

**AGENCY REQUESTED CHANGES REFLECTED IN THE REVISED PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Revised POD Page(s)</b>	<b>Revision</b>	<b>Data Request</b>
General	--	Updated data tables, text, and figures to include updates resulting from agency requested changes	California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Data Requests (DRs) 1, 2, and 3
			United States (U.S.) Forest Service (USFS) Review of the Preliminary POD (Comment Letter)
1 – Introduction and Overview of Proposed Action	3	Added Figure 1: Facilities Included Under the MSUP to show the location of the additional facilities within the CNF not being fire hardened that would be included under the MSUP	CPUC DR 2 General Question #1 and Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Other Facilities Question #1
	5	Changed Figure 1: Location Map to Figure 2: Facilities to Be Reconstructed to clarify that the facilities shown are only the ones to be fire hardened	CPUC DR 2 General Question #1 and Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Other Facilities Question #1
2 – Purpose and Need	9-10	Added discussion of CNF Land Management Plan (LMP) goals, objectives, strategies, and standards	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Purpose and Need Question #1
	Attachments	Added Attachment D: LMP Policy Consistency Analysis that provided explanation of consistency with the CNF LMP	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter

Section	Revised POD Page(s)	Revision	Data Request
			Purpose and Need Question #1
3 – Route Description	17	Modified the route description for distribution line or circuit (C) 157 to clarify where the line crosses Pine Creek and Hauser wilderness areas	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Wilderness Question #1
			January 2013 Agency Meeting
4.0 Facilities Included Under the MSUP	20	Provided discussion regarding the additional facilities not being fire hardened to be included under the MSUP	CPUC DR 3 MSUP Question #1
4.1.0 Pole Installation	20	Clarified that the steel replacement poles would be reddish-brown in color	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Visual Question #1
	20 and 27	Added length of wood-to-steel conversion for 69 kV power lines and 12 kV distribution lines	CPUC DR 3 Project Description Question #1
	27	Clarified that all new structures will be designed and installed in compliance with the guidelines in the Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines Manual developed by the Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC)	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2 USFS Comment Letter Biological Resources Question #1
4.1.1 Conductor Installation	30-31	Clarified that the new 69 kV conductors will not result in an increase in system capacity	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Single to Double Circuit Conversion Question #2

Section	Revised POD Page(s)	Revision	Data Request
4.1.2 Installation of Other Facilities	31	Included description of requirement for appurtenant facilities	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Other Facilities Question #1
4.2 Single- to Double-Circuit Conversion	32-33	Clarified that single- to double-circuit conversion will not result in an increase in system capacity	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Single to Double Circuit Conversion Question #1
4.3 69 kV Power Line Undergrounding	33-34	Added description of length of new underground and existing overhead conductor to be replaced with underground on transmission line (TL) 629E	CPUC DR 3 Project Description Question #4
4.5.2 C449	37-38	Added description of C449 and C441 underbuild on TL629	CPUC DR 3 Project Description Question #3
	39	Added Figure 6: C449-C441 Underbuild Locations to depict the location of the underbuild on TL629	
4.6 Access Road Modification	38	Corrected the miles of access roads to support 12 kV distribution lines within the CNF	CPUC DR 3 Project Description Question #9
	38	Added reference to discussion of erosion control measure that have been working over the last few years in Section 7.3 Erosion and Sediment Control and Pollution Prevention	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Access Roads Question #4
	38 and 41	Added discussion of measures to address erosion concerns	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Access Roads Question #4
41	Added reference to additional surveys and evaluation of resource issues for access roads in the MSUP Operating Plan to correct any	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2	

Section	Revised POD Page(s)	Revision	Data Request
		problems that may arise after the Proposed Action is approved	USFS Comment Letter Access Roads Question #4
5.0.0 Environmental Assessment No Action Alternative	42	Updated the Environmental Assessment (EA) No Action Alternative to accurately reflect the description in the EA	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Access Roads Question #4
5.1 Additional Alternatives Requested by the USFS	42-44	Added section to discuss the TL626 and C157 alternatives requested by the USFS	CPUC DR2 POD General Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Relocation of TL 626 (Boulder Creek Line) Question #1 and Wilderness Question #1
7.0.1 Helicopter Access	51	Clarified that refueling of helicopters may only occur within fly yards outside the CNF, if necessary	CPUC DR2 POD General Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Helicopter Access Question #1
	54	Added information about helicopter noise prior to 7:00 a.m.	CPUC DR 1 Noise Question #9
			CPUC DR 2 Noise Question #3
			CPUC DR2 POD General Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Helicopter Access Question #1
7.1 Workspace	55	Added footnote in Table 8: 69 kV Power Line Temporary Work Area	CPUC DR 3 Project

Section	Revised POD Page(s)	Revision	Data Request
		Summary that fly yards may be used for multiple power line or distribution lines to clarify why the total number of fly yards in the table may not equal the total number of fly yards identified for the Proposed Action, Connected Actions, and Similar Actions	Description Question #8
7.3 Erosion and Sediment Control and Pollution Prevention	71	Added discussion of measures to address erosion concerns	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Helicopter Access Question #1 and Hydrology and Water Quality Question #1
7.6 Schedule	74	Clarified that the approximate duration of construction and anticipated construction period originally provided for TL629E referred to the overhead segment	CPUC DR 3 Project Description Question #7
	74	Added approximate duration of construction and anticipated construction period required for the underground segment of TL629E	
7.7 Personnel	75	Added the approximate number of underground crew for TL629E	CPUC DR 3 Project Description Question #6
8.2 Application of Pesticides	78-79	Clarified the procedures for pesticide and herbicide use within the CNF	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Pesticide Application Question #1
10 – Preliminary Environmental Resource Evaluation	81	Clarified that SDG&E implements ordinary operating restrictions that have been included as applicant-proposed measures (APMs) in the Revised POD.	CPUC DR 3 APMs Question #1

Section	Revised POD Page(s)	Revision	Data Request
10.0 Air Quality	83-84	Added a reference to California Ambient Air Quality Standards	CPUC DR 1 Air Quality Question #3
	83-84	Revised the discussion of General Conformity	
	85	Updated Table 17: SDAPCD Criteria Air Pollutant Exceedances During Construction – Proposed Action, Table 18: SDAPCD Criteria Air Pollutant Exceedances During Construction – Connected Actions, and Table 19: SDAPCD Criteria Air Pollutant Exceedances During Construction – Similar Actions to include all criteria air pollutants	CPUC DR 1 Air Quality Question #4
	87	Added an ordinary operating restriction as APM-AIR-05	CPUC DR 3 APMs Question #1
10.1 Biological Resources	113 and 115	Clarified that all structures would be constructed in compliance with APLIC’s Suggested Practices for Avian Protection of Power Lines	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Biological Resources Question #1
	114-115	Added ordinary operating restrictions as APMs for biological resources	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Biological Resources Question #1 and #2
10.2 Cultural Resources	120-122	Updated the APMs to address issues and provide additional clarification	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2 and Project Description Question #1
			USFS Comment Letter Cultural Resources Question #2
	122	Added an ordinary operating restriction as APM-CUL-09	CPUC DR 3 APMs Question #1

Section	Revised POD Page(s)	Revision	Data Request
	122-123	Deleted the reference to Lilac Village	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2 USFS Comment Letter Similar Actions Question #1
10.3 Fire Hazards	127	Added ordinary operating restrictions as APMs for fire hazards	CPUC DR 3 APMs Question #1
10.4 Hydrology	130 and 133-134	Added ordinary operating restrictions as APMs for hydrological resources	CPUC DR 3 APMs Question #1
10.5 Noise	143	Added information about helicopter noise prior to 7:00 a.m.	CPUC DR 1 Noise Question #9
			CPUC DR 2 Noise Question #3
			USFS Comment Letter Helicopter Access Question #1
	144	Added clarification in APM-NOI-06 that no more than 64 flights per day will be conducted	CPUC DR 2 Project Description Question #1
	144	Added APM-NOI-08 for blasting within 325 feet of a residential property line	
144-145	Added ordinary operating restrictions as APMs for noise	CPUC DR 3 APMs Question #1	
10.6 Transportation and Traffic	159	Added ordinary operating restrictions as APMs for transportation and traffic	CPUC DR 3 APMs Question #1

Section	Revised POD Page(s)	Revision	Data Request
10.7 Visual Resources	160	Clarified that the land crossed by C157 does not currently achieve the Very High visual management goal	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Visual Question #1
	160	Added additional justification why the visual change would be consistent with the USFS aesthetic management standards for the CNF, would meet the Scenic Integrity Objectives (SIO), and would not cause a decrease of more than one SIO level.	CPUC DR 2 Preliminary POD Question #2
			USFS Comment Letter Visual Question #1
	161-162	Added an ordinary operating restriction as a APM for visual resources	CPUC DR 3 APMs Question #1