## PIPELINE SAFETY & RELIABILITY PROJECT (PSRP)

(A.15-09-013)

### (3rd DATA REQUEST PROTECT OUR COMMUNITIES FOUNDATION)

Date Requested: April 18, 2017 Date Responded: May 2, 2017

\_\_\_\_\_

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a waiver of, SDG&E's and SoCalGas' right to rely on other facts or documents in these proceedings.
- 2. By making the accompanying responses and objections to these requests for data, SDG&E and SoCalGas do not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, SDG&E and SoCalGas makes the responses and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests, and responses to the requests, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of this action.
- 3. SDG&E and SoCalGas will produce responses only to the extent that such response is based upon personal knowledge or documents in the possession, custody, or control of SDG&E and SoCalGas, as set forth in the California Public Utilities Commission ("Commission or CPUC") Rules of Practice and Procedure. SDG&E and SoCalGas possession, custody, or control does not include any constructive possession that may be conferred by SDG&E's and SoCalGas' right or power to compel the production of documents or information from third parties or to request their production from other divisions of the Commission.
- 4. A response stating an objection shall not be deemed or construed that there are, in fact, responsive information or documents which may be applicable to the data request, or that SDG&E and SoCalGas acquiesces in the characterization of the premise, conduct or activities contained in the data request, or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the data request.
- 5. SDG&E and SoCalGas expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).
- 6. SDG&E and SoCalGas will make available for inspection at their offices any responsive documents. Alternatively, SDG&E and SoCalGas will produce copies of the documents.
- 7. Publicly available information and documents including, but not limited to, documents that are part of the proceeding record, newspaper clippings, court papers, and materials available on the Internet, will not be produced.

## PIPELINE SAFETY & RELIABILITY PROJECT (PSRP)

(A.15-09-013)

### (3rd DATA REQUEST PROTECT OUR COMMUNITIES FOUNDATION)

Date Requested: April 18, 2017 Date Responded: May 2, 2017

#### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

- 1. SDG&E and SoCalGas object to each instruction, definition, and request to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery obligation greater than or different from those under the CPUC Rules of Practice and Procedure, Statutes, and the applicable Orders of the Commission.
- 2. SDG&E and SoCalGas object to each request that is overly broad, unduly burdensome, or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 3. SDG&E and SoCalGas object to each instruction, definition and data request to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Should any such disclosure by SDG&E and SoCalGas occur, it is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege.
- 4. SDG&E and SoCalGas object to each instruction, definition and data request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents or information that are readily or more accessible to Protect Our Communities Foundation (POCF) from POCF's own files, from documents or information in POCF's possession, or from documents or information that SDG&E and SoCalGas previously released to the public or produced to POCF. Responding to such requests would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests is substantially the same or less for POCF as for SDG&E and SoCalGas.
- 5. SDG&E and SoCalGas object to each instruction, definition and data request to the extent that it seeks the production of documents and information that were produced to SDG&E and SoCalGas by other entities and that may contain confidential, proprietary, or trade secret information.
- 6. To the extent any of POCF's data requests seek documents or answers that include expert material, including but not limited to analysis or survey materials, SDG&E and SoCalGas object to any such requests as premature and expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all responses to such requests, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s) in accordance with the time period for exchanging expert reports set by the Commission.
- 7. SDG&E and SoCalGas incorporate by reference every general objection set forth above into each specific response set forth below. A specific response may repeat a general objection for emphasis or some other reason. The failure to include any general objection in any specific response does not waive any general objection to that request. Moreover, SDG&E and SoCalGas do not waive their right to amend any responses.

#### PIPELINE SAFETY & RELIABILITY PROJECT (PSRP)

(A.15-09-013)

#### (3rd DATA REQUEST PROTECT OUR COMMUNITIES FOUNDATION)

Date Requested: April 18, 2017 Date Responded: May 2, 2017

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **QUESTION 1:**

Provide peak summer day and peak winter day SDG&E natural gas demand for each of the last 10 years, including the winter of 2016-2017.

#### **RESPONSE 1:**

Please see the attachment to this response.

### PIPELINE SAFETY & RELIABILITY PROJECT (PSRP)

(A.15-09-013)

### (3rd DATA REQUEST PROTECT OUR COMMUNITIES FOUNDATION)

Date Requested: April 18, 2017 Date Responded: May 2, 2017

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **QUESTION 2:**

Provide breakout of core, non-core components, in MMcfd, of total SDG&E peak summer day and peak winter day natural gas demand for each of the last 10 years, including the winter of 2016-2017.

#### **RESPONSE 2:**

Please see the attachment to this response.

## PIPELINE SAFETY & RELIABILITY PROJECT (PSRP)

(A.15-09-013)

#### (3rd DATA REQUEST PROTECT OUR COMMUNITIES FOUNDATION)

Date Requested: April 18, 2017 Date Responded: May 2, 2017

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### **QUESTION 3:**

Provide utility electric generator and cogeneration demand, in MMcfd, of total SDG&E peak summer day and peak winter day natural gas demand for each of the last 10 years, including the winter of 2016-2017.

#### **RESPONSE 3:**

SDG&E and SoCalGas (Applicants) object that this question is vague and ambiguous in that it does not define "utility." To the extent Applicants understand this question, it appears to seek customer specific information, which Applicants cannot release publicly unless the customer consents to the release in writing per Commission Decision (D.)01-07-032.

## PIPELINE SAFETY & RELIABILITY PROJECT (PSRP)

(A.15-09-013)

### (3rd DATA REQUEST PROTECT OUR COMMUNITIES FOUNDATION)

Date Requested: April 18, 2017 Date Responded: May 2, 2017

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **QUESTION 4:**

Provide calculated minimum electric generator MW and natural gas demand to meet CAISO minimum 25 percent local generation requirement the relevant CAISO operating procedure(s) in place at the time governing the San Diego local reliability area on SDG&E natural gas peak summer day and peak winter day for each of the last 10 years, including the winter of 2016-2017.

#### **RESPONSE 4:**

Applicants object to this question on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome and seeks information not relevant to this proceeding. Subject to and notwithstanding their objection, Applicants response as follows. CAISO removed the 25% requirement in November 2013. As such, this question calls for an exorbitant amount of work to validate a condition that does not currently exist.