CFBF DATA REQUEST CFBF-SDG&E-DR-04

SDG&E GRC Phase 2 APPLICATION – A.15-04-012 SDG&E RESPONSE

DATE RECEIVED: JANUARY 25, 2016 DATE RESPONDED: FEBRUARY 8, 2016

1. Please provide the number of PA customers that have been migrated to time-of-use rates so far and the number that have not yet been migrated. Please answer separately for customers with demands of 0-20 kW and for customers with demands >20kW.

SDG&E Response:

SDG&E is interpreting "PA customers" to mean the number of service points on Schedule PA, as one customer may have several different electric service points that fall into different categories. The table below captures the number of service points on Schedule PA, as of 2/3/16, that have already transitioned to TOU rates or will soon be transitioning to TOU rates.

Schedule PA Service Points as of 2/3/16

	<=20 kW	>20kW	Total
Non-TOU	429	3,060	3,489
TOU	13	41	54
Total	442	3,101	3,543

CFBF DATA REQUEST CFBF-SDG&E-DR-04 SDG&E GRC Phase 2 APPLICATION – A.15-04-012 SDG&E RESPONSE

DATE RECEIVED: JANUARY 25, 2016 DATE RESPONDED: FEBRUARY 8, 2016

2. For PA customers that have not yet been migrated to TOU rates, please specify whether SDG&E still plans to migrate all these customers in March/April 2016 (per SDG&E's response to CFBF data request 1 Question 11). If not, please provide an updated schedule as to when these customers are expected to be migrated to TOU rates, specifying the number of customers that SDG&E expects to migrate in each migration period and answering separately for customers with demands of 0-20 kW and for customers with demands >20kW.

SDG&E Response:

Pursuant to OP 4 of D.12-12-004 and AL 2780-E, "all agricultural customers must take service on TOU rates. This transition will be accomplished over a six month period beginning in November 2015". SDG&E continues to be on track and as such all PA customers will be migrated to TOU rates by the end of April 2016.

CFBF DATA REQUEST CFBF-SDG&E-DR-04

SDG&E GRC Phase 2 APPLICATION – A.15-04-012 SDG&E RESPONSE

DATE RECEIVED: JANUARY 25, 2016 DATE RESPONDED: FEBRUARY 8, 2016

- 3. With regard to the proposals presented in SDGE-02 to phase in the distribution and commodity revenue allocation changes and some rate design changes over a three-year period, please specify the dates that the Year 1, Year 2, and Year 3 changes would be implemented under each of the following scenarios:
 - a. The GRC Phase 2 decision is first implemented on September 1, 2016.
 - b. The GRC Phase 2 decision is first implemented on November 1, 2016.
 - c. The GRC Phase 2 decision is first implemented on January 1, 2017.
 - d. The GRC Phase 2 decision is first implemented on March 1, 2017.
 - e. The GRC Phase 2 decision is first implemented on June 1, 2017.

SDG&E Response:

SDG&E is striving to minimize the number of rate changes that occur each year, where possible, by seeking to implement rate changes through one rate change each year on January 1.

- a. If SDG&E received a decision that permitted the first implementation of GRC Phase 2 decision on September 1, 2016, constituting Year 1, then SDG&E would request that Year 2 implementation would occur on January 1, 2017 with our consolidated rate change for January 1 rates, and Year 3 implementation would occur on January 1, 2018.
- b. If SDG&E received a decision that permitted the first implementation of GRC Phase 2 decision on November 1, 2016, then SDG&E would request that Year 2 implementation would occur on January 1, 2017 with our consolidated rate change for January 1 rates, and Year 3 implementation would occur on January 1, 2018.
- c. If SDG&E received a decision that permitted the first implementation of GRC Phase 2 decision on January 1, 2017, then SDG&E would request that Year 2 implementation would occur on January 1, 2018 with our consolidated rate change for January 1 rates, and Year 3 implementation would occur on January 1, 2019.
- d. If SDG&E received a decision that permitted the first implementation of GRC Phase 2 decision on March 1, 2017, then SDG&E would request that Year 2 implementation would occur on January 1, 2018 with our consolidated rate change for January 1 rates, and Year 3 implementation would occur on January 1, 2019.
- e. If SDG&E received a decision that permitted the first implementation of GRC Phase 2 decision on June 1, 2017, then SDG&E would request that Year 2 implementation would occur on January 1, 2018 with our consolidated rate change for January 1 rates, and Year 3 implementation would occur on January 1, 2019.

CFBF DATA REQUEST CFBF-SDG&E-DR-04

SDG&E GRC Phase 2 APPLICATION – A.15-04-012 SDG&E RESPONSE

DATE RECEIVED: JANUARY 25, 2016 DATE RESPONDED: FEBRUARY 8, 2016

- 4. Mr. Schiermeyer's testimony states: "In addition to its proposal to update authorized sales for the TY 2016 forecast, SDG&E requests approval to also update authorized sales in 2017 and 2018 via an advice letter to be implemented in January 1 rates that reflect the sales presented in Table KS-6" (KES-10).
 - a. Please clarify whether SDG&E is requesting to submit advice letters at a later date to implement the sales updates shown in Table KS-6 in January 2017 and January 2018. If this is incorrect, please explain what is being requested.
 - b. Please clarify whether SDG&E is requesting that the precise sales forecast shown in Table KS-6 be implemented in 2017 and 2018, or whether SDG&E is requesting that an update of these sales forecasts be implemented. If an update, please explain how the update would be developed.
 - c. Please specify any changes to revenue allocation, rate design, or rate levels that would result from implementing new sales forecasts in January 2017 and January 2018 and provide a workpaper with an illustrative example showing how revenue allocations and rates would change upon implementation of the new sales forecasts.

SDG&E Response:

- a. SDG&E is requesting to have the authorized sales updated in 2017 and 2018, as shown in Table KS-6.
- b. SDG&E intends to use the precise sales forecast, as shown in Table KS-6.
- c. The timing of the implementation of Year 2 and Year 3 revenue allocations and rate design proposals would depend upon the timing of year 1 implementation for GRC P2. The response to Question 3 above provide examples of implementation dates dependent upon different Year 1 implementation dates of GRC P2.