Application No.:	<u>A.06-07-</u> .
Exhibit No.:	
Witness:	Todd J. Cahill

Application of SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 E) for Recovery of Costs Related to the California Bark Beetle Infestation under the Catastrophic Event Memorandum Account (CEMA).

# PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF TODD J. CAHILL SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA July 18, 2006

# PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

### TODD J. CAHILL

### ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

### I. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

The purpose of my testimony is to present San Diego Gas & Electric Company's (SDG&E's) Bark Beetle Catastrophic Event Memorandum Account (CEMA) request for costs incurred during the period September 1, 2004 through June 30, 2006 and the related cost recovery proposal. This testimony will set forth:

- An overview of SDG&E's CEMA tariff,
- Background information related to SDG&E's Bark Beetle costs,
- SDG&E's current Bark Beetle CEMA request,
- SDG&E's proposed ratemaking treatment for incremental Bark Beetlerelated costs recorded to the CEMA account, and
- Discuss SDG&E's expected recovery of future Bark Beetle-related costs.

The testimony of Timothy M. Knowd discusses the operational aspects of the Bark Beetle project.

### II. CEMA TARIFF

The purpose of the CEMA is to record all incremental costs associated with 1) restoring utility services to customers, 2) repairing, replacing, or restoring damaged utility facilities, and 3) complying with governmental agency orders in connection with events declared a disaster. Incremental costs reflect those costs that are not already recovered through SDG&E's electric rates approved for recovery in SDG&E's Cost of

Service / General Rate Case or other regulatory proceedings. Interest is accrued on the average monthly CEMA balance at a rate equal to 1/12 the annual interest rate on the three-month commercial paper as reported in the Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15 or its successor.

### III. BARK BEETLE BACKGROUND INFORMATION

5

11

16

17

18

On March 7, 2003, Governor Gray Davis issued a State of Emergency Proclamation for the Counties of Riverside, San Bernardino, and San Diego because of widespread Bark Beetle infestation. In response, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission) issued Resolution E-3824 on April 3, 2003 which, among other things, directed the affected utilities to invoke their CEMA to record Bark Beetle costs. Pursuant to Commission Resolution E-3238, which originally adopted SDG&E's CEMA tariff, SDG&E notified the Executive Director of the Commission by letter dated May 8, 2003 that it was recording in its CEMA Bark Beetle-associated costs. In accordance with SDG&E's adopted CEMA tariff, the letter stated that the event had been declared a state of emergency by the state government. Upon the submittal of the letter of notification, SDG&E was authorized to record to the CEMA the incremental costs incurred as a result of the Bark Beetle infestation.

SDG&E filed Advice Letter 1623-E<sup>1</sup> on September 21, 2004 requesting recovery of bark beetle costs incurred during the period April 3, 2003 through August 31, 2004. The CPUC approved SDG&E's request and Bark Beetle CEMA costs totaling \$5.9 million were recovered in electric distribution rates over twelve months beginning in

<sup>1</sup> Advice Letter 1623-E was approved with modifications by Commission Resolution E-3904, dated January 13, 2005.

February, 2005. In addition to approving recovery of Bark Beetle CEMA costs for the period April 3, 2003 through August 31, 2004 and SDG&E's proposed recovery method, Commission Resolution E-3904 directed that requests for recovery of future Bark Beetle costs be filed by Application rather than Advice Letter.

# IV. CURRENT BARK BEETLE CEMA REQUEST

5

11

12

15

17

SDG&E requests approval to recover \$8.7 million in Bark Beetle costs recorded to the CEMA during the period September 1, 2004 through June 30, 2006. These costs are summarized by month in Exhibit A. This amount includes interest expense accrued through June 30, 2006. Including interest expense through year-end 2006, the Bark Beetle CEMA balance is forecast to total \$8.9 million. Interest is calculated on a monthly basis using the three-month commercial paper rate as directed in SDG&E's adopted CEMA tariff. All costs recorded to the Bark Beetle CEMA are incremental, as they are not currently being recovered through SDG&E's electric rates. These costs were not requested in SDG&E's last Cost of Service proceeding, nor should they have been.

As described in the Testimony of Timothy Knowd, SDG&E has only recorded to the CEMA outside contractor costs, specifically hired for the Bark Beetle project. SDG&E managed the project with no incremental internal labor costs charged to the project. Two internal tracking orders (one for electric distribution and one for transmission) were established to record incremental Bark Beetle CEMA costs. The Bark Beetle internal tracking orders enable the project management team to differentiate Bark Beetle costs from other tree trimming costs. Costs recorded to the Bark Beetle CEMA related to electric distribution only. No transmission-related costs were incurred. SDG&E has not incurred any Bark Beetle capital-related costs.

Tree trimming costs other than Bark Beetle CEMA related are subject to the Tree Trimming Balancing Account (TTBA) mechanism<sup>2</sup>. The purpose of the TTBA is to record actual tree trimming costs and associated revenues. No incremental Bark Beetle-related costs have been recorded to SDG&E's TTBA. As discussed in the Accounting Treatment section of SDG&E's electric Preliminary Statement, a year-end overcollection in the TTBA, if any, is returned to customers on an annual basis. The TTBA was overcollected by \$8.8 million in 2005, the balance of which was returned to SDG&E customers as part of SDG&E's annual regulatory account balance update filing. Currently, the TTBA is forecasted to be overcollected by approximately \$4 million at year-end 2006, with a similar overcollection expected at year-end 2007.

### V. RECOVERY METHOD

SDG&E requests that the Bark Beetle CEMA balance of \$8.7 million be transferred to the TTBA for recovery. SDG&E proposes to apply the forecasted overcollections in the TTBA at year-end 2006 and year-end 2007 to offset the increase in electric distribution rates that would otherwise occur if SDG&E were to immediately begin collecting the \$8.7 million. The TTBA is expected to have an overcollection sufficient to eliminate the Bark Beetle CEMA balance by year-end 2007<sup>3</sup>. Applying the TTBA overcollection over a two-year period to the Bark Beetle CEMA balance, as proposed, will remove any need for a related increase to SDG&E's electric distribution

\_\_

1

11

12

17

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Commission Decision (D.) 98-12-038 in SDG&E's Distribution Performance-Based Ratemaking (PBR) Application (A.) 98-01-014 authorized the TTBA effective January 1, 1999. The TTBA was retained pursuant to D.04-12-015 in SDG&E's Cost of Service proceeding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The use of TTBA overcollections to reduce undercollections existing in other regulatory accounts has been approved by the Commission in the past. Specifically, Commission Resolution E-3798 authorized SDG&E to apply annual TTBA overcollections as a reduction to the Assembly Bill (AB) 265 undercollection.

rates. If applying the TTBA overcollection is not sufficient to eliminate the Bark Beetle CEMA balance by year-end 2007, SDG&E will recover any remaining balance through electric distribution rates, implemented as part of its 2007 year-end annual regulatory account balance update filing for rates effective January 1, 2008.

### VI. RECOVERY OF FUTURE BARK BEETLE COSTS

Finding No. 8 of Resolution E-3904 directs SDG&E to file applications for recovery of its Bark Beetle CEMA expenditures, to be filed as soon as practical after the end of a calendar year. Following the instant application, which requests recovery of expenditures through June 30, 2006, SDG&E does not expect additional costs to be recorded to the Bark Beetle CEMA. Tree removal related to the Bark Beetle infestation has diminished to the point where the remaining dead and dying trees will be removed as part of SDG&E's normal tree trimming activities, recorded to SDG&E's TTBA. Therefore, SDG&E filing a future application for CEMA recovery is not expected unless a future large-scale Bark Beetle infestation occurs.

# VII. CONCLUSION

11

12

15

16

17

20

SDG&E's request to apply the TTBA overcollection over a two-year period to the Bark Beetle CEMA balance, as described above, is reasonable and removes the need for a related increase to SDG&E's electric distribution rates. SDG&E's request should be approved.

This concludes my testimony.

### **QUALIFICATIONS**

1

2

11

13

My name is Todd J. Cahill. I am employed by the Sempra Energy Utilities, San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E) and Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas). My business address is 8315 Century Park Court, San Diego, California 92123. I am currently Senior Tariff Administrator in Tariffs and Regulatory Accounts at SDG&E. I am responsible for the development of advice letters and tariffs for anticipated approval by the Commission. My responsibilities include the drafting of advice letters, comments, responses, and other documents covering a wide range of subject matter, including but not limited to customer rates and regulatory accounts, that affect the Sempra Utilities. I assumed my current position in January of 2005.

I received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science from Brigham Young University in 1998. I began my career in the Claims/Risk Management department of Sempra Energy in 1999. In 2000, I began working in the Regulatory Affairs division and have held positions of increasing responsibility within the division leading up to my current position.

MONTHS	REVENUE (1)	TOTAL MONTHLY EXPENSE (2)	AMOUNT (3)	1/2 CURRENT (4)	ADD PREVIOUS BALANCE (5)	INTEREST RATE (6)	INTEREST EXPENSE (7)	Under/(Over) BALANCE W/ INTEREST (8)	ACCOUNT BALANCE (10)
4/03-8/04		5,851,676	5,851,676				45,914	5,897,590	5,897,5
Sep-04 Oct-04 Nov-04		450,356 264,193 414,539	450,356 264,193 414,539	225,178 132,097 207,270	5,897,590 6,356,212 6,629,867	1.62% 1.75% 1.95%	8,266 9,462 11,110	458,622 273,655 425,649	6,356,2 6,629,8 7,055,5
	, , ,	666,253 299,227	666,253 299,227	333,127 149,614	7,055,516 7,735,192	2.18%		679,676 314,602	7,735,1 8,049,7
reb-05 Mar-05 Apr-05	494,040 494,545 494,545	379,238 938,988 735,511	(115,287) 444,443 240,966	(57,644) 222,222 120,483	6,049,794 7,951,357 8,414,531	2.33% 2.75% 2.82%	18,830 18,731 20,057	(96,437) 463,174 261.023	7,951,5 8,414,5 8,675,5
May-05 Jun-05	494,545 494,545	848,118 1,455,518	353,573 960,973	176,786	8,675,554 9,051,037	2.97% 3.09%		375,483 985,517	9,051,0 10,036,5
Jul-05 Aug-05	494,545 494,545	376,620 447,510	(117,925) (47,035)	(58,963) (23,518)	10,036,554 9,945,818	3.27% 3.47%	27,189 28,692	(90,736) (18,343)	9,945,8 9,927,4
Sep-05 Oct-05	494,545 494,545	1,420,354 (100,849)	925,809 (595,394)	462,905 (297,697)	9,927,475	3.64%	31,517 32,820	957,326 (562,574)	10,884,8 10,322,2
Nov-05 Dec-05 Jan-06	494,545 494,545 494,545	158,491 (556,550) 0	(336,054) (1,051,095) (494,545)	(168,027) (525,548) (247,273)	10,322,227 10,020,105 9,002,478	4.01% 4.23% 4.37%	33,932 33,468 31,884	(302,122) (1,017,627) (462,661)	10,020,1 9,002,4 8.539.8
Feb-06 Mar-06	494,545 494,545	2,055 (4,699)	(492,490) (499,244)	(246,245) (249,622)	8,539,817 8,078,290	4.48%	30,963 29,684	(461,527) (469,560)	8,078,2 7,608,7
Apr-06 Adjustment (b) May-06	494,545 (1,483,635) -	(16,484) (14,586) 1,557	(511,029) 1,483,635 (14,586)	(255,515) (7,293)	7,608,730 7,126,869 8,619,162	4.76% 4.87%	29,168 8,658 34,950	(481,861) 1,492,293 20,364 37,630	7,126,8 8,619,1 8,639,5 8,677,1
9/04-6/06	5,934,540	8,165,380	2,230,840		010000		548,726	2,779,566	
<b>Total</b> (Inception-June '06)	5,934,540	14,017,056				Ü	594,640	8,677,156	
Total Expenses for recovery:	r recovery:	8,165,380				Total w/interest:	st:	8,677,156	

(a) Per SDG&E A.L. 1658-E, SDG&E was authorized to recover \$5.935 million in distribution rates effective February 1, 2005. (b) Adjustment to reflect termination of the amortization of \$5.935 million effective January 31, 2006.