

Application: 09-08-xxx  
Exhibit No.: \_\_\_\_\_  
Witness: Michael A. Calabrese

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric  
Company (U 902 E) for Approval Pursuant to  
Public Utilities Code Section 851 to Lease  
Transfer Capability Rights to Citizens Energy  
Corporation

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF**  
**MICHAEL A. CALABRESE**  
**SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**  
**OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**October 9, 2009**



1           **I.           SUMMARY AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

2           The purpose of my direct testimony is to provide an illustrative comparative analysis of  
3 annual levelized revenue requirements that include both incremental capital and expense related  
4 costs that arise as a result of San Diego Gas & Electric (“SDG&E”) entering into a Development  
5 and Coordination Agreement (“DCA”) with Citizens Energy Corporation (“Citizens”) that would  
6 allow Citizens to lease 50 percent of the power transfer capability on a segment of the Sunrise  
7 Powerlink located in Imperial Valley (“Border-East Line”).

8           The illustrative comparative analysis includes a “current snap shot” case for SDG&E and  
9 a current snap shot case and high case for Citizens. The SDG&E current snap shot case was  
10 prepared from the perspective that Citizens would not exercise its option under the DCA and  
11 therefore not participate in Sunrise. Conversely, the Citizens current snap shot case and high  
12 case were prepared from the perspective that Citizens would exercise its option under the DCA  
13 and participate in Sunrise. The annual levelized revenue requirements for this comparative  
14 analysis are produced from the SDG&E Representative Rate Model (“Model”) referenced in the  
15 DCA with modifications made to the capital structure, depending on the case. For the SDG&E  
16 current snap shot case, the Model was modified to reflect the Federal Energy Regulatory  
17 Commission (“FERC”) approved SDG&E authorized capital structure in effect as of March 31,  
18 2009. For the Citizens current snap shot case and high case, the Model was updated to reflect the  
19 most current five-day average Moody’s Aa 30-year Utility Bond Index in effect for purposes of  
20 updating the debt component of the capital structure at the time this analysis was conducted.

21           Table MAC-5 of this testimony shows that the annual discounted and levelized revenue  
22 requirements under the current snap shot case is slightly higher for Citizens by \$77 thousand or  
23 .6% when compared to that of SDG&E. A high case was also prepared as part of the  
24 comparative analysis in order to illustrate a range of possible outcomes, depending on the level

1 of the actual incremental costs sought by Citizens. As summarized in Table MAC-5, depending  
2 on the outcome of certain events, the annual discounted and levelized revenue requirements  
3 under the high case is \$734 thousand or 5.8% higher for Citizens when compared to the current  
4 snap shot case for SDG&E.

5 Table MAC-1 shows the forecasted capital related costs, the largest costs reflected in the  
6 DCA, and estimated expense related costs for the current snap shot case and high case, and are  
7 explained in more detail in Section II of this testimony. The revenue requirement model and  
8 methodology used in this comparative analysis is the same model used to calculate the SDG&E  
9 Representative Rate defined in the DCA and described in more detail in Section III of this  
10 testimony. Sections IV and V explain in more detail the assumptions and analysis used to  
11 prepare the current snap shot case and high case, respectively. Lastly, Section VI provides the  
12 incremental summary results for the SDG&E current snap shot case and the Citizens current snap  
13 shot case and high case described above.

## 14 **II. COSTS UNDER THE DCA**

### 15 **Capital Related Costs**

16 The costs components used in the comparative analysis are defined under Section B of  
17 Schedule 2.2 of the DCA. In particular, Section 3.2.2 defines “Capital Requirements” with the  
18 single largest capital component being defined as the “Costs of Transfer Capability” in the DCA.  
19 The phrase “Costs of Transfer Capability” means 101% of the sum of the prepaid rent of  
20 Citizens’ Transfer Capability as determined in the DCA plus all reasonably incurred project  
21 costs, development costs, regulatory costs, transactional costs, sales costs, use or excise tax costs,  
22 and Financing Costs (defined below) incurred by Citizens and associated with this transaction.  
23 The phrase “Financing Costs” has different meanings based on whether the phrase pertains to  
24 bridge financing or term financing. Bridge financing that Citizens may consummate prior to the

1 term financing that Citizens will consummate for the final acquisition of its Transfer Capability  
2 includes all reasonable and customary financing costs, including without limitation, lenders'  
3 fees, consultants' fees (for Citizens and its lenders), lawyers' fees (for Citizens and its lenders),  
4 and interest associated with such bridge financing. Term financing that Citizens will  
5 consummate for the final acquisition of its Transfer Capability includes all reasonable and  
6 customary consultants' fees (for Citizens and its lenders), lawyers' fees (for Citizens and its  
7 lenders), and capitalized interest charged prior to commencement of rate recovery, and excluding  
8 any lenders' fees and any amounts set aside for reserve accounts.

9         The single largest component of the Cost of Transfer Capability is the prepaid rent  
10 amount which is 50% of SDG&E's actual costs of the Border-East Line (currently estimated to  
11 be approximately \$83.064 million). The \$83.064 million represents the fully loaded (all in)  
12 construction and development costs incurred by SDG&E that is associated with the Citizens  
13 portion of the Border-East Line and are presented in the current snap shot case for SDG&E and  
14 the current snap shot case, and high case for Citizens. This cost is shown as transmission line  
15 costs in Table MAC-1 below.

16         In addition to the prepaid rent costs identified above, the Cost of Transfer Capability that  
17 is input into the Model includes all reasonably incurred project costs, development costs,  
18 regulatory costs, transactional costs, sales costs, use or excise tax costs, and Financing Costs  
19 (defined above) incurred by Citizens and associated with this transaction. Citizens estimated  
20 these development costs to range from \$2.8 million (presented in the current snap shot case) to  
21 \$5.0 million (presented in the high case), as shown in Table MAC-1 below. These costs are  
22 incremental costs that will be incurred solely by Citizens.

23         Lastly, the Cost of Transfer Capability that is input into the Model includes a 1% adder  
24 that is intended to account for, among other costs, the ordinary and customary lenders' fees that

1 SDG&E would have incurred if it held Citizens' Transfer Capability alone. This amount is  
2 estimated to range from \$859 thousand (presented in the current snap shot case) to \$881  
3 thousand (presented in the high case), as shown in Table MAC-1 below. The range of costs is  
4 calculated by taking the sum of all Transfer Capability costs of \$83.064 million and development  
5 costs ranging from \$2.8 million to \$5.0 million times 1%.

6 Table MAC-1 also shows a summary side by side comparison of capital related costs  
7 applicable under the DCA as they pertain to SDG&E and Citizens respectively. Citizens could  
8 incur more capital related costs then what is shown in the summary below, but the costs  
9 presented below are allowed under the DCA and used in the comparative analysis. If Citizens  
10 were to exercise its option under the DCA and lease the power transfer capability on the Border-  
11 East Line, then Citizens would incur capital costs under the current snap shot case of \$86.723  
12 million and under the high case of \$88.945 million. Likewise, if Citizens were not to exercise its  
13 option under the DCA, SDG&E would incur capital related construction costs associated with  
14 the transmission line of \$83.064 million as shown in the current snap shot case of Table MAC-1.

15  
16  
17

<b>TABLE MAC-1</b>			
<b>San Diego Gas &amp; Electric</b>			
<b>Comparison of Capital and Expense Related Costs</b>			
<b>Snap Shot Case, High Case</b>			
	<b>SDG&amp;E</b>	<b>CITIZEN'S</b>	
	<b>SNAP SHOT</b>	<b>SNAP SHOT</b>	<b>HIGH</b>
	<b>CASE</b>	<b>CASE</b>	<b>CASE</b>
<b><u>CAPITAL RELATED COSTS</u></b>			
<b>Cost of Transfer Capability:</b>			
Transmission Line Costs	83,064,000	83,064,000	83,064,000
Debt Financing Fees (1.0%)	-	858,640	880,640
Development & Other Costs	-	2,800,000	5,000,000
Other Financing Costs	-	-	-
<b>Total Transfer Capability</b>	<b>83,064,000</b>	<b>86,722,640</b>	<b>88,944,640</b>
<b><u>EXPENSE RELATED COSTS</u></b>			
O&M Expenses-SDG&E	744,000	744,000	744,000
A&G & Other Expenses-SDG&E	564,000	564,000	564,000
General & Common Plant-SDG&E	181,000	181,000	181,000
A&G Citizen's	-	200,000	500,000
Debt Service Costs - SDG&E	415,320	-	-
<b>Total Expense Related Costs</b>	<b>1,904,320</b>	<b>1,689,000</b>	<b>1,989,000</b>

**Expense Related Costs**

Section B.3.2.1 of the DCA Schedule 2.2 states that Citizens shall seek recovery of all reasonably and prudent annual operations and maintenance (“O&M”) costs, including administrative and general (“A&G”) activities directly attributable to Citizens’ transfer capability in the project as recorded in FERC Accounts 560-573 and 920-935 under the FERC Uniform System of Accounts. Annual estimated O&M, A&G and other costs applicable to the Citizens portion of Sunrise that could be billed to Citizens from SDG&E are estimated to be \$744 thousand and \$564 thousand, respectively. These costs are shown for the current snap shot case and high case in Table MAC-1.

As described in Section 5.1 of DCA Schedule 2.2, the O&M and A&G costs described above will include an annual charge to Citizens from SDG&E for operational and maintenance

1 support, and actual applicable overheads that apply to the entire Sunrise Powerlink over the 30-  
2 year contract period. These applicable overheads include an allocated portion of General and  
3 Common plant costs used to support the Sunrise project. The General and Common plant costs  
4 include, but are not limited to, capital costs for office building, furniture, computer equipment,  
5 communication equipment, and tools. The annual estimated General and Common plant costs  
6 applicable to the Citizens portion of Sunrise that could be billed to Citizens from SDG&E are  
7 estimated to be \$181 thousand. These costs for the current snap shot case and high case are also  
8 shown in Table MAC-1. As a result, whether Citizens were to exercise its option under the DCA  
9 and participate in Sunrise or not, these O&M, A&G, and overhead costs would be considered  
10 pass through costs from either SDG&E or Citizens' perspective and from a comparative view  
11 point have zero incremental financial impact on this analysis.

12       Lastly, if Citizens were to exercise its option under the DCA, then it would likely incur  
13 its own incremental A&G costs above what would be billed by SDG&E. These A&G costs were  
14 estimated by Citizen's to range from \$200 thousand as presented in the current snap shot case  
15 and could increase up to \$500 thousand as presented in the high case. These annual incremental  
16 costs reflect those that are required for Citizens to carry out its responsibilities under the DCA, to  
17 perform the role of a Participating Transmission Owner ("PTO") in the CAISO, including  
18 representing Citizens' interest in the Boarder-East Line as a PTO and addressing changes which will  
19 be required over time to the CAISO Tariff, the Transmission Control Agreement and other key  
20 CAISO arrangements, and to participate in the CAISO's ongoing billing and settlements processes,  
21 which are further addressed in Section IV of this testimony.

### 22       **III. THE REPRESENTATIVE RATE MODEL**

23       The SDG&E Representative Rate is determined by the Model identified in Exhibit 2.2A  
24 of the DCA. The Model produces a high level revenue requirement calculation that incorporates  
25 a ratemaking cost of service methodology consistent with SDG&E's current practices. As

1 described in the testimony of SDG&E witness James Avery, the Model was included as part of  
2 the DCA in an effort to establish a cost of capital cap that would be substantially similar to the  
3 cost of capital SDG&E could recover at the time Sunrise went into commercial operation for the  
4 life of the project if SDG&E held its interest in Sunrise without Citizens' participation.

5 In order to determine the SDG&E Representative Rate or cap that applies to Citizens,  
6 certain variable parameters must be entered into the Model: (1) five-day average Moody's Aa  
7 30-year Utility Bond Index as set forth in the Bloomberg LLC system, mnemonic MOODUAA,  
8 (2) the actual Costs of Transfer Capability (defined above), and (3) the portion of the actual  
9 Costs of Transfer Capability that is actual SDG&E Allowance for Funds Used During  
10 Construction ("AFUDC"). When populated with the variable parameters, the Model will  
11 produce a discounted annual levelized revenue requirement derived from capital related costs,  
12 including the Cost of Transfer Capability as defined in the DCA over the 30 years contract  
13 period. The Model produces annual revenue requirements that are added together over a 58 year  
14 period (the average service life of Sunrise) and discounted at the weighted average cost of capital  
15 ("WACC") presented in the Model. The WACC shown in the Model that is part of Exhibit 2.2b  
16 of the DCA is 8.49% and is shown in Table MAC-2 below. The discounted sum of the revenue  
17 requirements is then levelized over the 30-year lease period to produce a single annual revenue  
18 requirement.

19 //

20 //

21 //



<b>San Diego Gas &amp; Electric</b>				
<b>DCA Model</b>				
<b>Capital Structure</b>				
<u>Table MAC-2</u>				
<u>Calculation of WACC</u>	<u>Capital Ratio</u>	<u>Cost</u>	<u>WACC</u>	
Debt	50.00%	5.62%	2.81%	
Preferred Equity	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Common Equity	50.00%	11.35%	5.68%	
	100.00%		8.49%	

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

The Model in Exhibit 2.2b of the DCA also assumes a 50% debt, 50% equity capital structure as shown in Table MAC-2, and currently sets the cost of debt financing at 5.62%, which represents SDG&E’s estimated debt rate over 30 years. This estimated debt rate is calculated by taking the five-day average Moody’s Aa 30-year Utility Bond Index less 38 basis points at the time the Model was developed. The debt rate will be adjusted only once and remain fixed for 30 years upon Citizens exercising its option under the DCA. The common equity rate shown in Table MAC-2 will remain fixed at 11.35% for 30 years.

The detailed components of the revenue requirement that are calculated by the Model include depreciation, interest expense on debt financing, taxes, and return on common equity. Property taxes are calculated at the fixed rate of 1.246%, and federal and state income taxes are fixed at the statutory rate of 40.75%. The Model produces a detailed calculation of rate base adopted from the FERC perspective, which takes into consideration the normalization of both federal and state deferred taxes. The Model also incorporates a calculation for working cash. Working cash is computed by multiplying total estimated annual O&M expenses by one-eighth. The resulting amount represents 45 days of O&M expenses. This method, which is accepted by FERC, is used in the Model because a traditional working cash study based on historical data related to Sunrise operations is not yet available.

1 In summary, the SDG&E Representative Rate produced by the Model represents a ceiling  
2 for Citizens, but it is possible that the ultimate FERC-approved Citizens capital cost recovery  
3 rate may be lower than the SDG&E Representative Rate. As noted above, per the DCA, Citizens  
4 would be bound by the lower rate. Also, FERC must approve Citizens' incremental A&G costs  
5 and Development Costs.

#### 6 **IV. SNAP SHOT CASE ASSUMPTIONS AND ANALYSIS RESULTS**

7 The Model described in Section III was modified for the SDG&E current snap shot case  
8 and the Citizens current snap shot case in order to produce the comparative analysis in Table  
9 MAC-3. The Model was modified in order to produce what the discounted annual levelized  
10 revenue requirements would look like today for all snap shot case capital related costs presented  
11 Table MAC-1. For the SDG&E current snap shot case, SDG&E modified the capital structure in  
12 the Model to include its actual capital structure as of March 31, 2009, consistent with the  
13 methodology adopted in SDG&E's currently-effective FERC-approved Transmission Owner  
14 Formula ("TO3") and reflected in SDG&E's TO3, Cycle 3 filing proposing rates to become  
15 effective September 1, 2009. Specifically, the Model includes SDG&E's capital structure of  
16 42% Debt with a debt rate of 5.21%, preferred equity of 2% with a preferred rate of 6.14%, and  
17 common equity of 56% with a return on equity ("ROE") of 11.35%, yielding a WACC of 8.67%  
18 currently in effect. For the Citizens current snap shot case, the Model was simply updated for  
19 the most recent five-day average Moody's Aa 30-year Utility Bond Index of 5.48% less 38 basis  
20 points. This update produced a capital structure of 50% debt with a debt rate of 5.10%, and a  
21 common equity of 50% with a ROE of 11.35%, yielding a WACC of 8.23%.

22 If Citizens were not to participate in Sunrise by not exercising its option under the DCA,  
23 then SDG&E for the current snap shot case would retain the \$83.064 million of "all in"  
24 construction costs as shown in Table MAC-1. The \$83.064 million was entered into the

1 modified Model which included AFUDC of \$10.060 million producing annual revenue  
2 requirements with a WACC of 8.67% over the 58 year average service life period of Sunrise.  
3 These annual revenue requirements were then discounted at the same WACC of 8.67%, summed,  
4 and levelized over a 30 year period to produce a single annual revenue requirement for the snap  
5 shot case of \$10.748 million as shown in Table MAC-3.

6 Likewise, if Citizens were to exercise its option under the DCA, its Cost of Transfer  
7 Capability for the current snap shot case is estimated to be \$86.723 as shown in Table MAC-1.  
8 The \$86.723 million was entered into the updated Model which included AFUDC of \$10.060  
9 million producing annual revenue requirements with a WACC of 8.23% over the 58 year average  
10 service life period of Sunrise. These annual revenue requirements were then discounted at the  
11 current SDG&E WACC of 8.67%, summed, and levelized over a 30 year period to produce a  
12 single annual revenue requirement for the snap shot case of (the SDG&E Representative Rate or  
13 capital cost recovery cap for Citizens) of \$10.569 million, as shown in Table MAC-3.

14 The annual O&M expenses of \$744 thousand, A&G expenses of \$564 thousand, and  
15 General and Common plant overheads of \$181 thousand for both SDG&E and Citizens shown in  
16 Table MAC-I of Section II were escalated at a rate of 2.5% annually over the 30 year period.  
17 The O&M, A&G, and General and Common plant overheads were not escalated over the entire  
18 58 years period since the DCA period is for 30 years, and Citizens would not incur any of these  
19 costs after year 30. SDG&E would however incur costs for years 31-58, but in order to show  
20 meaningful comparative results, the O&M, A&G, and General and Common plant overhead  
21 costs for SDG&E were also stopped at the end of 30 years. The sum of these costs were then  
22 discounted back over 30 years and levelized over 30 years using the current SDG&E WACC  
23 discount rate of 8.67%. The comparison resulted in no variance between SDG&E and Citizens  
24 as shown in Table MAC-3.

1 Under the DCA, Citizens can also seek recovery of incremental A&G costs that would be  
2 solely incurred by Citizens as a result of its participation in Sunrise. These A&G costs of \$200  
3 thousand for the Citizens current snap shot case are referenced in Section II of this testimony.  
4 The \$200 thousand of A&G costs were escalated at 2.5% annually over 30 years, summed,  
5 discounted and levelized over 30 years at the SDG&E WACC of 8.67% to produce a single  
6 annual levelized amount of \$264 thousand.

7 Lastly, if Citizen were not to exercise its option under the DCA, SDG&E would incur  
8 debt financing fees of 1% on the estimated construction cost of the transmission line of \$83.064  
9 million. This amount has been identified in Table MAC-1 as \$415 thousand, and is amortized  
10 annually over the 58 year period consistent with how debt service fees are currently treated for  
11 accounting and ratemaking purposes by SDG&E. These annual amortized amounts were  
12 discounted over 58 years at 8.67%, summed, and levelized over 30 years at the current SDG&E  
13 WACC of 8.67%.

14 In summary, Table MAC-3 shows the comparative results of the sum of annual revenue  
15 requirements discounted and levelized over 30 years for both capital and expense related costs  
16 for Citizens and SDG&E. The SDG&E current snap shot case incorporates a higher WACC of  
17 8.67%, lower capital related costs, and a slight increase in expense related costs associated with  
18 debt service fees when compared to Citizens. When discounted at the same WACC of 8.67%,  
19 the annual levelized revenue requirement over 30 years is \$12.722 million. The Citizens current  
20 snap shot case incorporates a lower WACC of 8.23% when calculating the annual revenue  
21 requirements, higher capital related costs, and an increase in expense related costs associated  
22 with its own incremental A&G costs when compared to SDG&E. Assuming the current SDG&E  
23 WACC of 8.67% for discounting, the annual levelized revenue requirement over 30 years for the  
24 Citizens snap shot case is \$12.799 million. When comparing the SDG&E current snap shot case

1 to that of Citizens, the net results is that Citizens is slightly higher than SDG&E by \$77 thousand  
 2 annually or .6%.

3 While the capital cost recovery of the rates that Citizens will charge will be fixed for the  
 4 term of 30 years (see Section III), the rates that SDG&E will charge will be subject to change.  
 5 This has the potential to provide a significant advantage to customers if SDG&E's cost of equity  
 6 were to increase. At this juncture, while SDG&E is not prepared to forecast what might be  
 7 approved in the way of ROE in the future, it is safe to say that SDG&E's cost of equity will  
 8 change based on the future of equity markets. If this were to occur, then the current snap shot  
 9 case for SDG&E would likely increase above that of Citizens providing substantial benefits to  
 10 customers over the 30 year contract period.

<b>TABLE MAC-3</b>				
<b>San Diego Gas &amp; Electric</b>				
<b>SDG&amp;E Snap Shot Case vs Citizen's Snap Shot Case</b>				
<b>Revenue Requirement Summary</b>				
	<b>SDG&amp;E</b>	<b>CITIZEN'S</b>	<b>VARIANCE</b>	
	<b>NPV 58 Yrs</b>	<b>NPV 58 Yrs</b>		
<b>Cost Category</b>	<b>Annual Levelized 30 Yrs</b>	<b>Annual Levelized 30 Yrs</b>	<b>Higher / (Lower)</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Capital Related Costs:</b>				
Cost Of Transfer Capability	\$10,748,106	\$10,568,979	(\$179,127)	
Subtotal Capital Related Revenue Requirement	\$10,748,106	\$10,568,979	(\$179,127)	-1.7%
<b>Expense Related Costs:</b>				
O&M, A&G, & General & Common Plant	\$1,965,742	\$1,965,742	\$0	
Incremental A&G Costs - Citizens	\$0	\$264,035	\$264,035	
Debt Service Costs - SDG&E	\$8,071	\$0	(\$8,071)	
Subtotal Expense Related Costs	\$1,973,812	\$2,229,777	\$255,964	13.0%
<b>Total Revenue Requirement on All Costs</b>	<b>\$12,721,918</b>	<b>\$12,798,755</b>	<b>\$76,837</b>	<b>0.6%</b>
Discount Rates	8.67%	8.67%		

12 **V. HIGH CASE ASSUMPTIONS AND ANALYSIS RESULTS**

13 The analysis described in this Section compares the identical SDG&E current snap shot  
 14 case presented in Section IV with a high case prepared for Citizens. The assumptions for the  
 15 Citizens high case are explained in more detail below and the comparative results with the  
 16 SDG&E current snap shot case are shown on Table MAC-4.

1 The Model that was updated for the Citizens current snap shot case was also used in the  
2 high case comparative analysis. More specifically, the same debt and equity capital structure and  
3 applicable rates in the Model remained unchanged producing a WACC of 8.23%. It is important  
4 to note in this regard that should the cost of long term debt financing increase subsequent to  
5 Citizens' exercise of its option under the DCA, the Model will cap the cost of capital that may be  
6 reflected in Citizens' rates. This capped cost of capital is based on SDG&E's 11.35% equity  
7 return allowance and the most recent five-day average Moody's Aa 30-year Utility Bond Index  
8 less 38 basis points at the time the option is exercised -- currently 5.1% based on a Moody's  
9 Index of 5.48% less 38 basis points. This produces an overall return cap of 8.23% using a 50/50  
10 capital structure. As noted above, assuming SDG&E's cost of equity changes based on the  
11 future of equity markets, this capital cost protection would not exist without Citizens'  
12 involvement in the Border-East Line.

13 A key assumption used in the Citizens high case is the higher capital related costs shown  
14 on Table MAC-1. If Citizens were to exercise its option under the DCA, its Cost of Transfer  
15 Capability for the high case is estimated to be \$88.945 million as shown in Table MAC-1.  
16 Included in this amount is the higher range of incremental development costs of \$5.0 million for  
17 Citizens along with higher debt service costs of \$881 thousand. The \$88.945 million was  
18 entered into the updated Model which included AFUDC of \$10.060 million, producing annual  
19 revenue requirements with a WACC of 8.23% over the 58 year average service life period of  
20 Sunrise. These annual revenue requirements were then discounted at the current SDG&E  
21 WACC of 8.67%, summed, and levelized over a 30 year period to produce a single annual  
22 revenue requirement for the Citizens high case of \$10.830 million, as shown in Table MAC-4.

23 The annual O&M expenses of \$744 thousand, A&G expenses of \$564 thousand, and  
24 General and Common plant overheads of \$181 thousand are pass through costs for Citizens from

1 | SDG&E and are shown in Table MAC-I of Section II and do not vary between Citizens' snap  
2 | shot case and its high case. These costs were escalated at a rate of 2.5% annually over the 30  
3 | year period, discounted at 8.67%, and levelized identical to what was presented in the current  
4 | snap shot case for Citizens and have no impact on this comparative analysis.

5 |         Under the DCA, Citizens can also seek recovery of incremental A&G costs that would be  
6 | solely incurred by Citizens as a result of its participation in Sunrise. The other key assumption  
7 | which changed in the Citizens' high case was A&G costs of \$500 thousand which represent an  
8 | upper estimate of costs for the Citizens' high case for the activities referenced in Section II of  
9 | this testimony and shown on Table MAC-1. The \$500 thousand of A&G costs were escalated at  
10 | 2.5% annually over 30 years, summed, discounted and levelized over 30 years at the SDG&E  
11 | WACC of 8.67% to produce a single annual levelized amount of \$660 thousand.

12 |         In summary, Table MAC-4 shows the comparative results of the sum of annual revenue  
13 | requirements discounted and levelized over 30 years for both capital and expense related costs  
14 | for the Citizens high case and the SDG&E current snap shot case. The SDG&E current snap  
15 | shot case incorporates a higher WACC of 8.67%, lower capital related costs, and a slight  
16 | increase in expense related costs associated with debt service fees when compared to the Citizens  
17 | high case. This produces a discounted annual levelized revenue requirement over 30 years of  
18 | \$12.722 million. The Citizens high case incorporates the lower WACC of 8.23% when  
19 | calculating the annual revenue requirements, a higher range of capital related costs, and a higher  
20 | range of its own incremental A&G costs when compared to SDG&E. Assuming the current  
21 | SDG&E WACC of 8.67% for discounting, the annual levelized revenue requirement over 30  
22 | years for the Citizens high case is \$13.456 million. When comparing the SDG&E current snap  
23 | shot case to that of the Citizens high case, the net results is that Citizens is higher than SDG&E

1 by \$734 thousand annually or 5.8%.

<b>TABLE MAC-4</b>				
<b>San Diego Gas &amp; Electric</b>				
<b>SDG&amp;E Snap Shot Case vs Citizen's High Case</b>				
<b>Revenue Requirement Summary</b>				
	<b>SDG&amp;E</b>	<b>CITIZEN'S</b>	<b>VARIANCE</b>	
	<b>NPV 58 Yrs</b>	<b>NPV 58 Yrs</b>		
<b>Cost Category</b>	<b>Annual Levelized 30 Yrs</b>	<b>Annual Levelized 30 Yrs</b>	<b>Higher / (Lower)</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Capital Related Costs:</b>				
Cost Of Transfer Capability	\$10,748,106	\$10,829,680	\$81,574	
Subtotal Capital Related Revenue Requirement	\$10,748,106	\$10,829,680	\$81,574	0.8%
<b>Expense Related Costs:</b>				
O&M, A&G, & General & Commom Plant	\$1,965,742	\$1,965,742	\$0	
Incremental A&G Costs - Citizens	\$0	\$660,088	\$660,088	
Debt Service Costs - SDG&E	\$8,071	\$0	(\$8,071)	
Subtotal Expense Related Costs	\$1,973,812	\$2,625,829	\$652,017	33.0%
<b>Total Revenue Requirement on All Costs</b>	<b>\$12,721,918</b>	<b>\$13,455,509</b>	<b>\$733,591</b>	<b>5.8%</b>
Discount Rates	8.67%	8.67%		

2

3 **VI. COMPARATIVE SUMMARY RESULTS CURRENT SNAP SHOT CASE AND**  
 4 **HIGH CASE**

5 Table MAC-5, below, summarizes the incremental net results when comparing the  
 6 SDG&E current snap shot case to the Citizens current snap shot case and high case. The net  
 7 result of comparing the SDG&E current snap shot case to the Citizens current snap shot case  
 8 results in Citizens being slightly higher than SDG&E by \$77 thousand or .6%. The net result of  
 9 comparing the SDG&E current snap shot case to the Citizens high case results in Citizens being  
 10 higher than SDG&E by \$734 thousand or 5.8%.

11



<b>TABLE MAC-5</b>				
<b>San Diego Gas &amp; Electric</b>				
<b>Incremental Comparative Results</b>				
<b>SDG&amp;E Snap Shot Case vs Citizen's Snap Shot Case &amp; High Case</b>				
<b>Revenue Requirement Summary</b>				
	SDG&E-SSC vs Citizen's BC		SDG&E-SSC vs Citizen's HC	
	NPV 58 Yrs		NPV 58 Yrs	
	Annual Levelized 30 Yrs		Annual Levelized 30 Yrs	
<b>Cost Category</b>	<b>Citizen's Higher/(Lower)</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Citizen's Higher/(Lower)</b>	
<b>Capital Related Costs:</b>				
Subtotal Capital Related Revenue Requirement	(\$179,127)	-1.7%	\$81,574	0.8%
<b>Expense Related Costs:</b>				
Subtotal Expense Related Costs	\$255,964	13.0%	\$652,017	33.0%
<b>Total Revenue Requirement on All Costs</b>	<b>\$76,837</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>\$733,591</b>	<b>5.8%</b>
Discount Rates		8.67%		8.67%

1  
2

3 It should be noted that FERC must approve the revenue requirement that Citizens would  
4 be allowed to charge customers over the 30-year DCA period. This would require FERC to  
5 approve both the incremental developmental and A&G costs for Citizens as described in Section  
6 II of this testimony, as well as a cost of capital structure. Thus, it is possible that FERC could  
7 approve an annual levelized revenue requirement for Citizens that would fall below that  
8 produced by the Model. If this were to occur, customers would benefit from the lower revenue  
9 requirement, as compared to the SDG&E snap shot case, over the 30 year DCA period.

10 **VII. QUALIFICATIONS**

11 My name is Michael A. Calabrese. I am employed with Southern California Gas  
12 Company (SoCalGas). My business address is 555 W. Fifth Street, Los Angeles, California,  
13 90013-1011. I am currently Manager – Regulatory Case Financial in the Regulatory and Finance  
14 Department and am responsible for the calculation of revenue requirements for specific cases or  
15 projects filed before the CPUC. In addition I am also responsible for conducting financial

1 analysis and project evaluations requiring the use of and the development of various revenue  
2 requirement models. I have held this position since June 2005.

3 I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting and Management (double major)  
4 from California State University, Northridge, in 1981. I am a Certified Public Accountant and a  
5 member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the California Society of  
6 Certified Public Accountants. I continue to maintain my license with practice rights by adhering  
7 to continuing professional education requirements. I began my employment in June 1981 in the  
8 Internal Audit Department of Pacific Lighting Corporation, then parent company of SoCalGas.  
9 In 1982, I joined Pacific Interstate Company, a regulated subsidiary of Pacific Lighting  
10 Corporation, and held various positions of increasing responsibility including Supervisor of  
11 Fixed Asset Accounting, Budgeting, and Payroll. In February 1994, I joined SoCalGas as a  
12 Senior Depreciation Analyst in support of the company's General Rate Case (GRC). Later in  
13 1995, I rejoined the Internal Audit Department until transferring to the Business Planning  
14 Department of SoCalGas in 1998 where I held the position of Principal Business Analyst until  
15 being promoted to my current position.

16 This concludes my direct testimony.