APPENDIX 5.6-A

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT

PORTIONS OF THIS APPENDIX HAVE BEEN OMITTED – THEY HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED UNDER CONFIDENTIAL COVER

(Privileged and Confidential pursuant to P.U. Code 583, 454.5(g), GO 66-C and D.06-06-066)

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION ARTESIAN SUBSTATION EXPANSION SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

Prepared for

Mr. Segenet Assefa, P.E. San Diego Gas & Electric Company 8316 Century Park Court, CP-52G San Diego, California 92123

URS Project No. 27661515.40000

September 24, 2015



4225 Executive Square, Suite 1600 La Jolla, CA 92037 858-812-9292 Fax: 858 812-9293



September 24, 2015

Mr. Segenet Assefa San Diego Gas & Electric Company 8316 Century Park Court, CP-52G San Diego, California 92123

Subject: Geotechnical Investigation Artesian Substation Expansion San Diego Gas & Electric Company San Diego County, California URS Project No. 27661515.40000

Dear Mr. Assefa:

URS Corporation America (URS) is presenting this revised draft geotechnical investigation report for the above-referenced project in accordance with our original proposal dated March 10, 2015 (revised) and an amendment submitted on August 3, 2015. This revised draft report includes the results of the additional subsurface explorations completed. This report provides the findings from our subsurface exploration, a discussion of geologic and geotechnical conditions, and conclusions and recommendations pertaining to the geotechnical aspects of design and construction.

In our opinion, the project site is geotechnically suitable for the proposed substation expansion. However, there is a potential to encounter concretions that could be very resistant to excavation, especially for post-expansion improvements when there is reduced access and a potential for smaller equipment to be used for excavation. Mitigation options for this condition are included in this report.

URS prepared this report exclusively for SDG&E and their consultants for use in project planning and design of the proposed substation expansion.

If you have any questions regarding this report, or if we can be of further service, please contact us.

Sincerely,

URS Corporation

Pallavi Balasubramanyam, P.E. 78540 Project Engineer Michael E. Hatch, C.E.G.1925 Principal Engineering Geologist

Kelly Giesing, G.E. 2749 Senior Project Geotechnical Engineer

URS Corporation 4225 Executive Square, Suite 1600 La Jolla, CA 92037 Tel: 858.812.9292 Fax: 858.812.9293

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 1	Introduction	1-1				
	1.1 Project Description	1-1				
	1.2 Scope of Services					
Cootion 0	On stank sized laws stimution	2.4				
Section 2	Geotechnical Investigation	2-1				
	2.1 Previous Studies	2-1				
	2.2 Subsurface Explorations					
	2.3 Laboratory Testing					
Section 3	Geologic and Site Conditions	3-1				
	3.1 Geologic Setting	3-1				
	3.2 Tectonic and Seismic Setting					
	3.3 Surface Conditions					
	3.4 Subsurface Conditions					
	3.5 Groundwater					
Section 4	Seismic and Gelogic Hazards4-1					
		4.1				
	4.1 Fault Rupture					
	4.2 Liquefaction and Secondary Effects					
	4.3 Expansion and Collapse Potential					
	4.4 Otilei Mazalus					
Section 5	Discussions, Conclusions and Recommendations	5-1				
	5.1 Earthwork	5-1				
	5.2 Retaining Walls					
	5.3 Foundations					
	5.4 Concrete Slabs-on-Grade					
	5.5 Flexible Pavements					
	5.6 Surface Drainage					
	5.7 Stormwater considerations					
	5.8 Seismic Design					
	5.9 Corrosion Potential					
	5.10 Construction Considerations					
	5.11 Construction Observation and Testing					
Section 6	Limitations	6-1				
Section 7	References	7-1				

Figures

Vicinity Map
Site Plan and Geologic Map
Regional Geologic Map
Regional Fault and Epicenter Map
Generalized Geologic Cross Sections A-A' and B-B'
Generalized Geologic Cross Sections C-C' and D-D'
Generalized Geologic Cross Sections E-E'

Tables

Table 1	Recommended MFAD Design Parameters
Table 2	Recommended Asphalt Pavement Sections
Table 3	2013 CBC Seismic Coefficients (based on ASCE 7-10
Table 4	Summary of Corrosivity Test Results
Table 5	Cal/OSHA Soil Types
Table B-1	Percolation Test Measurements

Appendices

Appendix A	Previous Explorations
------------	-----------------------

- Appendix B Current Subsurface Explorations
- Appendix C Geotechnical Laboratory Testing

AC	Asphalt Concrete
ACI	American Concrete Institute
ASTM	ASTM International (formerly American Society for Testing and Materials)
bgs	below ground surface
Cal/OSHA	California Occupational Safety and Health Administration
CBC	California Building Code
CGS	California Geological Survey
E _p	Deformation modulus
EI	Expansion Index
EPRI	Electric Power Research Institute
GLE	Generalized Limit Equilibrium
H:V	Horizontal:Vertical
IBC	International Building Code
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
kcf	kips per cubic foot
ksi	kips per square inch
MCE	Maximum Considered Earthquake
MFAD	Moment Foundation Analysis and Design
ohm-cm	ohms-centimeter (unit of resistivity)
pcf	pounds per cubic foot
pci	pounds per cubic inch
PGA	Peak Ground Acceleration
PI	Plasticity Index
psf	pounds per square foot
RCFZ	Rose Canyon Fault Zone
SDG&E	San Diego Gas & Electric Company
SPT	Standard Penetration Test
tcf	tons per cubic foot
TI	Traffic Index
URS	URS Corporation Americas
USGS	U.S. Geologic Survey

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) with the results of URS Corporation Americas' (URS) geotechnical investigation for the proposed expansion of the Artesian Substation. The site is located southwest of the intersection of Camino Del Sur and Babcock Street within the Del Sur community of San Diego County, as shown on Figure 1, Vicinity Map.

1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Our understanding of the project is based on the conceptual project drawings prepared by NV5. The proposed improvements are shown on Figure 2, Site Plan. The project will upgrade the existing 69 kiloVolt (kV) Artesian Substation to a 230 kV yard and develop the adjacent parcel, located to the east of the existing substation, as a 69 kV yard. The project will include typical substation features such as transformers, generators, bus supports, switchgears, circuit breakers, A- or H-frames, and a control shelter. Two new 230 kV loop-in transmission steel poles will be constructed west of the existing substation wall, on either side of the existing access road (south of the detention basin).

The area of the detention basin located to the west of the existing 69 kV yard will be expanded as shown on Figure 2. This expansion will result in steepening the existing slope from its current configuration to an inclination of 2:1 Horizontal:Vertical (H:V). Widening of the existing access road to the west of the existing 69 kV yard is also being considered, in addition to new asphalt concrete (AC) access roads within the proposed 230 and 69 kV yards.

Existing 69kV yard equipment will be removed or demolished for upgrade to a 230 kV yard. Minor cut and fill activities are expected within the existing 69 kV yard, with finish grades ranging from 509 to 513 feet Mean Sea Level (MSL).

The expansion area east of the existing 69kV yard will be graded to develop a pad ranging in elevation from 518 feet MSL to 524 feet MSL that slopes down to the northwest. A lower pad will be developed along the western portion of the expansion area at an elevation of approximately 513 feet MSL to accommodate two transformers. This lower pad elevation is bound on the north, east and south by a retaining wall that reaches a maximum height of approximately 5 feet as shown on Figure 2.

A retaining wall will be constructed south of the existing 69kV yard and the proposed expansion area to provide a 15 foot wide access road surfaced with gravel. The height of the retaining wall will range between 7 and 11 feet. In addition, a proposed screen wall will wrap around the proposed expansion area to the south and east, and partially along the northern side.

1.2 SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of services included the following tasks:

- Reviewing previous reports and other available geotechnical references;
- Supporting the SDG&E environmental review process and obtaining a permit from County of San Diego Department of Environment Health;
- Advancing twenty four geotechnical borings with a truck mounted drill rig and five hand auger borings to characterize geologic materials in the site area;
- Performing geotechnical laboratory testing;
- Assessing the geologic and seismic setting and evaluating geologic and seismic hazards, including slope stability, fault rupture potential, strong ground motion, and liquefaction and secondary effects;
- Providing seismic design criteria in accordance with the 2013 California Building Code (CBC) and Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) for power facilities;
- Developing geotechnical recommendations for design of shallow and deep foundations, concrete slabs-on-grade, retaining and screen walls and asphalt pavement.
- Providing earthwork recommendations for grading, excavation and backfill;
- Providing considerations for geotechnical related construction; and
- Preparing this geotechnical report.

SECTION 2 GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION

The geotechnical investigation included reviewing published geologic information and previous investigations at the site, as well as evaluating data from new subsurface explorations and laboratory testing.

2.1 PREVIOUS STUDIES

URS previously performed a geotechnical investigation for the original substation and submitted the results in a report titled "Geotechnical Investigation for the Proposed Artesian Substation, San Diego, California," dated November 30, 2000 (URS Project No. 58-9911062M.00-SI001). That investigation advanced two (2) hollow-stem auger borings and six (6) large diameter auger borings to depths of 20 to 65 feet below grade. The exploration locations of that investigation are shown on Figure 2 and logs of the borings are included in Appendix A.

The hollow-stem auger borings were converted to gas monitoring wells (GW-1 and GW-2), with probes installed within the upper and lower elevations to monitor the presence of methane. The soil vapor monitoring of these wells indicated that the upper elevations of the site subsurface have little or no detectable methane; the lower probe in GW-2 detected a minor concentration of methane (25 milligram/milliliter), which was not considered to be a significant occurrence or hazard.

URS also provided observation and testing activities during the earthwork for the original substation construction. The results were summarized in a report dated August 7, 2003. The site was graded to elevations ranging between 506 and 511 feet MSL. The grading operation removed the overlying Mission Valley Formation and exposed Friars Formation at the pad grade. Further, the existing substation pad was undercut a depth of 3 feet and replaced with a cap of Select Fill per SDG&E specifications.

URS was provided with an as-graded report prepared by Geocon, Inc., dated September 30, 2005, for the development of Black Mountain Ranch Village West – Unit 9. The earthwork performed for that project encompassed the proposed substation expansion area east of the existing substation. Based on a review of that report, the proposed expansion area was characterized as an area underlain by concretionary zones (cemented layers in the formational materials) and was undercut to a depth of 5 feet and replaced with engineered fills. This undercutting of an area with concretionary layers was intended to facilitate future developments that include shallow excavations by removing the difficult-to-excavate layers and zones near the finish grade elevation.

2.2 SUBSURFACE EXPLORATIONS

Field explorations were performed in two phases. The initial phase consisted of a geotechnical boring program that included: a) advancing seven (7) hollow-stem auger borings and five (5) hand auger borings; and b) performing percolation tests in the detention basin and in Boring B-1. Borings

Six (6) hollow stem auger borings were advanced within the proposed expansion area and one (1) was advanced in the vicinity of the proposed steel poles, west of the existing 69kV yard. These borings were advanced to depths ranging from 30 to 41.5 feet below ground surface (bgs). Four (4) shallow borings

were advanced with a hand auger to depths ranging from 2 to 3.5 feet bgs at the locations of the proposed retaining wall and one (1) hand auger (for percolation testing described below) was advanced at the bottom of the detention basin. The investigation was performed between April 28 and May 1, 2015.

The second phase of work consisted of drilling seventeen solid-stem auger borings to better evaluate the presence of concretionary zones within the shallow subsurface of the site area. The borings were extended to depths ranging from 10 feet to 15 feet bgs.

The locations of the explorations are shown on Figure 2, Site Plan.

A URS engineering geologist logged the borings based on visual observations and classified the soils according to the Unified Soil Classification System. Relatively undisturbed driven samples, as well as bulk samples, were collected from the borings. The boring program is discussed further in Appendix B, which also presents the logs of the borings. The descriptions on the logs of borings are based on field logging and laboratory testing.

2.2.1 Percolation Testing

Two (2) percolation tests were performed in the western portion of the site. One was performed at the bottom of the existing detention basin (noted as PT-1 on Figure 2), at a depth of approximately 15 inches below the bottom of the basin; the second was performed in Boring B-1 at a depth of 20 feet. The tests were performed in general accordance with the County of San Diego percolation test procedure. A discussion of the test procedure and results are provided in Appendix B.

2.3 LABORATORY TESTING

The materials encountered in the borings were visually classified and evaluated with respect to relative density or consistency and moisture content. The samples were returned to our geotechnical laboratory for further examination and testing. The visual classifications were further evaluated by performing moisture content, unit weight, and plasticity index tests and grain size analyses. The shear strength of the soil was evaluated by correlating with the blow count data and index test results, as well as performing direct shear tests. The corrosion potential of the soils was evaluated using a suite of chemical tests (sulfate, chlorides, resistivity and pH). Pavement subgrade strength and compaction characteristics were evaluated by performing R-Value and compaction curve (optimum moisture-maximum dry unit weight) tests, respectively. Testing was performed in general accordance with ASTM International (ASTM) standards. Results of the geotechnical laboratory testing are presented at the corresponding sample locations on the boring logs in Appendix B; detailed results of the laboratory testing are presented in Appendix C.

SECTION 3 GEOLOGIC AND SITE CONDITIONS

Knowledge of the site conditions was developed from a review of the local geology and available information and current subsurface explorations.

3.1 GEOLOGIC SETTING

The project site is located within the Coastal Plains sub province of the Peninsular Ranges physiographic province. The site is located approximately nine miles inland, situated along the eastern margin of the coastal plain. This area is characterized by eroded mesa surfaces underlain by Tertiary marine and non-marine sedimentary deposits. These sedimentary deposits are underlain at varying depths by Mesozoic age crystalline bedrock. The crystalline bedrock crops out to the north and east of the site where high relief terrain underlain by bedrock becomes evident.

Previous mapping of the site by Eisenberg (1983) and Tan (1987) suggest that the sedimentary deposits within the site are assigned to the Friars Formation and the overlying Mission Valley Formation. This interpretation is compiled on the 2006 Oceanside Quadrangle map as presented on Figure 3, the Regional Geologic Map. The contact between these Tertiary age sedimentary formations is often gradational in the site vicinity. The primary difference between the two formations is a relative increase in fine grained deposits in the Friars Formation. As interpreted here, the grading for the development of the existing substation and the adjacent commercial lot has removed the overlying Mission Valley Formation in the pad areas of the project.

3.2 TECTONIC AND SEISMIC SETTING

The tectonic setting of the San Diego area is influenced by plate boundary interaction between the Pacific and North American lithospheric plates. This crustal interaction occurs along a broad belt of northwest – trending predominantly right-slip faults that span the width of the Peninsular Ranges and extend into the offshore Intercontinental Borderland province. The major southern California fault systems include the San Andres, San Jacinto, Elsinore and Imperial fault zones to the east and north; the San Clemente, Coronado Bank and Rose Canyon fault zones to the west; and the Agua Blanca and San Miguel fault zones to the south. The locations of these faults are shown on Figure 4, Regional Fault and Epicenter Map.

The Rose Canyon fault zone (RCFZ) is the closest major active fault to the project area and dominates the seismic exposure of coastal San Diego. The primary faults comprising the RCFZ run parallel to the coastline offshore from north to south before extending on land in the La Jolla area. From La Jolla the fault zone continues south along the east margin of Mission Bay to the Old Town area, and farther south toward downtown San Diego and San Diego Bay. At its closest point, the RCFZ is located about 10 miles west of the site.

Together with the Newport Inglewood fault zone, the RCFZ is considered a continuous zone comprised of 7 fault segments with a total length of approximately 130 miles (209 km). Recent studies in the San Diego area have determined an estimated slip rate of 1.5mm/year along the RCFZ (Rockwell, 1991). Estimates of a maximum magnitude earthquake for this fault typically range from 6.75 to 7.25.

3.3 SURFACE CONDITIONS

The existing site area is located along the south side of Camino del Sur and extends from the SDG&E access road on the west to Babcock Street on the east as shown on Figure 2. An existing detention basin is present along the west side of the site, and the existing 69kV yard lies to the east of the detention basin. The proposed expansion area is an existing commercial property that lies to the east of the substation and extends to Babcock Street.

The expansion site area is occupied by a variety of modular buildings and trailers with associated decking and access ramps. The western portion of the expansion site is used for general storage and laydown and currently is occupied by a series of shipping containers, small trailers and stockpiles of materials.

Elevations range from approximately 480 feet MSL in the detention basin to approximately 530 MSL at the southeast corner of the pad. The cut slopes above the pad to the south reach an elevation of approximately 545 feet MSL. The elevations within the existing 69 kV substation range between approximately 509 to 513 feet MSL.

Much of the proposed expansion area is surfaced with gravel, while the southeast portion of the site is currently surfaced with asphaltic concrete (AC). There are existing underground utilities within the expansion area including water and electrical service to the various modular and temporary buildings.

A second, small detention basin is located in the northwest corner of the expansion area.

3.4 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

Geologic units underlying the site include fill, Friars Formation (Tf) and Mission Valley Formation (Tmv). These units are described in more detail below. Geologic cross sections A-A' through E-E' are presented on Figures 5, 6, and 7. The locations of the cross sections are shown on Figure 2.

3.4.1 Fill

Engineered fill soils placed as a result of undercuts during previous grading discussed in Section 2.1 were encountered in Borings B-2 through B-24 located within the expansion area. The thickness of the fill is approximately 5 feet over most of the expansion area with localized areas up to approximately 7 feet in thickness. The fills were observed to consist of silty sand and clayey sand with the exception of the upper two feet in Boring B-7; approximately 2 feet of gravel has been placed in that area of the site.

The hand auger borings along the southern slopes encountered sandy formational materials at the ground surface, with the exception of HA-1 and HA-2, which encountered 6 to 12 inches of undocumented fill soils. The fills were observed to consist of silty sand with some gravels and cobbles.

3.4.2 Friars Formation (Tf)

Eocene age sedimentary deposits correlating to the Friars Formation underlie the entire site below the fills placed on the graded pads for the existing substation and the expansion area. The Friars Formation in the site area is characterized by sandstones and siltstones with interbedded claystones. From a geotechnical

perspective, these materials are considered dense and very dense silty sands and poorly graded sands, and hard silts and sandy silts and clays. Previous investigators have mapped this unit as undifferentiated with the Delmar Formation due to the lithologic and stratigraphic similarities.

The as-graded report for the Black Mountain Ranch development (Geocon, 2005) notes that the expansion area lies within a zone of deeper undercut of approximately 5 feet. This undercutting was performed to address potential impacts to future site development and utility installations from the presence of concretionary zones and layers within the shallow subsurface below the rough graded pads.

Concretions are zones or layers of mineral accumulation (typically calcium carbonate, and in some instances silica) that result in a hard layer of cementation. Depending upon hardness and thickness, concretions can present difficult excavation or drilling conditions, including heavy ripping and percussion breakers during excavation and very slow drill rates, rock coring or percussive drilling techniques or in some rare instances have required blasting.

The additional seventeen (17) shallow borings performed during the second phase field work were drilled to further evaluate the presence and character of concretions in the site area. Concretions were encountered in the additional borings as noted in the boring logs and shown on the cross sections. In our opinion, the more significant occurrences of concretionary layers are those layers on the order of one foot in thickness (or greater). Such concretionary zones were encountered within 15 feet of the ground surface in Borings B-3, B-4, B-5, and B-7 during the first phase of drilling. B-3 encountered the most significant occurrence of concretionary layers on the order of 1 foot in thickness were encountered in 4 of the additional shallow borings (B-14, B-18, B-19, and B-24). A greater concentration of shallow concretions is noted in the western portion of the expansion site. Thinner concretionary layers on the order of 6-inches thick were encountered in many of the borings.

3.4.3 Mission Valley Formation (Tmv)

Tertiary age sedimentary deposits of the Mission Valley Formation are present along the south slopes above the current pad elevations. The Mission Valley Formation is characterized by generally sandy deposits that are very similar to the sandy materials in the underlying Friars Formation. From an engineering perspective the difference between the two formations is not significant for this project, based on local observations of these materials. Sandy and gravelly deposits were encountered in hand-augers HA-1 through HA-4 to the shallow depths explored. Previous projects in the area have encountered difficult drilling or excavation conditions due to concretions within the Mission Valley Formation.

3.5 GROUNDWATER

Our current subsurface explorations did not extend below the groundwater level. However, moist to wet soil conditions (possibly perched water) were encountered at 37 feet bgs in boring B-2 within the Friars Formation. Previous large diameter bucket borings encountered perched water above impermeable layers. Such layers are common in the Mission Valley and Friars Formations.

SECTION 4 SEISMIC AND GELOGIC HAZARDS

This section presents our evaluations of the seismic and geologic hazards at the site based on the results of the previous and current investigations, engineering evaluations and analyses, and professional judgment.

4.1 FAULT RUPTURE

No active or potentially active faults are noted in the site vicinity. The nearest trace of the RCFZ is located approximately 10 miles (16 kilometers) west of the site. Minor unmapped faults may be present in the Tertiary-age sedimentary bedrock, but these are not considered ground-rupture hazards. Fault rupture is not considered a constraint to site development.

4.2 LIQUEFACTION AND SECONDARY EFFECTS

Liquefaction is a phenomenon in which loose to medium dense, saturated, granular materials undergo matrix rearrangement, develop high pore water pressure, and lose shear strength because of cyclic ground vibrations induced by earthquakes. This rearrangement and strength loss is followed by a reduction in bulk volume of the liquefied soils. The secondary effects of liquefaction include sand boils, settlement, reduced soil shear strength, lateral spreading and global instability (flow slides in areas with sloping ground).

The project site is predominately underlain by dense to very dense sands and cohesive materials within tertiary age geologic units. Further, groundwater is expected to occur at depth within the formational soils. Therefore, the potential for liquefaction at the site should be very low.

Strong ground motion can cause the densification of soils, resulting in settlement of the ground surface. This phenomenon is known as seismically-induced settlement or seismic compaction, which typically occurs in dry, loose cohesionless soils. During an earthquake, soil grains may become more tightly packed due to the collapse of voids or pore spaces, resulting in a reduction in the thickness of the soil column. Given the dense or cohesive nature of the subsurface materials, the potential for seismic compaction at the site is considered very low.

4.3 EXPANSION AND COLLAPSE POTENTIAL

The on-site soils are primarily granular materials with a low potential for expansion, however silt and clay layers are present. These fine grained materials are present locally in the subsurface but will be covered by a three-foot thick engineered granular fill at the ground surface. Therefore, expansive soils are not expected to significantly impact the proposed project.

Loose granular soils can be subject to collapse due to wetting and/or inundation. Collapse can occur in dry granular soils that have an unstable soil structure due to deposition or irrigation processes, typically with a skeletal structure that is weakly cemented by soluble salts or clay. Increases in moisture content can cause the inter-particle cementation to reduce, causing changes in volume (collapse), especially when loaded. The surficial soil underlying the site is primarily engineered fill or dense formational soils. Therefore, the potential for collapse at the site should be considered low.

4.4 OTHER HAZARDS

The local geologic conditions indicate that other geologic hazards are not likely to affect the site. Given the geologic and hydrogeologic setting of the site, the potential for subsidence should be low. The site is not located within a designated flood plain and the flood hazard is considered very low. Similarly, the site is located at a distance of about 10 miles from the coastline, therefore a potential for tsunami is considered very low. There are no significant bodies of water nearby, and the potential for seiches to affect the site is considered very low. These hazards should not constitute constraints to proposed improvements.

SECTION 5 DISCUSSIONS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The discussions, conclusions, and recommendations presented in this report are based on the information provided to us, results of previous and current subsurface explorations and laboratory testing, engineering evaluations and analyses, literature research, empirical correlations, and professional judgment.

In our opinion, the project site is geotechnically suitable for the proposed substation expansion. There is a potential to encounter concretions that could locally be resistant to excavation, especially for post-expansion improvements when there is reduced access and smaller equipment may be used for excavation.

This section of the report provides recommendations for design and a discussion of construction considerations.

5.1 EARTHWORK

Earthwork activities at the site are expected to include placement of a select fill cap, additional overexcavation and recompaction to address the presence of concretions, retaining wall backfill, underground trench backfill, placement and compaction of substation wearing course, and subgrade preparation and base placement for AC pavements.

Earthwork should be completed according to SDG&E Standard Specifications and the most recent editions of applicable sections of the County of San Diego grading codes and the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (*i.e.*, Greenbook). The following sections provide further recommendations for general earthwork that are specific to the geotechnical conditions encountered.

5.1.1 Site Preparation

Vegetation, AC pavement and other construction debris within areas that are to be graded should be cleared and properly disposed of off-site. Roots and other vegetative matter should be removed and disposed either offsite or stockpiled for reuse in landscape areas. Existing infrastructure, including foundations from the existing 69kV yard equipment should be properly demolished and disposed at an appropriate facility offsite. Areas disturbed by demolition activities should be removed and recompacted to the satisfaction of the Geotechnical Engineer.

Following the clearing of vegetation and debris, and upon completion of removals, the surface within areas to receive fill should be scarified, moisture conditioned as necessary, and compacted prior to fill placement.

5.1.2 Remedial Grading

To provide suitable material for a pad wearing surface and installation of near-surface improvements, we recommend placement of a select fill cap, a minimum of 3 feet thick. The upper one foot of soil, or more, should consist of Class 2 Aggregate Base. Due to the proposed grading, the existing 5-foot thick fill cap will be reduced in thickness, and in some areas eliminated. Additional over-excavation and recompaction of existing native soil below the select fill cap should be considered in limited areas to reduce the potential for concretions to negatively affect future below-grade activities, as discussed below.

Based on the borings performed, the most significant shallow concretionary layers appear to be localized in the western portion of the expansion site.

One approach to minimizing the impact of concretions is to over-excavate during mass grading to a depth greater than the excavation needed for the anticipated future improvements. The excavated materials are replaced as properly compacted fill. In the western portion of the expansion site in the area of the planned transformers where the frequency of concretions in the shallow subsurface appears to be the greatest, we recommend an over-excavation of 5 feet below finish grade. This approach should minimize the potential for difficult excavation for most post grading improvements. Additional over-excavation and recompaction may be considered on other portions of the proposed expansion area, depending on the vertical extents of the planned improvements; however, the frequency and thickness of concretions in others areas of the site within 5 feet of finish grade appear to be relatively minimal, based on the borings performed.

5.1.3 Fill Materials

Soil within the select fill cap should meet the following criteria:

- Contain no rocks or hard lumps greater than 3 inches in maximum dimension;
- Have a well-graded particle size distribution containing at least 40% of material smaller than 1/4 inch in size, and a fines content (percent, by weight, passing the No. 200 sieve) not exceeding 35%.
- Have an Expansion Index (EI) of 50 or less when tested in accordance with ASTM D4829;
- Have a Liquid Limit (LL) less than 30 and a Plasticity Index (PI) less than or equal to 15; and
- Not have any perishable, spongy, deleterious or otherwise unsuitable material.

In addition, as recommended above, the upper one foot of soil should be Class 2 Aggregate Base.

On-site soil that will be excavated as part of the grading activities is expected to consist of sandy clay and clayey/silty sand. The majority of this material is not expected to meet the fines content requirements for select fill, and therefore the need for imported material for the select fill cap should be anticipated. For general fill outside of/below the select fill cap, including any overexcavation and recompaction zones, the existing soil should be suitable for re-use as fill or backfill.

The Geotechnical Engineer should review and test all proposed select fill sources (imported or on-site) before their use.

5.1.4 Fill Placement and Compaction

The surface within areas to receive fill should be scarified, moisture conditioned to above the optimum moisture content, and compacted to not less than 90 percent relative compaction, using the latest version of ASTM D1557 as the compaction standard. Recompacted existing materials and general fill should be moisture conditioned to at least 2 percent above the optimum moisture content and compacted to not less than 90 percent relative compacted to not less than 90 percent relative.

Fill material should be placed in loose horizontal lifts no thicker than 8 inches, or thinner as needed to achieve the specified relative compaction. Each lift should be compacted to not less than 90 percent relative compaction, using the latest version of ASTM D1557 as the compaction standard.

5.2 RETAINING WALLS

A retaining wall with a maximum height of 4 feet is planned at the location of the transformers between the new 69 kV and 230 kV yards. This wall is expected to be a free-standing cast-in-place concrete or masonry wall.

A retaining wall is also planned along the southern edge of the substation expansion, south of the proposed access road, which will retain a 2:1 H:V cut slope. This wall may be designed as free-standing cast-in-place concrete or masonry walls. However we understand, due to site constraints, top-down wall construction methods such as soldier beam and lagging wall, or soil nail wall may also be considered. Preliminary recommendations are provided below for these alternative wall types.

Retaining walls should be designed for earth and seismic pressures. The development at the top of the slope is located far enough from the proposed wall such that surcharge loading will not impact retaining wall design. Hydrostatic pressures should not develop considering the depth to groundwater and assuming proper drainage behind the walls.

5.2.1 Cast-In-Place Concrete or Masonry Retaining Wall

5.2.1.1 Lateral Earth Pressures

Lateral earth pressures behind proposed retaining walls depend on the allowable wall movement, wall inclination, backfill material and backfill slope. Retaining walls that are free to deflect at the top should be designed for an equivalent fluid weighing 35 and 50 pounds per cubic feet (pcf) for level and 2:1 H:V sloping ground, respectively. This earth pressure assumes the free standing walls will have a vertical back and will retain properly processed, placed and compacted coarse grained soils, exhibiting an internal friction angle of at least 34 degrees. We expect excavated on-site soils will be used as retaining wall backfill below the select fill cap; however, if the processed on-site materials cannot support an internal friction angle of at least 34 degrees, then import soils meeting this criteria may be deemed necessary. They also assume compaction within four feet of the wall will be completed with light hand-held or

equivalent equipment; the lateral pressures would be higher if heavy equipment is used for soil compaction next to the walls.

The seismic pressure increment should be estimated using an inverted triangular distribution equal to 21 pcf. To develop the seismic pressure, the seismic pressure increment should be added to the active earth pressure. The seismic pressure increment was developed using the Generalized Limit Equilibrium (GLE) pseudo-static approach using a horizontal ground acceleration coefficient of 0.19g, equal to one-half the design Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA).

5.2.1.2 Foundations

The foundations for the retaining walls may be designed using the allowable bearing pressures, passive pressures and minimum footing dimensions presented in Section 5.3.1 of this report. Retaining walls footings fully embedded into the Mission Valley Formation may be designed using an allowable passive pressure of 400 pcf. We recommend the footings should be embedded in the formational materials.

5.2.1.3 Subsurface Drainage

Retaining walls that are less than five feet high should have a perimeter drain at the base of the wall. The base drain should be a minimum four-inch diameter perforated pipe. The pipe should be surrounded with at least one cubic foot per lineal foot of pipe of ³/₄-inch crushed rock wrapped with filter fabric, such as Mirafi 140NL, or approved equivalent.

Retaining walls greater than five feet high should have free draining material along the back of the wall in addition to the base drain. The free draining material should be 12 inches wide, as measured horizontally immediately behind the wall, and should consist of ³/₄-inch crushed rock or gravel wrapped in filter fabric. Panel drainage systems, such as Miradrain 6000 or equivalent, may be used as an alternative to a pipe and filter drainage system.

Adequate weep holes or collector pipes need to be incorporated into the system to provide an outlet for the drained water. Drainage from collector pipes should be directed to a suitable outlet.

5.2.2 Soldier Beam and Lagging Wall

The existing 2:1 H:V slope along the south side of the substation may be retained using cantilevered drilled and concreted H-piles (soldier piles) with wood lagging between the soldier piles to provide support for "top-down" construction. Given the relatively low wall heights and the retained soil conditions, lateral restraint, such as tiebacks are not anticipated for this wall.

5.2.2.1 Lateral Pressures

The walls should be designed to resist lateral pressure exerted by the retained soils plus any additional lateral forces resulting from loads placed near the top of the excavation or potential sliding movement behind the wall. The cantilever wall may be designed using an equivalent fluid pressure (EFP) of 50 pcf retaining a 2:1 H:V sloping backfill.

5.2.2.2 Seismic Pressures

The seismic pressure increment should be estimated using an inverted triangular distribution equal to 21 pcf. To develop the seismic pressure, the seismic pressure increment should be added to the active earth pressure. The seismic pressure increment was developed using the Generalized Limit Equilibrium (GLE) pseudo-static approach using a horizontal ground acceleration coefficient of 0.19g, equal to one-half the design Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA).

5.2.2.3 Solider Piles

The design of soldier piles that are fully embedded below the bottom of the excavation may use an allowable passive soil pressure of 400 pcf (in terms of EFP) and an allowable shaft resistance of 300 psf.

To account for three-dimensional effects, the area generating the passive resistance can be assumed to have a width equal to twice that of the concreted pile diameter. Soldier pile locations should be drilled and filled with concrete for the full depth of the passive resistance zone. The design value assumes a horizontal surface for the soil mass extending at least 10 feet in front of the face of the pile, or three times the height of the surface generating passive pressure, whichever is greater.

5.2.2.4 Drainage

No groundwater is anticipated within the depth of the cuts. Drainage should be provided at the top of the wall (with brow ditches) to assure hydrostatic pressures do not build up behind the wall.

5.2.3 Soil Nail Wall

In a soil nailed retention system, steel bars or dowels are installed in-situ during excavation of a cut. The multiple levels of bars or dowels interconnect the soil mass so that each potential failure surface is crossed by sufficient reinforcing elements to maintain stability. The face of the cut is typically shotcreted to minimize raveling. The natural cementation that exists in the on-site formational soils is very favorable for soil nailing.

No groundwater is anticipated within the depth of the cuts. Drainage should be provided at the top of the wall (with brow ditches) and behind the shotcrete face (with prefabricated panel drains) to assure hydrostatic pressures do not build up behind the wall. Weep holes should be provided at the bottom of the panel drains.

The soils may be considered moderately corrosive and encapsulated soil nails are recommended for use in such soils. Encapsulation is usually accomplished by grouting the nail tendon inside a corrugated synthetic sheath. In addition, the minimum grout cover between the sheath and the borehole wall should be at least ½ inch.

Preliminarily, the soil nails may be designed using ultimate bond strength of 2,000 psf. The design of the wall may be performed using Geotechnical Engineering Circular No. 7 (FHWA, 2015) available computer programs.

5.3 FOUNDATIONS

At the proposed finished grade levels, the site should be primarily underlain by engineered fill. We understand the transformers, generators, switchgears, circuit breakers, control shelter and the containment pad will be supported on conventional spread or continuous footings, or pad foundations. H- or A-frames and steel poles will be supported on drilled piers.

Recommendations and design considerations for foundations are presented in the remainder of this section.

5.3.1 Shallow Foundations

The recommended minimum foundation embedment is 18 inches below the lowest adjacent grade. The recommended minimum foundation width is 18 inches. Footings or pads dimensioned as recommended and supported on engineered fill may be designed using an allowable soil bearing pressure of 3,000 psf. The bearing capacity may be increased by 500 psf for every one foot increase in foundation width¹, and by 1,000 psf for every one foot increase in embedment, but not exceeding 5,000 psf. For small, shallow pads with embedments of 6 to 12 inches, an allowable bearing pressure of 2,000 psf may be used. Allowable bearing pressures may be increased by one third for short term wind or seismic loads. The footings should be fully embedded in engineered fill and should not transition between fill and formational soils.

Resistance to lateral loads on the shallow foundations may be provided by passive resistance along the outside face of the foundation and frictional resistance along the bottom of the foundation. The allowable passive resistance may be taken as equivalent to a fluid weighing 300 pounds pcf for foundations poured neat against existing fill or native materials. An allowable friction coefficient of 0.4 may be used with the dead load to compute the frictional resistance of foundations. If frictional and passive resistances are combined, the allowable friction coefficient should be reduced to 0.3.

The upper 12 inches of soil should be neglected in passive pressure calculations in areas where there will be no hardscape that extends from the outside edge of the foundation to a horizontal distance equal to three times the foundation depth. The resistance from passive pressure should be neglected where utilities or similar excavations may occur in the future.

¹ For example, every foot increase in width beyond 18 inches for continuous footings increases the bearing pressure by 500 psf. For isolated pad foundations, both the length and width should be increased by one foot to increase the bearing pressure by 500 psf.

A maximum total settlement of ¹/₂-inch and a differential settlement of ¹/₄-inch have been estimated for uniformly loaded foundations designed for the allowable vertical foundation pressures and other recommendations provided in this report. This settlement is the result of elastic compression of the underlying soil. The majority of the settlement due to loading should occur during construction. Settlement estimates assume that appropriate reinforcement is provided to distribute concentrated loads across the foundation.

5.3.2 Deep Foundations

We anticipate that some new substation equipment may be supported on drilled pier foundations. Drilled pier foundations should have a minimum diameter of 18 inches and a minimum length of eight feet. Axial design recommendations have not been provided in this report since it is expected that design of the equipment would be controlled by lateral loading.

We understand the lateral load resistance of drilled piers subject to high overturning moment loading will be evaluated using the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) computer program, Moment Foundation Analysis and Design (MFAD). The design soil parameters required to use the MFAD program include:

- Soil Layer Depths;
- Groundwater Depth;
- Total Unit Weight;
- Internal Friction Angle;
- Cohesion;
- Deformation Modulus; and
- Strength Reduction Factor.

Estimates of the required parameters were developed based on the results of our site observations, subsurface explorations, laboratory testing, engineering evaluation and analysis, empirical correlation, literature research, and professional judgment. The estimated design parameters are presented in the table below. It should be noted that the design parameters presented in the table are intended for use in the MFAD computer program and may not reflect actual strengths. Pressuremeter testing was not performed as part of this project; deformation modulus (E_p) values are provided based on experience with similar materials.

Location	Material Type	Depth Below Finish Grade (feet)	Unit Weight (pcf)	Friction Angle (degrees)	Cohesion (psf)	Deformation Modulus, Ep (ksi)	Shear Strength Reduction Factor, α
Proposed Expansion Area (future 69 kV yard)	Fill	0 to 5	125	34	200	2.0	1.0
	Friars Formation	>5	125	34	400	4.0	1.0
Proposed 230 kV yard (existing 69 kV yard)	Fill	0 to 4	125	34	200	2.0	1.0
	Friars Formation	>4	125	34	400	4.0	1.0
Proposed Steel Poles (230 kV Loop-In structures)	Weathered Friars Formation	0 to 10	125	34	400	3.0	1.0
	Friars Formation	>10	125	34	400	4.0	1.0

Table 1Recommended MFAD Design ParametersSDG&E Artesian Substation Expansion

* Recommend Neglect = 1 foot

The fill thickness in the proposed expansion area should be evaluated based on the adopted concretion mitigation option.

Groundwater will likely be deeper than the bottom of the deep foundations and does not need to be considered in the analyses.

5.4 CONCRETE SLABS-ON-GRADE

A modulus of vertical subgrade reaction of 150 pounds per cubic inch (pci) may be used to design the concrete slabs-on-grade constructed on engineered fill. The Structural Engineer should design the thickness and reinforcement of concrete slabs-on-grade to accommodate concentrated loads and heavy distributed loads. Expansion joints and crack control sawcuts should be included at regular intervals.

Groundwater is expected to be below the planned improvements and special waterproofing measures are not anticipated for interior floor slab of the control shelter. However, waterproofing should be considered if minor moisture seepage through the floor slab due to external water sources, such as landscaping or ponding water, is a concern.

5.5 FLEXIBLE PAVEMENTS

AC paving may be utilized for the access roads within the substation that may be subject to automotive and heavier service truck loads. Therefore, we have evaluated pavement structural sections for a range of Traffic Indices (TI) of 5.5, 6.5 and 7.5. Further analysis should be performed when an appropriate TI is evaluated by the project Civil Engineer.

The structural design of flexible AC pavement depends primarily on anticipated traffic conditions, subgrade soils, and construction materials. R-Value tests were performed on near-surface bulk samples collected in our borings, which resulted in R-Values ranging between 9 and 23.

Recommended pavement structural sections were developed using an R-value of 15 as representative of the as-graded conditions (engineered fill), and are presented below. These recommendations are considered conservative and confirmatory R-value tests should be completed on samples obtained from the final subgrade materials (on-site or import) where pavements are planned.

Traffic Index	Recommended Asphalt Thickness (in)	Recommended Class 2 Aggregate Base Thickness (in)
5.5	3.0	10
6.5	4.0	12
7.5	4.5	14

Table 2Recommended Asphalt Pavement SectionsSDG&E Artesian Substation Expansion

We understand, to be consistent with other substation access road design, it is SDG&E's intent to design the access roads for a TI or 5.5 using 4 inches of AC. We recommend the desired 4 inches of AC be underlain by 8 inches of Class 2 aggregate base to support the proposed traffic load.

The recommended sections assume properly prepared subgrade consisting of at least 12 inches of subgrade soils compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction, using the latest version of ASTM D1557 as the compaction standard. The Class 2 aggregate base materials should be placed at a minimum relative compaction of 95 percent. Construction materials (asphalt and aggregate base) should conform to the current Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (Green Book) or Caltrans Standard Specifications. We recommend that a qualified geotechnical professional observe and test the compaction of subgrade and base materials.

5.6 SURFACE DRAINAGE

Measures should be taken to properly finish grade the site to direct surface water away from foundations, floor slabs and pavement areas.

5.7 STORMWATER CONSIDERATIONS

We understand that the existing detention basin will be expanded within the project area. Based on the results of the percolation tests, an infiltration rate of 4 x 10^{-4} cm/sec may be used to design the detention basin.

5.8 SEISMIC DESIGN

5.8.1 Strong Ground Motions and CBC Seismic Parameters

The project area will likely be subject to moderate to severe ground shaking in response to a local or more distant large-magnitude earthquake occurring during the expected life of the proposed facilities.

For design in accordance with the 2013 CBC (based on ASCE 7-10), the following parameters should be used. These parameters are developed in the code based on Risk-Targeted Maximum Considered Earthquake (MCE_R) ground motion response accelerations. The evaluation of these parameters assumes a Site Classification C, based on the encountered subsurface conditions.

Parameter	Value	Reference
Site Class	С	ASCE 7-10, Table 20.3-1
Mapped Spectral Acceleration - Short Period, $S_s(g)$	0.946	2013 CBC Figure 1613.3.1(2) ¹
Mapped Spectral Acceleration - 1 Sec. Period, S ₁ (g)	0.370	2013 CBC Figure 1613.5(4) ¹
Site Coefficient - Short Period, Fa	1.022	2013 CBC Table 1613.3.3(1) ¹
Site Coefficient - 1 Sec. Period, Fv	1.430	2013 CBC Table 1613.3.3(2) ¹
MCE ² Spectral Response Acceleration - Short Period, S_{MS} (g)	0.966	2013 CBC Equation 16-37, $S_{MS}=F_aS_S$
$\begin{array}{l} MCE^2 \mbox{ Spectral Response Acceleration - 1 Sec.} \\ Period, \mbox{ S}_{M1} \mbox{ (g)} \end{array}$	0.529	2013 CBC Equation 16-38, $S_{M1}=F_vS_1$
Design Spectral Response Acceleration - Short Period, S_{DS} (g)	0.644	2013 CBC Equation 16-39, S _{DS} =2/3*S _{MS}
Design Spectral Response Acceleration - 1 Sec. Period, S_{D1} (g)	0.352	2013 CBC Equation 16-40, S _{D1} =2/3*S _{M1}

Table 32013 CBC Seismic Coefficients (based on ASCE 7-10)SDG&E Artesian Substation Expansion

Notes:

1. Calculated using U.S. Seismic Design Maps web application developed by USGS.

2. MCE – Maximum Considered Earthquake.

3. Site coordinates estimated from 'Google Earth' computer program used to evaluate coefficients: 33.02074; -117.13352.

5.8.2 Substation Equipment Seismic Qualification Level

The selection of the seismic qualification level for the performance evaluation of substation equipment is described in IEEE Standard 693-2005 (IEEE, 2006). IEEE provides for the use of the low, moderate or high qualification levels based on the peak ground acceleration level at the site. We understand it is current SDG&E practice to assign a "high" seismic qualification level at all of their substations.

5.9 CORROSION POTENTIAL

Laboratory testing on near surface soil samples resulted in electrical resistivity ranging from 464 to 1,852 ohms-centimeter (ohm-cm); these soils may be considered "moderately to very corrosive" to metallic utility piping and conduits. The results of the near-surface tests, summarized in Table 4 below, indicate that the soil has a considerable to severe potential for sulfate attack to concrete and a potential for chloride attack to concrete.

Boring	Depth (feet)	рН	Minimum Resistivity (Ohm-cm)	Sulfate Content (ppm)	Chloride Content (ppm)
B-1	2.5	8.3	1,852	198	6.3
B-3	5	7.3	812	64	97
B-4	40	4.1	464	1,050	242
B-5	25	4.7	508	16,600	116
B-6	2.5	7.7	808	289	219
B-7	3.0	7.3	880	126	65

Table 4Summary of Corrosivity Test ResultsSDG&E Artesian Substation Expansion

Notes:

(1) ppm = parts per million, ohm-cm = ohm-centimeter.

A corrosion engineer should be consulted for design recommendations. The type of concrete and corrosion protection for steel should be determined by the structural and/or corrosion engineer.

5.10 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

5.10.1 Excavation Characteristics

Excavations will be in fill and formational soils, consisting typically of medium dense to very dense sand and silty sand with layers of hard silt and clay. Concretionary (cemented) layers or zones may be present below the select fill cap and any overexcavated and recompacted soil. The concretionary (cemented) layers will present difficult and potentially very difficult excavation conditions, depending upon the thickness and lateral extent of the cemented layer and the equipment being used to excavate or drill.

Foundation and trench excavations that would extend into the undisturbed formational soils may encounter difficult excavation characteristic as a result of the concretions. Conventional earth moving equipment (bulldozers, scrapers, etc.) should be able to excavate the formational materials with moderate effort except for the concretionary layers, which will require greater effort and will generate oversized material and require special handling. Previous and current borings indicate the presence of concretions ranging from 1 to 2 feet in thickness at the drilled locations. The need for blasting is not anticipated given the subsurface information available, although there is could be a need for heavy ripping during excavation.

This assessment assumes that the excavating equipment is well maintained and operating at factoryspecified efficiencies. The choice of excavation method is often a function of economics, level of desired effort, logistics, quality and size of machinery used, permit conditions, and contractor convenience.

5.10.2 Drilled Pier Construction

Construction of drilled pier foundations should be performed in accordance with SDG&E Specification S-76 and ACI 336.1. Groundwater is not expected to occur in quantities that could require "wet" construction methods. Localized zones of coarse-grained soils that could experience caving during drilling could be encountered during construction. Difficult drilling conditions resulting from concretionary layers will likely be encountered in the site area. This could result in refusal to augering and requiring coring or percussive drilling methods to penetrate concretionary layers.

The founding level of drilled piers should be cleaned of all loose or softened material, debris, or other substances that may cause settlement or affect the concrete strength. The bottom of the shaft and the excavation should be dry prior to placing concrete.

Concrete should be placed in excavations in a manner that precludes segregation of particles and any other occurrence that may decrease the strength of the concrete. Caving soils should not be allowed to mix with the fresh concrete.

5.10.3 Temporary Slopes

The design and construction of temporary slopes, as well their maintenance and monitoring during construction, is the responsibility of the Contractor. The Contractor should have a geotechnical or geological professional evaluate the soil conditions encountered during excavation to determine permissible temporary slope inclinations and other measures as required by California OSHA (Cal/OSHA). The Contractor's geotechnical or geological professional may use the factual information provided in this report, as well as any additional data they may need to acquire, to assess the stability of temporary slopes and prepare a specific temporary slope analysis and/or develop parameters to design temporary support systems.

Based on the existing data interpreted from site reconnaissance and subsurface exploration, the design of temporary slopes and benches for planning purposes may assume the conditions summarized below.

Table 5 Cal/OSHA Soil Types SDG&E Artesian Substation Expansion

Geological Unit	Cal/OSHA Soil Type
Fill	Туре С
Mission Valley / Friars Formation	Туре С

Existing infrastructure that is within a 2:1 H:V line projected up from the bottom edge (toe) of temporary slopes should be monitored during construction.

The Contractor should note the materials encountered in construction excavations could vary significantly across the site. The above assessment of Cal/OSHA soil type for temporary excavations is based on preliminary engineering classifications of material encountered in widely spaced explorations. The Contractor's geotechnical or geological professional should observe and map mass excavations and temporary slopes at regular intervals during excavation and assess the stability of temporary slopes, as necessary.

The tops of all excavations should be graded to prevent runoff from entering the excavation. Temporary slopes should not be allowed to become soaked with water or to dry out. Surcharge loads should not be permitted near the edge of excavations; they should be located a horizontal distance greater than the depth of the cut, measured horizontally from the top edge of the excavation, unless the cut is properly shored and designed to accommodate the surcharge.

We understand there is a Right-of-Way constraint behind the retaining wall and the wall designer desires to utilize a steeper temporary slope than that recommended based on Cal/OSHA soil type in this Section. Preliminary stability analyses of the temporary excavation with a 2-foot vertical cut at the toe followed by a slope inclined at $\frac{3}{4}$:1 H:V with a maximum height of 9 feet was performed by URS. The stability analyses were completed using Spencer's method of limit equilibrium slope stability analysis, as incorporated in the SLOPE/W software from GeoSlope, Inc. The calculated minimum Factor of Safety (FOS) met the typically accepted minimum FOS of 1.1 for temporary conditions; results of our analyses are attached to this letter. The analyses used an effective cohesion (c') of 200 pounds per square foot (psf) and effective internal friction angle (φ ') of 32 degrees. The slope stability analysis did not consider static groundwater or seepage pressures.

Based on our evaluation, the temporary slopes should be stable if formed at an inclination of ³/₄:1 H:V (or flatter) with a 2-foot vertical cut at the toe. There may be local raveling and sloughing of the slope surface where pockets of coarse grained materials with few cohesive fines are encountered. Recommendations for maintenance are provided below:

- A Geologist from URS should periodically observe the face of the excavated temporary slope.
- The Contractor shall provide safe working conditions in accordance with Cal/OSHA requirements.
- Surface drainage should be directed away from the slope. Surface drainage should not run over the face of the temporary slope or pond at the crest of the slope.
- All sources of construction surcharge loads should be kept away from the crest of the temporary slope a horizontal distance that is greater than the maximum height of the slope. There should be provisions at the crest of the temporary slope to prevent debris from falling into the excavation.
- A "Competent Person" as defined by Cal/OSHA and designated by Contractor should inspect the temporary slope at least twice daily, or more frequently if required by Cal/OSHA and other reasons (e.g., inclement weather), for evidence of groundwater seepage and movement or other forms of distress. If groundwater seepage, movement or other forms of distress are observed, the Contractor should take all necessary steps to avoid further groundwater seepage, movement or

distress, including suspending activities in the affected area, with the exception of those activities that may be needed to avoid further groundwater seepage movement or distress. The Contractor should immediately report their observations and proposed remedial actions to URS' Geotechnical Engineer. The Contractor should not resume activities until demonstrating that it is safe to do so.

5.11 CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATION AND TESTING

Earthwork and placement of engineered fill should be performed under the observation and testing services of a geotechnical professional supervised by a California-registered Geotechnical Engineer. Tests should be taken to determine the in-place moisture and relative compaction of engineered fill.

A geotechnical or geological professional should observe the construction of the retaining walls that are ultimately selected for the site.

All foundation excavations, and slab and pavement subgrade soils, should be continuously observed by a geotechnical or geologic professional prior to placement of steel and concrete to observe that the subgrade is satisfactory. Foundation excavations should be free of soft, loose and disturbed soils.

A geotechnical or geological professional should observe the construction of each drilled pier under the supervision of a California-registered Geotechnical Engineer. Daily drilled pier observation and construction records should be maintained.

A California-registered Geotechnical Engineer should prepare a final report of foundation installation, and earthwork testing and observation.

SECTION 6 LIMITATIONS

URS has observed only a very small portion of the pertinent subsurface conditions. The recommendations presented in this report are based on the assumption that soil and geologic conditions do not deviate appreciably from those observed in the previous and current subsurface explorations.

We recommend that URS provide observation and testing during fill placement, subgrade preparation and aggregate base placement under concrete slabs and pavements, utility trench backfill, foundation excavations, and other forms of geotechnically significant types of construction to evaluate if the site conditions are as anticipated, or to provide revised recommendations, if necessary. If variations or undesirable geotechnical conditions are encountered during construction, URS should be consulted for further recommendations.

This report is not a contractual statement of geotechnical conditions (baseline report). The contractor should make their own interpretations regarding construction conditions (e.g., excavation characteristics) using the factual information provided in this report.

Geotechnical engineering and the geologic sciences are characterized by uncertainty. Professional judgments presented herein are based partly on our understanding of the proposed construction, and partly on our general experience. Our engineering work and judgments rendered meet current professional standards; we do not guarantee the performance of the project in any respect.

SECTION 7 REFERENCES

- American Concrete Institute (ACI), 2001. "Specification for the Construction of Drilled Piers," Reported by ACI Committee 336 (ACI 336.1-01).
- ASCE, 2010. Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures, ASCE/SEI 7-10, 2010.
- California Building Code, 2013. California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 2, Volume 2. California Building Standards Commission.
- EPRI, 2005. MFAD User Guide
- Geocon, 2005. "Final Report of Testing and Observation Services During Site Grading, Black Mountain Ranch North Village West – Unit 9, Lots 1 through 149, W.O. No. 421479, Drawing No. 32577, Camino Del Sur (324+50 to 348+00), Paseo Del Sur (58+00 to 69+50), and Babcock Street, W.O. No. 990032, Drawing No. 30025 and W.O. No. 421184, Drawing No. 32380, San Diego, California," September 30, 2005 (Project No. 07096-32-05).
- GeoStudio, 2012. Stability Modeling with SLOPE/W, An Engineering Methodology, June 2013 Edition.

IBC, 2012. International Building Code.

- IEEE, 2006, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Seismic Design of Substations," IEEE Standard 693-2005, IEEE Power Engineering Society, May 8, 2006.
- Kennedy, Michael P., Siang S. Tan, 2005. Geologic Map of the Oceanside 30' x 60' Quadrangle, California. Digital preparation by Bovard, K.R., Michael J. Watson and Carlos I. Guitierrez.
- NAVFAC, 1982. Foundations and Earth Structures, Design Manual 7.2. Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, May 1982.
- United States Geological Survey (USGS), 2014. U.S. Seismic Design Maps. Available: <u>http://geohazards.usgs.gov/designmaps/us/application.php</u>. Accessed: March 2015.
- URS, 2000. "Geotechnical Investigation for the Proposed Artesian Substation, San Diego, California," dated November 30, 2000 (Project No. 58-9911062M.00-SI001).
- URS, 2003. "Earthwork Report of Engineering Observations of Mass Grading and Testing of Compacted Fill, Wall Backfill, Storm Drain Trench Backfill, Subdrain Trench Backfill, and Base, SDG&E Artesian Substation, San Diego, California," dated August 7, 2003 (Project No. 27644937.00001).



FIGURE 2 – SITE PLAN AND GEOLOGIC MAP

FIGURE 2 HAS BEEN OMITTED – IT HAS BEEN SUBMITTED UNDER CONFIDENTIAL COVER

(Privileged and Confidential pursuant to P.U. Code 583, 454.5(g), GO 66-C and D.06-06-066)



Leg	end
	Project Area
	Qya - Quaternary age young alluvium
	Qyc - Quaternary age young colluvium
	Qls - Quaternary age landslide deposits
	Qls? - Quaternary age possible landslide deposits
	Qoa - Quaternary age old alluvium
	Qvoa - Quaternary age very old alluvium
	Tmv - Tertiary age Mission Valley Formation
	Tst - Tertiary age Stadium Conglomerate
	Tf - Tertiary age Friars Formation
	KI - Cretaceous age Lusardi Formation
	Kgd - Cretaceous age granodiorite
	Klh - Cretaceous age leucogranodiorite of Lake Hodges
	Kt - Cretaceous age tonalite
	Kwm - Cretaceous age granodiorite of Mount Woodson
	Kgb - Cretaceous age gabbo
	Mzu - Mesozoic age metasedimentary and metavolcanic, undivide

1000	2000	Feet	CREATED) BY: PM	Date: 6/3/2015	FIG. NO:	
(1:24,000 PRINTED)) AT 11X17	7	PM: MEH	PROJ. NC	27661509.00000	3	



J:\27661515 TSAC SDG&E 11004 Artesian Substation\012 GIS MAPS & CAD\Revised Drawings 5-29-15\Figure 4_Fault Map.dwg Jun 03, 2015 - 11:34am

CHEMEHUEVI

+ VALLEY

LEGEND:

REPORTED EARTHQUAKE MAGNITUDES.

Ø	4.0	TO	4.9
O	5.0	TO	5.9
\bigcirc	6.0	TO	6.9

(1) 7.0 AND GREATER

BLYTH

o

SOUTH OF LATITUDE 35°N, EPICENTER AND MAGNITUDE DATA ARE FROM THE CALIFORNIA GEOLOGICAL SURVEY (2000) EARTHQUAKE CATALOG FOR THE PERIOD FROM 1769 TO 2000. ONLY EARTHQUAKES OF MAGNITUDE 4.0 AND LARGER ARE SHOWN. AFTERSHOCKS ARE NOT INCLUDED.

_____;

APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF HOLOCENE AND PLEISTOCENE FAULTS, DOTTED WHERE CONCEALED, QUERIED WHERE CONJECTURAL. FAULT LOCATIONS BASED ON: ZIONY AND JONES, 1989; CDMG GEOLOGIC MAP SERIES OF CALIFORNIA, 1977–1986 (1:250,000 SCALE); CDMG GEOLOGIC MAP SERIES, CALIFORNIA CONTINENTAL MARGIN, 1987 (1:250,000 SCALE); HAUKSSON, 1990; SHAW AND SHEARER, 1999; WRIGHT, 1991; GRANT ET AL. 1999.



REGIONAL FAULT AND EPICENTER MAP SDG&E ARTESIAN SUBSTATION EXPANSION SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

15 30 km	CHECKED B	Y: PB	DATE: 06-04-15	FIG. NO:
kilometers	PM: MEH	PROJ.	NO: 27661515.40000	4




U:\Projects_Jobs\27661515 (60398881) TSAC SDG&E 11004 Artesian Substation\012 GIS MAPS & CAD\Revised Drawings 5-29-15\Figure 2_Site Plan.dwg Sep 24, 2015 - 3:15pm



SECTION E-E'

LEGEND

EXISTING GROUND SURFACEPROPOSED GROUND SURFACEGEOLOGIC CONTACTImage: SMBORING STICK LOGS WITH UNIFIED
SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYMBOLCONCRETIONARY ZONES-C-C-C-C-MINOR CONCRETIONARY ZONE
(< 6" THICK)</td>

SDG URS





GEOLOGIC CROSS-SECTION E-E' SDG&E ARTESIAN SUBSTATION EXPANSION SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

-					
CHECKED B	Y: PB	DATE:	09-24-15	FIG.	NO:
PM: MEH	PROJ.	NO: 2766	61515.40000	7	7

URS

Boring logs from the previous investigation (URS, 2000) for the existing 69 kV yard are provided in this appendix. Additional geotechnical data, including boring logs from other areas of the site and laboratory test data are included in the original report.



Key to Log

			SA	MPLE	S					- 4-	
feet, MSL	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Percent Recovery	Blows/foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Gas Well Completion Log	Water Content, %	Dry Density, pc	REMARKS/ OTHER TEST
		\square					Dense, moist, brown, silty SAND (SM).				· · · ·
						1117	[solid line denotes observed contact between geologic				
						FFFFFFFFFFFFF	units] [dashed line denotes inferred contact between strata				
							or gradational change in soil type]				
							SAMPLE TYPES				Hard drilling at 5
		\square	1			1	Disturbed sample obtained by collecting cuttings in a bag		6		
		Д					or suck.				
		Ν	2	10	85		Modified California sample; driven sample collected in 2-inch I.D., 2.5-inch, O.D. sampler		13	105	LL=27, PI=4
		A				-					
							Water level in borehole at time of drilling (ATD) 5				
							Water level measured in well on specified date				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	<u>CC</u>)LU	MN	DES	CRIP	TIONS					
	Г	1	Fla	evatio	n.		Flevation (in feet) with respect to arbitrary site d	atum.			
	ſ	2	De	epth:			Distance (in feet) below the ground surface.				
	ĺ	3	Se	mple	Туре:		Type of soil sample collected at depth interval de	picted;	symb	ols e>	plained above.
	Ì	4	Se	mple	Numb	er:	Sample identification number.				
	ĺ	5	Pe	ercent	Recov	ery:	Percentage of sample recovered for given sample	interva	al; bla	nk if r	not recorded.
	[6	<u>S</u> a	ample	Blowa	/foot:	Number of blows required to advance driven sam using a 140-lb hammer with a 30-inch drop.	npler 1	foot, d	or dist	tance indicated
	[7	G	aphic	Log:		Graphic depiction of subsurface material encount Sheet 2 of Key.	tered; s	ymbol	s exp	lained on
	[8	M	aterial	Desci	ription:	Description of subsurface material encountered,	includin	g US(CS so	il designation.
	[9	W	ell Co	mpleti	on Log	Graphic depiction of gas well construction; symb	ols on	sheet	2 of	key.
		10	W	ater C	onten	<u>t, %:</u>	Natural water content of soil determined in lab, e	xpress	ed as	perce	ntage.
		11	D	ry Den	sity, p	ocf:	Undisturbed dry unit weight of soil determined ir	n lab, in	poun	ds pe	r cubic foot.
		12	<u>Re</u>	emark	B/Othe	r Tests	Comments or observations regarding drilling/sam URS's field personnel. Laboratory test results ar abbreviated format: refer to Sheet 2 of Key for a	npling m e prese bbrevia	nade b nted i tions	oy dril n used.	ler or
	GE	INE	RA	L NO	TES						
		1	. So co Fi	oil clas onsiste eld de	ssificat ency/re scripti	tions a elative ons ma	e based on the Unified Soil Classification System (US density (where standard blow count correlation is pos ly have been modified to reflect results of laboratory t	CS) and sible), r ests.	l inclu noistu	ide ire, ar	nd color.
		2	. Do w	escript ere ad times	tions c Ivance s.	on thes d. The	e boring logs apply only at the specific boring location y are not warranted to be representative of subsurfac	s and a e condi	t the tions	time t at oth	he borings er locations
11/29/00	IGL10	BIKK	EY				URS				A 4

Project: SDG&E Artesian Project Location: San Diego. CA	Substation	Key to Log
Project Number: 58-9911062M	.00-SI001	Sheet 2 of 2
	ABBREVIATIONS FOR LABORATORY TE LISTED IN "REMARKS / OTHER TESTS" SA() - Sieve Analysis (percent passing #20 UC() - Unconfined Compression test (percent per square foot) LL - Liquid Limit PI - Plasticity Index UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION ST SYMBOL VERSUS CORRESPONDING GR	ST RESULTS " COLUMN DO sieve) ent in pounds YSTEM SYMBOLS FOR W CONSTRUCTION
	Sand Silt Sand/Silt Gravel	
		CASING IN SAND PAC
		SAND PACK
1.1		

F ₹.

Ì

1

1

50

[

1





Log of Boring BA-2

Date(s) Drilled	3/27/00	Logged By	A. Herker	Checked S. Fitzwilliem
Drilling Method	Bucket auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	30"	Total Depth 30.5 Drilled (feet)
Drill Rig Type	Earthdrill 45L	Drilling Contractor	Larive Drilling	Hammer Weight/ 3500 lbs/12 in. Drop (lbs/in.)
Groundwater and Date Me	Level None encountered	Sampler Type	Sack, ModCal	Approx. Surface Elevation (ft, MSL) 532.5
Comments	See Site Plan			Borehole Soil cuttings

		S	AMPLE	S						
Elevation, feet, MSL	Depth, feet	Type Number	Percent Recovery	Blows/foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Gas Well Completion Log	Water Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	REMARKS/ OTHER TESTS
-530	-	2-1				COLLUVIUM Medium dense, moist, brown, poorly graded fine SAND (SP) to sandy CLAY(CL)				
	5-	2-3 2-4				MISSION VALLEY FORMATION Dense to very dense, moist, yellowish brown, silty fine SANDSTONE (SM)		8		SA(22)
-525	-					Cross-bedding Fine sandy zone (SP/SM) At 8', bedding, N75W 10°SW				
520	10					Gravels				
	15-	2-5				At 15', bedding, N50W 12-25°SW Green claystone clasts				
-515	20-	2-6 2-6A				Iron oxide stain FRIARS FORMATION Hard, moist, green CLAYSTONE (CL) with chunks of yellowish white, soft, fat clay Becomes light green SILTSTONE (ML)		24		
-510	-					Concretion lens with iron-oxide staining				
	25-	2-7								
-505	30					- -				
11/30/00	1GL10B1	11062		···					F	igure A-3

			SA	MPI F				L				
Elevation, feet, MSL	6 Depth, 6 feet	Type	Number	Percent Recovery	Blows/foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIP	PTION	Gas Well Completion Log	Water Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	REMARKS OTHER TES
		-					Bottom of boring at 30.5 feet					
500		-										
	35-						-	-				
405								-				
490								-				
	40-						-	_				
490								-				
								-				
	45-						-	_				
485								-				
						-		-				
	50-					-	-					
480								-				
								-				
	55-							-				
475								-				
	60-					-		-				
								-				
470								-				
	65-					-						

[

[

[

T

1

٢

Log of Boring BA-3

Date(s) Drilled	3/28/00	Logged By	A. Harker	Checked By	S. Fitzwilliam
Drilling Method	Bucket auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	30"	Total Depth Drilled (feet)	20.0
Drill Rig Type	Earthdrill 45L	Drilling Contractor	Larive Drilling	Hammer Weight/ Drop (lbs/in.)	3500 lbs/12 in.
Groundwate and Date Me	r Level None encountered	Sampler Type	Sack, ModCal	Approx. Surface Elevation (ft, MSL	,516.5
Comments	SE corner of site			Borehole Backfill Soil cu	Ittings

ſ			SA	MPLI	ES						
Elevation, feet, MSL	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Percent Recovery	Blows/foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Gas Well Completion Log	Water Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	REMARKS/ OTHER TESTS
-515		X	3-1				COLLUVIUM Medium dense, moist, brown, clayey SAND (SC) with cobbles and fine to coarse gravel Stiff, brown to olive green, sandy fat CLAY (CH), with carbonate veins and zones				
-510	5		3-3 3-4		6		FRIARS FORMATION Hard, moist, green, fat CLAYSTONE (CH), blocky with carbonate veins Becomes silty CLAYSTONE (CL) with iron oxide staining, micaceous		20	105	UC(8300) SA(68), LL=51, PI=21
505	10	X	3-5 3-8				Very dense, moist, light green, poorly graded fine to medium SANDSTONE (SP), with clayey SAND zones (SC) Hard, moist, green, fat CLAYSTONE (CH)		16		
-500	15-	X	3-7				Concretions At 16', bedding, N70W 20°NE Concretion zone Very dense, moist, light yellowish brown, silty fine to coarse SANDSTONE (SM)				
-495	20						Bottom of boring at 20 feet				
-490	25										
11/30/00	30	1106	52							F	igure A-4

5

5

Log of Boring BA-4

Date(s) Drilled	3/28/00	Logged By	A. Harker	Checked S. Fitzwilliam
Drilling Method	Bucket auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	30"	Total Depth 38.0 Drilled (feet)
Drill Rig Type	Earthdrill 45L	Drilling Contractor	Larive Drilling	Hammer Weight/ 3500 lbs/12 in. Drop (lbs/in.)
Groundwater and Date Me	r Level None encountered	Sampler Type	Sack, ModCal	Approx. Surface Elevation (ft, MSL) 543.0
Comments	East central portion of site			Borehole Soil cuttings

				SA	MPL	ES						
Elevation, feet. MSI	Depth,	Teet	l ype	Number	Percent Recovery	Blows/foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Gas Well Completion Log	Water Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	REMARKS/ OTHER TESTS
	,	2	4	-1				COLLUVIUM Stiff, moist, brown to reddish brown, sandy CLAY (CL)				
-54	D		7 4	-2				MISSION VALLEY FORMATION Dense to very dense, moist, dark yellowish brown, poorly graded fine SANDSTONE (SP)			5 - -	
	E		4	-3		5/5"		Siltstone and sandstone concretions		8		
-53	5	-						Yellowish brown, silty fine sand lens				
	10											
-53	0		8					Dense, moist, light yellowish brown to pale gray, silty SANDSTONE (SM)				
	15		4.	-4				Hard, moist, greenish brown, silty CLAYSTONE (CL) to SILTSTONE (ML) with iron staining At 17', bedding, N10W 15°SW		16	113	UC(10360)
-52	20	_ Σ	4	-5				At 18', bedding N15E 20°NW 				
- 5.2/			₫ 4-	-6				Sand lens				
	25							Very dense, moist, pale yellow, poorly graded fine to coarse SAND (SP) with fine gravel				
E			4-	-7 -8				Becomes wet, gray				Seep at 26-27.5'
-915	, 30	7	4-8	BA				Hard, wet, brown to green, CLAYSTONE (CH) to SILTSTONE (ML)				
11/30	00 1GL1	0B1 1	1062								F	igure A-5



[

Log of Boring BA-5

Date(s) Drilled	3/29/00	Logged By	A. Harker	Checked By	S. Fitzwilliam
Drilling Method	Bucket auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	30"	Total Depth Drilled (feet)	35.0
Drill Rig Type	Earthdrill 45L	Drilling Contractor	Larive Drilling	Hammer Weight/ Drop (lbs/in.)	NA
Groundwater and Date Mea	Level None encountered	Sampler Type	Sack, ModCal	Approx. Surface Elevation (ft, MSL	,527.0
Comments	Central portion of site	-		Borehole Soil c	uttings

			SA	MPL	ES						
Elevation, feet, MSL	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Percent Recovery	Blows/foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Gas Well Completion Log	Water Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	REMARKS/ OTHER TESTS
-525	0	X	5-1 5-2				COLLUVIUM Stiff, moist, brown, sandy lean CLAY (CL) MISSION VALLEY FORMATION Very stiff to dense, moist, light yellowish brown, sandy CLAYSTONE to clayey SANDSTONE (CL/SC)		13		SA(27)
-520	5	X	5-3 5-4				1" thick claystone layer N65°E 15°NW Fine to coarse SANDSTONE (SP) lense 1-2" thick claystone layer N75°E 14°NW Hard, moist, yellowish brown, clayey SILTSTONE (ML) 1-2" yellowish brown, claystone layer (N-S 8°W), becomes pale gray				
-515	10	×.	5-5 5-5A				Becomes yellowish brown 2'x1' claystone clast Seep FRIARS FORMATION Hard, moist, greenish gray CLAYSTONE (CH)		19		Seep 11.5'
-510	15-						Concretion -				Seep at 14'
	20-						Concretion -				
-505			5-6				Irregular contact Very dense, moist, light yellowish brown, silty fine to		9		
-500	25-		5-7 -7A				coarse SANDSTONE (SM) with fine gravel 				
	30-	5	5-8 -8A				At 28', bedding, N55W 33°NE				
11/30/00	IGL10B	1106	52				URS				Iguie A.O



Log of Boring BA-6

Date(s) 3/29/00 Drilled	Logged By	A. Harker	Checked By	S. Fitzwilliam
Drilling Bucket auger Method	Drill Bit Size/Type	30"	Total Depth Drilled (feet)	41.5
Drill Rig Earthdrill 45 L Type	Drilling Contractor	Larive Drilling	Hammer Weight/ Drop (lbs/in.)	NA
Groundwater Level None encountered	Sampler Type	Sack	Approx. Surface Elevation (ft, MSL	.) ^{502.0}
Comments See Site Plan			Borehole Soil c Backfill	uttings

	-	S	AMPLI	ES						
Elevation, feet, MSL	Depth, feet	Type Number	Percent Recovery	Blows/foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Gas Well Completion Log	Water Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	REMARKS/ OTHER TESTS
		6-1				COLLUVIUM Stiff, moist, dark brown, sandy CLAY (CL)				
-500	-	6-2				HIGHLY WEATHERED FRIARS/RESIDUAL SOIL Dense, moist, light yellowish brown, silty fine to coarse SANDSTONE (SM) with fine gravel		9		
	5-	X 6-3				Becoming sandy CLAYSTONE (CL)	-			
-495	-	6-4				Dense, moist, pale yellowish brown, silty SANDSTONE (SM), with iron and manganese staining	-	15		SA(40)
	10									
	-01	,								
-490	-					Pale gray siltstone concretion Very dense, molst, pale gray, silty fine to coarse SANDSTONE (SM)				
	15-					Gravels		- - -		
-485	-					Becomes silty SANDSTONE to sandy SILTSTONE (SM/ML) with fine gravel				
	20-	X 6-5				Manganese staining				
-480	-					Green rip up clast	-			
	25	X				Large green CLAYSTONE (CH) clast Bedding N50W 20°SW	-			
	20-					Green claystone rip up clasts	-			
-475	-					Green claystone clasts Irregular contact N50E 38°NW Hard, moist, green, CLAYSTONE (CL/CH) with iron	-			
	30-	6-6				oxide staining		24		
11/30/00	1GL10B	1 11062							F	igure A-7







Log of Boring BA-8

Date(s) Drilled	10/9/00	Logged By	A. Harker	Checked S. Fitzwilliam
Drilling Method	Bucket auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	30"	Total Depth 40) Drilled (feet)
Drill Rig Type	Earthdrill 45L	Drilling Contractor	Larive Drilling	Hammer Weight/ NA Drop (lbs/in.)
Groundwate and Date M	er Level leasured None encountered	Sampler Type	Sack	Approx. Surface Elevation (ft, MSL)532.0
Comments	See Site Plan			Borehole Soil cuttings

		S	AMPL	ES						
Elevation, feet, MSL	Depth, feet	Type Number	Percent Recovery	Blows/foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Gas Well Completion Log	Water Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	REMARKS/ OTHER TESTS
	Ŭ					COLLUVIUM Stiff, moist, dark brown, sandy CLAY(CL)				
-530	-	8-1				MISSION VALLEY FORMATION Very dense, moist, pale yellowish brown, silty fine SANDSTONE (SM)				
-525	5	8-2				At 5', 1" thick siltstone layer N15W 10°SW				
	-	Δ					-			
	10-	8-3				Hard, moist, greenish brown to reddish brown, thinly bedded and laminated CLAYSTONE, SILTSTONE and less SANDSTONE (shaley), locally fat, waxy block clay layers				
	-	8-4				N80W 8°SE	-			
-520	-					At 12', N75W 10SW				
		8-5				-				
	15-					Dense, moist, orange, silty fine to medium SANDSTONE (SM)				
-515		8-6				Hard, moist to wet, green CLAYSTONE (CH)				
	20-					Sandy concretionary zone Becomes SILTSTONE (ML)	-			
-510	-					Concretionary zone	-			
	25-	8-7				Very dense to hard, moist, pale vellowish green, siltv				
-505	-		3			fine SANDSTONE to sandy siltstone (SM/ML)	-			
	-	X 8-8				Becomes light brownish yellow, silty fine				
	30_	8-84				SANDSTOŇE (SM)	1			
11/20.00	101107	11082	_						F	igure A-9
11/30 00	, IGLIUB	11002								

Log of Boring BA-8

Sheet 2 of 2

			SA	MPLE	S						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Elevation, feet, MSL	o Depth, feet	Type	Number	Percent Recovery	Blows/foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Gas Well Completion Log	Water Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	REMARKS/ OTHER TEST
-500	30-						Very dense, moist, gray, silty SANDSTONE (SM)				
495	35 -	XXX	8-9 8-10 8-11				Hard, moist, green CLAYSTONE (CH) At 35', waxy surfaces Very dense, moist, gray, silty fine to coarse SANDSTONE (SM)				
	40-	X	8-12				Very dense, moist, gray, poorly graded fine to coarse				
490		-					Bottom of boring at 40 feet				
485	45-						 				
	50-						•				
480											
475	55-										
	60~						- · ·				
470											
	65-										
11/30/00	0 1GL10	B1 11	062				URS			F	igure A-9



SDG&E Artesian Substation Project: Log of Boring GW-2 Project Location: San Diego, CA Sheet 1 of 1 Project Number: 58-9911062M.00-SI001 Date(s) Logged Checked 3/16/00 A. Harker S. Fitzwilliam Drilled By By Drilling Drill Bit Total Depth 8" Hollow stem auger 29.0 Method Drilled (feet) Size/Type Hammer Weight/ Drill Rig Drilling **CME 75** West Hazmat Drilling 140/30 Туре Contractor Drop (lbs/in.) Approx. Surface Elevation (ft, MSL) Groundwater Level Sampler None encountered ModCal and Date Measured Туре Borehole See Site Plan Comments Soil cuttings Backfill SAMPLES Gas Well Completion Log Elevation, feet, MSL pcf % Blows/foot Percent Recovery Water Content, Dry Density, Depth, feet Graphic Log MATERIAL DESCRIPTION **REMARKS**/ Number Type **OTHER TESTS** 0 COLLUVIUM Stiff to very stiff, moist, dark brown (mottled), lean CLAY (CL) -500 Gas well probe 5 2-1 15 FRIARS FORMATION Medium dense to dense, moist, reddish brown, clayey SANDSTONE (SC) Becomes light brown 495 10 2-2 50/3" Very dense, pale yellow fine to medium SANDSTONE with silt (SP/SM) 490 15 2-3 50/5" Becomes silty fine SANDSTONE (SM), micaceous 485 20 Becomes poorly graded fine to coarse SANDSTONE (SP) 2-4 50/3" Hard to very dense, moist, light brown, sandy CLAYSTONE to clayey SANDSTONE (CL/SC) 480 Gas well probe 25 2-5 50/5" Becomes pale gray Becomes olive green, lean CLAYSTONE (CL) -475 Bottom of boring at 29 feet 30

11/30/00 1GL10B1 11062

URS

Figure A-11

The field investigation was performed in two phases. The first phase included: a) advancing seven (7) hollow stem auger borings designated B-1 through B-7 to depths ranging between about 30 and 41.5 feet bgs; b) performing four (4) hand auger borings to depths of 2 to 3.5 feet bgs; and c) performing percolation tests within Boring B-1 and at PT-1. The second phase of drilling included advancing seventeen (17) solid stem auger borings to depths ranging from 10 to 15 feet, to better evaluate the presence of concretionary zones within the shallow subsurface of the expansion site area.

Locations of the field explorations are presented in Figure 2. A Key to Logs is presented as Figure B-1, logs of hollow stem auger borings are presented as Figures B-2 through B-25, and logs of hand-auger borings are presented as HA-1 through HA-4.

To locate underground utilities in the vicinity of the borings, URS notified Underground Service Alert (USA). URS prepared a safe work plan to assist with the field activities, and secured a boring permit from the County of San Diego Department of Environmental Health (County).

B.1 Geotechnical Borings

The first phase of geotechnical borings were performed between April 28 and May 1, 2015 with a truck mounted drilling using 8-inch diameter hollow stem augers. The second phase of borings was performed on September 2, 2015 using a truck mounted drill rig and solid stem augers. A URS engineering geologist logged the borings based on visual observations and classified the soils according to the Unified Soil Classification System. Samples were obtained using a Standard Penetration Test (SPT) sampler and a split-spoon sampler (2.5-inch inside diameter). Blow counts required to drive the samplers the final 12 inches were recorded to evaluate the relative density or consistency of the subsurface material. The reported field blow counts have not been corrected for sampler size. Additionally, bulk samples were collected from shallow depths of select borings.

The borings were backfilled with bentonite grout in accordance with the County. Drilling locations were restored to the previous condition to the extent practical, with asphalt patch placed in paved locations. Drill spoil was collected in 55-gallon drums and stored on site for disposal by SDG&E.

B.2 Percolation Testing

Field percolation tests were completed at PT-1 and Boring B-1 shown on Figure 2, and was conducted in general accordance with the percolation testing procedure outlined by the County of San Diego, Department of Environmental Health guidelines. The test data is presented in Table B-1.

Within PT-1, the test was performed in a 4-inch diameter hole excavated using a posthole digger. The test hole was 24 inches deep and filled with approximately 12 inches of pea gravel. Percolation test within Boring B-1 was performed at a depth of about 20 feet (estimated bottom of the detention basin). The augers were retracted to about 12-14 inches and filled with pea gravel. A perforated PVC pipe was used to maintain the water level.

The test hole was presoaked the evening before the test by filling the hole with water to about 12-14 inches above the pea gravel. The water was then allowed to dissipate and the holes were refilled once more. To collect data in the near surface percolation test in the detention basin, a tape measure was used

to visually track the percolation rate of added water to the substrate. Due to the depth of the percolation test performed in B-1, a sounding pipe was temporarily placed in the bottom of the boring, and the percolation rate was tracked with an electronic sounder. The test hole was filled with water to approximately 12-14 inches above the pea gravel to a fixed reference point. The water drop was measured from the reference point at approximately 10-minute and 30-minute time intervals within Boring B-1 and PT-1, respectively. The readings were continued until the drop rate "stabilized", such that the last 2 readings did not vary more than 0.1 inch. The last water level drop was considered the infiltration rate.

Date	Note	Time	Elapsed Time (minutes)	Depth (inches)	Drop (inches)	Percolation Rate (mpi)	Infiltration Rate (cm/sec)				
			Percolation Te	est at Boring I	3-1						
4/29/2015	add water	15:58	0	261.96	NA	NA	NA				
		16:05	7	261.96	0.00	0	NA				
		16:25	20	262.08	0.12	167	3E-04				
		16:50	25	262.2	0.12	208	2E-04				
4/30/2015		7:57	907	265.44	3.24	280	2E-04				
		16:34	517	265.92	0.48	1077	4E-05				
	add water	16:40	0	258.36	NA	NA	NA				
		17:10	30	258.48	0.12	250	2E-04				
		17:40	30	258.72	0.24	125	3E-04				
			Average final 2	readings =		351	3E-04				
Percolation Test at PT-1											
	add water	16:44	0	10.80	NA	NA	NA				
		16:50	6	13.08	2.28	NA	NA				
		17:00	10	13.56	0.48	21	2E-03				
		17:10	10	14.52	0.96	10	4E-03				
		17:20	10	15.60	1.08	9	5E-03				
		17:30	10	16.32	0.72	14	3E-03				
		17:40	10	17.52	1.20	8	5E-03				
5/1/2015	add water	11:24	0	9.36	NA	NA	NA				
		11:34	10	13.20	3.84	3	2E-02				
		11:44	10	13.32	0.12	83	5E-04				
		11:54	10	13.92	0.60	17	3E-03				
		12:04	10	14.28	0.36	28	2E-03				
		12:14	10	14.40	0.12	83	5E-04				
		12:24	10	15.00	0.60	17	3E-03				
		12:34	10	15.48	0.48	21	2E-03				
		12:50	10	17.04	1.56	6	7E-03				
			Average final 2	readings =		32	4E-03				

Table B-1Percolation Test MeasurementsSDG&E Artesian Substation Expansion

Key to Logs

ation,	h, ber s per hic Log	MATERIAL	DES	CRIPTION		r ent, %	Jnit ht, pcf	REMARKS AND
eet e	Septilization of the section of the					Vate	Veig L	UTHER TESTS
ШЩ								
1	2 3 4 5 6		1			8	9	10
<u>co</u>	LUMN DESCRIPTIONS							
1	Elevation: Elevation in feet datum.	referenced to NAVD88 or site	8	Nater Content: \ aboratory, express	Water content of sed as percentage	soil sai e of dry	mple m / weigh	neasured in it of specimen.
2	Depth: Depth in feet below the	he ground surface.	9	Dry Unit Weight: aboratory in poun	Dry density of se	oil san	nple me	easured in
3	<u>Sample Type:</u> Type of soil sa shown; sampler symbols are e	ample collected at depth interval explained below.		Remarks and Othe	er Tests: Comme	nts an	d obse	ervations
4	Sample Number: Sample ide Unnumbered sample indicates indicates geotechnical sample	entification number. no sample recovery. "1-1" . "(B-1@1')" indicates		egarding drilling of <u>SA</u> Sieve Al <u>VA</u> Wash A	r sampling made nalysis, %<#200 s nalysis, %<#200 s	by drill sieve sieve	er or fi	eld personnel.
5	analytical sample. Blows per foot: Number of sampler 12 inches beyond firs	f blows required to advance driven t 6-inch interval, or distance noted,	<u> </u> 	Liquid L Plasticit Direct S	imit, from Atterbe y Index (LL-PL),	rg limit %	ts test,	%
6	using a 140-lb hammer with a <u>Graphic Log:</u> Graphic depic encountered: typical symbols	30-inch drop. tion of subsurface material are explained below.		<u>El</u> Expansi <u>CORR</u> Corrosiv <u>COMP</u> Compre	on Index vity test suite ssion test			
7	Material Description: Description: Description: Description: may include relative density/cd particle size; texture, weatheri material. If shown, designatio color classification.	ription of material encountered; onsistency, moisture, color, ng, and strength of formation n in parentheses denotes Munsell	ļ	<u>-value</u> R-value <u>1YD Hydrom</u>	eter			
<u>TY</u>	PICAL MATERIAL GRAPHIC	<u>C SYMBOLS</u>						
	Silty SAND (SM)	Clayey SAND (SC)		Poorly Graded Silt (SP-SM)	SAND with		Clayey	GRAVEL (GC)
	SILT (ML)	Elastic SILT (MH)		Sandy SILT (M	IL)		High P	Plasticity CLAY (CH)
	Lean CLAY (CL)	Sandy CLAY (CL)		Sandy SILT (M SAND (SM)	IL) / Silty		Fill	
TY	PICAL SAMPLER GRAPHIC	SYMBOLS	<u>C</u>	THER GRAPHIC	SYMBOLS			
	Bag or Grab Sample	2.5" I.D Sampler	,	Minor change	in material prope	rties w	ithin a	stratum
	Standard Penetration Sampler							
			_	– Inferred or gra	adational contact	betwee	en strat	ta
GE	NERAL NOTES							
1.	Soil classifications are based o interpretive; actual lithologic ch	n the Unified Soil Classification Syste anges may be gradual. Field descrip	em. De tions m	scriptions and stra ay have been moo	tum lines are lified to reflect res	sults of	:	
2	Descriptions on these logs and	ly only at the specific boring locations	and at	the time the borin	as were advance	d.		
	They are not warranted to be re	epresentative of subsurface conditions	s at oth	er locations or time	es.			
		U	RS					Figure B-1

Log of Boring B-1

Date(s) Drilled	04/29/15	Logged By	D. Rector	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Hollow Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	7.5" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	41.5 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	502 feet MSL
Water Lev Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	2.5" ID/SPT	Hammer 140 lk	os/30" drop
Borehole Completion	n Bentonite Grout / Bentonite Chips	Location	33.02100, -117.13509		



Log of Boring B-1

Sheet 2 of 2



Log of Boring B-2

Date(s) Drilled	04/29/15	Logged By	D. Rector	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Hollow Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	7.5" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	40.8 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	520 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	BULK/SPT	Hammer 140 lk Data	os/30" drop
Borehole Completior	Bentonite Grout / Bentonite Chips	Location	33.02084, -117.13345		



Log of Boring B-2

Sheet 2 of 2



Log of Boring B-3

Date(s) Drilled	04/29/15	Logged By	D. Rector	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Hollow Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	7.5" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	30.3 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	517 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	SPT	Hammer 140 II Data	os/30" drop
Borehole Completior	n Bentonite Grout / Bentonite Chips	Location	33.02122, -117.13338		



Log of Boring B-3

Sheet 2 of 2

		SAMP	LES				ರ	
Elevation, feet	− Depth, feet	Type	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-		50/4	etteriter.	Total depth 30.3' bgs			
-485	_							
	-							
	_							
	35							
	-					-		
-480	_							
	_							
	-							
	40							
-475	-							
	-							
	-							
	45					-		
	-							
-470	-							
	-							
	-							
	50-					-		
	-							
-465	-					-		
	-					-		
	-					-		
	55					-		
	-					-		
ଅ -460	-					-		
015	-					-		
9/24/2	-							
iPJ;	60					-		
1515.6	-							
- 455	-					-		
File:	-							
SNA	-					-		
0_10	65							
t: GE(
Repoi					TTDC			Figure B-4

Log of Boring B-4

Date(s) Drilled	04/30/15	Logged By	D. Rector	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Hollow Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	7.5" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	41.5 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	517 feet MSL
Water Lev Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	BULK/SPT	Hammer 140 lk Data	os/30" drop
Borehole Completion	n Bentonite Grout / Bentonite Chips	Location	33.02135, -117.13308		



Log of Boring B-4

Sheet 2 of 2


Log of Boring B-5

Date(s) Drilled	05/1/15	Logged By	D. Rector	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Hollow Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	7.5" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	31.5 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	526 feet MSL
Water Lev Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	BULK/2.5" ID/SPT	Hammer 140 lk	os/30" drop
Borehole Completion	n Bentonite Grout / Bentonite Chips	Location	33.02093, -117.13242		



Log of Boring B-5

Sheet 2 of 2

		SAMPL	ES				ರ	
Elevation, feet	b Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, po	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-495	-30	8	55			19		
	-				_ Total Depth 31.5' bgs			
	-					-		
	-					-		
400	35							
-490	-]		
	-					-		
	-					-		
	40-							
-485	-							
	-							
	-							
	45-					-		
-480	-							
	-							
	-					-		
	50-					-		
-475	-							
	-					-		
	-							
	55-							
-470	-					-		
5 B-05	-							
24/201	-					-		
PJ; 9/	-							
0.919 -465	-					-		
: 2766	-					-		
A; File	-							
10_SN.	-					-		
, GEO	65-							1
Report					TIDC			Figure B-6

Log of Boring B-6

Date(s) Drilled	04/30/15	Logged By	D. Rector	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Hollow Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	7.5" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	40.4 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	522 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	2.5" ID/SPT	Hammer 140 lk Data	os/30" drop
Borehole Completion	n Bentonite Grout / Bentonite Chips	Location	33.02111, -117.13286		



Log of Boring B-6

Sheet 2 of 2



Log of Boring B-7

Date(s) Drilled	04/30/15	Logged By	D. Rector	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Hollow Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	7.5" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	41.3 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	523 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	SPT	Hammer 140 lk Data	os/30" drop
Borehole Completion	ງ Bentonite Grout / Bentonite Chips	Location	33.02142, -117.13261		



Log of Boring B-7

Sheet 2 of 2



Log of Boring B-8

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	10.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	529 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	BULK	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completion	n Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02080, -117.13241		

ſ			SAMF	PLES				cf	
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-525	5 - 5- -	1			FILL 3" of mulch on top of moist, light olive brown, clayey SAND, 3" to 9" bgs encountered concretion fragment -	-		
	-520	- - 10-							
	-515	- - - 15 -				Boltom of boring at 10 lbgs	-		
B-08	-510	- 20				- · · ·	-		
NA; File: 27661515.GPJ; 9/24/2015	-505	- - 25 -					-		
Report: GEO_10_5	-500	- 30—							Figure B-9

Log of Boring B-9

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	10.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	524 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	BULK	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completior	ງ Soil Cuttings / Concrete Cap	Location	33.02113, -117.13257		

ſ			SA	MPL	ES				ਹੁੱ	
	Elevation, feet	Depth, ☐ feet	Type		Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		-					FILL 4" of asphalt on top of brown, sandy GRAVEL (aggregate base material)			
-	-520	-					Moist, pale olive, sandy CLAY, concretion fragment encountered from 2.5' to 2.7' bgs	-		R-Value
		5	\wedge					-		
		-				7777		-		
-	-515	- 10					_ Moist, olive yellow, sandy CLAY (CL) Bottom of boring at 10 fbgs	-		
		-						-		
-	-510	- 15-								
		-						-		
	-505	-						-		
5 B-09		20						-		
J; 9/24/201		-						-		
7661515.GP	-500	25						-		
SNA; File: 2		-								
t: GE0_10_5	-495	- 30								
Repor							URS			Figure B-10

Log of Boring B-10

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	10.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	519 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	No Samples Taken	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completior	າ Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02144, -117.13280		

			S	SAMPL	ES				र्षु	
	Elevation, feet	Depth, ∫ feet	Type	Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	515	- - - 5-					FILL Moist, olive, sandy CLAY 			
_	510	- - - 10					FRIARS FORMATION Moist, greenish gray, sandy SILT (ML) / silty SAND (SM)			
	505	- - 15								
4/2015 B-10	500	- 20 -								
IA; File: 27661515.GPJ; 9/2₄	495	- 25 -								
Report: GEO_10_SN	490	- - 30					URS			Figure B-11

Log of Boring B-11

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	10.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	523 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	BULK	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completion	n Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02103, -117.13276		

		SAMPL	ES				ರ	
Elevation, feet	Depth, └ feet	Type Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-520	- - - 5-	1			FILL Moist, light olive brown, sandy CLAY / clayey SAND	-		
-515	- - - 10-	<u>/ </u>			FRIARS FORMATION Moist, light olive gray, clayey SAND (SC), concretion encountered from 6.5 to 6.8 fbgs Bottom of boring at 10 fbgs	-		
-510	- - 15-					-		
- 505	- - 20 -					-		
ile: 27661515.GPJ; 9/24/201; 	- - 25					-		
port: GE0_10_SNA; F 	- - 30					-		Figure 8-12
Re					URS			

Log of Boring B-12

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	10.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	523 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	BULK	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completion	າ Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02081, -117.13293		



Log of Boring B-13

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	10.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	521.5 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	No Samples Taken	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completion	n Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02083, -117.13314		

ſ			S	SAMPL	ES				cf	
i	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
_	520	-					FILL Moist, olive, sandy CLAY			
_	515	- 5 - -					FRIARS FORMATION Moist, light olive gray, sandy SILT (ML) / silty SAND (SM)			
	-510	- 10 - -					→ 4" to 6" Thick concretion Bottom of boring at 10 fbgs			
-	-505	- 15— - -								
9/24/2015 B-13	-500	- 20 - -								
0_SNA; File: 27661515.GPJ;	495	- 25 - -								
Report: GEO_1		- 30—					URS			Figure B-14

Log of Boring B-14

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	13.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	520.5 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	No Samples Taken	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completion	າ Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02102, -117.13307		

ſ		SAMP	LES				cf	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-520	0				FILL Moist olive sandy CLAY			
	-					1		
	-					-		
	-					-		
	-					-		
515	5					-		
-515	-					-		
	-			् संस्थित	ERIARS FORMATION	-		
	-				Moist, light olive gray, sandy SILT (ML) / silty SAND (SM)	-		
	-					-		
	10-				1.0' Thick concretion	-		
-510	-					-		
	_				-			
	_				→ >6" Thick concretion			
	_				Bottom of boring at 13 fbgs (refusal on concretion)			
	45				-			
-505	15-							
	-							
	-					1		
	-					-		
	-					-		
+ _ 500	20-					-		
	-					-		
	-					-		
9124	-					-		
Ê.	-					-		
000	25					-		
495	-					_		
D L	-							
ΎΝΟ	_							
2	-							
	20.							
Inday	30							Figure B-15
- L					UK5			

Log of Boring B-15

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	10.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	518.5 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	No Samples Taken	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completior	າ Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02120, -117.13313		

ſ			S	AMPL	ES				ď	
i	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
_	-515	5 					FILL Moist, olive, sandy CLAY FRIARS FORMATION Moist, light olive gray, sandy SILT (ML) / silty SAND (SM)			
_	510	- - 10					} 6" Thick concretion 			
_	-505	- - 15 -					- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		
'2015 B-15	-500	- - 20 -					- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		
A; File: 27661515.GPJ; 9/24. I	495	- - 25 - -								
Report: GEO_10_SN/	-490	- - 30								Figure B-16

Log of Boring B-16

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	10.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	515.5 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	No Samples Taken	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completior	າ Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02140, -117.13332		

		SAMPI	ES				ರ	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-515	- 0 -				FILL Moist, olive, sandy CLAY			
-510	- 5 - -				FRIARS FORMATION Moist, light olive gray, sandy SILT (ML) / silty SAND (SM)			
-505	- 10 -				Bottom of boring at 10 fbgs	-		
-500	- - 15 -							
91- 19 - 495	- 20 -					-		
_10_SNA; File: 27661515.GPU; 9/24// 	- 25- - -							
Report: GEO	30-				URS			Figure B-17

Log of Boring B-17

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	10.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	515 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	No Samples Taken	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completior	n Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02128, -117.13350		

		S	AMPL	ES				ರ	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-515	0-	· ·			-	FILL	-		
-510	- - - 5 -					Moist, olive, sandy CLAY	-		
-505	- - 10-						-		
	-					Bottom of boring at 10 fbgs	-		
-500	- - -						-		
-495	20 –						-		
FJ, 9/24/2013	-						-		
- 490	25-						-		
GEO_10_3NA, 1	-						-		
	30-			I	1		I	I	Figure B-18

Log of Boring B-18

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	10.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	517.5 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	BULK	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completior	n Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02113, -117.13348		



Log of Boring B-19

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	10.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	518.5 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	No Samples Taken	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completion	າ Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02111, -117.13336		

		SAM	PLES				cť	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-515	- - - 5				FILL Moist, olive, sandy CLAY 			
-510	- - 10				Bottom of boring at 10 fbgs			
-505	_ - 15 -							
2015 B-19 - 500	- - 20 -							
File: 27661515.GPJ; 9/24/ 	- 25							
Report: GEO_10_SNA;	- - 30							Figure B-20

Log of Boring B-20

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	10.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	518 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	No Samples Taken	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completior	າ Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02131, -117.13301		

ſ			S	AMPL	ES				र्षु	
i	Elevation, feet	Depth, ∫ feet	Type	Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-515	-					FILL Moist, olive, sandy CLAY	-		
_	-510	5 - -					FRIARS FORMATION Moist, light olive gray, sandy SILT (ML) / silty SAND (SM)	-		
_	505	10— - - -					Bottom of boring at 10 fbgs			
	-500	15— - -								
5.GPJ; 9/24/2015 B-20	495	20								
E0_10_SNA; File: 2766151:	-490	25 - - -								
Report: Gł		30					URS			Figure B-21

Log of Boring B-21

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	10.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	521.5 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	No Samples Taken	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completior	n Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02103, -117.13291		

ſ			S	SAMPL	ES				cť	
Flevation	feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-{	520	-					FILL Moist, olive, sandy CLAY			
-{	515	- 5 - -					FRIARS FORMATION Moist, light olive gray, sandy SILT (ML) / silty SAND (SM) \rightarrow 2" Thick concretion			
-{	510	10— - -					Bottom of boring at 10 fbgs			
-{	505	- 15— - -								
9/24/2015 B-21	500	- 20 - -								
10_SNA; File: 27661515.GPJ	195	- 25 - -								
Report: GEO_		- 30								Figure B-22

Log of Boring B-22

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	10.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	522 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	No Samples Taken	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completior	າ Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02091, -117.13302		

ſ			S	AMPL	ES				cť	
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-	-520	-					FILL Moist, olive, sandy CLAY			
	-515	5 - -					FRIARS FORMATION Moist, light olive gray, sandy SILT (ML) / silty SAND (SM)	-		
		10-					→ 4" to 6" Thick concretion			
-	-510	-					Bottom of boring at 10 fbgs			
-	-505	15— - -								
/24/2015 B-22	-500	- 20 -								
SNA; File: 27661515.GPJ; 9	-495	- 25 -								
Report: GEO_10_5		30								Figure B-23

Log of Boring B-23

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	15.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	525 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	No Samples Taken	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completion	ງ Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02082, -117.13278		

		SAMP	ES				ç	
Elevation,	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-525	0-				FILL Moist alive vellow, clavey SAND			
	-				- Moist, olive yellow, clayey SAIND	-		
	_				$_$ $]$ 6" Thick concretion fragment	-		
L520	5							
020	-				\rightarrow 2" Thick concretion fragment			
				ास्तः	FRIARS FORMATION	-		
	-				Moist, light olive gray, sandy SILT (ML) / silty SAND (SM)			
-515	10-				Moist, light yellowish brown, sandy CLAY (CL)	1		
	-				-	-		
	-					-		
	_							
	_				- ↓ becomes dark greenish gray	1		
-510	15-			1.1.1	Bottom of boring at 15 fbgs			
	_					1		
	-					1		
	-					-		
	-					-		
-505	20-					-		
C7-0	-							
2								
2412]		
ת ה	-				-	1		
D C	-					1		
500	25-					+		
	-				L .	-		
Ľ	-					-		
	_							
]		
	30-		1			1		Figure B-24
					URS			<u> </u>

Log of Boring B-24

Date(s) Drilled	09/02/2015	Logged By	A. Avakian	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Solid Stem Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	6" Finger Bit	Total Depth of Borehole	15.5 feet
Drill Rig Type	CME 75	Drilling Contractor	Tri-County Drilling	Approximate Surface Elevation	521 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	No Samples Taken	Hammer N/A Data	
Borehole Completion	n Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02081, -117.13332		

		SA	AMPL	ES				cť	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-520	• -					FILL Moist, olive, sandy CLAY	-		
	-					$ \rightarrow$ 3" Thick concretion fragment	-		
-515	5— - -					FRIARS FORMATION Moist, light olive gray, sandy SILT (ML) / silty SAND (SM)	-		
-510	- 10						-		
	-						-		
	15-					- } 1.0' Thick concretion -	-		
-505	-					- Bottom of boring at 15.5 fbgs -	-		
500	20-								
	-					- · · ·	-		
2.01010	25						-		
-495	-						-		
	30-				I		I		Figure B-25
-									

Log of Boring HA-1

Date(s) Drilled	04/28/15	Logged By	D. Rector	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Hand Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	4" Hand Auger	Total Depth of Borehole	3.3 feet
Drill Rig Type	NA	Drilling Contractor	NA	Approximate Surface Elevation	520 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	Grab	Hammer NA Data	
Borehole Completior	n Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02069, -117.13449		



Log of Boring HA-2

Date(s) Drilled	04/28/15	Logged By	D. Rector	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Hand Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	4" Hand Auger	Total Depth of Borehole	3.0 feet
Drill Rig Type	NA	Drilling Contractor	NA	Approximate Surface Elevation	525 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	Grab	Hammer NA Data	
Borehole Completior	n Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02068, -117.13397		

ſ		SAMF	PLES				cf	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows per foot	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Water Content, %	Dry Density, p	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-525	U				FILL - moist, yellowish brown, silty sand, with some cobbles			
	-	1			MISSION VALLEY FORMATION Moist, yellowish brown, clayey medium SAND (SC)	7		LL(44), PI(22)
					Total Depth 3.0' bgs			
	-							
-520	5					-		
	-					-		
	_							
	-							
	-							
-515	10-					-		
	-							
	-]]		
	-							
	-							
-510	15-					-		
	-							
	-							
	-							
	-					-		
-505	20-					-		
HA-2	_							
<u>6</u>								
24/2(-	1				1		
19. 1	-	•						
(GP)	-	-						
<u>ଜ</u> ୁ – 50 0	25							
7/00	_							
TIE:								
Ϋ́Α.	-	1						
	-	-						
0 	-	-						
ซี ย _495	30-							
Yebo					TIDC			Figure B-27

Log of Boring HA-3

Date(s) Drilled	04/28/15	Logged By	D. Rector	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Hand Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	4" Hand Auger	Total Depth of Borehole	2.3 feet
Drill Rig Type	NA	Drilling Contractor	NA	Approximate Surface Elevation	532 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	Grab	Hammer NA Data	
Borehole Completior	n Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02069, -117.13312		



Log of Boring HA-4

Date(s) Drilled	04/28/15	Logged By	D. Rector	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Hand Auger	Drill Bit Size/Type	4" Hand Auger	Total Depth of Borehole	3.3 feet
Drill Rig Type	NA	Drilling Contractor	NA	Approximate Surface Elevation	533 feet MSL
Water Leve Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	Grab	Hammer NA Data	
Borehole Completion	າ Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02072, -117.13255		



Log of Boring PT-1

Date(s) Drilled	04/28/15	Logged By	D. Rector	Checked By	P. Balasubramanyam
Drilling Method	Hand Dug	Drill Bit Size/Type	8" Diameter Hole	Total Depth of Borehole	2.8 feet
Drill Rig Type	Post Hole Digger	Drilling Contractor	NA	Approximate Surface Elevation	483 feet MSL
Water Lev Depth	el Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s)	Grab	Hammer NA Data	
Borehole Completion	n Soil Cuttings	Location	33.02131, -117.13505		



Geotechnical laboratory testing was performed in general accordance with ASTM standards. Results of laboratory testing performed are presented on Figures C-1 through C-27. The results of moisture content and fines content are shown at the corresponding sample locations on the boring logs in Appendix B.



J:\27661515 TSAC SDG&E 11004 Artesian Substation\009 FIELD & LAB DATA\Lab Testing\Appendix C\Sieve SDGE Artesian B-5 010



J:\27661515 TSAC SDG&E 11004 Artesian Substation\009 FIELD & LAB DATA\Lab Testing\Appendix C\Sieve SDGE Artesian B-6 030


























































	R-VALUE TEST DAT	A (IVI 3017	431	IVI L	72044	
Project:	SDG&E-Artesian Substation Ex.	Project No: 27661427 Date: 5/15/2015				5		
Boring Trer	nch No: B-1	Sample N	No:	1		Samp	ole Depth:	0-5'
Field Descr	iption:							
Lab Descrip	otion: Yellow Olive Brown Clayey	SAND ((SC)					
Sner	cimen Number	1		2	,	3	Δ	
Mole	Number	1		6		5		
Wat	er Adjustment (a)	+20		+30	, بــ	<u> </u>		
Com	practor Pressure (nsi)	250		175	1	10		
Evu	dation Prossure (psi)	600		175	י י	20		
Groc	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i$	2107 (2	2100.0	201	29		
Mole	A Toro (a)	3107.0	<u>)</u>	3199.0	32	19.0		
IVIOIC	Moight (g)	2115.0) `	2115.9	211	10.0		
vvet	vveigni (g)	1072.0	נ	1083.1	110	ر ار ۲۱.۵	-	
Sam		2.40	<u> </u>	2.43	2.	740	+	
	a Dial Reading	0.0522	<u> </u>	0.0110	0.0	/18		
Fina	I Dial Reading	0.0544	1	0.0125	0.0	727		
Expa	ansion (in $x10^{-1}$)	22		15	(9		
Stab	bility(psi) at 2,000 lbs (160 psi)	40	88	50 106	62	132		
lurn	is Displacement	3.51		3.82	3.	43		
R-Va	alue Uncorrected	37		25	1	3		
R-Va	alue Corrected	33		23	1	3		
Mois	sture Content (%)	11.6		12.3	13	3.0		
Dry	Density (pcf)	121.4		120.3	11	7.7		
Assu	umed Traffic Index	4.0		4.0	4	.0		
G.E.	by Stability	0.69		0.79	0.	89		
G.E.	by Expansion	0.73		0.50	0.	30		
Gf				1.:	25			
	Ν	Anisture Co	nter	ot				
Dish N	10		JIIICI	666	N	М		
Weigh	nt of Moist Soil and Dish (a)	221 7		259 7	24	3.5		
Weigh	t of Dry Soil and Dish (g)	203.9		236.8	22	1.3		
Water	Loss (g)	17.8		22.9	22	2.2	1	
Weigh	nt of Dish (g)	50.1		50.0	50).4		
Dry So	pil (g)	153.8		186.8	17	0.9		
Moistu	ure Content (%)	11.6		12.3	13	3.0		
		R-Value	by	Exudation	=		15	
		R-Value	by	Expansion	=		31	
		R-Value	e at	Equilibrium	=	15 b	y Exudat	ion
e data above is bas te of California, Ma	ed upon processing and testing samples as received from terrials & Research Test Method No. 301 and/or ASTM Str	n the field. Test andard D2844	proce	dures in accordance w	ith latest re	evisions to	o Department of	Transportatio
arks: A traff	ic index of 4.0 was used for calculation purposes.	NMG Projec	t No.	11066-01		\sim	NMG	
up by: RLG	Run by: BAJ/TG		4 - -1.	5/19/2015			Gasta	L_!_I



roject: SDG&E-Artesian Substation Ex.	Project No:	27661427	Date:	6/2/2015
Boring Trench No: B-4	Sample No:	1	Sampl	le Depth: 0-
Field Description:				
ab Description: Light Olive Clavey SAND				
Specimon Number	1	2	3	4
Mold Number	1	2	3	
Water Adjustment (g)	+30	+40	+55	
Compactor Pressure (psi)	225	210	125	
Exudation Pressure (psi)	476	352	223	
Gross Weight (g)	3212.3	3235.2	3218.7	
Mold Tare (g)	2046.0	2114.9	2099.5	
Wet Weight (g)	1166.3	1120.3	1119.2	
Sample Height (in)	2.49	2.53	2.58	
Initial Dial Reading	0.0518	0.0520	0.0516	
Final Dial Reading	0.0535	0.0530	0.0523	
Expansion (in x10 ⁻⁴)	17	10	7	
Stability(psi) at 2,000 lbs (160 psi)	58 126	62 132	68 140	
Turns Displacement	3.33	3.77	3.66	
R-Value Uncorrected	17	12	9	
R-Value Corrected	17	12	10	
Moisture Content (%)	12.1	12.7	13.9	
Dry Density (pcf)	126.6	119.1	115.4	
Assumed Traffic Index	4.0	4.0	4.0	
G.E. by Stability	0.85	0.90	0.92	
G.E. by Expansion	0.57	0.33	0.23	
Gf		1.	25	
	Moisture Conter	ot		
Dish No			00	
Weight of Moist Soil and Dish (g)	257.7	258.5	254.6	
Weight of Dry Soil and Dish (g)	235.3	235.1	229.6	
Water Loss (g)	22.4	23.4	25.0	
Weight of Dish (g)	49.6	50.5	49.7	
Dry Soil (g)	185.7	184.6	179.9	ļ I
Moisture Content (%)	12.1	12.7	13.9	
	R-Value bv	Exudation	=	11
	R-Value by	Expansion	_	20
	R-value at	Equiliprium		
ata above is based upon processing and testing samples as received fro	om the field. Test proce	dures in accordance v	vith latest revisions to	Department of Transporta
of California, Materials & Research Test Method No. 301 and/or ASTM	Standard D2844	11066.04		
ans. A traine index of 4.0 was used for calculation purpose:	s. INIVIG Project INO.	1100-01		NMG

6/3/2015

Date Completed:

GEH

Checked by:

Calculated by:

Geotechnical, Inc.







Corporate Headquarters 6280 Riverdale Street San Diego, CA 92120 619-280,4321 877,215,4321 1619,280,4717 Provide Street

Job Name:	SDGE Atesian	Job Number:	GF150440
Client:	G-Force	Location:	
Date:	9/17/2015	By:	DRB
Sample I.D.:	B-9 @ 2-6		
Description:	Light Brown Clayey Sand		

CTM 301 Resistance Value of Treated and Untreated Bases, Subbases and Basement Soils

Test Specimen		A	В	С	D
Date Tested		9/17/2015	9/17/2015	9/17/2015	
Compactor Air Pressure	PSI	105	225	350	
Initial Moisture	%	6.7	6.7	6.7	
Soil Wt. Added	GRAMS	1050	1080	1100	
Water Added	ML	80	63	53	
Water Added	%	8.1	6.2	5.1	
Moisture At Compaction	%	14.8	12.9	11.8	
Weight of Briquette & Tare	GRAMS	3181	3180	3202	
Net Weight of Briquette	GRAMS	1121	1135	1143	
Briquette Height	IN	2.52	2.48	2.49	
Density	PCF	117.4	122.8	124.4	
Exudation Pressure	PSI	165	400	590	
Expansion Pressure	PSF	0	87	242	
PH at 1000 Pounds	PSI	55	37	21	
PH at 2000 Pounds	PSI	131	99	56	
Displacement	Turns	3.95	3.30	3.15	
R' Value		12	32	60	
Stabilometer Thickness	FT	1.27	0.98	0.58	
Expansion Thickness	FT	0	0.67	1.87	
Expansion Dial Reading		0000	0020	0056	
R' Value Modifier	: 	0	0	0	
Corrected R-Value		12	32	60	
R-Value by Exudation Pressure					
Gravel Equivalent		0.9	0.9	0.9	
Traffic Index		4.5	4.5	4.5	
R-Value by Expansion Pressure			38		
R-Value at Equivalent		Sur Sur	23		

9/21/15

Reviewed By:

Laboratory Manager

Date

Darren Hicks

an Diego 🔺 Los Angeles 🔹 Toland Empire 🕐 Central Valley.



Corporate Headquarters 6280 Riverdale Street San Diego, CA 92120 619.280.4321 1.877.215.4321 619.280.4717 2.vww.scst.com

Job Name:	SDGE, Artosion	Job Number:	GF150440
Client:	G-Force	Location:	
Date:	9/17/2015	By:	DRB
Sample I.D.:	B-18e, 3-7		
Description:	Light Olive Sandy Clay		

CTM 301 Resistance Value of Treated and Untreated Bases, Subbases and Basement Soils

Test Specimen		A	В	C	D
Date Tested		9/17/2015	9/17/2015	9/17/2015	
Compactor Air Pressure	PSI	115	65	225	
Initial Moisture	%	6.2	6.2	6.2	
Soil Wt. Added	GRAMS	1010	1000	1060	
Water Added	ML	85	102	70	
Water Added	%	8.9	10.8	7	
Moisture At Compaction	%	15.1	17	13.2	
Weight of Briquette & Tare	GRAMS	3206	3211	3238	
Net Weight of Briquette	GRAMS	1087	1095	1122	
Briquette Height	IN	2.46	2.55	2.43	
Density	PCF	116.3	111.2	123.6	
Exudation Pressure	PSI	350	255	620	
Expansion Pressure	PSF	30	52	364	
PH at 1000 Pounds	PSI	55	64	32	
PH at 2000 Pounds	PSI	133	144	93	
Displacement	Turns	3.65	4.15	3.20	
R' Value		12	6	36	
Stabilometer Thickness	FT	1.27	1.35	0.95	
Expansion Thickness	FT	0.23	0.4	2.8	
Expansion Dial Reading		0007	0012	0084	
R' Value Modifier		0	0	-2	
Corrected R-Value		12	6	34	
R-Value by Exudation Pressure			9		
Gravel Equivalent		1.22	1.22	1.22	
Traffic Index		4.5	4.5	4.5	
R-Value by Expansion Pressure		15			
R-Value at Equivalent		9			

Reviewed By:

9/21/15 Date

Laboratory Manager Darren Hicks

San Diego 🔹 Los Angeles 🔹 Inland Empire 🦽 Central Valley