Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U-902-E) for Adoption of an Advanced Metering Infrastructure Deployment Scenario and Associated Cost Recovery and Rate Design.

Application 05-03-015 Exhibit No.: _____

CHAPTER 11

COMMUNICATION SYSTEM, ELECTRIC METERS AND PROGRAMMABLE CONTROLLABLE THERMOSTATS

Deleted: AND

JULY 14, 2006 AMENDMENT

Prepared Supplemental, Consolidating, Superseding and Replacement Testimony Of

PAUL PRUSCHKI

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

July 14, 2006

Material changes to this testimony can be found on pages: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION
II.	BACKGROUND 2
III.	BASE ASSUMPTIONS
	A. Communication System
	B. Electric Meters
	C. Programmable Controllable Thermostats
IV.	AMI TECHNOLOGY
V.	SUMMARY OF COSTS & BENEFITS 7
	A. Communication System
	B. Electric Meter
	C. Programmable Controllable Thermostats
	D. Operation and Maintenance Costs (O&M)
<u>E</u> .	System Operation Benefits 11
VI.	AMI costs impacts by functional area- by capital, by O&M 12
VII.	AMI BENEFITS IMPACTS BY FUNCTIONAL AREA- BY CAPITAL, BY
	O&M
VIII.	AMI RISK MITIGATION13
IX.	CONCLUSION
X.	QUALIFICATIONS OF PAUL PRUSCHKI15

1	CHAPTER 11	
2	COMMUNICATION SYSTEM, ELECTRIC METERS AND	Deleted: AND
3	PROGRAMMABLE CONTROLLABLE THERMOSTATS	
4	JULY 14, 2006 AMENDMENT	
5 6	Prepared Supplemental, Consolidating,	
	Superseding and Replacement Testimony	
7		
8	of DALL DDUCCHIZI	
9	PAUL PRUSCHKI SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY	
10 11	I. INTRODUCTION	
11	The purpose of <u>this amended</u> testimony is to <u>refresh my March 28, 2006</u>	Deleted: my
12	testimony to include material information which will impact my (Chapter 11) testimony	
13 14	in which I present the estimated costs and benefits associated with the communication	
15	system, electric meters and programmable controllable thermostats (PCTs) that would be	Deleted: electric meter technologies
16	installed for an Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) deployment. This amended	Deleted: The
17	testimony reflects the inclusion of a new cost analysis of PCTs and has been added to my	Deleted: estimates are based on,
18	testimony at Section III.C. and Section V.C. In addition, this testimony corrects the	Deleted: will not exceed, the higher of solution set costs derived from
19	allocation of vendor network design, project management and training costs such that	Deleted: RFP process. The final
20	\$9.5 million has been reallocated from O&M to Capital. Finally, errors were corrected	
21	primarily concerning the communication network redeployment costs, along with other	Deleted: may, however, be less
22	corrections I identified below. This resulted in a decrease in combined Capital and O&M	
23	costs of \$2.5 million. Other than the aforementioned changes, my testimony remains	Deleted: higher of the solution set costs. Solution sets and cost figures were
24	unchanged.	generated by the Request for Proposal (RFP) process described in Mr. Charles'
25	The specific cost categories that I discuss are the communication system, electric	testimony (Chapter 9). The total capital communication and electric meter technologies direct dollar request is
26	meter and PCT costs summarized in Table PP 11-1. The specific benefit category that I	approximately \$428 million and the associated Operations & Maintenance
27	discuss is the electric meter purchase avoidance due to growth meter displacement	(O&M) is approximately \$81 million.
28	identified in Table PP 11-2. The total capital communication and electric meter	
29	technologies direct dollar request is approximately \$437 million and the associated	Deleted: 4
30	Operations & Maintenance (O&M) (which includes the PCT costs) is approximately \$95	Deleted: 87
31	million.	

This testimony consolidates, supersedes, and replaces all previous direct and
 supplemental testimony filed by me or by any other SDG&E witness testifying in this
 docket, on the topics covered herein.

II. BACKGROUND

4

5	The purpose of this chapter is to describe the AMI communication system.		Deleted: and							
6	electric meters and PCT technology used as a basis for estimating AMI equipment and		Deleted: meter							
7	deployment costs. More importantly, the AMI communication system and electric									
8	metering technology satisfies the functional requirements that will enable the benefits									
9	described in various chapters of this business case. Mr. Charles' testimony (Chapter 9)									
10	describes SDG&E's analysis of responses to SDG&E's AMI RFP, and the development		Deleted: request for proposal (Deleted:)							
11	and selection of 'solution sets' that are used in evaluating and estimating the costs related		Deleted.)							
12	to the AMI communication system and electric meter. For this July 14, 2006		Deleted: As pointed out by Mr. Charles (Chapter 9), the							
13	amendment, costs related to PCTs were included for reasons described in Mr. Gaines'		Deleted: herein are based on 'not to exceed' values associated with the							
14	testimony (Chapter 5).		representative solution set selected by the RFP response analysis teams. ¶							
15	SDG&E is seeking an AMI technology that can <u>satisfy</u> the <u>state's</u> policy goals as	+	Deleted: meet							
16	described in Mr. Reguly's testimony (Chapter 8), as well as enable the benefits described		Deleted: six							
17	in various chapters of SDG&E's <u>AMI filing</u> . Through the RFP process, SDG&E has									
18	determined that there are AMI technologies available from the marketplace that can	Deleted: business case								
			Deleted:							
19	deliver these functional requirements and the benefits included in the business case.		Deleted: intends to conduct							
20	SDG&E is currently conducting technology field tests that will continue over the next 12,		Deleted: beginning in late spring, 2006							
21	months to validate the performance of selected technologies and to determine which will		Deleted: -18							
22	best meet the functional requirements at a competitive price.									
23	III. BASE ASSUMPTIONS									
24	A. Communication System									
25	The AMI communication system costs are dependent on the technology									
26	required to satisfy the six policy goals as described in Mr. <u>Reguly's</u> testimony		Deleted: stated							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~	Deleted: of the CEC							
27	(Chapter 8). In addition to the six policy goals, SDG&E determined functional		Deleted: Reguly's							
28	requirements from a rigorous business process design (BPD) review as described]	Deleted: CEC's							
29	in Mr. Charles' testimony (Chapter 9). <u>As a result of the BPD review sessions</u>	1	Deleted: The results							
30	and guidance from the six policy goals, SDG&E generated the functional		Deleted: CEC's							
31	requirements that were then included in the RFP solicitations issued in October,									

2005. As SDG&E evaluated the RFP responses, a key evaluation factor was the ability of the system or component proposed to meet the requirements and therefore enable the benefits identified in various chapters of SDG&E's AMI filing.

The AMI communication system costs are based on actual pricing data received from the RFP responses in December, 2005, and the evaluation process described in Mr. Charles' testimony (Chapter 9). By evaluating multiple technologies, SDG&E was able to develop 'not-to-<u>exceed'</u> costs that were then included in the 'solution sets' described by Mr. <u>Charles' testimony (Chapter 9)</u>. The solution sets are based on technology that is available from the marketplace. This testimony will not discuss any specific proprietary technology, as SDG&E will be field testing multiple AMI technologies over the next <u>12 months</u>. I will discuss a range of capabilities available today that meet SDG&E's functional requirements and that drive the 'not to exceed' costs included herein.

Deleted: on
Deleted: 10
Deleted: exceed
Deleted: Charles in

Deleted: vendor
Deleted: vendor
Deleted: year to year and a half

B. Electric Meters

The largest AMI cost component in SDG&E's business case is the purchase of electric meters with embedded AMI communication modules. The electric meters are categorized by their relative difference in cost and complexity. These categories are: single-phase meters for residential applications; network meters for residential multi-family applications; and poly-phase meters for commercial and industrial applications. These categories are used to calculate initial meter costs, meter maintenance costs, and incremental costs of meters required because of failures and customer growth. During the 2.5 year deployment described herein beginning in mid-2008, SDG&E will be replacing the entire meter population with solid-state meters rather than retrofitting existing electromechanical meters.

C. Programmable Controllable Thermostats (PCTs)

As discussed earlier, costs related to PCTs were included in this supplemental filing for reasons described in Mr. Gaines' testimony (Chapter 5). The original AMI Technology RFP (issued in October 2005) identified load control technologies (including PCTs) as an optional feature or product for RFP

PP-3

1

2

1		respondents. As such, vendors did not provide product or pricing information for
2		that section. Once SDG&E decided to include PCTs, SDG&E contacted selected
3		AMI vendors to determine their PCT product offerings and requested preliminary
4		PCT pricing information. The PCTs that the vendors proposed are capable of
5		two-way communications through the AMI communications network. The
6		responses, along with prior experience from SDG&E's Smart Thermostat
7		Program, were used to identify the costs included in this amended testimony.
8		PCTs are expected to be deployed between 2009 and 2013 at approximately
9		16,600 small and medium commercial and industrial (C&I) customer premises
10		with a peak demand less than 200 kW. Because these premises typically have
11		multiple PCTs per location, the actual PCT total will be approximately 57,000.
12		Title 24 standards for PCTs are still in development and this business case does
13		not propose a solution, but rather makes the assumption that the existing AMI
14		communication network can be used for PCT communications.
15		
16	IV.	AMI TECHNOLOGY

17 The RFP process established that multiple technologies are available from the 18 marketplace that can satisfy SDG&E's functional requirements. The technologies can be 19 disaggregated at a high level into two separate categories: powerline carrier (PLC), and 20 radio frequency (RF), often referred to as wireless. RF can be further broken down into 21 two separate categories: licensed (the utility owns or leases the RF spectrum) and 22 unlicensed (the utility does not own and shares the RF spectrum with other users). 23 Powerline carrier, as the name suggests, communicates over the utilities existing 24 powerlines. RF, on the other hand, communicates wirelessly over the air. It is important 25 to note that the technologies referred to here apply to communication to/from an endpoint 26 device (the meter, PCT, etc.) and a higher-level collection device. SDG&E refers to 27 these components of the system as the local area network (LAN). As these technologies 28 relate to AMI, there are pros and cons that depend on the specific application. These 29 applications can relate to meter density per square mile or substation, and rural versus 30 urban/suburban environments (morphology). An important point to note is that gas 31 meters do not have available power, and for safety and maintenance reasons SDG&E will

1	not run wires to the gas meter. As a result, SDG&E has required that the gas AMI
2	module communicate wirelessly. At this point in time, SDG&E is technology neutral,
3	and is evaluating multiple technologies. However, as stated earlier, the 'not to <u>exceed'</u>
4	costs included in this chapter ensure that SDG&E's functional requirements are met,
5	thereby ensuring that the benefits included will be realized.
6	Communication between collection devices and the head-end system is referred to
7	by SDG&E as the wide area network (WAN). The head-end system typically resides in a
8	utility's data center and is used to read the meters, perform other utility applications and
9	monitor/manage the AMI communication system. Typical technologies used today for
10	WAN communications are public wireless (CDMA, GSM) and landline telephone
11	(PSTN).
12	As stated in Mr. Reguly's testimony (Chapter 8), SDG&E continues its
13	commitment to open architecture throughout the various information exchanges. With
14	regard to the LAN portion of the AMI communication system, open architecture <u>between</u>
15	endpoint devices and collectors is not readily available in the marketplace today and is
16	technology dependent. On this subject, SDG&E agrees with the viewpoints expressed by
17	DRA witness Ralph Abbott in his testimony regarding PG&E's Functionality Criteria,
18	Technology, and Vendor Selection Issues provided on January 18, 2006 (at page 2-15,
19	line 5) when he stated, "[t]here is essentially no interoperability among vendors at the
20	meter module level." Mr. Abbott further states, "[b]ased upon my frequent and routine
21	interactions with the leading AMI vendors, I believe that there is no serious likelihood
22	that these vendors will adopt open communications interoperability standards at the meter
23	module level within the next five years."
24	With regard to the WAN and head-end sections of the AMI communication
25	system, SDG&E believes that the technologies being considered offer open architectures.
26	In particular, the WAN has the option of using commercial wireless technologies
27	(CDMA, GSM), ethernet, landline telephone (PSTN), and future offerings such as BPL,
28	WiFi and WiMAX.
29	As described in Ms. Welch's testimony (Chapter 10), SDG&E required vendors
30	to deliver an Information Technology System that can interface with any AMI
31	Technology vendor's head-end system. By decoupling these systems, they can be

1	developed, tested and deployed independently. It has been estimated that the Information
2	Technology System will take approximately 18 months to develop, test and deploy. The
3	AMI communication technologies that SDG&E will field test are available today and
4	therefore do not need to be developed. Therefore, during the 18 months that the
5	Information Technology System is being developed and tested, SDG&E will be
6	concurrently conducting field tests of multiple AMI technologies to ensure that the best
7	selection is made for the right application. Additionally, this will allow time for
8	promising technologies to further develop. As Mr. Reguly describes in his testimony
9	(Chapter 8), there may be a case for altering our plan to acquire the additional benefits an <u>Deleted</u> : a new
10	emerging technology may offer.
11	Taking the potential for <u>emerging</u> technologies a step further, SDG&E included
12	requirements within the RFP that will allow SDG&E to take advantage of <u>emerging</u>
13	technologies that are not <u>commercially available</u> during the deployment phase. SDG&E
14	has achieved this by requiring that all AMI modules (within electric meters and PCTs)
15	and network equipment be capable of remotely upgrading device firmware. This requires
16	that the electric meter and PCT AMI modules and the AMI communications network be
17	capable of two-way communications. Due to battery life constraints and lack of
18	compelling benefits of two-way communications, the gas AMI modules will operate with
19	one-way communications. SDG&E has also required that WAN devices have "plug and
20	play" modules for backhaul. For example, should a BPL network be deployed, this
21	would allow SDG&E to use the BPL network to transmit data to and from collection
22	devices.
23	As Mr. Fong stated in Chapter 2, AMI is an important and foundational building
24	block for SDG&E's long term operating vision. This vision necessitates the deployment
25	of technologies that will transform our electric system into a smart grid. With that said, it
26	is important to SDG&E that technologies being considered for AMI are consistent with
27	that vision. The technologies that SDG&E are considering are capable of providing the
28	endpoint device data that will enable SDG&E to monitor and manage much of the
29	electric system. As described in Mr. Lee's testimony (Chapter 4), this data will enable
30	much more accurate and timely load forecasting than is available today. Specific data
31	that is required on a daily basis are hourly intervals for residential, 15 minute intervals for

1	C&I, bi-directional and net metering, and revenue integrity monitoring. Data
2	transmission capabilities on a near real-time basis is required for power outage and
3	restoration notification and detection.
4	SDG&E believes that the bandwidth requirements (data speed) for the LAN
5	portion of the AMI communications network is sufficient for our metering and voltage
6	management plans that require daily input. In other words, no quantifiable benefit has
7	been identified that would require real-time data from every one of SDG&E's meters.
8	Therefore, as SDG&E's electric system transforms into a smart grid in the future,
9	SDG&E does not see the need to change out our meter LAN interfaces, thus changing out
10	all of the meters.
11	V. SUMMARY OF COSTS & BENEFITS
12	A. Communication System
13	The communication system cost estimates are based on actual pricing data
14	received from the RFP process as described in Mr. Charles' testimony
15	(Chapter 9). This pricing data, together with the actual quantities and types of
16	devices to be deployed in the SDG&E service territory and internal cost estimates
17	for SDG&E labor and associated items was used to develop the communication
18	system costs. Also incorporated into these costs are additional communication
19	system devices due to growth meters and replacement communication system
20	devices due to failures. Specifically, the failure rate required by the RFP is 0.75%
21	for the first 15 years. The RFP goes on to specify an acceptable failure rate of
22	1.5% for an additional 6 years beyond the first 15 years. SDG&E's business case
23	costs were modeled with an expected life of 17 years to avoid mass
24	communication system device failures. In short, a replacement or re-deployment
25	of all AMI-enabled electric meters and AMI communication components is built
26	into the case 17 years after the initial deployment period (excluding those
27	communication components and electric meters included due to growth or
28	replaced due to failure during the intervening years). Costs are also included for
29	replacement of these growth and replacement communication components and
30	electric meters once they reach their expected end of life at the 17 year point
31	following installation.
	1

The communication system costs that have been included in the summary below are comprised of vendor fees; communication system hardware and software (head-end system); system design, installation and testing of collection devices; monthly attachment fees, backhaul (WAN) and unaccounted for energy (UFE) costs; company vehicles; installation, test and dispatching tools; and the additional internal labor to provide ongoing O&M.

To mitigate risk, SDG&E has also included vendor pricing for design, build, run, transfer (DBRT) activities. This risk mitigation action requires that the vendor meets all performance requirements and maintains full responsibility of the AMI communication system throughout the AMI deployment period plus six months. As a result, related communication system internal SDG&E O&M costs have been delayed until January 2011.

B. Electric Meter

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

The cost of an AMI electric meter includes the AMI communication module that is embedded "under the glass" of the meter and the cost for a new solid-state electric meter. As with the communication system devices, the electric meter cost estimates are based on actual pricing data received from the RFP process as described in Mr. Charles' testimony (Chapter 9). This pricing data, together with the actual quantities and types of meters in the SDG&E service territory, were used to develop the electric meter costs. Also incorporated into these costs are growth meters and replacement meters due to failures. Acceptable annual failure rates required by the RFP are 0.5% and 0.75% respectively for residential and commercial & industrial meters for the first 15 years. SDG&E will pay for the replacement costs. Beyond the acceptable failure rate, discounted costs have been included to cover the meter replacement. The acceptable failure rate doubles to 1.0% and 1.5% respectively, for an extended life of six years beyond the first 15 years. SDG&E's business case costs were modeled with an expected life of 17 years to avoid the impact of mass meter failures prior to replacement. As stated above, costs for a re-deployment of meters is also included in the 2025 - 2027 period, and replacement of failed and growth meters included in the period 2028-2038.

Deleted: maintain

In order to mitigate what we see as a risk associated with fielding large numbers of new, solid state meters for our customers, SDG&E assumed an additional 0.5% risk.¹ To address this, SDG&E requested in the RFP that the vendors absorb this additional risk. The RFP responses failed to fully mitigate this risk.

SDG&E also required vendors to provide at least two separate meter vendors in their RFP response. This resulted in a marginal increase in meter cost, but should aid in mitigating meter supply issues and reduce exposure to large-scale meter failures (i.e.: flaws found with a particular lot or family of meters will be somewhat minimized due to this 'diversification' in the meter population).

C. Programmable Controllable Thermostats (PCTs)

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

The cost of a PCT includes the AMI communication module that is embedded in the PCT and the cost of a new PCT. As with the communication system devices, the PCT cost estimates are based on actual pricing data received from select vendors. Prior experience from SDG&E's Smart Thermostat Program was used to determine installation costs and failure rates. This cost data, together with the actual quantities of PCTs, was used to develop the PCT costs. Also incorporated into these costs are replacement PCTs due to failures. SDG&E's Smart Thermostat Program demonstrated an annual failure rate of 2.0%. Based on the California Energy Commission's (CEC) Public Interest Energy Research's (PIER) draft report on "Demand Responsive Control of Air Conditioning via Programmable Controllable Thermostats (PCTs)", dated February 14, 2006, SDG&E's business case costs were modeled with an expected life of 15 years. The PCTs are to be deployed between 2009 and 2013. Therefore, costs for a 'redeployment' of PCTs is included in the 2024 - 2028 period (excluding those PCTs replaced due to failure during the intervening years). Replacement of failed PCTs is included in the period 2029-2038.

¹ Based on current experience with poly-phase solid-state meters without AMI communications, <u>SDG&E</u> used an overall failure rate of 1.0% for all solid-state meters with AMI functionality.

Deleted: was used

1	Installation costs were used based on SDG&E's Smart Thermostat Program.
2	This amounted to \$75 for the first PCT at a customer location, and \$25 for each
3	additional PCT at the same location.
4	D. Operation and Maintenance Costs (O&M)
5	The following are the O&M costs based on a full AMI deployment.
6	1. Communication System Costs
7	SDG&E estimated the following costs:
8	a. Incremental costs to existing O&M due to AMI functionality in the
9	meter and the communications infrastructure (labor, tools, equipment
10	vehicles).
11	b. Attachment costs for collection devices (e.g., rent or lease charges by
12	cities or other third parties not owned by utility).
13	c. Dispatching and O&M of field employees associated with LAN/WAN
14	and infrastructure equipment.
15	d. Backhaul cost of public network connections (WAN Common Carrier
16	costs - Verizon, AT&T, etc.). Backhaul from collection devices to the
17	utility's back office system is anticipated to be via a public network. This
18	will also require frame relay circuits between the public carrier and
19	SDG&E.
20	e. Electric power consumed by LAN/WAN equipment was based on data
21	supplied by the vendors on typical usage for collection devices.
22	2. Electric Meter Costs
23	a. Meter Engineering Labor:
24	Due to the large volume of new solid state meters that will be
25	deployed, additional SDG&E meter engineering resources will be
26	required. These employees will support field metering personnel and
27	communications support staff in conducting product failure analysis,
28	evaluation and testing of firmware upgrades, coordinate programming
29	changes and follow up with the vendors to ensure product support for the
30	life of the meters.

1	b. Battery Replacement Costs:
2	SDG&E anticipates that the poly-phase meters will have backup
3	batteries within the meter to maintain time when power outages occur
4	depending upon the technology chosen. These batteries are expected to
5	have a 10-15 year life. Costs for the replacement batteries were derived
6	from the current costs for backup batteries in commercial meters and were
7	replaced in the tenth year after installation. Costs were included for all
8	installed poly-phase commercial meters (growth and deployment).
9	c. Power Consumption:
10	Any AMI solution installed under the meter cover will result in
11	increased un-metered power consumption and thus additional load on the
12	electric utility grid. SDG&E completed an analysis of measurements from
13	devices currently under test and noted a continuous non-transmitting
14	power consumption increase. Vendors also provided the watt loss power
15	consumption increase for the communication solution in response to
16	questions raised during the RFP process. When combined with the
17	differential cost of watt loss between solid-state meters and mechanical
18	meters and the number of metering devices deployed, a total cost for
19	additional energy consumption is calculated and also included in the costs
20	covered in this chapter.
21	3. Programmable Controllable Thermostat (PCT) Costs
22	a. The PCTs will use the AMI communications network and will not add
23	any incremental communications costs.
24	<u>E</u> . System Operation Benefits
25	1. Growth Meter Displacement
26	Once the initial deployment of AMI begins in mid 2008, new solid state
27	AMI-enabled meters will be installed for all future customer growth.
28	Therefore, SDG&E will avoid the capital expenditures associated with the
29	purchase of electromechanical meters for new customer growth. Costs
30	savings are included based upon the type of meter that SDG&E expects to

										Deleted: Maintenance	
									i	Deleted: both and labo	or to pe [1]
									- /	Deleted: and	
									- 7	Deleted:	[2]
. 1									- []	Formatted	[3]
1	install for the	e various	custom	er classes	(Residentia	al, Multi-fa	amily and		11	Formatted	
2	Commercial). These]	benefits	s are captu	red specifi	cally in Ta	ble PP 11	-2.	1 li	Deleted:	<u> </u>
_	,	,			r				i li		
3	2. Avoided	TOU M	eter R e	placemen	t Costs			,	(- <u>R</u>	Formatted	([5])
							1.		- 19	Deleted:	([6])
	SDG&E	currently	is unde	ertaking the	e replacem	ent of obs	olete mete	ers or		Deleted: 64,237	
	older TOU n	neters wit	h limite	ed calendar	r functions	with newe	er solid-st	ate		Formatted	[7]
5	<i>,</i> .	•	T 1 ·	. , .		.1	1 2010	·.1		Deleted: 82,795	[8]
	metering equ	upment.	I his pr	oject was i	intended to	o run throu	gn 2010 v	vith a		Formatted	[9]
	replacement	rate of ap	proxim	nately 5000) meters pe	er year and	was appr	oved in	138	Deleted: 0	[10]
	-	-	-	•	-	•			138	Deleted: <u>428,244446,801</u>	
	the General I	Rate Case	e (GRC). As AMI	is deploye	ed betweer	n 2008 and	a 2010,	130	Formatted	[11]
	these older T	OU mete	rs wou	ld be repla	ced with n	ew AMI ca	apable me	eters	138	Deleted: 35,396.1038,570	
				-			-		139	Formatted	
	negating the	need to c	hange o	out meters	on the TO	U Calenda	r Replace	ment			[13]
	Project. Say	vings resu	lt from	reduced e	auipment o	costs. The	se benefit	s are	同胞	Deleted:	
	c c	•			1			~	- 199	Formatted	[14]
	captured spe	cifically i	n <u>Table</u>	<u>PP 11-2.</u>						Deleted:	[15]
										Deleted: 69,29650,738	
VI.	AMI COSTS IN	MPACTS	S BY F	UNCTION	NAL ARE	A- BY CA	PITAL,	BY		Formatted	[16]
	O&M									Deleted: 3,337.80163.2	
			Та	ble PP 11-	-1					Formatted	
	Com	municati		em Electr		and PCTs			WV	1>	([18])
	Com			(Dollars in					1111	Deleted: 488.3	
				(2) 011410 1		<u> </u>		Avera		Formatted	[19]
							Annual		HU.	Formatted	[20]
							2011-	<u>2025-</u>	202	Formatted	[21]
	Costs	<u>Total</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2027</u>	203	Deleted: <u>80,990</u>	
1	Capital	v	. .	.			T	• •	構造	Formatted	[22]
1	Total Comm System Capital									Deleted: <u>3,407.7</u>	
	Costs	72,692	0	9,050.3	8,031.6	8,412.3	1,477.4	5,372.0		Formatted	
	Total Electric	<u> 102 - 9</u> .	^v	<u></u>	0100110	0,11210	<u> </u>	0,07210		/	[23]
	Meter Capital								199	Deleted: <u>3,890.60</u>	
	Costs	364,007	0	29,578.9	49,741.9	50,223.2	3,345.6	37,700.9	6	Formatted	[24]
	Total Capital Costs	<u>436,699</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>38,629.3</u>	<u>57,773.5</u>	<u>58,635.4</u>	<u>4,823.0</u>	<u>43,072.9</u>	7.7	Deleted: <u>4,140.20</u>	
	O&M	v	. x	•	•			•		Formatted	[25]
	Total Comm									Deleted: 2,088.90	
i l	System O&M Costs	<u>58,336</u>	0	110.1	<u>655.1</u>	881.2	1,938.0	<u>2,041.6</u>		Formatted	[2/]
	Total Electric	<u>28,330</u>	0	<u>119.1</u>	033.1	001.2	1,930.0	2,041.0		<u> </u>	([26])
	Meter O&M Costs	11,695	0	69.9	99 <u>.0</u>	122.5	401.1	138.9		Deleted: <u>4,913.40</u>	
	Total <u>PCT</u> O&M	11,050	Ũ	0,1,		12210		4		Formatted	[27]
	Costs	24,857	0	0	787.6	2,119.3	726.6	2,465.6	11	Deleted: <u>2,324.20</u> 398.4	
	Total <u>O&M</u> Costs	<u>95,888</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>189.0</u>	<u>1,541.6</u>	<u>3,122.9</u>	3,065.7	4,646.0	3.0	Formatted	[28]
Ì	Total Costs	531,587	<u>0</u>	<u>38,818</u>	<u>59,315</u>	<u>61,758</u>	7,889	47,719	1	Formatted	[29]
									N. S.S.	Formatted	
											[30]
									E.S.	Formatted	([31])
									We h	Formatted	[32]
I									We h	Deleted: <u>509,23487,290</u>	
1				DD 10					聽的	Formatted	[33]
				PP-12					1 March	Formatted	[34]
									100	Deleted: <u>38,804233.1</u>	
I									- West	·/	
									and the second	Formatted	[35]
									陋	<u>ال</u>	
										Formatted	[36]
									一位		

Deleted: Maintenance

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

... [37]

... [38]

... [39]

VII. 1 2

3

4 5

6

AMI BENEFITS IMPACTS BY FUNCTIONAL AREA- BY CAPITAL, BY 0&M

Table PP 11-2

Communication System and Electric Meters Direct Dollars (Dollars in Thousands)

						Av	verage Annu	al	
						<u>2011-</u>	2025-	2028-	
Benefits	<u>Total</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2027</u>	<u>2038</u>	
Capital									
Total Elec Meter									
Avoided/Deferred Capital Benefits	38,310	844.5	1,738.6	1,751.9	1,765.4	1,031.1	1,167.9	1,2 Delet	
Total Capital Benefits	<u>38,310</u>	<u>844.5</u>	<u>1,738.6</u>	<u>1,751.9</u>	<u>1,765.4</u>	<u>1,031.1</u>	<u>1,167.9</u>	<u>1,2</u> Delet	
Total Benefits	<u>38,310</u>	<u>844</u>	<u>1,739</u>	<u>1,752</u>	<u>1,765</u>	<u>1,031</u>	<u>1,168</u>	<u>1</u> Delet	ed: 0
		I							

VIII. AMI RISK MITIGATION

7 As the largest capital cost category in the business case, any changes to the price 8 we pay per electric meter will have a material financial impact. Risks in this chapter include the meter failure rate (includes battery failures as well), escalation of meter costs, 9 and the assumption that 100% of meters will be read reliably by AMI. Each of these 10 11 risks will be addressed below. The meter failure rates, discussed in more detail earlier in this chapter, reflect a

12 conservative assumption about the useful life of these assets. These meters have 13 undergone or will undergo extensive tests including: accelerated life tests, field tests in 14 15 SDG&E service territory, and sample testing before shipment. SDG&E has also included 16 costs for a limited warranty. 17 In addition to the pricing provided in the RFP responses, the price of the electric

18 meter includes some risk mitigation. SDG&E increased the cost per meter to include the 19 option to have two meter manufacturers (both meter manufacturers would use the same 20 AMI communication technology.)

21 AMI DBRT activities were mentioned earlier in this chapter. Essentially, the 22 AMI technology vendor will be contractually responsible for the performance of the AMI 23 communication infrastructure through deployment. SDG&E will establish service level agreements with significant rewards and penalties. After all meters have been deployed, 24 and the network is operating within the service levels for a period of six months, the 25

Deleted: 0 Deleted: ,) Deleted: In addition to the pricing

provided in the RFP responses, the price of the electric meter includes some risk mitigation. SDG&E increased the cost per meter to include the option to have two meter manufacturers (both meter manufacturers would use the same AMI communication technology.)¶

Deleted: AMI Design, Build, Run, Transfer

1	maintenance of the network will transfer to SDG&E. Viable RFP respondents included
2	these performance requirements in their RFP bids.
3	IX. CONCLUSION
4	My testimony has discussed both the costs and benefits related to deploying an
5	AMI communication system. The most significant contribution to the overall project
6	costs comes from the purchase and installation of solid state meters with embedded AMI
7	modules and associated network communications infrastructure. Based on the 'not to
8	exceed' costs included in this chapter we are confident that a system can be procured that
9	will provide the functionality described in Mr. Reguly's testimony (Chapter 8) and
10	therefore enable the benefits described throughout other chapters in <u>SDG&E's AMI</u>
11	filing.
12	My testimony also discussed at a high level the various technologies available for
13	AMI. Through the RFP process, SDG&E determined that there were technologies
14	available from the marketplace that could provide the functionality, and therefore the
15	benefits, necessary to meet our requirements. SDG&E will be field-testing various
16	technologies to determine which technologies best meet the functional requirements
17	based on meter density and morphology.
	based on meter density and morphology.

Deleted: this business case

1 X. QUALIFICATIONS OF PAUL PRUSCHKI

2 My name is Paul Pruschki and I am employed by San Diego Gas & Electric 3 Company (SDG&E). My business address is 8326 Century Park Court, CP62C, San 4 Diego, CA 92123. 5 My present position is Measurement Data Communications Manager in the Network Engineering & Operations Department of SDG&E. I have been employed by 6 7 SDG&E since 2003. Previous positions relevant to my testimony include Technical 8 Project Manager (2000-2003), RF Manager (1997-1999) and RF Engineer (1992-1997). 9 I received a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute.

10 I have not previously testified before the California Public Utilities Commission.

Page 12: [1] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
both		

 Page 12: [1] Deleted
 Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo
 07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM

 and labor to perform the field function
 07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM

Page 12: [1] DeletedJacqueline Rae Aguinaldo07/19/2006 12:42:00 PMTable

Page 12: [2] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
		Average Annual
Page 12: [3] Formatted Left, Line spacing: single	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [4] Formatted Line spacing: single	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [4] Formatted Left, Line spacing: single	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [4] Formatted Line spacing: single	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [5] Formatted Left	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [6] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [6] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [6] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [6] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [6] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [6] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [6] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [7] Formatted Line spacing: single	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [8] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:25:00 PM 82,795
Page 12: [8] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM 5,817.208,991.8
Page 12: [8] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM

4,879.80

		4,879.80
Page 12: [8] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
		5,260.50
Page 12: [8] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
		1,239.701239.7
Page 12: [8] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
		2,126.605,153.2
Page 12: [8] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
		2,231.302231.3
Page 12: [9] Formatted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Line spacing: single		
Page 12: [10] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
		0
Page 12: [10] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
		0
Page 12: [10] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
		0
Page 12: [10] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
		0
Page 12: [10] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
		0
Page 12: [10] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:27:00 PM
		6,774.606
Page 12: [11] Formatted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Line spacing: single		
Page 12: [12] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
		<u>35,396.1038,570.7</u>
Page 12: [12] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
		<u>54,621.80</u>
Page 12: [12] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
		<u>55,483.70</u>
Page 12: [12] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:26:00 PM
		<u>4,585.303</u>
Page 12: [12] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
		<u>39,827.5042,854.1</u>
Page 12: [12] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:27:00 PM
		<u>9,005.909</u>
Page 12: [13] Formatted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Left		
Page 12: [14] Formatted	Jacqueline Rae Aquinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Left	······································	
Page 12: [15] Deleted	lacqueline Dae Aquinalde	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Fage 12. [15] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	077 177 2000 12:42:00 PIVI
Dago 12: [15] Delated	lacqualina Das Aquinalda	07/10/2004 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [15] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM

Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM 3,337.80163.2
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM 3,791.70639.9
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM 4,017.70866.0
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:29:00 PM 1,687.808
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM 4,774.501,747.9
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:30:00 PM 1,836.000
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo

Page 12: [28] Formatted Font: Not Italic, No underline	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [29] Formatted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Line spacing: single Page 12: [30] Formatted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Font: Not Bold, Italic		07777200012.12.0011
Page 12: [31] Formatted Left, Line spacing: single	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [32] Formatted Font: Not Bold, Italic	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [33] Formatted Line spacing: single	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [34] Formatted Font: Not Bold, Italic	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [35] Formatted Font: Not Bold, Italic	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [36] Formatted Font: Not Bold, Italic	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [37] Formatted Font: Not Bold, Italic	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [38] Formatted Font: Not Bold, Italic	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [39] Formatted Font: Not Bold, Italic	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [40] Formatted Font: Not Bold, Italic	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:42:00 PM
Page 12: [41] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:28:00 PM 534,091
Page 12: [41] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:28:00 PM <u>38,804</u>
Page 12: [41] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:29:00 PM <u>300</u>
Page 12: [41] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:29:00 PM <u>743</u>
Page 12: [41] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:29:00 PM <u>7,401</u>
Page 12: [41] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:30:00 PM <u>47,206</u>
Page 12: [41] Deleted	Jacqueline Rae Aguinaldo	07/19/2006 12:30:00 PM <u>11,729</u>