Please respond to the following data requests in regard to the 2016 SDG&E rate case:

1. In regard to the Decision Matrix provided in response to MGRA-35, please provide the following clarification:
The risk scoring calculation uses an Excel spreadsheet that uses the following formula:
=M$4\*M6+N$4\*N6+O$4\*O6+P$4\*P6+Q$4\*Q6+R$4\*R6+S$4\*S6+T$4\*T6+U$4\*U6+V$4\*V6+W$4\*W6+X$4\*X6+Y$4\*Y6+Z$4\*Z6+AA$4\*AA6+AB$4\*AB6+AC$4\*AC6-(J6/100000)
In this formula, ‘J6’ is a reference to the circuit segment length. However, the circuit segment length, in miles, divided by 100,000 results in a negligible contribution to the scoring term. Is this term in error? What is the intent of including a length term?
2. In regard to the fire history data provided in the amended response to our question MGRA-67: We provided SDG&E the option of withholding any fire data points that were in contention or being litigated. Did SDG&E withhold any fires from the list, and if so, how many and in what years did they occur?
3. In regard to the FTZ Decision matrix provided in SDG&E’s response to MGRA-35, is the ranked list used to determine either prioritization or the extent of SDG&E’s vegetation management program? Is the tree-trimming program more extensive or aggressive along circuit segments with high risk scoring?
4. Regarding SDG&E’s list of FiRM related projects provided in the response to MGRA-54 and MGRA-55, we note that there are noticeably fewer such projects listed for 2012 and 2013 than there are for 2009-2011 and 2014. What is the reason that there are fewer listed projects in these calendar years?