PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

JENNIFER MONTANEZ

ON BEHALF OF

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

redacted, public version

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

November 9, 2017



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTR	ODUC'	TION	1				
II.			CAST OF ENERGY REQUIREMENTS AND SUPPLY S	3				
	A.	ENE	RGY REQUIREMENTS FORECAST	3				
	B.	SUPP	PLY RESOURCE FORECAST	3				
		1.	SDG&E-Contracted Generation	4				
		2.	SDG&E-Owned Dispatchable Generation	5				
		3.	Renewable Energy Contracts	6				
		4.	Qualifying Facilities Contracts	8				
		5.	Market Purchases and Surplus Sales	8				
III.	2018 I	FOREC	CAST OF ERRA EXPENSES	9				
	A.	ISO I	LOAD CHARGES	9				
	В.	ISO S	SUPPLY REVENUES	10				
	C.	CON	TRACTED ENERGY PURCHASES	10				
		1.	Purchased Power Contracts	10				
		2.	Renewable Energy Contracts	10				
		3.	Qualifying Facilities Contracts	11				
	D.	GENI	ERATION FUEL	12				
		1.	Palomar, Desert Star, Miramar and Cuyamaca (Fuel Expenses that are Recovered through ERRA)	12				
	E.	LOCA	AL GENERATION	12				
	F.	CAISO RELATED COSTS13						
	G.	HED	GING COSTS & FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS	13				
	Н.	CON	VERGENCE BIDS	14				
	I.	CON	GESTION REVENUE RIGHTS ("CRRs")	14				
	J.	INTE	R-SCHEDULING COORDINATOR TRADES ("IST")	15				

IV.	SONG	S UNIT 1 OFFSITE SPENT FUEL STORAGE COSTS	16
	A.	Background	16
	B.	2018 Forecast	16
V.	2018 F	FORECAST OF GHG COSTS	17
	A.	Direct GHG Emissions	18
	B.	Indirect GHG Emissions	20
	C.	2018 GHG Costs	22
	D.	2018 Allowance Auction Revenues	22
VI.	CONC	CLUSION	23
VII.	QUAL	IFICATIONS	24
ATTA	CHME	NT A	
ATTA	CHME	NT B	
ATTA	CHME	NT C	
ATTA	CHME	NT D	
ATTA	CHME	NT E	

UPDATED PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JENNIFER MONTANEZ ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

My testimony describes the resources San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E") expects to use in calendar year 2018 to provide electric commodity service to its bundled service customers; provides a forecast of the procurement costs that SDG&E expects to record in 2018 to the Energy Resource Recovery Account ("ERRA"), Transition Cost Balancing Account ("TCBA"), and Local Generation Balancing Account ("LGBA"); provides a 2018 forecast of SDG&E's San Onofre Generating Station ("SONGS") Unit 1 Offsite Spent Fuel Storage Costs; and provides a forecast of 2018 total greenhouse gas ("GHG") costs. SDG&E witness Ms. Miller uses my forecast of ERRA, Competition Transition Charge ("CTC") and Local Generation ("LG") in developing 2018 revenue requirements for each element. In addition, my testimony provides information that supports SDG&E witness Ms. Fang's development of the GHG allowance revenue return allocation and the volumetric revenue return for small business and residential customers, as well as rates for the Green Tariff Shared Renewables ("GTSR") program and the Power Charge Indifference Adjustment ("PCIA").

In Section II of my testimony, I provide a forecast of the energy requirements that will be
required to serve SDG&E's bundled customer load for 2018, as well as forecasts of the supply
resources that SDG&E expects to utilize to meet that load in calendar year 2018. The supply
resources for which I provide forecasts include (1) generation resources that are under contract
for 2018; (2) generation resources owned by SDG&E; (3) renewable generation resources that

are under contract for 2018; (4) Qualifying Facilities ("QFs") under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act ("PURPA") that are under contract for 2018; and (5) generation obtained through market purchases.

In Section III of my testimony, I quantify the costs associated with the resources described in Section II, along with other electric procurement costs that are recorded in ERRA, such as market purchases, California Independent System Operator ("CAISO") charges and portfolio hedging costs. These costs are summarized in Attachment A.

In Section IV of my testimony, I provide a forecast of the 2018 SONGS Unit 1 Offsite Spent Fuel Storage Costs associated with SDG&E's 20% minority ownership interest in SONGS.

In Section V of my testimony, I provide a forecast of the 2018 GHG emissions and associated costs, both direct and indirect, incurred in connection with SDG&E's compliance with California's cap-and-trade program. I also provide a forecast of GHG allowance auction revenues. Lastly, I provide a statement of qualifications. My testimony refers to the following attachments: <u>Attachment A</u>: SDG&E 2018 ERRA and LG Expenses <u>Attachment B</u>: SDG&E 2018 Generation Portfolio Delivery Volumes

- Attachment C: SDG&E 2018 Renewable Resource Detail
- Attachment D: SDG&E 2018 CTC & QF Detail
- <u>Attachment E</u>: SDG&E GHG Detail.

II.

A.

2018 FORECAST OF ENERGY REQUIREMENTS AND SUPPLY RESOURCES

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

ENERGY REQUIREMENTS FORECAST

As a starting point for my analysis, I developed a forecast of SDG&E's 2018 bundled load requirement, which is based on what SDG&E presented in its 2017 IEPR Electricity Demand Forecast filed with the CEC in April. 2016 General Rate Case ("GRC") Phase 2 proceeding. Using this forecast and adjusting for direct access load, I project that the energy requirements for its bundled load for 2018 will be the stand of the standard standar

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

B. SUPPLY RESOURCE FORECAST

After determining the amount of energy that SDG&E's bundled load customers will require in 2018, I then proceeded to develop a forecast of the supply resources that will be needed to meet that demand. In order to quantify the generation associated with the supply resources, I used the same production cost model SDG&E has used in past ERRA forecasts. Inputs to this model include the characteristics of the various generation resources, including heat rate, variable Operating and Maintenance ("O&M") costs, and other factors that impact the plant's dispatch, and natural gas and market prices. The natural gas and electric market price forecasts were derived using a recent (<u>October Mareh</u> 1, 2017) assessment of 2018 market prices, based on the average of forward prices over the previous 22 market trading days. I then run the model which simulates a least-cost dispatch of the portfolio of SDG&E's resources for every hour of 2018. The supply resources fall into the following five categories.

1. SDG&E-Contracted Generation

2	SDG&E has a number of generation resources under contract in its 2018 resource
3	portfolio. These resources are available under a variety of contractual arrangements, including
4	tolling contracts, fixed energy contracts, and contracts for Resource Adequacy only. The largest
5	of the tolling and fixed energy contracts are:
6	•the Otay Mesa Energy Center ("OMEC") Power Purchase Agreement ("PPA") for
7	the output of a 599 MW combined-cycle power plant;
8	• the Carlsbad Energy Center PPA for the output of a 500 MW simple cycle
9	combustion turbine unit;
10	• the Pio Pico Energy Center PPA for the output of a 308 MW simple cycle
11	combustion turbine unit;
12	• the Orange Grove PPA for the output of two 48 MW simple cycle combustion
13	turbine units;
14	• the El Cajon Energy Center PPA for the output of a 47 MW simple cycle
15	combustion turbine unit;
16	• the Escondido Energy Center PPA for the output of a 48 MW simple cycle
17	combustion turbine unit;
18	• the BP PPA, which provides firm energy deliveries at the SDG&E Default Load
19	Aggregation Point (DLAP)
20	• the Morgan Stanley PPA, which provides firm energy deliveries at the Northern
21	Oregon Border ("NOB").
22	The forecasted generation for these contracts is detailed in Attachment B and is
23	summarized in Table 1 below:

	Table	1: Generation (GWh)
	2018	2017	Difference
OMEC			
Carlbad Energy Center			
Pio Pico Energy Center			
Orange Grove			
El Cajon Energy Center			
Escondido Energy Center			
ВР			
Morgan Stanley NOB			
Tota	al		

SDG&E also enters into contracts each year to meet its CPUC Resource Adequacy
 requirements.¹ Under its Resource Adequacy contracts, SDG&E is entitled to show this capacity
 as meeting its Resource Adequacy obligation, but SDG&E does not have rights to the energy or
 ancillary services from these units. For 2018, SDG&E forecasts that it will enter into contracts
 for up to _______ of Resource Adequacy capacity.²
 2. SDG&E-Owned Dispatchable Generation

SDG&E owns several generation facilities, which it uses to meet its bundled customer load, including the following:

- the Palomar Energy Center ("Palomar"), a 575 MW combined cycle power plant;
- the Desert Star Energy Center ("Desert Star"), a 485 MW combined cycle power plant;
- the Miramar Energy Facility ("Miramar I and II"), consisting of two 48 MW simple cycle combustion turbine units;

¹ CA P.U. Code Section 380 established the Resource Adequacy program to provide sufficient resources to the CAISO to ensure the safe and reliable operation of the grid in real time and to provide appropriate incentives for the siting and construction of new resources needed for reliability in the future. ² Includes contracts from SDG&E's issued a Resource Adequacy Request for Offers in March.

the Battery Storage facilities, consisting of Escondido at 30 MW and El Cajon at
7.5 MW and
the Cuyamaca Peak Energy Plant, consisting of a 47 MW simple cycle
combustion turbine.
These units are dispatched by the CAISO for generation and ancillary services ("A/S") awards
based on economic merit.³ The forecasted generation for these plants is detailed in Attachment
B and is summarized in Table 2 below:

		Table 2: Generation (GWh)							
		2018	2017	Difference					
Palomar									
Desert Star									
Miramar									
Battery Storage									
Cuyamaca									
	Total								

9

8

11 12

13

14

15

10

3. Renewable Energy Contracts

The 2018 forecast of renewable energy supply from CPUC-approved contracts is 7,265

7,488 GWh, which includes 1,236 GWh of Renewable Energy Credit ("REC") quantities⁴ that

are delivered to SDG&E in conjunction with existing non-renewable imports. This forecast

represents an increase of 223 GWh from the forecast from 2017 (7,265 GWh) and represents

of forecasted bundled sales. The forecasted generation associated with SDG&E's monthly

renewable contracts is set forth in Attachment C.

³ SDG&E's dispatch model considered only generation dispatched for energy and not for A/S because the CAISO co-optimizes market awards between energy and A/S based on the opportunity cost of capacity. Thus, the economic benefit (and ERRA contribution) of using capacity for generation is equivalent to using capacity for A/S.

⁴ Renewable Energy Credits represent the green attribute of renewable generation and, while they can be purchased independent of physical delivery of generation from the source, they must accompany a delivery of "tagged" physical power to be imported into California.

For 2018, SDG&E forecasts it will receive 6,034 6,252 GWh of bundled renewable energy under 46 48 contracts with facilities that generate electricity using wind, solar, biogas, and pumped hydro technologies. The forecasted generation for projects that are currently on-line and operating is derived from generation profiles based on historical data. The forecasted generation for those projects that have recently come online and that are expected to continue operations in 2018⁵ is based on historical data of resources that utilize similar renewable technologies.

In addition, SDG&E expects to receive 1,236 GWh of firmed-and-shaped power from three out-of-state wind projects, Rim Rock and Naturener Glacier 1 and 2 (Montana).⁶ The RECs are delivered to California independently of the physical delivery of generation by the source wind projects. This is done by tagging equivalent quantities of the physical deliveries of other energy imports that SDG&E has already accounted for in its 2018 forecast. The forecasted energy mix from these renewable resources is shown in Table 3 below:

	Table 3: Generation (GWh)								
	2018	2017	Difference						
Solar	3,620 3,651	3,651	(32) 0						
Wind	2,464 2,211	2,211	253 0						
Wind RECs	1,236	1,236	-						
Biogas	166	165	1						
Other	2	2	-						
RPS Sales	-	-	-						
Total	7,488 7,266	6,720	223 1						

14

⁵ SDG&E did not include renewable energy quantities or costs associated with the Sustainable Communities Photovoltaic program because costs for this program are not charged to ERRA.

⁶ The firmed-and-shaped wind power from these contracts is delivered to California through the Morgan Stanley power contract described above.

4.

5.

Qualifying Facilities Contracts

In 2018, SDG&E will have approximately 230 megawatts ("MW") of capacity under contract with eight QFs.⁷ The five largest QF contracts account for 220 MW or 96% of total QF capacity. All of these QFs are located in SDG&E's service area except for the Yuma Cogeneration Associates ("YCA") plant, a 56.5 MW natural gas-fired plant located in Arizona, the output of which is imported into the CAISO.

SDG&E's QF contracts include a combination of must-take and dispatchable resources. For must-take resources, SDG&E is obligated to pay the contract price for all delivered QF generation and schedule it into the CAISO market; SDG&E has no such obligation with dispatchable resources. SDG&E has amendments with Goal Line and YCA, which provide SDG&E with more economic dispatch rights. SDG&E forecasted the plants' dispatch in accordance with these terms. The forecast of QF energy supply in 2018 is ______. The forecasted generation for these plants is detailed in Attachment D.

Market Purchases and Surplus Sales

Under the Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade ("MRTU"),⁸ there is no requirement that SDG&E must balance its bundled load and its controlled generation quantities that clear the market. If, in any hour, the quantity of SDG&E's bundled load requirements purchased from the CAISO is greater than SDG&E-controlled generation dispatched by the CAISO, the difference may be viewed as equivalent to a market purchase.⁹ Similarly, if more

⁷ The actual number of active QF contracts is over 50, but many of these QF resources only serve on-site load and do not deliver net energy to SDG&E. As a result, these are not included in the production cost model analysis. The eight QFs referenced above deliver net energy to SDG&E and are thus included in SDG&E's model.

⁸ In 2009, the CAISO implemented the Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade which primarily transformed the CAISO market from a zonal to a nodal priced market.

⁹ In some hours the quantity of SDG&E's bundled load requirements purchased from the CAISO is less than SDG&E-controlled generation sold to the CAISO. The difference may be viewed as equivalent to a

1 SDG&E generation is dispatched than SDG&E load requirements it is assumed to offset market 2 purchases in other time periods. SDG&E forecasts that the quantity of equivalent market 3 purchases will be in 2018, a increase decrease of from the 4 2017 forecast 5 **2018 FORECAST OF ERRA EXPENSES** III. 6 In order to quantify the costs associated with the supply resources described in Section II, 7 the production cost model also tracks the costs of the economic dispatch. Electric procurement 8 expenses incurred by SDG&E to serve its bundled load are also recorded to the ERRA. These 9 expenses include, among other items, costs and revenues for energy and capacity cleared through 10 the CAISO market, power purchase contract costs, generation fuel costs, market energy purchase 11 costs, CAISO charges, brokerage fees, and hedging costs. I expect that SDG&E will incur \$1.282 \$1.355 billion of ERRA costs in 2018.¹⁰ as 12 reflected in Attachment A. This forecast is \$59 \$14 million less more than the \$1.341 billion 13 14 forecasted for 2017. The key driver behind the lower higher forecast for 2018 is an increase in 15 Resource Adequacy costs. lower natural gas prices. 16 In the remainder of this Section, I will discuss in greater detail the cost forecasts for 17 specific ERRA items. 18 A. **ISO LOAD CHARGES** 19 The CAISO supplies and sells to SDG&E the energy and A/S necessary to meet 20 SDG&E's bundled load requirement. Based on forecasted prices for energy and A/S, SDG&E's 21 of ISO load charges for 2018. This cost production cost model forecasts market sale and the costs and revenues for such transactions are accounted for in the forecast by the total fuel expenses and total ISO Supply revenues.

¹⁰ This amount does not include Franchise Fees and Uncollectibles ("FF&U"), nor do any of the other figures in my testimony.

includes the indirect GHG costs embedded in the market price of energy. I present GHG 2 quantities and costs in Section V.

B. **ISO SUPPLY REVENUES**

In the CAISO market, all generation from SDG&E's resource portfolio is sold to the CAISO. Based on forecasted prices for energy, SDG&E's production cost model forecasts revenues totaling for generation sold in 2018.

C. **CONTRACTED ENERGY PURCHASES**

1. **Purchased Power Contracts**

SDG&E's forecast of total costs for non-renewable power purchase contracts in 2018 is These costs cover capacity payments and variable generation costs for OMEC, Orange Grove, Wellhead El Cajon and other facilities with which SDG&E has smaller contracts. The largest components in this category are capacity and generation costs for the OMEC unit, expected to be , and Resource Adequacy capacity costs, expected . The Morgan Stanley contract is also included in this category and is to be expected to cost

2.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Renewable Energy Contracts

SDG&E's renewable energy contracts usually contain only an energy payment and no capacity payment. In 2018, SDG&E's renewable energy portfolio will include a cost for all the renewable power delivered based on contract prices and the renewable energy credits described in Section II under "Renewable Energy Contracts." All costs associated with these contracts are booked as ERRA expenses and are forecasted to be <u>\$694_\$716</u> million for 2018. Attachment C details the renewable projects by fuel type, their costs and forecasted energy deliveries.

Customers who opt into the Green Tariff Shared Renewables ("GTSR") program, which consists of both a Green Tariff ("GT") component and an Enhanced Community Renewables ("ECR") component, pay a subset of the renewable costs.¹¹ The estimated GT customer usage in 2018 is 56.8 GWh.¹² The estimated GT charges include the cost of local solar¹³ of \$92.56 <u>\$63.30</u>/megawatt hour ("MWh"), Grid Management Charges ("GMC") of \$0.00070/kwh and Western Renewable Energy Generation Information System ("WREGIS") costs of \$0.00001/kwh. The estimated total cost of GT in 2018 is <u>\$5.3_\$3.6</u> million. The estimated ECR customer usage in 2018 is 0 GWh as this component is dependent on resources which are not expected to come on line until 2019. Therefore, no costs are expected in 2018 for ECR. Additionally, the solar value adjustment was calculated as \$0.00772/kwh. This is an increase from 2017 due to the change in methodology the CAISO uses to calculate the net qualifying capacity, resulting in a lower value for solar¹⁴.

3. Qualifying Facilities Contracts

SDG&E's QF contracts consist of dispatchable capacity or firm capacity PURPA

contracts. These contracts include provisions for both energy and capacity payments. The

energy payments for QFs that are under firm capacity PURPA contracts are forecasted using

¹¹ Decision 15-01-051 authorizing the GTSR program was approved on January 29, 2015. The GT and ECR components are two separate rate offerings under the GTSR Program accessing different pools of solar resources and with different terms.

¹² GT and ECR usage forecasts were developed using average consumption estimates for each customer class in conjunction with program enrollment targets.

¹³ To meet immediate GT customer demand, SDG&E will draw on existing Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS) resources that are eligible to serve the GT component of the GTSR Program (Interim GT Pool). The Interim GT Pool is a short-term approach and cost is based on the weighted average cost of contracts for included resources. Simultaneously, SDG&E will engage in procurement for projects built specifically to serve the GT component (GT Dedicated Procurement Projects). When GT Dedicated Procurement Projects are brought online, the Interim GT Pool will be phased out as allowed by program participation.

¹⁴ Final Net Qualifying Capacity Report for Compliance Year 2018, http://www.caiso.com/planning/Pages/ReliabilityRequirements

1	SDG&E's Short-Run Avoided Cost ("SRAC") formula. ¹⁵ For the dispatchable contracts,
2	SDG&E pays fuel, variable O&M and capacity payments. Most of these contracts, whether
3	PURPA or dispatchable, are considered CTC QF contracts, ¹⁶ and the ERRA expenses are based
4	on delivered energy multiplied by the market price benchmark ("MPB"). Any costs, including
5	capacity payments, greater than the market price benchmark are booked to the TCBA. For the
6	purposes of ERRA accounting, ERRA expenses for CTC QF contracts are recorded on Line 5 of
7	Attachment A, "Contract Costs (CTC up to market)," and are forecasted to be
8	2018. Attachment D details the breakdown of all the units discussed in this section and shows
9	the associated costs, both ERRA and TCBA, and the forecasted energy deliveries. These costs
10	include the indirect GHG cost embedded in the market price that flows through the SDG&E
11	SRAC formula. I present GHG quantities and costs in Section IV of my testimony.
12	D. GENERATION FUEL
13 14	1. Palomar, Desert Star, Miramar and Cuyamaca (Fuel Expenses that are Recovered through ERRA)
15	In 2018, the ERRA expense for generation fuel purchased by SDG&E for Palomar,
16	Miramar I & II, Desert Star and Cuyamaca is forecasted to be
17	forecasted expenses include in lieu gas fees for Palomar which are also recovered in ERRA.
18	These costs are calculated based on SDG&E's forecasted fuel usage for this plant and the
19	applicable tariffs, Schedule GP-SUR ¹⁸ and Schedule EG ¹⁹ .
20	E. LOCAL GENERATION

²⁰

LNĽKATI

¹⁷ Capital and non-fuel operating costs for these plants are recovered through the Non-Fuel Generation Balancing Account ("NGBA") as required by D.05-08-005, Resolution E-3896 and D.07-11-046. ¹⁸ Customer-procured Gas Franchise Fee Surcharge.

¹⁵ The derivation of the SRAC price for QF contracts is posted monthly on an SDG&E website: http://www2.sdge.com/SRAC/.

¹⁶ The CP Kelco contract, however, is not considered a CTC contract. Thus, unlike other QF contracts, 100% of CP Kelco contract costs are included in ERRA.

¹⁹ Natural Gas Intrastate Transportation Service for Electric Generation Customers.

1	As previously noted, SDG&E has entered into contracts for generation resources which
2	specifically provide local Resource Adequacy for the SDG&E system. Because these contract
3	costs are allocated to both bundled and direct access customers, the costs are accounted for in a
4	separate Local Generating Balancing Account. The Escondido Energy Center, Kelco,
5	Grossmont, Pio Pico, Carlsbad Energy Center, El Cajon Energy Storage and Escondido Energy
6	Storage contracts are included in this balancing account and are expected to cost
7	, including direct and indirect GHG costs and net of supply ISO revenue. Attachment A
8	details the breakdown of local generation expenses.
9	F. CAISO RELATED COSTS
10	SDG&E forecasts the miscellaneous CAISO costs to be in 2018. SDG&E
11	also forecasts the cost of the FERC Fees and Western Renewable Energy Generation Information
12	System to be in 2018.
13	G. HEDGING COSTS & FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS
14	SDG&E's resource portfolio has substantial exposure to gas price volatility as a result of
	SDOWE S resource portiono has substantial exposure to gas price volatility as a result of
15	fuel requirements for its gas-fired resources, as well as the gas price-based pricing formula for its
15 16	
	fuel requirements for its gas-fired resources, as well as the gas price-based pricing formula for its
16	fuel requirements for its gas-fired resources, as well as the gas price-based pricing formula for its QF contracts. To manage this exposure, SDG&E engages in hedging activity, consistent with its
16 17	fuel requirements for its gas-fired resources, as well as the gas price-based pricing formula for its QF contracts. To manage this exposure, SDG&E engages in hedging activity, consistent with its CPUC approved procurement plan, ²⁰ and it will book the resulting hedging costs and any
16 17 18	fuel requirements for its gas-fired resources, as well as the gas price-based pricing formula for its QF contracts. To manage this exposure, SDG&E engages in hedging activity, consistent with its CPUC approved procurement plan, ²⁰ and it will book the resulting hedging costs and any realized gains and losses from hedge transactions to ERRA consistent with its CPUC-approved
16 17 18 19	fuel requirements for its gas-fired resources, as well as the gas price-based pricing formula for its QF contracts. To manage this exposure, SDG&E engages in hedging activity, consistent with its CPUC approved procurement plan, ²⁰ and it will book the resulting hedging costs and any realized gains and losses from hedge transactions to ERRA consistent with its CPUC-approved hedge plan. The estimate of hedging costs for 2018 is, calculated as the
16 17 18 19 20	fuel requirements for its gas-fired resources, as well as the gas price-based pricing formula for its QF contracts. To manage this exposure, SDG&E engages in hedging activity, consistent with its CPUC approved procurement plan, ²⁰ and it will book the resulting hedging costs and any realized gains and losses from hedge transactions to ERRA consistent with its CPUC-approved hedge plan. The estimate of hedging costs for 2018 is 1000000000000000000000000000000000000
16 17 18 19 20 21	fuel requirements for its gas-fired resources, as well as the gas price-based pricing formula for its QF contracts. To manage this exposure, SDG&E engages in hedging activity, consistent with its CPUC approved procurement plan, ²⁰ and it will book the resulting hedging costs and any realized gains and losses from hedge transactions to ERRA consistent with its CPUC-approved hedge plan. The estimate of hedging costs for 2018 is COMPARENTIAL CONTRACT , calculated as the marked-to-market profit/loss of hedges already in place, plus expected broker fees. The profit/loss of these and future hedges placed will rise and fall with market prices. Therefore, the

²⁰ SDG&E's 2012 Long Term Procurement Plan, Appendix B: Electric and Gas Hedging Strategy

SDG&E may also trade short-term financial power products to hedge its long or short position against potentially volatile CAISO market clearing prices. SDG&E does not include a forecast of net cost or benefit from these power hedges due to the unpredictability of market prices relative to the price of the hedges.

Finally, I have included the Kern River Transportation Service Agreement ("TSA"), which is estimated to be **Example 1** in 2018, as a financial transaction that is recoverable as an ERRA cost, as approved by the Commission in Decision 14-12-002.

H. CONVERGENCE BIDS

SDG&E uses convergence bids²¹ to hedge certain operational risks in the day-to-day management of its portfolio. It is not possible to forecast the gains or losses associated with potential convergence bidding activity because of the unpredictable relationship between dayahead and real-time prices. Therefore, SDG&E did not forecast an ERRA revenue/charge for convergence bids.

I.

CONGESTION REVENUE RIGHTS ("CRRs")

Market participants, including SDG&E, were allocated CRRs by the CAISO for which they can nominate source and sink P-nodes²² to match those in their portfolio. If congestion arises between the source and sink P-nodes, the CAISO will pay the market participant holding the CRR the congestion charges to offset the congestion costs incurred. SDG&E expects its

²¹ A convergence bid (also known as a virtual bid) is not backed by any physical generation or load, and is thus completely financial. Convergence bidding allows market participants to arbitrage expected price differences between the Day-Ahead and Real-Time markets. Using convergence bids, market participants can sell (buy) energy in the Day-Ahead market, with the explicit requirement to buy (sell) that energy back in the Real-Time market, without intending to physically consume or produce energy in Real-Time. Convergence bids that clear the Day-Ahead market will either earn, or lose, the difference between the Day-Ahead and Real-Time market prices at a specified node multiplied by the megawatt volume of their bids.

²² The source and the sink are the two ends of a path for which congestion may occur. The CRR represents the difference in the Marginal Cost of Congestion component of the Locational Marginal Prices for the Nodal Prices of the source and sink.

2

3

4

5

CRRs to generate revenues from the CAISO to offset congestion costs incurred within its portfolio. However, expected revenues were not forecast for the 2018 ERRA forecast because SDG&E assumed congestion-free clearing prices to develop forecasts for load requirement costs and generation revenues. A forecast of CRR revenues would have required SDG&E to forecast offsetting market-congestion prices at various P-nodes over the 2018 period. Since there are no forward market prices for congestion, we do not have a strong basis to perform this forecast without introducing complexity and additional uncertainty into the forecast.

Market participants, including SDG&E, are offered the ability to purchase CRRs through an auction process. SDG&E may elect to participate in the annual and monthly auction processes to procure the incremental CRRs. Since the incremental CRRs volumes cannot be forecasted, the incremental CRR costs and revenues also cannot be forecasted.

J.

INTER-SCHEDULING COORDINATOR TRADES ("IST")

In the CAISO market, SDG&E may transact ISTs²³ bilaterally with counterparties to hedge long or short positions. Under an IST purchase, SDG&E pays the counterparty the contracted energy price and in return receives payment from the CAISO based on the market clearing price. Under an IST sale, SDG&E receives payment from the counterparty based on the contracted energy price and in return pays the market clearing price to the CAISO. For IST purchases and sales, the payment to, or revenue from, the counterparty is largely offset by the respective credit from, or payment to, the CAISO. Because ISTs are used as a hedge against unknown market prices, SDG&E does not include a forecast of the net cost or benefit from these transactions.

²³ ISTs are financial bilateral transactions which allow SDG&E to hedge long or short price positions in the market.

IV. SONGS UNIT 1 OFFSITE SPENT FUEL STORAGE COSTS

A. Background

SONGS Unit 1 ceased operation on November 30, 1992. Defueling was completed on March 6, 1993. On July 18, 2005, SDG&E submitted Advice Letter 1709-E, which removed SONGS Unit 1 shutdown operations and maintenance ("O&M") expense from the revenue requirement pursuant to D.04-07-022. Southern California Edison ("SCE") – the majority owner of SONGS, has decommissioned the Unit 1 facility, and as of 2010, most of the Unit 1 structures and equipment have been removed and disposed of, except for areas shared by Units 2 and 3 for which physical decommissioning and dismantlement has only recently begun.

Spent fuel assemblies from SONGS Unit 1 have been stored since 1972 at the General Electric-Hitachi spent fuel storage facility located in Morris, Illinois. There are 270 spent fuel assemblies from SONGS Unit 1 currently in storage at that facility. Because there are no other facilities currently available in the U.S. for the commercial storage of spent nuclear fuel, those 270 assemblies are expected to remain at the Morris facility until they are accepted for ultimate disposal by the U.S. Department of Energy. Pursuant to the terms of the storage contract with General Electric-Hitachi, payments are made monthly by SCE, which in turn bills SDG&E for its 20% ownership share.

B.

2018 Forecast

SDG&E estimates its 2018 SONGS Unit 1 offsite spent fuel storage expense to be \$1.073 <u>\$1.075</u> million (\$1.086 <u>\$1.088</u> million including FF&U)), plus adjustments for escalation, in accordance with the GE-Hitachi spent fuel storage contract.²⁴ The storage contract utilizes the Bureau of Labor Standards' labor non-financial corporations and industrial commodities indices to forecast escalation rates, which are included in SDG&E's billing statement. This estimate is ²⁴ SDG&E may recover these costs through ERRA per Decision 15-12-032.

based on a spent fuel storage cost forecast prepared by SCE's Nuclear Fuel Manager utilizing the contract escalation terms.

1

V. 2018 FORECAST OF GHG COSTS

In this section, I describe the cost forecast for GHG compliance obligations under the California Air Resources Board ("ARB") cap-and-trade program. The cap-and-trade program provides that compliance obligations in the electricity sector are applicable to "first deliverers of electricity."²⁵ Generally, first deliverers of electricity in 2018 are electricity generators inside California that emit more than 25,000 metric tons ("MT") of GHG, and importers of electricity from outside of California. The cap-and-trade program requires that first deliverers of electricity, except publicly-owned utilities and small generators (less than 25,000 MT of emissions), purchase all of the allowances and offsets needed to meet their compliance obligations.²⁶ SDG&E is the first deliverer for its utility-owned generation, for generation it purchases under third-party tolling agreements in California, and for its imports of electricity into California. The cost of allowances and offsets is a direct GHG cost. In Section V.A below, I address direct GHG compliance costs associated with SDG&E utility-owned generation plants, procurement of electricity from third parties under tolling agreements, and electricity imports attributed to SDG&E.

SDG&E customers also face a second type of GHG compliance cost -- indirect costs.
 Indirect costs are costs embedded in market electricity prices, or costs that SDG&E incurs from third parties under contracts. The party selling the power is responsible for the GHG allowance acquisition, but it implicitly charges SDG&E for the cost of acquiring allowances. In Section

²⁵ ARB, Article 5: California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Market-based Compliance Mechanisms, Section 95811(b).

²⁶ ARB, Article 5: California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Market-based Compliance Mechanisms, Section 95851.

V.B below, I address indirect GHG costs. In Section V.C, I describe the calculation of both direct and indirect 2018 GHG costs. Finally, in Section V.D, I discuss the 2018 allowance auction revenues and the allocations of those revenues.

19

1

2

3

A. Direct GHG Emissions

Each first deliverer of electricity within California must surrender to ARB one allowance or offset for each MT of carbon dioxide emissions, or its equivalent (CO₂e). Under ARB's first deliverer approach, SDG&E will have a direct compliance obligation for GHG emissions from burning natural gas at facilities in its portfolio, including carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide. I forecasted SDG&E's expected direct GHG compliance costs using the same production simulation model results that produced the ERRA expenses discussed above. The amount of fuel needed for each natural gas fired plant is provided as an output based on the expected operation of the plant, including fuel associated with starts. The fuel volume is then multiplied by an emissions factor of 0.05307 MT of CO₂e per MMBtu to calculate direct emissions obligations for each plant.²⁷ The forecast of GHG emissions from SDG&E facilities in 2018 is included in Table 4 below.

Similarly, the estimated emissions for tolling agreements (*e.g.*, Otay Mesa) are estimated by multiplying the forecast of MMBtu of natural gas burned from the production simulation by the emission factor of 0.05307 MT of CO₂e per MMBtu. Table 4 below provides the forecast of GHG emissions from generators that are under tolling agreements with SDG&E in 2018.

²⁷ ARB's Mandatory Reporting Regulations requires use of emission factors from federal regulations - 40 Code of Federal Regulations ("C.F.R.") Section 98. For pipeline natural gas, there are three components – CO2, CH4, and NO2. Table C-1 of 40 C.F.R. Section 98 provides an emissions rate for CO2 of 0.05302 MT/MMBtu. Table C-2 of 40 C.F.R. Section 9 gives a default emission factor for CH4 of 0.000001 MT/MMBtu. Using a Global Warming Potential of 21, the resulting CO2e emission rate is 0.00002 MT/MMBtu. The default NO2 emission rate is given as 0.0000001 MT/MMBtu, and the Global Warming Potential is 310, resulting in a CO2e emission rate of 0.00003 MT/MMBtu. Combining the 3 elements results in an overall emission rate of 0.05307 MT/MMBtu. SDG&E's portfolio of GHG emitting resources use only natural gas, and not other fuels.

2

In addition, SDG&E imports out-of-state electricity to a delivery point inside California, and it is thus responsible for the GHG emissions attributed to generation of that electricity. There are three categories of GHG emissions associated with imports. First, there are imports from "specified sources" (*i.e.*, imports where the source of the power is known), which consist of either a specific plant or an asset-controlling supplier. Accordingly, power from SDG&E's Desert Star combined-cycle generation plant in Nevada, for example, is included on the same basis as SDG&E's other utility-owned facilities—multiplying the forecast of MMBtu of natural gas burned from the production simulation by the emission factor of 0.05307 MT of CO₂e per MMBtu.²⁸ Second, imported power from "unspecified sources" is multiplied by an estimated transmission loss factor of 1.02²⁹ to estimate the MWh related to unspecified electricity imports. The quantity is multiplied by the ARB default emission rate, 0.428 metric tons of CO₂e per MWh.

Third, electricity from out-of-state renewable resources that are not imported can be used to offset the emissions of imports under the ARB "Renewable Portfolio Standard ("RPS") adjustment." Specifically, the RPS adjustment is equal to the default emission rate multiplied by the MWh from the eligible renewable resources, as measured at the point of generation.³⁰ Currently, SDG&E's RPS adjustment is in dispute by ARB, so a discount of 50% was applied to reflect the potential for a reduced RPS adjustment. Both the emissions of imported power and the offsetting RPS adjustment are shown in Table 4 below. Monthly emissions for all categories are summarized in Attachment E.

²⁸ SDG&E currently does not have any contracts with asset-controlling suppliers such as the Bonneville Power Administration or Powerex. ARB assigns an emissions factor based on the entire portfolio for these suppliers.

 ²⁹ Transmission losses on SDG&E's system are measured at approximately 2% of load requirement.
 ³⁰ ARB, Article 5: California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Market-based Compliance Mechanisms, Section 95852(b)(4)(C).

В. **Indirect GHG Emissions**

In addition to the direct GHG costs described above, the cap-and-trade program results in GHG compliance costs being embedded in the market price of electricity procured in the wholesale market and from third parties. The cost to purchase electricity from the wholesale market, as well as from suppliers under contracts that include market-based prices, will have these embedded costs of compliance with the cap-and-trade program built into the electricity price. The compliance instrument will be procured by the first deliverer, rather than by SDG&E, as purchaser. SDG&E's expected indirect GHG compliance costs are based on an assumption that all power sold by SDG&E-controlled assets are used by SDG&E customers, up to the level of the forecasted SDG&E load.³¹ If the total CAISO market purchases exceed the MWh from SDG&E-controlled generation, then the assumption is that SDG&E entered into market purchases to cover this difference. To estimate the GHG emissions embedded in these net CAISO market purchases, SDG&E used the ARB's default emissions rate, 0.428 MT per MWh. In addition to market purchases, contracts with some Combined Heat and Power ("CHP") facilities are included as indirect costs. Specific CHP contracts require payments based on a market electricity price (with embedded GHG costs), or a fixed heat rate with the GHG cost based on the contract heat rate; or in other cases, a reimbursement of GHG expenditures incurred by the CHP facility associated with sales to SDG&E. These contracts represent a second source

of indirect GHG costs in that the CHP owner acquires GHG compliance instruments.

Contractual GHG costs do not provide a good estimate of actual GHG costs. Determining actual GHG costs however, is difficult because it requires knowledge of confidential

³¹ In fact, however, the generation is bid into the CAISO market and dispatched by CAISO to meet statewide needs. The simplifying assumption is used to calculate net CAISO market purchases - all CAISO purchases less all resources that are forecasted to successfully bid into the CAISO market by SDG&E, including imports. However, SDG&E does make an adjustment for expected sales of renewable energy beyond regulatory requirements.

counterparty data and the choice of method used to split the GHG emissions between electricity
production and useful thermal energy. For simplicity, SDG&E estimates GHG costs associated
with CHP on the assumption that the CHP units, on average, are as efficient as unspecified
power, assigning a 0.428 MT per MWh emissions rate to all purchases of power from CHP
facilities. The GHG emissions from indirect sources are summarized on an annual basis in Table
4 and on a monthly basis in Appendix E.

Table 4: 2018 GHG Tot	al Emissions Fo	recast
Resource	Fuel (000	GHG (000
	MMBtu)	Metric Tons)
Palomar- UOG		
Otay Mesa- PPA		
Desert Star- Out of State		
Goal Line- PPA		
Orange Grove-PPA		
Escondido Energy Center-PPA		
Pio Pico- PPA		
Combined Heat & Power		
Carlsbad Energy Center- PPA		
Miramar- UOG		
Yuma- PPA Out of State		
Fuel-Based		
	Generatio	on (GWh)
Imports		
RPS Adjustment		
Total Direct Emissions		
Resource	Generatio	on (GWh)
Net Market Purchases		- (-)
CHP		
Total Indirect Emissions		
Total Forecasted Emissions		3,769 4,19
		. ,
Conversions		
Natural Gas	0.0531	MTons/MMBt
Market Purchases	0.428	MTons/MWh
Imports	0.428	MTons/MWh

7

C. 2018 GHG Costs

I calculated a proxy for the 2018 GHG emissions price as \$14.06_\$15.63/MT. This figure was derived using a recent (March_October 1, 2017) assessment of 2018 GHG market prices based on the average of forward prices on the Intercontinental Exchange ("ICE") over the previous 22-day period, consistent with the period used for forecasting natural gas and electricity prices associated with the forecast of emissions in Table 4. The GHG cost forecast multiplies the expected emissions, both direct and indirect, by the forecasted proxy GHG price resulting in forecasted GHG costs for 2018 of \$55.3_\$51.9 million for ERRA and \$3.6_\$7.0 million for Local Generation.

D.

2018 Allowance Auction Revenues

The ARB allocates cap-and-trade allowances to SDG&E for 2018. SDG&E is required to place all of these allowances for sale in ARB's 2018 quarterly auctions. I developed the forecast of allowance revenues by multiplying the total number of allowances allocated to SDG&E for consignment by a forecast price for the allowances.³²

Under ARB's regulations, the allowances available for allocation to electrical distribution utilities each budget year is currently 97.7 million MT multiplied by the cap adjustment factor (0.888 (for 2018)), and SDG&E's share of electric sector allowances (7.2482% (for 2018)).³³ The total allowances that will be allocated to SDG&E for 2018 is expected to be 6,288,321 MT. The allowance price is the same proxy price as used in the calculation of GHG costs, \$14.06 \$15.63/MT. The allowance auction revenue forecast is the allowances allocated times the allowance price or \$88.4 \$98.3 million.

³² I assumed all allowances are sold in the auction process, which is consistent with the assumption that the market-clearing price is above the price floor.

³³ ARB, Cap-and-Trade Regulation, Section 95891 at Tables 9-2 and 9-3.

1	SDG&E currently has no approved incremental energy efficiency and clean energy
2	investments in 2018, so the available funds for such projects are equal to 15 percent of the
3	forecasted 2018 allowance auction revenue amount or \$13.3 \$14.7 million.
4	On October 20, 2017, an ALJ issued a ruling directing the utilities to file an updated
5	calculation of the amount attributable to SB92 regarding AB 693 establishes the Multifamily
6	Affordable Housing Solar Roofs Program ("Multifamily Program"). established by AB 693.
7	to This program provides financial incentives for installation of solar energy systems on
8	multifamily affordable housing properties, as specified in the statute. An ALJ ruling in the
9	Development of a Successor to Net Energy Metering proceeding ordered that funding for the
10	Multifamily Program be included in SDG&E's ERRA forecast application. These amounts have
11	not been explicitly approved in another proceeding, but have been ordered to be put on line 14 of
12	Appendix D-1 by this ruling, as the most reasonable line of the template to account for the
13	funding to be used for this new statutory program. For 2018, the funding amount is \$1.3 \$10.3
14	million which is <u>10% 10.3%</u> of the <u>annually authorized allocation of one hundred million dollars</u>
15	(\$100,000,000) or 66.67 percent of available funds, whichever is less, from the revenues
16	described in subdivision (c) of Section 748.5. forecasted 2018 available funds for clean energy
17	investments \$13.3 million.
18	VI. CONCLUSION

19 In conclusion, SDG&E requests that the Commission approve the forecasts I provide for use in developing the ERRA, TCBA, LG and SONGS Unit 1 Offsite Spent Fuel Storage Cost 20 21 revenue requirements. SDG&E also requests that the Commission authorize recovery of the 22 forecasted 2018 GHG costs, which are also used in determining the revenue requirement, and the

volumetric revenue return for small business and residential customers. This concludes my direct 2 testimony.

VII. **QUALIFICATIONS**

My name is Jennifer Montanez. My business address is 8330 Century Park Court, San Diego, California, 92123. I received a B.S. in Business Administration, with an emphasis in Accounting, from California State University San Marcos.

I have been employed as a Senior Resource Planner in the Resource Planning group of SDG&E since 2016. Prior to that, I was employed in positions of increasing responsibility in the following SDG&E departments: Electric & Fuel Procurement and Energy Risk Management. I also served as an accountant for various Sempra Energy business units for five years. I have been 11 employed with Sempra/SDG&E for 10 years.

1

Attachment A

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO P.U.C. CODE 583, 454.5(g), GO 66-C and D.06-06-066 as needed														
								1						
ATTAC	HMENT A - SDG&E 2018 ERRA and LG EXPENSES													
										-				
1	EXPENSES (\$)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	2018
2	ISO Load Charges (Energy & A/S Costs)													H
4	ISO Supply Revenues Contract Costs (non-CTC)													H
5	Contract Costs (CTC up to mkt)													
6	Generation Fuel													
7	CAISO Misc Costs													
8	Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions													H
9	Contract Costs - CHP Costs (AB1613)													
10	Customer Incentives - SPP, DR,20/20													
11	Rewards/Penalties - Palomar Energy Ctr													
12	WREGIS Costs													
13	ISO CRRs Costs													
14	ISO Convergence Bidding Costs													
15	Rebalancing Costs (OMEC)													
16	Purchased Tradable Renewable Energy Credits (TRECs)													1
17	Sales Tradable Renewable Energy Credits (TRECs)													П
18	Net Surplus Compensation Costs (AB920)													
19	Authorized Disallowances													
20	Greenhouse Gas & Carrying Costs													
21	Total Balancing Account Expenses													\$ 1,354,715,188
	Line 4 Contract Costs (non-CTC)													
	Otay Mesa Energy Center PPA payment													
	Otay Mesa Energy Center Energy Costs													
	Lake Hodges													
	El Cajon Energy Center Peaker Costs													
	Orange Grove Peaker Costs													
	InterGen Energy Solutions Capacity Costs													
	Cogentrix Capacity Costs													
	Shell Energy Capacity Costs													
	Other RA Capacity Costs (RA RFO, DRAM)													
	Morgan Stanley Index Costs													
	BP Energy Costs	¢ 44.405.004	¢ 45 744 005	¢ 00.000.500	¢ 04.050.004	¢ 74 400 000	C0 070 004	¢ 75 445 077	¢ 70.400.444	¢ 00.070.007	CO 404 777	¢ 40.044.400		A 745 700 404
		\$ 41,125,961	\$ 45,714,805	\$ 62,392,598	\$ 64,052,081	\$ 71,133,380	\$ 08,379,021	\$ 75,445,377	\$ 73,120,414	\$ 63,373,297	\$ 60,101,777	\$ 48,244,463	3 3 42,042,325	\$ 715,732,164
	Line 4 Total													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel													
	Palomar Desert Star													
	Miramar													
	Miramar 2													
	Cuyamaca													
	Line 6 Total													
	Lille 6 Total													
	In Lieu Gas Fees													
	Palomar													
	Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions													
	Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs													
	Kern River Transportation Service Agreement													
	Broker Fees													
	Line 8 Total													
	2													
	Market Purchases and Sales												1	1
	Total Market Costs									<u> </u>			· · · · · ·	
	Total Sales Revenue													
	Net Costs (Revenues)													
	LG Expenses												-	d
	Carlsbad Energy Center cost							_					_	
	El Cajon Energy Storage cost													
	Escondido Energy Center cost													
	Escondido Energy Storage cost													
	Pio Pico cost													
	LG CHP cost													
	Local Generation Direct GHG cost													
	Local Generation Indirect GHG cost													
	Local Generation Revenue													
	Total LG Expense													
	Total Lo Expense													

			PRIVILEGE	D AND CONFIDE	NTIAL PURSUANT	T TO P.U.C. COE	DE 583, 454.5(g),	GO 66-C and D.06	6-06-066 as neede	d				
ATTAC	HMENT A - SDG&E 2018 ERRA and LG EXPENSES													
1	EXPENSES (\$)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	2018
2	ISO Load Charges (Energy & A/S Costs)			,	, , ,	2		1			1		, t	
3	ISO Supply Revenues													
4	Contract Costs (non-CTC)													
5	Contract Costs (CTC up to mkt) Generation Fuel													
7	CAISO Misc Costs													
8	Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions													
9	Contract Costs - CHP Costs (AB1613)													
10	Customer Incentives - SPP, DR,20/20													
11														
12	WREGIS Costs													
13	ISO CRRs Costs													
14	ISO Convergence Bidding Costs Rebalancing Costs (OMEC)													
16	Purchased Tradable Renewable Energy Credits (TRECs)													
17	Sales Tradable Renewable Energy Credits (TRECs)													
18	Net Surplus Compensation Costs (AB920)													
19	Authorized Disallowances													
	Greenhouse Gas & Carrying Costs													
21	Total Balancing Account Expenses													\$ 1,281,975,711
	Line 4 Contract Costs (non-CTC)													
	Otay Mesa Energy Center PPA payment													
	Otay Mesa Energy Center Energy Costs													
	Lake Hodges													
	El Cajon Energy Center Peaker Costs Orange Grove Peaker Costs													
	NRG Capacity Costs													
	Cabrillo 2 Capacity Costs													
	Other RA Capacity Costs (RA RFO, DRAM)													
	Morgan Stanley Index Costs													
	BP Energy Costs													
			\$ 45,566,065	\$ 59,880,848	\$ 61,780,041	\$ 68,551,836	\$ 64,833,361	\$ 74,011,097	\$ 70,956,704	\$ 62,191,427	\$ 58,376,217	\$ 47,283,983	\$ 41,263,315	\$ 694,319,044
	Line 4 Total													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel													
	Palomar													
	Desert Star													
	Miramar													
	Miramar 2													
	Cuyamaca													
	Line 6 Total													
	In Lieu Gas Fees													
	Palomar													
	Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions													
	Hedging Costs Kern River Transportation Service Agreement													
	Broker Fees													
	Line 8 Total													
	2													
	Market Purchases and Sales						1					1		
	Total Sales Revenue								·					
	Net Short													
	LG Expenses													
	Carlsbad Energy Center cost													
	El Cajon Energy Storage cost													
	Escondido Energy Center cost													
	Escondido Energy Storage cost													
	Pio Pico cost													
	Combined Heat & Power LG CHP cost													
	LG CHP cost Local Generation Direct GHG cost													
	Local Generation Indirect GHG cost													
	Local Generation Revenue													
	Total LG Expense													\$ 167,391,066

Attachment B

		PRIVILE	GED AND CONFIL	DENTIAL PURSU	ANT TO P.U.C. C	ODE 583, 454.5(g), GO 66-C and D	.06-06-066 as need	led				
ATTACHMENT B - SDG&E 2018 GENERATION PORTFOL	IO DELIVERY VOL	UMES (GWh)											
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	2018
CTC QF								, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					-
Non-CTC QF													
TOTAL QF													
Renewable - Bio Gas	13.3	12.8	13.2	12.8	13.8	12.9	15.5	15.8	15.4	12.9	13.9	13.4	165
Renewable - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7	0.5	0.7	-	-	-	
Renewable - Solar	214.8	244.3	328.3	348.9	377.7	367.5	352.2	352.4	309.0	284.2	244.7	196.1	3,62
Renewable - Wind	151.9	149.0	237.2	254.4	297.4	271.8	228.8	185.5	155.8	157.0	190.8	184.7	2,464
Renewable - Wind REC	110.3	155.1	134.5	93.6	78.4	91.9	73.7	63.6	100.9	84.5	119.4	130.0	1,236
Renewable - RPS Sales	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
TOTAL NON-QF RENEWABLE	490.2	561.2	713.3	709.7	767.2	744.2	670.9	617.8	581.8	538.6	568.8	524.1	7,487
Miramar													
Miramar 2													
Cuyamaca	-												
Palomar	-												
Otay Mesa Energy Center	-												
Desert Star	-												
Kelco	-												
Lake Hodges													
BP													
Morgan Stanley													
El Cajon Energy Center													
Orange Grove													
Escondido Energy Center													
Pio Pico													
Carlsbad Energy Center													
El Cajon Energy Storage													
Escondido Energy Storage													
RPS Sales Residual Generation	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL GENERATION													
Market Purchases													
TOTAL PORTFOLIO DELIVERIES													
Surplus Energy Sold													
Energy Storage Charging Load													
LOAD REQUIREMENT (GWh)													
Note 1: Total Portfolio Deliveries do not include Wind REC													
lote 2: Load Requirement is SDG&E bundled load includin	g transmission loss	es											

		PRIVILE	GED AND CONFI	DENTIAL PURSU	ANT TO P.U.C. C	ODE 583, 454.5(g), GO 66-C and D	.06-06-066 as nee	ded				
ATTACHMENT B - SDG&E 2018 GENERATION PORTFOL	IO DELIVERT VO	LUWES (GWN)											
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	2018
CTC QF								·					
Non-CTC QF													
TOTAL QF													
Renewable - Bio Gas	13.3	12.8	13.2	12.8	13.8	12.9	15.5	15.8	15.4	12.9	13.9	13.4	165.5
Renewable - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7	0.5	0.7	-	-	-	1.9
Renewable - Solar	216.0	256.8	328.2	349.8	379.3	362.9	359.0	351.5	309.9	282.5	251.0	204.6	3,651.4
Renewable - Wind	134.8	133.5	211.8	230.7	269.6	240.8	204.7	165.0	142.7	142.2	174.4	160.9	2,211.1
Renewable - Wind REC	110.3	155.1	134.5	93.6	78.4	91.9	73.7	63.6	100.9	84.5	119.4	130.0	1,236.0
Renewable - RPS Sales	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
TOTAL NON-QF RENEWABLE	474.4	558.2	687.7	686.9	741.0	708.5	653.6	596.4	569.5	522.1	558.6	508.8	7,265.8
Miramar													
Miramar 2													
Cuyamaca													
Palomar													
Otay Mesa Energy Center													
Desert Star													
Kelco													
Lake Hodges													
BP													
Morgan Stanley													
El Cajon Energy Center													
Orange Grove													
Escondido Energy Center													
Pio Pico													
Carlsbad Energy Center													
Combined Heat & Power/Qualifying Facilities													
El Cajon Energy Storage													
Escondido Energy Storage													
RPS Sales Residual Generation	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
TOTAL GENERATION													
Market Purchases													
TOTAL PORTFOLIO DELIVERIES													
Surplus Energy Sold													
LOAD REQUIREMENT (GWh)													
Note 1: Total Portfolio Deliveries do not include Wind REC													
Note 2: Load Requirement is SDG&E bundled load including	g transmission los	ses											

Attachment C

				1 1 0			U						
ATTACHMENT C - SDG&E 2018 RENEWABLE RESOURC	E DETAIL												
Power Purchase Deliveries (GWh)	la a	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	2018
BIO GAS	Jan	Feb	war	Apr	мау	Jun	Jui	Aug	Sep	UCI	NOV	Dec	2016
MM Prima Deshecha Energy LLC	5.2	4.6	5.3	4.6	5.5	4.7	5.7	6.0	5.8	4.8	5.4	5.0	62.7
MM San Diego LLC- Miramar Landfill	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.3	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.3	2.5	2.3	29.6
BIOGAS_FIT	5.8	5.9	5.7	5.8	6.0	5.9	6.8	6.9	6.7	5.8	5.9	6.2	73.2
Subtotal	13.3	12.8	13.2	12.8	13.8	12.9	15.5	15.8	15.4	12.9	13.9	13.4	165.5
OTHER							0.7	A.5.					
SMALL_HYDRO_RAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7 0.7	0.5 0.5	0.7		-	-	1.9 1.9
Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7	0.5	0.7	-	-		1.9
SOLAR	-												
NRG Borrego Solar	3.8	4.7	6.6	7.2	7.9	8.1	7.8	7.3	6.3	5.4	4.5	3.5	73.0
Sol Orchard	1.7	2.1	2.9	3.3	3.2	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.1	2.7	2.2	1.6	34.1
Solar Energy Project	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8	11.4
SOLAR PV_FIT	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.0	1.2	1.0	0.9	13.9
Arlington Valley Solar	20.6	23.8	33.3	36.6	40.7	40.6	38.0	36.0	31.0	27.9	23.3	18.6	370.5
Calipatria	3.0	3.2	5.3	5.3	6.0	5.8	5.2	5.5	5.2	4.1	3.6	2.6	54.8
Campo Verde	24.8	26.5	33.4	34.0	35.6	33.7	32.1	33.6	30.7	30.3	27.4	22.7	364.8
Catalina Solar	16.6	19.3	24.5	25.8	27.4	27.1	27.1	26.7	25.1	22.2	20.1	16.0	278.1
Centinela Solar1	21.5	25.3	33.3	36.7	40.3	40.1	38.7	37.6	32.1	22.2	20.1	19.4	377.4
Centinela Solar1	7.7	25.3 9.1	12.0	13.2	14.5	14.4	13.9	13.5	11.5	10.4	23.7	7.0	135.9
	0.9	1.2	12.0	13.2	14.5	14.4	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.2	0.9	16.1
Desert Green	29.1	34.8	52.3	55.7	61.6	57.8	55.3	57.0	46.7	42.5	35.3	26.2	554.4
Imperial Valley Solar I	3.0				6.0	57.8	55.3	57.0		42.5	35.3		54.8
Maricopa West Solar TallBear Seville	3.4	3.2 4.0	5.3 5.3	5.3 5.9	6.4	5.8	6.2	6.0	5.2	4.1	3.8	2.6 3.1	54.8 60.4
	-				-	-			-				
SolarGen 2	25.8	30.3	39.9 5.0	44.1 5.4	48.3	48.1	46.5 5.4	45.1	38.5	34.6 4.2	28.5	23.3 2.7	452.8
Cascade SunEdison	2.9	3.8			6.1	6.1		5.5	4.9		3.5		55.4
Csolar IV South	21.4	22.7	29.3	29.8	31.4	29.3	28.5	29.2	27.0	26.6	24.0	19.9	318.9
Csolar IV West	26.8	28.6	36.1	36.7	38.4	36.4	34.6	36.3	33.1	32.7	29.6	24.5	393.6
Subtotal	214.8	244.3	328.3	348.9	377.7	367.5	352.2	352.4	309.0	284.2	244.7	196.1	3,620.0
WIND	_												
Glacier Wind (TREC)	49.4	80.9	63.3	43.0	37.5	44.7	36.2	31.0	48.3	35.4	48.1	61.2	578.8
Rim Rock (TREC)	60.8	74.2	71.3	50.6	40.9	44.7	30.2	31.0	52.6	49.1	48.1	68.8	657.2
	13.9	12.3	15.1	14.0	15.3	13.2	9.8	8.1	7.8	49.1	16.8	15.8	153.5
Kumeyaay	2.1	2.2	2.6	2.7	3.1	3.2	9.8	2.3	2.0	1.8	2.1	2.5	29.2
Coram Energy Energia Sierra Juarez	41.6	2.2	50.5	43.2	48.1	37.0	37.1	2.3	24.7	25.2	45.4	37.9	438.2
	3.6	25.0 5.0	7.5	43.2	10.9	10.1	9.8	8.6	6.6	6.1	45.4 6.4	4.6	438.2
Iberdrola Renewables	32.4	35.9	52.4	55.5	64.6	69.6	9.8 51.0	46.8	36.0	36.8	37.8	39.9	558.7
Manzana Wind	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.5		0.3	0.4	0.5		
Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners	15.3	13.9	19.7	19.5	20.9	22.4	19.1	0.5	14.0	14.3	16.0	0.5	6.2 209.8
				71.6		71.3			41.2	14.3 36.0	40.4		
Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind	22.5 18.9	30.3 21.8	53.6 32.1	35.1	88.9 40.9	40.4	67.3 27.3	48.1 26.5	20.4	36.0	23.3	38.6 26.7	609.8 335.4
	0.2	21.8	0.7	0.8		0.9	0.9	26.5	0.4	0.5	23.3	0.3	7.4
San Gorgonio	1.0	1.8	2.5	2.9	1.0 3.0	2.9	3.4	3.3	2.4	2.2		1.4	28.5
WTE/FPL Acquisition							3.4 302.5				1.7		
Subtotal	262.1	304.1	371.7	348.0	375.8	363.8	302.5	249.1	256.7	241.5	310.3	314.7	3,700.3
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
IRPS SALES	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RPS SALES Subtotal	-	-	-	-									5
			-	-	-		1						
			-	-									
Subtotal Total Power Purchase Costs (\$000) BIO GAS		-	- \$ 1,110		- \$ 1,157		\$ 1,325		\$ 1,310		\$ 1,167	\$ 1,143	\$ 14,063
Subtotal Total Power Purchase Costs (\$000) BIO GAS OTHER	- \$ 1,119 \$ -	- \$ 1,100 \$ -	\$ 1,110 \$ -	\$ 1,092 \$ -	\$ 1,157 \$ -	\$ 1,106 \$ -	\$ 53	\$ 39	\$ 58	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150
Subtotal Total Power Purchase Costs (\$000) BIO GAS OTHER SOLAR	- \$ 1,119 \$ - \$ 22,768	- \$ 1,100 \$ - \$ 26,004	\$ 1,110 \$ - \$ 35,049	\$ 1,092 \$ - \$ 36,344	\$ 1,157 \$ - \$ 39,783	\$ 1,106 \$ - \$ 39,048	\$53 \$48,949	\$ 39 \$ 51,471	\$ 58 \$ 43,230	\$ - \$ 40,655	\$- \$25,768	\$ - \$ 20,350	\$ 150 \$ 429,419
Subtotal Total Power Purchase Costs (\$000) BIO GAS OTHER SOLAR WIND	- \$ 1,119 \$ - \$ 22,768 \$ 13,294	- \$ 1,100 \$ - \$ 26,004 \$ 13,278	\$ 1,110 \$ - \$ 35,049 \$ 21,480	\$ 1,092 \$ - \$ 36,344 \$ 23,297	\$ 1,157 \$ - \$ 39,783 \$ 27,437	\$ 1,106 \$ - \$ 39,048 \$ 24,991	\$ 53 \$ 48,949 \$ 22,541	\$ 39 \$ 51,471 \$ 18,054	\$ 58 \$ 43,230 \$ 15,230	\$- \$40,655 \$15,289	\$ - \$ 25,768 \$ 16,939	\$ - \$ 20,350 \$ 16,563	\$ 150 \$ 429,419 \$ 228,393
Subtotal Total Power Purchase Costs (\$000) BIO GAS OTHER SOLAR	\$ 1,119 \$ - \$ 22,768 \$ 13,294 \$ 3,944	- \$ 1,100 \$ - \$ 26,004 \$ 13,278	\$ 1,110 \$ - \$ 35,049 \$ 21,480 \$ 4,754	\$ 1,092 \$ - \$ 36,344 \$ 23,297 \$ 3,318	\$ 1,157 \$ - \$ 39,783 \$ 27,437	\$ 1,106 \$ - \$ 39,048	\$ 53 \$ 48,949 \$ 22,541 \$ 2,578	\$ 39 \$ 51,471 \$ 18,054	\$ 58 \$ 43,230	\$- \$40,655 \$15,289	\$ - \$ 25,768 \$ 16,939	\$ - \$ 20,350 \$ 16,563	\$ 150 \$ 429,419

ATTACHMENT C - SDG&E 2018 RENEWABLE RESOURCE													
Power Purchase Deliveries (GWh)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	2018
BIO GAS	Jali	ren	IVIAI	Арі	iviay	Juli	Jui	Aug	Seh	001	NOV	Dec	2018
MM Prima Deshecha Energy LLC	5.2	4.6	5.3	4.6	5.5	4.7	5.7	6.0	5.8	4.8	5.4	5.0	62.7
MM San Diego LLC- Miramar Landfill	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.3	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.3	2.5	2.3	29.6
BIOGAS_FIT	5.8	5.9	5.7	5.8	6.0	5.9	6.8	6.9	6.7	5.8	5.9	6.2	73.2
Subtotal	13.3	12.8	13.2	12.8	13.8	12.9	15.5	15.8	15.4	12.9	13.9	13.4	165.5
OTHER													
SMALL_HYDRO_RAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7	0.5	0.7	-	-	-	1.9
Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7	0.5	0.7	-	-	-	1.9
SOLAR													
NRG Borrego Solar	3.9	4.8	6.5	7.3	8.1	8.0	8.0	7.4	6.4	5.5	4.4	3.7	73.7
Sol Orchard	1.8	2.3	2.9	3.3	3.3	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.2	2.7	2.2	1.6	35.1
Solar Energy Project	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8	11.4
SOLAR PV FIT	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.2	1.1	0.9	14.2
Arlington Valley Solar	21.3	24.9	33.3	36.6	40.8	40.4	38.4	35.9	31.1	27.2	23.7	19.6	373.0
													54.8
Calipatria	3.0 25.1	3.2 27.5	5.3 33.4	5.3	6.0	5.8	5.2	5.5 33.4	5.2	4.1	3.6	2.6 24.3	
Campo Verde				34.0	36.1	33.7	33.7		31.2	30.2	28.2		370.9
Catalina_Solar	17.0	20.1	24.5	26.1	27.5	27.2	27.4	27.2	25.3	22.8	20.8	17.2	283.1
Centinela Solar1	21.2	27.3	33.3	36.6	40.2	39.2	39.5	37.3	31.7	28.4	24.3	19.9	378.8
Centinela Solar2	7.6	9.8	12.0	13.2	14.5	14.1	14.2	13.4	11.4	10.2	8.7	7.2	136.4
Desert Green	1.0	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.0	16.5
Imperial Valley Solar I	28.8	36.1	52.5	56.5	61.4	55.9	54.8	56.4	47.1	42.1	36.8	26.4	554.8
Maricopa West Solar	3.0	3.2	5.3	5.3	6.0	5.8	5.2	5.5	5.2	4.1	3.6	2.6	54.8
TallBear Seville	3.4	4.4	5.3	5.9	6.4	6.3	6.3	6.0	5.1	4.5	3.9	3.2	60.6
SolarGen 2	25.5	32.7	39.9	44.0	48.2	47.0	47.4	44.8	38.0	34.1	29.1	23.9	454.6
Cascade SunEdison	3.0	3.9	5.0	5.4	6.1	6.0	5.3	5.4	4.9	4.2	3.6	2.7	55.4
Csolar IV South	21.6	23.8	29.3	29.8	31.8	29.2	29.2	29.3	27.0	26.6	24.4	21.1	323.1
Csolar IV West	27.1	29.7	36.0	36.7	38.9	36.4	36.4	36.0	33.7	32.6	30.5	26.2	400.3
Subtotal	216.0	256.8	328.2	349.8	379.3	362.9	359.0	351.5	309.9	282.5	251.0	204.6	3,651.4
Subidai	210.0	250.0	320.2	343.0	3/9.3	302.9	359.0	351.5	309.9	202.5	251.0	204.6	3,031.4
WIND													
Glacier Wind (TREC)	49.4	80.9	63.3	43.0	37.5	44.7	36.2	31.0	48.3	35.4	48.1	61.2	578.8
Rim Rock (TREC)	60.8	74.2	71.3	50.6	40.9	47.2	37.5	32.6	52.6	49.1	71.4	68.8	657.2
Kumeyaay	13.9					41.2							
-	15.5	12.3	15.1	14.0	15.3	13.2	9.8	8.0	7.8	11.6	16.8	15.9	153.5
Coram Energy	2.1	12.3 2.2	15.1 2.6	14.0 2.7	15.3 3.1		9.8 2.7	8.0 2.3	7.8	11.6 1.8	16.8 2.1	15.9 2.5	153.5 29.2
Coram Energy Energia Sierra Juarez						13.2							
Energia Sierra Juarez	2.1 41.6	2.2 25.0	2.6 50.5	2.7 43.2	3.1 48.1	13.2 3.2 37.0	2.7 37.1	2.3 22.6	2.0 24.7	1.8 25.2	2.1 45.4	2.5 37.8	29.2 438.2
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables	2.1 41.6 3.9	2.2 25.0 5.4	2.6 50.5 8.2	2.7 43.2 9.4	3.1 48.1 11.9	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1	2.7 37.1 10.6	2.3 22.6 9.4	2.0 24.7 7.3	1.8 25.2 6.7	2.1 45.4 7.0	2.5 37.8 5.0	29.2 438.2 95.7
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 16.4	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6 53.6	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5 71.4	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 71.3	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 48.2	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0 41.0	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 16.4 38.8	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3 18.9	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3 21.8	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6 53.6 32.2	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5 71.4 35.1	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0 40.9	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 71.3 40.5	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3 27.2	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 48.2 26.4	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0 41.0 20.4	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1 22.0	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4 23.3	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 16.4 38.8 26.7	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7 335.2
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind San Gorgonio	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3 18.9 1.0	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3 21.8 1.5	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6 53.6 32.2 3.0	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5 71.4 35.1 4.0	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0 40.9 4.5	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 71.3 40.5 4.5	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3 27.2 3.5	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 48.2 26.4 3.2	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0 41.0 20.4 2.8	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1 22.0 2.5	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4 23.3 1.4	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 16.4 38.8 26.7 0.9	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7 335.2 32.9
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind San Gorgonio WTE/FPL Acquisition	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3 18.9 1.0 1.0	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3 21.8 1.5 1.8	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6 53.6 32.2 3.0 2.5	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5 71.4 35.1 4.0 2.8	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0 40.9 4.5 3.0	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 77.3 40.5 4.5 2.9	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3 27.2 3.5 3.4	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 48.2 26.4 3.2 3.3	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0 41.0 20.4 2.8 2.4	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1 22.0 2.5 2.2	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4 23.3 1.4 1.7	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 16.4 38.8 26.7 0.9 1.4	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7 335.2 32.9 28.5
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind San Gorgonio	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3 18.9 1.0	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3 21.8 1.5	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6 53.6 32.2 3.0	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5 71.4 35.1 4.0	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0 40.9 4.5	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 71.3 40.5 4.5	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3 27.2 3.5	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 48.2 26.4 3.2	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0 41.0 20.4 2.8	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1 22.0 2.5	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4 23.3 1.4	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 16.4 38.8 26.7 0.9	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7 335.2 32.9
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind San Gorgonio WTE/FPL Acquisition	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3 18.9 1.0 1.0	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3 21.8 1.5 1.8	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6 53.6 32.2 3.0 2.5	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5 71.4 35.1 4.0 2.8	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0 40.9 4.5 3.0	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 77.3 40.5 4.5 2.9	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3 27.2 3.5 3.4	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 48.2 26.4 3.2 3.3	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0 41.0 20.4 2.8 2.4	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1 22.0 2.5 2.2	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4 23.3 1.4 1.7	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 16.4 38.8 26.7 0.9 1.4	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7 335.2 32.9 28.5
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind San Gorgonio WTE/FPL Acquisition	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3 18.9 1.0 1.0	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3 21.8 1.5 1.8	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6 53.6 32.2 3.0 2.5	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5 71.4 35.1 4.0 2.8	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0 40.9 4.5 3.0	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 77.3 40.5 4.5 2.9	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3 27.2 3.5 3.4	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 48.2 26.4 3.2 3.3	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0 41.0 20.4 2.8 2.4	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1 22.0 2.5 2.2	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4 23.3 1.4 1.7	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 16.4 38.8 26.7 0.9 1.4	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7 335.2 32.9 28.5
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind San Gorgonio WTE/FPL Acquisition Subtotal	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3 18.9 1.0 1.0 245.1	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3 21.8 1.5 1.8 288.6	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 19.6 53.6 32.2 3.0 2.5 346.3	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5 71.4 35.1 4.0 2.8 324.3	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0 40.9 4.5 3.0 348.0	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 71.3 40.5 4.5 2.9 332.7	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3 27.2 3.5 3.4 278.4	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 48.2 26.4 3.2 3.3 228.6	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0 41.0 20.4 2.8 2.4 243.5	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1 22.0 2.5 2.2 226.7	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4 23.3 1.4 1.7 293.8	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 16.4 38.8 26.7 0.9 1.4 290.9	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7 335.2 32.9 28.5 3,447.0
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind San Gorgonio WTE/FPL Acquisition Subtotal RPS SALES	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3 18.9 1.0 1.0 245.1	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3 21.8 1.5 1.8 288.6 -	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6 53.6 32.2 3.0 2.5 346.3	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5 71.4 35.1 4.0 2.8 324.3	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0 40.9 4.5 3.0 348.0	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 71.3 40.5 4.5 2.9 332.7	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3 27.2 3.5 3.4 278.4	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 48.2 26.4 3.2 3.3 228.6	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0 41.0 20.4 2.8 2.4 243.5	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1 22.0 2.5 2.2 226.7	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4 23.3 1.4 1.7 293.8	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 116.4 38.8 26.7 0.9 1.4 290.9	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7 335.2 32.9 28.5 3,447.0
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind San Gorgonio WTE/FPL Acquisition Subtotal RPS SALES Subtotal	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3 18.9 1.0 1.0 245.1	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3 21.8 1.5 1.8 288.6 - -	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6 53.6 32.2 3.0 2.5 346.3	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5 71.4 35.1 4.0 2.8 324.3	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0 40.9 4.5 3.0 348.0	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 71.3 40.5 4.5 2.9 332.7	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3 27.2 3.5 3.4 278.4	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 48.2 26.4 3.2 3.3 228.6	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0 41.0 20.4 2.8 2.4 243.5	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1 22.0 2.5 2.2 226.7	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4 23.3 1.4 1.7 293.8	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 116.4 38.8 26.7 0.9 1.4 290.9	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7 335.2 32.9 28.5 3,447.0
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind San Gorgonio WTE/FPL Acquisition Subtotal RPS SALES Subtotal Total Power Purchase Costs (\$000)	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3 18.9 1.0 1.0 245.1 - -	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3 21.8 1.5 1.8 288.6 - -	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6 53.6 32.2 3.0 2.5 346.3 - -	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5 71.4 35.1 4.0 2.8 324.3	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0 40.9 4.5 3.0 348.0 -	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 71.3 40.5 4.5 2.9 332.7 - - - - 5 1.106	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3 27.2 3.5 3.4 278.4 -	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 46.2 26.4 3.3 228.6 -	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0 41.0 20.4 2.8 2.4 243.5	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1 22.0 2.5 2.2 226.7	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4 23.3 1.4 1.7 293.8	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 116.4 38.8 26.7 0.9 1.4 290.9	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7 335.2 32.9 28.5 3,447.0
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind San Gorgonio WTE/FPL Acquisition Subtotal RPS SALES Subtotal Total Power Purchase Costs (\$000) BIO GAS OTHER SOLAR	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3 18.9 1.0 1.0 245.1 - - - - \$ 1,119 \$ - \$ 22,918	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3 21.8 1.5 1.8 288.6 - - - \$ 1,100 \$ - \$ 27,377	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6 53.6 32.2 3.0 2.5 346.3 - - - \$ 1,110 \$ - \$ 5,300	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5 71.4 35.1 4.0 2.8 324.3 - - - \$ 1,092 \$ - \$ 36,436	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0 40.9 4.5 3.0 348.0 - - - - - \$ 1,157 \$ \$ \$	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 71.3 40.5 4.5 2.9 332.7 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3 27.2 3.5 3.4 278.4 - - - \$ 1.325 \$ \$ 49,905 \$ 49,905 \$	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 46.2 26.4 3.3 228.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0 41.0 20.4 2.8 2.4 243.5 - - - \$ 1,310 \$ 58 \$ 43,379	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1 22.0 2.5 2.2 226.7 - - - \$ 1,096 \$ - \$ 40,413	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4 23.3 1.4 23.3 1.4 7.7 293.8 - - - - - - \$ 1,167 \$ - \$ 26,419	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 16.4 38.8 26.7 0.9 1.4 290.9 - - - \$ 1,143 \$ - \$ 21,266	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7 335.2 32.9 28.5 3,447.0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind San Gorgonio WTE/FPL Acquisition Subtotal RPS SALES Subtotal Total Power Purchase Costs (\$000) BIO GAS OTHER SOLAR WIND	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3 18.9 1.0 1.0 245.1 - - - \$ 1,119 \$ - \$ 22,918 \$ 11,643	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3 21.8 1.5 1.8 288.6 - - - - \$ 1,100 \$ - \$ 27,377 \$	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6 53.6 32.2 3.0 2.5 346.3 - - - \$ 346.3 \$ 5,030 \$ \$ 1,110 \$ \$ 5,030 \$ \$ \$ 2,5030 \$ \$ 2,5030 \$ \$ 2,5030 \$ 2,5030 \$ 2,5030 \$ 2,505 \$ 2,505 \$ 2,505 \$ 2,505 \$ 2,505 \$ 2,505 \$ 2,505 \$ 2,505 \$ 2,505 \$ 2,505 \$ 2,505 \$ 3,505 \$ 2,505 \$ 3,505 \$ 5,505 \$ 3,505 \$ 5,505\$ \$ 3,505 \$ 5,50 \$ 5,505 \$ 5,505 \$ 5,505 \$ 5,505 \$ 0,505 \$ 5,50\$ \$ 5,505 \$ 5,505 \$ 5,50\$ \$ 5,50\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5 71.4 35.1 4.0 2.8 324.3 324.3 324.3 324.3 325 326 326 326 326 326 326 326 326 326 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 327 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 3334 331 3311 311 311 311 3111 31111111111111	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0 40.9 40.9 4.5 3.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 71.3 40.5 4.5 2.9 332.7 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3 27.2 3.5 3.4 278.4 - - \$ 1,325 \$ \$ 53 \$ \$ 49,905 \$ \$ 20.5 - -	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 48.2 26.4 3.2 3.3 228.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0 41.0 20.4 2.8 2.4 243.5 - - - \$ 1,310 \$ 58 \$ 43,379 \$ 13,899	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1 22.0 2.5 2.2 226.7 226.7 5 - \$ 4.0,413 \$ 1,805	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4 23.3 1.4 1.7 293.8 - - - \$ 1.167 \$ - \$ 1.167 \$ - \$ 1.167	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 16.4 38.8 26.7 0.9 1.4 290.9 - - \$ 1.143 \$ - \$ 21.268 \$ 14.266	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7 335.2 32.9 28.5 3,447.0 - - - - - -
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind San Gorgonio WTE/FPL Acquisition Subtotal RPS SALES Subtotal Total Power Purchase Costs (\$000) BIO GAS OTHER SOLAR WIND WIND (REC)	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3 18.9 1.0 1.0 245.1 - - - \$ 1.10 245.1 \$ - - \$ \$ 1.119 \$ - \$ - \$ \$ 1.643 \$ \$ 944	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3 21.8 1.5 1.8 288.6 - - - - \$ 27,377 \$ 11,756 \$ 5,333	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6 53.6 32.2 3.0 2.5 346.3 - - - - \$ 346.3 \$ 346.3 3 346.3 3 346.3 3 346.3 3 346.3 3 3 5 3 5 3 6 3 2 5 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.0.7 19.5 71.4 35.1 4.0 0.2.8 324.3 • • • • \$ 1,092 \$ - \$ 36,436 \$ 20,934 \$ 3,318	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0 40.9 4.5 3.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 334.0 348.0 348.0 334.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 35 33333353535353535555555555555	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 71.3 40.5 2.9 332.7 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3 27.2 3.5 3.4 278.4 - - \$ 1.325 \$ \$ \$ 5 \$ \$ 20,151 \$ \$ \$ 20,151 \$ \$ \$ 20,151 \$ \$ \$ 20,151 \$ \$ 20,151 \$ 20,151 \$	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 48.2 26.4 3.2 3.3 228.6 - - - - 1,337 39 51,338 16,017 2,225	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.03 14.0 41.0 20.4 2.8 2.4 243.5 - - - - \$ 1,310 \$ 58 \$ 43,379 \$ 13,879 \$ 3,546	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1 22.0 2.5 2.2 226.7 226.7 \$ 1,096 \$ - \$ 40,413 \$ 13,805 \$ 3,061	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4 23.3 1.4 1.7 293.8 - - - \$ 1 ,167 \$ - \$ 2 ,6,419 \$ 1 5,228 \$ 4 ,371	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 16.4 38.8 26.7 0.9 1.4 290.9 - - - \$ 1,143 \$ - \$ 21,266	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7 335.2 32.9 28.5 3,447.0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Energia Sierra Juarez Iberdrola Renewables Manzana Wind Oak Creek Wind Power Oasis Power Partners Ocotillo Express Pacific Wind San Gorgonio WTE/FPL Acquisition Subtotal RPS SALES Subtotal Total Power Purchase Costs (\$000) BIO GAS OTHER SOLAR	2.1 41.6 3.9 14.5 0.4 15.3 22.3 18.9 1.0 1.0 245.1 - - - \$ 1.10 245.1 \$ - - \$ \$ 1.119 \$ - \$ - \$ \$ 1.643 \$ \$ 944	2.2 25.0 5.4 18.8 0.4 13.9 30.3 21.8 1.5 1.8 288.6 - - - - \$ 1,100 \$ - \$ 27,377 \$ 11,756 \$ 5,333 \$ -	2.6 50.5 8.2 24.0 0.6 19.6 53.6 32.2 3.0 2.5 346.3 - - - - \$ 346.3 \$ 346.3 3 346.3 3 346.3 3 346.3 3 346.3 3 3 5 3 5 3 6 3 2 5 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 6 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	2.7 43.2 9.4 28.0 0.7 19.5 71.4 35.1 4.0 2.8 324.3 324.3 324.3 324.3 325 326 326 326 326 326 326 326 327 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	3.1 48.1 11.9 32.3 0.7 20.9 89.0 40.9 4.5 3.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 348.0 35 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	13.2 3.2 37.0 11.1 34.1 0.8 22.4 71.3 40.5 4.5 2.9 332.7 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2.7 37.1 10.6 23.4 0.5 19.1 67.3 27.2 3.5 3.4 278.4 - - - \$ 1.325 \$ \$ 2.78.4 - - - \$ 1.325 \$ \$ 2.78.4 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2.3 22.6 9.4 22.9 0.5 18.3 446.2 26.4 3.2 3.3 228.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2.0 24.7 7.3 20.0 0.3 14.0 20.4 2.8 2.4 243.5 24 243.5 24 243.5 24 243.5 24 243.5 24 243.5 24 243.5 24 243.5 24 243.5 24 243.5 24 24,7 24,7 24,7 24,7 24,7 24,7 24,7 2	1.8 25.2 6.7 19.3 0.4 14.3 36.1 22.0 2.5 2.2 226.7 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2.1 45.4 7.0 19.8 0.5 16.0 40.4 23.3 1.4 23.3 1.4 1.7 293.8 - - - \$ 5 5 5 5 26,419 \$ 5,328 \$ 4,371 \$ -	2.5 37.8 5.0 15.0 0.5 16.4 38.8 26.7 0.9 1.4 290.9 - - \$ 1.143 \$ - \$ 21.268 \$ 14.266	29.2 438.2 95.7 272.1 6.2 209.7 609.7 335.2 32.9 28.5 3,447.0 - - - - - - 433,030.0 203,366.3

Attachment D

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO P.U.C. CODE 583, 454.5(g), GO 66-C and D.06-06-066 as needed

ATTACHMENT D - SDG&E 2018 CTC QUALIFYING FACIL	ITY (QF) DETAIL													
								-	-	-		-	_	
CTC QF - Dispatchable (GWh)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec		2018
Goal Line QF														
Yuma Cogen Associates QF														
CTC QF - SRAC Priced (GWh)													<u> </u>	
Naval Station QF														
North Island QF														
Navy Training Center QF														
Navy Training Center QF - Steam Turbine														
Aggregation of Hydro Units (SO1)														
Subtotal														
ERRA Expenses (\$000)														
CTC QF														
(to Line 5 of Attachment A)														
TCBA Expenses (\$000)														
CTC QF													\$	24,000

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO P.U.C. CODE 583, 454.5(g), GO 66-C and D.06-06-066 as needed

ATTACHMENT D - SDG&E 2018 CTC QUALIFYING	3 FACILITY (QF) DETAIL	•												
CTC QF - Dispatchable (GWh)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	20	018
Goal Line QF											•			
Yuma Cogen Associates QF														
CTC QF - SRAC Priced (GWh)														
Naval Station QF														
North Island QF														
Navy Training Center QF														
Navy Training Center QF - Steam Turbine														
Aggregation of Hydro Units (SO1)														
Subtotal														
ERRA Expenses (\$000)														
CTC QF													i.	
(to Line 5 of Attachment A)														
TCBA Expenses (\$000)														
CTC QF														

Attachment E

		PRIVILE		IDENTIAL PURSU	JANT TO P.U.C.	CODE 583, 454.5((g), GO 66-C and I	D.06-06-066 as ne	eeded				
ATTACHMENT E - SDG&E GREENHOUSE GAS (GHG) DETAIL												
2018 Direct Emissions (MT)	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	2018
California UOG Plants													
California Tolling Generators													
Specified Imports													
Unspecified Imports													
RPS Adjustment													
Total Direct Emiss	sion												
2018 Indirect Emissions (MT)													
Market Purchases													Ĩ.
CHP													
Total Indirect Emiss													
2018 Total Forecasted Emiss	ions												3,76

		PRIVILE	EGED AND CONF	IDENTIAL PURS	UANT TO P.U.C.	CODE 583, 454.5	(g), GO 66-C and I	0.06-06-066 as ne	eded				
ATTACHMENT E - SDG&E GREENHOUSE GAS (GHO	G) DETAIL												
2017 Direct Emissions (MT)	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	2018
California UOG Plants													
California Tolling Generators													
Specified Imports													
Unspecified Imports													
RPS Adjustment													
Total Direct Emis	sion												
2017 Indirect Emissions (MT)													
Market Purchases													
CHP													
Total Indirect Emis													
2017 Total Forecasted Emis	sion												4,190,2

Attorney-Client Privileged & Confidential; Attorney Work Product

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DECLARATION OF ROBERT B. ANDERSON REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY OF CERTAIN DATA/DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO D.16-08-024

I, Robert B. Anderson, do declare as follows:

1. I am a Director designated by Vice President Emily Schultz in the Resource Planning department for San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E"). I have been delegated authority to sign this declaration by Emily Schultz. I have reviewed the Application for Approval of Its 2018 Electric Procurement Revenue Requirement Forecasts and GHG-Related Forecasts, submitted concurrently herewith (the "Application"). I am personally familiar with the facts and representations in this Declaration and, if called upon to testify, I could and would testify to the following based upon my personal knowledge and/or belief.

2. I hereby provide this Declaration in accordance with Decision ("D.") 16-08-024 to demonstrate that the confidential information ("Protected Information") provided in Application is within the scope of data protected as confidential under applicable law, and pursuant to Public Utilities ("PUC") Code § 583 and General Order ("GO") 66-C, as described in Attachment A.

3. In accordance with the legal authority described herein, the Protected Information should be protected from public disclosure.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

1

Executed this 8th day of November, 2017, at San Diego.

Robert B. Anderson Director

Attorney-Client Privileged & Confidential; Attorney Work Product

ATTACHMENT A

SDG&E Request for Confidentiality on the following information in its Application for Approval of Its 2018 Electric Procurement Revenue Requirement Forecasts and GHG-Related Forecasts

Location of Protected	Legal Authority	Narrative Justification
Information	•	
JRM-21 Table 4		The information does not expressly fall within any
Application Attachment G,		category of the IOU Matrix applicable to electric
Template D-2: Forecasted		procurement information, but is market-sensitive
Emissions and Costs; and		information in that providing these GHG emissions
Template D-5: Forecasted		forecasts to market participants would allow them to
Emissions Intensity		know SDG&E's forecasted GHG obligation, thereby
	· · · ·	compromising SDG&E's contractual bargaining
Attachment E - SDG&E		power such that customer costs are likely to rise.
Greenhouse Gas (GHG)		Thus, the release of this non-public confidential
Detail		information will unjustifiably allow market
		participants to use this information to the
		disadvantage of SDG&E's customers.

2

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DECLARATION OF JENNIFER R. MONTANEZ

A.17-04-_

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902-E) for Approval of Its 2018 Electric Procurement Revenue Requirement Forecasts and GHG-Related Forecasts

I, Jennifer R. Montanez, declare as follows:

1. I am a Senior Resource Planner for San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E"). I included my Prepared Direct Testimony ("Testimony") in support of SDG&E's April 14, 2017 Application for Approval of its 2018 Electric Procurement Revenue Requirement Forecasts and GHG-Related Forecasts ("Application"). Additionally, as a Senior Resource Planner, I am thoroughly familiar with the facts and representations in this declaration, and if called upon to testify I could and would testify to the following based upon personal knowledge.

2. I am providing this Declaration to demonstrate that the confidential information ("Protected Information") in support of the referenced Application falls within the scope of data provided confidential treatment in the IOU Matrix ("Matrix") attached to the Commission's Decision ("D.") 06-06-066 (the Phase I Confidentiality decision). Pursuant to the procedure adopted in D.08-04-023, I am addressing each of the following five features of Ordering Paragraph 2 of D.06-06-066:

- that the material constitutes a particular type of data listed in the Matrix;
- the category or categories in the Matrix the data correspond to;
- that SDG&E is complying with the limitations on confidentiality specified in the Matrix for that type of data;
- that the information is not already public; and

• that the data cannot be aggregated, redacted, summarized, masked or otherwise protected in a way that allows partial disclosure.

3. The Protected Information contained in my Testimony constitutes material, market sensitive, electric procurement-related information that is within the scope of Section 454.5(g) of the Public Utilities Code.¹ As such, the Protected Information is allowed confidential treatment in accordance with the Matrix, as follows:

Confidential Information	Matrix	Reason for Confidentiality and Timing
	Reference	
JRM-3 lines 7-9	V.C	LSE Total Energy Forecast – Bundled
		Customer; confidential for the front three years
JRM-5 Table 1	IV.F	Forecast of Post-1/1/2003 Bilateral Contracts;
		confidential for three years
JRM-5 line 6	VI.A	Utility Bundled Net Open Position for
		Capacity; confidential for the front three years
JRM-6 Table 2	IV.A	Forecast of IOU Generation Resources;
		confidential for three years
JRM-6 line 13-14	V.H	Net capacity and energy forecasts by retail
		provider; confidential for the front three years
JRM-8 line 12	IV.B	Forecast of Qualifying Facility Generation;
		confidential for three years
JRM-9 lines 3-4	IV.J	Forecast of Wholesale Market Purchases;
		confidential for the front three years
JRM-9 line 21	II.A.2,	Utility Electric Price Forecasts; confidential for
		three years,
	V.C	LSE Total Energy Forecast, confidential for
		the front three years
JRM-10 line 6	II.A.2,	Utility Electric Price Forecasts; confidential for
		three years,
	II.B.1,	Generation Cost Forecasts of Utility Retained
		Generation, confidential for three years,
	II.B.3,	Generation Cost Forecasts of QF Contracts,
		confidential for three years,
	II.B.4	Generation Cost Forecasts of Non-QF Bilateral
		Contracts, confidential for three years
JRM-10 lines 10, 13-15	II.B.4	Generation Cost Forecast of Non-QF Bilateral
JRM-13 line 6-7		Contracts; confidential for three years
JRM-12 line 7	II.B.3	Generation Cost Forecast of QF Contracts;
		confidential for three years

¹ In addition to the details addressed herein, SDG&E believes that the information being furnished in my Testimony is governed by Public Utilities Code Section 583 and General Order 66-C. Accordingly, SDG&E seeks confidential treatment of this data under those provisions, as applicable.

Confidential Information	Matrix Reference	Reason for Confidentiality and Timing
JRM-12 line 16	II.B.1	Generation Cost Forecasts of Utility Retained
		Generation, confidential for three years
JRM-13 lines 10 and 12	II.A.2	Utility Electric Price Forecasts; confidential for
		three years
JRM-13 line 19	I.A.4	Long-term Fuel (gas) Buying and Hedging;
JRM-14 line 6		confidential for three years
JRM-21 Table 4		
JRM-21 Table 4		GHG emissions forecast: Providing these forecasts to market participants would allow them to know SDG&E's GHG forecasted GHG obligation, thereby compromising SDG&E's contractual bargaining power such that customer costs are likely to rise. Thus, the release of this non-public confidential information will unjustifiably allow market participants to use this information to the disadvantage of SDG&E's customers.
Attachment A - SDG&E 2018	XI	Monthly Procurement Costs; confidential for
ERRA and LG Expenses		three years
Attachment B - SDG&E 2018		
Generation Portfolio Delivery		
Volumes		
Cuyamaca, Palomar,	IV.A	Forecast of IOU Generation Resources;
Desert Star, and Miramar		confidential for three years
data	IV.E	Forecast of Pre-1/1/2003 Bilateral Contracts;
		confidential for three years
• QF data	IV.B	Forecast of Qualifying Facility Generation;
		confidential for three years
• Otay Mesa, Celerity,	IV.F	Forecast of Post-1/1/2003 Bilateral Contracts;
Kelco, Lake Hodges,		confidential for three years
Wellhead, and Orange		
Grove data		
	IV.J	Forecast of Wholesale Market Purchases;
Market Purchase data		confidential for the front three years
	IV.K	Forecast of Wholesale Market Sales;
• Surplus Energy Sold data		confidential for the front three years
Load Requirement data	V.C	LSE Total Energy Forecast – Bundled
		Customer; confidential for the front three years

Confidential Information	Matrix Reference	Reason for Confidentiality and Timing
Attachment D - SDG&E 2018	Kutututu	
CTC Qualifying Facility (QF)		
Detail		
• QF data	IV.E	Forecast of Pre-1/1/2003 Bilateral Contracts;
	1.1.2	confidential for three years
Long-Term Power Purchase CTC data	IV.B	Forecast of Qualifying Facility Generation; confidential for three years
	II.B.4	Generation Cost Forecast of Non-QF Bilateral
CTC QF & Non CTC QF		Contracts; confidential for three years
data	II.B.3	Generation Cost Forecast of QF Contracts;
		confidential for three years
TCBA Expenses data	II.B.3 and	Generation Cost Forecast of QF Contracts;
1		confidential for three years
	II.B.4	Generation Cost Forecast of Non-QF Bilateral
	11.2.1	Contracts; confidential for three years
Attachment E - SDG&E		GHG emissions forecasts: Providing these forecasts to
		market participants would allow them to know
Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Detail		SDG&E's GHG forecasted GHG obligation, thereby
		compromising SDG&E's contractual bargaining power
		such that customer costs are likely to rise. Thus, the
		release of this non-public confidential information will
		unjustifiably allow market participants to use this
		information to the disadvantage of SDG&E's customers.

4. I am not aware of any instances where the Protected Information has been disclosed to the public. To my knowledge, no party, including SDG&E, has publicly revealed any of the Protected Information.

5. SDG&E will comply with the limitations on confidentiality specified in the Matrix for the Protected Information.

6. The Protected Information cannot be provided in a form that is aggregated, partially redacted, or summarized, masked or otherwise protected in a manner that would allow further disclosure of the data while still protecting confidential information.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 8th day of November, 2017, at San Diego, California.

tay. in the

Jennifer R. Montanez Senior Resource Planner San Diego Gas & Electric Company