 | \$0     |
|      | \$4,713 | \$0                 | \$2,436 | \$3,579 | \$0                 | \$1,924 |

|       |         | ite Restoration | Si      |
|-------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| Labor | Other   | LLRW Burial     | Labor   |
| \$0   | \$255   | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$219   | \$0             | \$2     |
| \$0   | \$40    | \$0             | \$26    |
| \$0   | \$107   | \$0             | \$55    |
| \$0   | \$10    | \$0             | \$12    |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$80    | \$0             | \$1     |
| \$0   | \$1     | \$0             | \$6     |
| \$0   | \$1     | \$0             | \$1     |
| \$0   | \$22    | \$0             | \$2     |
| \$0   | \$1     | \$0             | \$8     |
| \$0   | \$157   | \$0             | \$155   |
| \$0   | \$148   | \$0             | \$420   |
| \$0   | \$69    | \$0             | \$192   |
| \$0   | \$72    | \$0             | \$196   |
| \$0   | \$73    | \$0             | \$201   |
| \$0   | \$83    | \$0             | \$224   |
| \$0   | \$83    | \$0             | \$220   |
| \$0   | \$69    | \$0             | \$215   |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$90    | \$0             | \$169   |
| \$0   | \$99    | \$0             | \$225   |
| \$0   | \$0     | \$0             | \$0     |
| \$0   | \$1,680 | \$0             | \$2,329 |

|          |                  |            |              |       | ISFSI D&D   |    |
|----------|------------------|------------|--------------|-------|-------------|----|
| Total    | Site Restoration | Spent Fuel | License Term | Other | LLRW Burial |    |
| \$585    | \$255            | \$242      | \$87         | \$0   | \$0         | 80 |
| \$1,463  | \$221            | \$444      | \$798        | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$1,641  | \$66             | \$842      | \$732        | \$0   | \$0         | 80 |
| \$1,389  | \$161            | \$649      | \$578        | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$867    | \$22             | \$449      | \$396        | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$854    | \$0              | \$472      | \$382        | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$842    | \$81             | \$227      | \$535        | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$829    | \$7              | \$32       | \$790        | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$816    | \$2              | \$36       | \$778        | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$804    | \$24             | \$56       | \$723        | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$791    | \$9              | \$57       | \$725        | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$779    | \$312            | \$77       | \$390        | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$719    | \$568            | \$113      | \$38         | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$371    | \$260            | \$78       | \$32         | \$0   | \$0         | 60 |
| \$371    | \$268            | \$74       | \$29         | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$371    | \$274            | \$70       | \$27         | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$371    | \$307            | \$49       | \$14         | \$0   | \$0         | 60 |
| \$371    | \$303            | \$53       | \$15         | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$371    | \$284            | \$67       | \$19         | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$348    | \$0              | \$286      | \$62         | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 60 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 80 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 80 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 80 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 08 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 80 |
| \$32     | \$0              | \$32       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 80 |
| \$402    | \$0              | \$402      | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 80 |
| \$402    | \$259            | \$143      | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 80 |
| \$402    | \$324            | \$78       | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 80 |
| SC       | \$0              | \$0        | \$0          | \$0   | \$0         | 80 |
| \$16,662 | \$4,009          | \$5,503    | \$7,150      | \$0   | \$0         | 60 |

#### Unit 2 and 3 Project Totals