Company: San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 E) Proceeding: Rate Design – Residential Rate Structures

Rulemaking: R.12-06-013

Exhibit: _____

PREPARED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF CAROLINE A. WINN CHAPTER 1 ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

OCTOBER 17, 2014



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	OVERVIEW AND PURPOSE	1
II.	SUMMARY OF REBUTTAL ON SDG&E RATE REFORM ROADMAP	1
III.	SDG&E'S RATE REFORM VISION REFLECTS A BALANCED	
	APPROACH THAT IS DESIGNED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF	
	ALL OF SDG&E'S CUSTOMERS	2
IV.	SDG&E'S PROPOSED RATE REFORM ROADMAP IS DESIGNED	
	TO INFORM, EMPOWER, AND PROTECT CUSTOMERS	5
V.	SDG&E'S PROPOSALS ARE DESIGNED TO BENEFIT FROM	
	AND PROMOTE CONTINUOUS LEARNING TO MAXIMIIZE	
	BENEFITS TO CUSTOMERS	7
VI.	CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY	8

PREPARED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

CAROLINE WINN

(CHAPTER 1)

I. OVERVIEW AND PURPOSE

The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to the prepared direct testimony submitted by intervening parties in the Commission's Residential Rate Reform proceeding, Rulemaking ("R.") 12-06-013. Specifically, I will respond to parties that have questioned the policy basis for the rate design roadmap that has been proposed by San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E"), express agreement with parties such as UCAN that have proposed implementation of a default time-of-use ("TOU") pilot in 2018 to ensure that TOU rates are implemented in a way that informs and empowers customers, and express agreement with parties that support a variety of rate design options to better meet the differing needs of SDG&E's customers. Through this rebuttal testimony, I am also assuming responsibility for sponsoring Sections I, II, and III.A of the Direct Testimony¹ of Chris Yunker that was previously submitted herein.

II. SUMMARY OF REBUTTAL ON SDG&E RATE REFORM ROADMAP

The key elements of SDG&E's rate design proposals and witnesses sponsoring rebuttal testimony on each are outlined below:

- Transition to 2 tiers starting in 2015, with a transition path to achieve a 20% differential between the tiers by 2018. Intervening parties have proposed alternate transition paths. The rebuttal testimonies of witness Christopher Yunker (Chapter 3) and witness Cynthia Fang (Chapter 4) address these proposals.
- Collection of fixed infrastructure costs through a graduated Monthly Service Fee ("MSF") to be implemented beginning in 2015. All intervening parties

SDG&E #291738 CAW-1

_

¹ R. 12-06-013 Supplemental Phase 1Rate Change Proposals, Prepared Direct Testimony of Chris Yunker (Chapter 1) filed on February 28, 2014, sections I, II, III.A; pp. CY-1 to CY-6; CY-7 excluding lines 9-12; CY-8 to CY-9; and CY-10 lines 1-13 only.

2 3	
4 5 6 7 8	
9 10 11 12 13	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	
21	

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

1

with the exception of UCAN² oppose fixed charges and instead support a minimum bill. The rebuttal testimony of SDG&E witness Cynthia Fang (Chapter 4) addresses these proposals.

- Compliance with Assembly Bill ("AB") 327's mandate to gradually reduce the California Alternate Rates for Energy ("CARE") discount by 2018.

 Intervening parties have proposed alternate transition paths and mechanisms to address the CARE discount structure. The rebuttal testimony of SDG&E witness Cynthia Fang (Chapter 4) addresses these proposals.
- Provide an optional un-tiered TOU rate with a cost-based Demand Differentiated Monthly Service Fee ("DDMSF") to be implemented in 2015. Some parties have concerns about SDG&E's optional un-tiered TOU rate as proposed. The rebuttal testimony of SDG&E witness Chris Yunker (Chapter 3) addresses these concerns.
- periods and inform other TOU rate options that could be offered to customers. None of the intervening parties have opposed SDG&E's experimental TOU pilot. However, UCAN suggests that a large-scale default TOU pilot is needed prior to transitioning all residential customers to TOU rates. We agree. In Section V below, I address the policy basis for SDG&E's recommendation for a default TOU pilot.

III. SDG&E'S RATE REFORM VISION REFLECTS A BALANCED APPROACH THAT IS DESIGNED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF ALL OF SDG&E's CUSTOMERS

The testimony of various intervenors would appear designed to meet the needs of only a subset of SDG&E's customers. For example, as addressed in the rebuttal testimony of SDG&E witness Cynthia Fang (Chapter 4), UCAN and ORA's proposal to delay SDG&E's transition path for reduction of the tier differential focuses only on the potential impacts to lower tier customers but fails to consider implication to customers with upper tier usage. And as addressed in the rebuttal testimony of SDG&E witness Chris Yunker (Chapter 3), parties that support higher tier differentials are focused on the conservation incentives faced only by upper tier customers, to the exclusion of conservation incentives for lower tier customers. On the other hand, SDG&E is focused on a

² UCAN Phase 1 Testimony for Residential Rate Reform Prepared by David R. Croyle for The Utility Consumer Action Network (UCAN), pp.5-6.; EDF remains silent on the issue as indicated in the rebuttal testimony of SDG&E witness Cynthia Fang (Chapter 4), p. 5

³ UCAN Phase 1 Testimony for Residential Rate Reform Prepared by David R. Croyle for The Utility Consumer Action Network (UCAN), p.4.

balanced approach that is designed to meet the needs of all customers and to further all of the Rate

Design principles that have been identified in this proceeding, today and in the future.

As indicated in what was initially submitted as the direct testimony of SDG&E witness Chris Yunker⁴ (which I have now adopted the policy sections as my own testimony as indicated in Section I above), SDG&E believes that an optimal rate design would have to be designed to meet the following objectives:

- Utilities charge for the services they provide;
- Rates are designed to cover costs on the same basis as they are incurred; and
- Incentives or subsidies that have been deemed necessary to further public policy objectives are separately and transparently identified.

These objectives are not targeted at benefitting any sub-set of SDG&E's customers. Instead they are intended to better inform, empower and create fairness to all of SDG&E's customers and to further all of the Rate Design Principles that have been adopted herein. As is discussed in greater detail in the rebuttal testimony of SDG&E witness Alex Kim (Chapter 6), customer surveys indicate that customers do not have a full understanding of their energy usage; specifically how and when they use it, and how much it costs them. While some parties have expressed concerns over the potential impacts of SDG&E's rate reform proposals on the incentives of upper tier customers to pursue conservation and/or Distributed Energy Resource (DER) investments, a customer who does not understand their energy usage and the costs associated with that usage cannot reasonably be expected to make well-informed decisions regarding their energy usage and/or DER investments.

These customer survey results underscore the importance of transitioning to a rate design that is simple and better informs customers regarding when and how they use energy as well as the costs associated with their use of energy. Better informed customers will be able to more effectively

SDG&E #291738 CAW-3

-

⁴ R. 12-06-013 Supplemental Phase 1Rate Change Proposals, Prepared Direct Testimony of Chris Yunker (Chapter 1) filed on February 28, 2014, p.CY-7, lines 18-21.

consider options that best meet their specific needs. More accurate price signals will empower and
educate customers to cost effectively reduce and/or shift demand and make economically efficient
investments as they consider potential distributed energy resource investments. Of equal, if not
greater importance, will be customer education and outreach efforts to increase customers'
understanding and awareness of the costs associated with their electricity demand as well as the
options that are available to them to better manage their energy consumption. One example of a tool
that SDG&E has found successful in helping customers better understand their energy use is a
Weekly Energy Use Alert. Enrolled customers receive a weekly email that summarizes their prior
week's energy use along with offering energy savings tips. Nine in ten customers surveyed believe
the information is useful with nearly 80% having made some type of change to their electric usage ⁵ .
Combined with more accurate price signals, these kinds of tools will empower customers to use
energy, and make DER investments, in ways that lead to lower costs and environmental impacts, to
the benefit of all electricity consumers. Finally, transitioning to more accurate price signals will
promote fairness by better ensuring that the rates charged to one customer do not force them to pay
for services that are provided to other customers.

In order to reflect these needs, SDG&E has adopted the following vision for residential rates: SDG&E will offer simple and fair rate options that empower customers to make efficient energy choices.

By implementing this vision, SDG&E will meet the needs of all of its customers in an equitable way that better informs and empowers customers while promoting continuous efficiency improvements over time.

SDG&E #291738 CAW-4

⁵ SDG&E Weekly Alert Email (WAE) Customer Satisfaction Survey, April 2013.

IV. SDG&E'S PROPOSED RATE REFORM ROADMAP IS DESIGNED TO INFORM, EMPOWER, AND PROTECT CUSTOMERS

Several parties have raised concerns regarding the potential impact of SDG&E's rate design proposals on customers, particularly inland and lower income customers. SDG&E shares the desire of these parties to avoid adverse impacts on these and other customers and, as is detailed in the direct testimony⁶ and rebuttal testimonies of Christopher Yunker (Chapter 3) and Cynthia Fang (Chapter 4) SDG&E has designed its Rate Reform Roadmap to minimize adverse customer impacts while transitioning to rates that are simple and better inform customers because they more accurately reflect the costs SDG&E incurs to provide service.

SDG&E agrees with several parties that have argued the following elements are essential to making the transition path successful:

• **Pilot Studies.** No party has opposed the experimental TOU pilot proposed in the direct testimony of SDG&E witness Leslie Willoughby ⁷ in order to determine the optimal TOU period lengths for SDG&E's TOU rates. Furthermore, SDG&E agrees with UCAN that, while useful information will come from opt-in pilots conducted prior to 2018, and further argues that the best and most accurate information to inform a transition of residential customers to TOU rates would come from a default TOU pilot⁸. In response to UCAN's proposal, as well as the experience of Sacramento Municipal Utility District ("SMUD"), as outlined at the Commission Workshops that were held on July 30-31, 2014 SDG&E is also proposing to conduct a default TOU pilot in 2018 to better inform future rate options, including TOU rates. Key elements of the pilot are described in the rebuttal testimony of SDG&E witness Leslie Willoughby (Chapter 5).

SDG&E #291738 CAW-5

⁶ R.12-06-013 Supplemental Phase 1 Rate Change Proposals, Prepared Direct Testimony of Chris Yunker (Chapter 1) and Cynthia Fang (Chapter 2) filed on February 28, 2014,

⁷ R.12-06-013 Supplemental Phase 1 Filing, Prepared Supplemental Testimony of Leslie Willoughby (Chapter 2) filed on March 21, 2014.

⁸ UCAN Phase 1Testimony for Residential Rate Reform Prepared by David R. Croyle for The Utility Consumer Action Network, p. 4

⁹ R.12-06-013 Residential Rate Rulemaking Workshop: Best Practices and Lessons Learned in Time Variant Pricing (TVP) held on July 30-31, 2014

- Choice, Convenience & Control. SDG&E agrees with UCAN, EDF and ORA that customers should be offered a variety of rate options that best fit their individual needs¹⁰. SDG&E proposes to implement optional un-tiered TOU rates beginning in 2015 and as part of its initial TOU pilots has also proposed to include optional TOU rates with different TOU periods. Based on the results of the experimental TOU pilot, additional options with different TOU periods could be offered in the future. In addition, SDG&E agrees with UCAN on providing customers information about what changes they can make to help them with TOU rates as well as provide them a "menu of rate options." As indicated in my direct testimony¹² and the direct testimony of SDG&E witness Alex Kim¹³, SDG&E's approach is to package solutions along with rate options to help customers better manage their energy use. SDG&E also provides customers a rate comparison tool and report which shows the rate options available as well as which option is best for them.
- Robust customer education & outreach. Parties seem to agree that it is essential to educate and inform customers about their rate changes and rate options. Specifically, ORA acknowledges that an effective outreach and education plan is critical when customers face major change and that customers must understand these changes in advance. ¹⁴ SDG&E is committed to proactively communicating with customers so that they are prepared for the changes that will take place. As indicated in my direct testimony ¹⁵, SDG&E has already been proactively informing customers of rate changes that take place.
- **Bill protection.** Some parties raise the issue of bill protection. As indicated in my direct testimony, "SDG&E believes that customers need to feel safe as they make these energy choices." As such, SDG&E will offer bill protection to customers defaulting on TOU rates so they have an ability to test out the new rate without any negative bill impacts.

Implemented on the foregoing basis, SDG&E's residential rate reform roadmap will better

inform, protect and empower customers. For the reasons detailed in the rebuttal testimony of

¹⁰ UCAN Phase 1 Testimony for Residential Rate Reform Prepared by David R. Croyle for The Utility Consumer Action Network, pp.37-38; Phase 1 Testimony of Environmental Defense Fund, James Fine, p.18; Opening Testimony of ORA on 2015 and Beyond, Lee-Whei Tan (Chapter 1), p.1-1 lines 11-12 and p.1-21 lines 3-7.

¹¹ UCAN Phase 1 Testimony for Residential Rate Reform Prepared by David R. Croyle for The Utility Consumers Action Network, p.37-38

¹² R.12-06-013 Phase 1 Optional Additional Testimony of San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Prepared Direct Testimony of Caroline A. Winn (Chapter 1) filed on June 30, 2014.

¹³ R.12-06-013 Supplemental Phase 1 Filing, Prepared Direct Testimony of Alex Kim (Chapter 1) filed on March 21, 2014

¹⁴ Opening Testimony of ORA on 2015 Rates and Beyond, Michaela Flagg (Chapter 8), p.8-9, lines 16-17.

¹⁵ R.12-06-13 Phase 1Optional Additional Testimony of San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Prepared Direct Testimony of Caroline A. Winn (Chapter 1) filed on June 30,2014, p. CAW-2.

¹⁶ R.12-06-13 Phase 1Optional Additional Testimony of San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Prepared Direct Testimony of Caroline A. Winn (Chapter 1) filed on June 30,2014, p. CAW-7, lines 13-14.

SDG&E witnesses Dr. Ahmad Faruqui (served by PG&E), Chris Yunker (Chapter 3) and Leslie Willoughby (Chapter 5), these changes will increase conservation incentives for nearly 70% of SDG&E's sales¹⁷, while also having no material adverse impact on overall conservation.

Finally, a core SDG&E policy objective is to ensure that low-income customers are not jeopardized or overburdened by monthly energy expenditures and that the level of discount reflects the level of need while still moving toward legislative compliance. In that regard, SDG&E has proposed a roadmap that would gradually move the effective CARE discount to the level required under AB327 over time to manage this transition for low income customers. The current structure of these programs relies on subsidies through the tiered rate structure which is an economically inefficient approach to achieve this objective. SDG&E proposes to move these subsidies outside of the rates. The rebuttal testimony of SDG&E witness Cynthia Fang (Chapter 4) explains SDG&E's proposal as well as the concerns that have been expressed about this proposal.

V. SDG&E's PROPOSALS ARE DESIGNED TO BENEFIT FROM AND PROMOTE CONTINUOUS LEARNING TO MAXIMIZE BENEFITS TO CUSTOMERS

Several intervenors have emphasized the importance of how the rate reform changes will be implemented and in particular the critical role of customer acceptance as part of this process. Given that these changes will be phased-in over several years, it is critical to ensure that customers are aware of the changes that will take place, understand how those changes will impact them as well as understand what they can do to best manage their energy use.

SDG&E has noted that panelists during the CPUC workshops held on July 30-31, 2014 as well as UCAN¹⁸ in its direct testimony have concluded that the best and most accurate information to inform a transition of residential customers to Time of Use rates would come from a default TOU pilot, which cannot occur prior to 2018. SDG&E agrees that transitioning to Time of Use rates

SDG&E #291738 CAW-7

-

¹⁷ SDG&E Testimony of Dr. Ahmad Faruqui, pp. 19 and 24

¹⁸ UCAN Phase 1 Testimony for Residential Rate Reform Prepared by David R. Croyle for the Utility Consumers Action Network, pp.37-41

should be done thoughtfully, only after the current rate structure is reformed and in a way that does not adversely impact customers especially those who live inland, are low income or elderly. As such, SDG&E is now proposing to initially implement a default TOU pilot beginning in 2018. SDG&E believes that a default pilot will provide additional information and insights that will better inform not only SDG&E's future rate reform design but also its outreach and education efforts in order to ensure a positive customer experience and implementation of rate design options that meet the needs and empower our customers.

Furthermore, the California legislature has now passed SB 1090¹⁹, which adopts new provisions designed to ensure an absence of hardship to customers who live hot, inland areas, and residential customers living in areas with hot summer weather. As such, implementing a TOU pilot initially will enable SDG&E to further assess the impacts of this group of customers as further detailed in the rebuttal testimony of SDG&E witness Leslie Willoughby (Chapter 5).

Ultimately, the goal of the pilots as well as the customer education and outreach efforts that SDG&E has proposed herein is to ensure that all of our customers are empowered with information and tools to help them effectively respond to these price signals by shifting their electricity consumption and/or selecting the rate option that best meets their individual needs.

VI. CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY

In summary, SDG&E's main objective is to fix the basic electric rate design through rate reform which includes fixed and volumetric pricing, collapsing the antiquated tiered rate structure and reducing the CARE discount in compliance with legislation in ways that meet the rate design principles that have been outlined herein. These changes will, for reasons detailed in the rebuttal

¹⁹ SB 1090 provides: (d) The commission shall not require or authorize an electrical corporation to employ default time-of-use rates for residential customers unless it has first explicitly considered evidence addressing the extent to which hardship will be caused on either of the following: (1) Customers located in hot, inland areas, assuming no changes in overall usage by those customers during peak periods; (2) Residential customers living in areas with hot summer weather, as a result of seasonal bill volatility, assuming no change in summertime usage or in usage during peak periods.

options.		
providing customers with options that are simple, fair and that promote and support efficient energy		
customers. These changes will also facilitate a pathway for SDG&E to meet its rate vision of		
better inform customers, properly shape customer behavior and provide more equitable rates to all		
(Chapter 4) reduce the amount of subsidies that exist today, send more accurate price signals to		
testimonies of SDG&E witnesses Faruqui (served by PG&E), Yunker (Chapter 3), and Fang		

SDG&E supports transitioning customers to TOU rates, but in a way that does not adversely impact customers, including lower-income customers and customers living in inland communities.

As such, SDG&E supports conducting a default TOU pilot in 2018 and implementing TOU rates in a manner that includes options that best meet the needs of our customers.

SDG&E believes that its rate reform proposals along with conducting a default TOU pilot and implementing a robust customer outreach and education effort, will best achieve the Commission's Rate Design Principles.

This concludes my prepared rebuttal testimony.